A review and critical appraisal of measures of therapist-patient interactions in mental health settings

J Cahill, M Barkham, G Hardy, S Gilbody, D Richards, P Bower, K Audin and J Connell

June 2008

Health Technology Assessment NHS R&D HTA Programme www.hta.ac.uk







How to obtain copies of this and other HTA Programme reports.

An electronic version of this publication, in Adobe Acrobat format, is available for downloading free of charge for personal use from the HTA website (http://www.hta.ac.uk). A fully searchable CD-ROM is also available (see below).

Printed copies of HTA monographs cost £20 each (post and packing free in the UK) to both public **and** private sector purchasers from our Despatch Agents.

Non-UK purchasers will have to pay a small fee for post and packing. For European countries the cost is $\pounds 2$ per monograph and for the rest of the world $\pounds 3$ per monograph.

You can order HTA monographs from our Despatch Agents:

- fax (with credit card or official purchase order)
- post (with credit card or official purchase order or cheque)
- phone during office hours (credit card only).

Additionally the HTA website allows you **either** to pay securely by credit card **or** to print out your order and then post or fax it.

Contact details are as follows:

HTA Despatch c/o Direct Mail Works Ltd 4 Oakwood Business Centre Downley, HAVANT PO9 2NP, UK Email: orders@hta.ac.uk Tel: 02392 492 000 Fax: 02392 478 555 Fax from outside the UK: +44 2392 478 555

NHS libraries can subscribe free of charge. Public libraries can subscribe at a very reduced cost of $\pounds 100$ for each volume (normally comprising 30–40 titles). The commercial subscription rate is $\pounds 300$ per volume. Please see our website for details. Subscriptions can only be purchased for the current or forthcoming volume.

Payment methods

Paying by cheque

If you pay by cheque, the cheque must be in **pounds sterling**, made payable to Direct Mail Works Ltd and drawn on a bank with a UK address.

Paying by credit card

The following cards are accepted by phone, fax, post or via the website ordering pages: Delta, Eurocard, Mastercard, Solo, Switch and Visa. We advise against sending credit card details in a plain email.

Paying by official purchase order

You can post or fax these, but they must be from public bodies (i.e. NHS or universities) within the UK. We cannot at present accept purchase orders from commercial companies or from outside the UK.

How do I get a copy of HTA on CD?

Please use the form on the HTA website (www.hta.ac.uk/htacd.htm). Or contact Direct Mail Works (see contact details above) by email, post, fax or phone. *HTA on CD* is currently free of charge worldwide.

The website also provides information about the HTA Programme and lists the membership of the various committees.

A review and critical appraisal of measures of therapist-patient interactions in mental health settings

J Cahill,^{1*} M Barkham,² G Hardy,² S Gilbody,³ D Richards,⁴ P Bower,⁵ K Audin¹ and J Connell¹

- ¹ Psychology Therapies Research Centre, University of Leeds, UK
- ² Clinical Psychology Unit, Department of Psychology, University of Leeds, UK
- ³ Academic Unit of Psychiatry and Behavioural Sciences, University of Leeds, UK
- ⁴ Department of Mental Health Nursing, University of Manchester, UK
- ⁵ National Primary Care Research and Development Centre, University of Manchester, UK

 \ast Corresponding author. Present address: Institute of Psychological Sciences, University of Leeds, UK

Declared competing interests of authors: none

Published June 2008

This report should be referenced as follows:

Cahill J, Barkham M, Hardy G, Gilbody S, Richards D, Bower P, et al. A review and critical appraisal of measures of therapist–patient interactions in mental health settings. *Health Technol* Assess 2008;**12**(24).

Health Technology Assessment is indexed and abstracted in Index Medicus/MEDLINE, Excerpta Medica/EMBASE and Science Citation Index Expanded (SciSearch[®]) and Current Contents[®]/Clinical Medicine.

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme

The Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The research findings from the HTA Programme directly influence decision-making bodies such as the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) and the National Screening Committee (NSC). HTA findings also help to improve the quality of clinical practice in the NHS indirectly in that they form a key component of the 'National Knowledge Service'.

The HTA Programme is needs-led in that it fills gaps in the evidence needed by the NHS. There are three routes to the start of projects.

First is the commissioned route. Suggestions for research are actively sought from people working in the NHS, the public and consumer groups and professional bodies such as royal colleges and NHS trusts. These suggestions are carefully prioritised by panels of independent experts (including NHS service users). The HTA Programme then commissions the research by competitive tender.

Secondly, the HTA Programme provides grants for clinical trials for researchers who identify research questions. These are assessed for importance to patients and the NHS, and scientific rigour.

Thirdly, through its Technology Assessment Report (TAR) call-off contract, the HTA Programme commissions bespoke reports, principally for NICE, but also for other policy-makers. TARs bring together evidence on the value of specific technologies.

Some HTA research projects, including TARs, may take only months, others need several years. They can cost from as little as £40,000 to over £1 million, and may involve synthesising existing evidence, undertaking a trial, or other research collecting new data to answer a research problem.

The final reports from HTA projects are peer-reviewed by a number of independent expert referees before publication in the widely read journal series *Health Technology Assessment*.

Criteria for inclusion in the HTA journal series

Reports are published in the HTA journal series if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA Programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the referees and editors. Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search, appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

The research reported in this issue of the journal was commissioned by the National Coordinating Centre for Research Methodology (NCCRM), and was formally transferred to the HTA Programme in April 2007 under the newly established NIHR Methodology Panel. The HTA Programme project number is 06/90/05. The contractual start date was in April 2002. The draft report began editorial review in February 2007 and was accepted for publication in October 2007. The commissioning brief was devised by the NCCRM who specified the research question and study design. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the referees for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the HTA Programme or the Department of Health.

Editor-in-Chief:	Professor Tom Walley
Series Editors:	Dr Aileen Clarke, Dr Peter Davidson, Dr Chris Hyde, Dr John Powell,
	Dr Rob Riemsma and Professor Ken Stein
Programme Managers:	Sarah Llewellyn Lloyd, Stephen Lemon, Kate Rodger,
	Stephanie Russell and Pauline Swinburne

ISSN 1366-5278

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2008

This monograph may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising.

Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NCCHTA, Alpha House, Enterprise Road, Southampton Science Park, Chilworth, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by Gray Publishing, Tunbridge Wells, Kent, on behalf of NCCHTA.

Printed on acid-free paper in the UK by St Edmundsbury Press Ltd, Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk.



A review and critical appraisal of measures of therapist-patient interactions in mental health settings

J Cahill,^{1*} M Barkham,² G Hardy,² S Gilbody,³ D Richards,⁴ P Bower,⁵ K Audin¹ and J Connell¹

¹ Psychology Therapies Research Centre, University of Leeds, UK

- ² Clinical Psychology Unit, Department of Psychology, University of Leeds, UK
- ³ Academic Unit of Psychiatry and Behavioural Sciences, University of Leeds, UK
- ⁴ Department of Mental Health Nursing, University of Manchester, UK
- ⁵ National Primary Care Research and Development Centre, University of Manchester, UK
- * Corresponding author. Present address: Institute of Psychological Sciences, University of Leeds, UK

Objectives: To assemble and to appraise critically the current literature on tests and measures of therapist-patient interactions in order to make recommendations for practice, training and research, and to establish benchmarks for standardisation, acceptability and routine use of such measures. Data sources: Major electronic databases (including PsycINFO) were searched from inception to 2002. Review methods: A comprehensive conceptual map of the subject area of therapist-patient interactions was developed through data extraction from, and analysis of, studies selected from the literature searches. The results of these searches were assessed and appraised to produce a set of possible therapist-patient measures. These measures were then evaluated. **Results:** The contextual map included the various concepts and domains that had been used in the context of the literature on therapist-patient interactions, and was used to guide the successive stages of the review. Three developmental processes were identified as necessary for the provision of an effective therapeutic relationship: 'establishing a relationship', 'developing a relationship' and 'maintaining a relationship'. Eighty-three therapist-patient measures having basic information on reliability and validity were identified for critical appraisal. The areas of the conceptual map that received most coverage (i.e. over 50% measures

associated with them) were framework, therapist and patient engagement, roles, therapeutic techniques and threats to the relationship. These areas relate to the three key developmental processes outlined above. Of the 83 measures matching the content domain, 43 met the minimum standard. A total of 30 measures displayed adequate responsiveness or precision. None of the 43 measures that met the minimum standard was fully addressed in terms of acceptability and feasibility evidence. The majority of these measures had three or fewer components described. Therefore, out of a total of 83 measures matching the content domain, no measure could be said to have met an industry standard.

Conclusions: The findings indicate that the therapist-patient interaction can be measured using a wide range of instruments of varying value. However, due care should be taken in ensuring that the measure is suitable for the context in which it is to be used. Following on from this work, it is suggested that specific research networks for the development of therapist-patient measures should be established, that research activity should prioritise investment in increasing the evidence base of existing measures rather than attempting to develop new ones, and that research activity should focus on improving these existing measures in terms of acceptability and feasibility issues.



	List of abbreviations	vii
	Executive summary	ix
I	Background to the project	1
	Main effects model	1
	Contextual model in search of common	
	factors	1
	The measurement agenda	2
	Overall aims of project	3
2	The conceptual map	5
	Scoping review	5
	Introduction to the conceptual map	6
	Establishing the relationship	7
	Developing the relationship	9
	Maintaining the relationship	10
	Patient factors	12
	Therapist factors	13
	Contextual factors	13
	Roles	15
	Framework of the relationship	15
	Summary	16
	Summary	10
3	Review to identify candidate measures of	
	patient-therapist interaction	17
	Review question	17
	Literature searching techniques	17
	Selection of measures and associated	
	studies	18
	Working list of measures	18
4	Appraising the psychometric attributes	
-	of measures of therapist–patient	
	interaction	19
	Overview	19
	Psychometric sieve	19
	Excluded measures	20
	Data extraction	21
	Access database	22
	Measure summaries	22
5	Results	25
5	Primary evidence associated with candidate	40
	measures	25
	Content of candidate measures	
		25
	Psychometric properties of candidate	0.0
	measures	26

	Candidate measures by theoretical	
	orientation/discipline and perspective	26
	Candidate measures by theoretical	96
	orientation and population group Measures meeting the minimum	26
	standard	26
	Developing an industry standard for	40
	research	27
6	Conclusions and recommendations for	
	further research	29
	Conclusions	29
	Recommendations for further	
	research	29
-		
7	Client-practitioner interaction: future directions	33
	Addendum to 2003 report	33
	Terminology	33
	Quality appraisal criteria	33
	Use of Fitzpatrick criteria	35
	Inter-rater reliability estimates	35
	Conclusions and recommendations	39
	Acknowledgements	41
	-	
	References	43
		43
	Appendix I Search strategy used for	
		43 49
	Appendix I Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002)	
	Appendix I Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002)Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for	49
	Appendix I Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002)	
	Appendix I Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002)Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for scoping review	49
	Appendix I Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002)Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for	49 51
	Appendix I Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002)Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for scoping review	49 51
	 Appendix 1 Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002) Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for scoping review Appendix 3 Measures search strategy 	49 51
	 Appendix 1 Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002) Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for scoping review Appendix 3 Measures search strategy Appendix 4 Measures excluded by the electronic sieve 	49 51 53
	 Appendix 1 Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002) Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for scoping review Appendix 3 Measures search strategy Appendix 4 Measures excluded by the electronic sieve Appendix 5 Measures excluded on the basis 	49 51 53 59
	 Appendix 1 Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002) Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for scoping review Appendix 3 Measures search strategy Appendix 4 Measures excluded by the electronic sieve 	49 51 53
	 Appendix 1 Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002) Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for scoping review Appendix 3 Measures search strategy Appendix 4 Measures excluded by the electronic sieve Appendix 5 Measures excluded on the basis of content 	49 51 53 59
	 Appendix 1 Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002) Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for scoping review Appendix 3 Measures search strategy Appendix 4 Measures excluded by the electronic sieve Appendix 5 Measures excluded on the basis of content Appendix 6 Database access extraction 	4951535961
	 Appendix 1 Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002) Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for scoping review Appendix 3 Measures search strategy Appendix 4 Measures excluded by the electronic sieve Appendix 5 Measures excluded on the basis of content 	49 51 53 59
	 Appendix 1 Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002) Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for scoping review Appendix 3 Measures search strategy Appendix 4 Measures excluded by the electronic sieve Appendix 5 Measures excluded on the basis of content Appendix 6 Database access extraction forms 	4951535961
	 Appendix 1 Search strategy used for scoping review (1886–2002) Appendix 2 Data summary sheet used for scoping review Appendix 3 Measures search strategy Appendix 4 Measures excluded by the electronic sieve Appendix 5 Measures excluded on the basis of content Appendix 6 Database access extraction 	 49 51 53 59 61 63

Appendix 9 Content description of	
candidate measures	391

Appendix 10 Psychometric properties of candidate measures 399

Health Technology Assessment reports published to date	409
Health Technology Assessment	
Programme	425

List of abbreviations

ACL	Adjective Check List	MSA	multidimensional scale analysis
ADHD	attention deficit hyperactivity disorder	NA	not applicable
ANOVA	analysis of variance	NA	nursing assistant
BDI	Beck Depression Inventory	ns	not significant
	. ,	PCA	principal components analysis
BPD	borderline personality disorder	РСМ	Paragraph Completion Method
СВТ	cognitive behavioural therapy	PCS	Psychotherapy Check Sheet
CFA	confirmatory factor analysis	PTSD	post-traumatic stress disorder
CST	client speaking turns		*
df	degrees of freedom	RE	relationship episode
FAQ	frequently asked question	RIA	racial identity attitude
~ GAS	Goal Attainment Scaling	RN	registered nurse
ICC	intraclass correlation coefficient	SCA	simultaneous components analysis
IIP	Inventory of Interpersonal	SD	standard deviation
	Problems	SE	standard error
IPR	interpersonal process recall	STDP	short-term dynamic
ISQ	Interpersonal Schema Questionnaire		psychotherapy
LOF	•	TSF	Twelve-Step Facilitation
LOF	Level of Facilitation	TSR	Therapy Session Report
MANOVA	multivariate analysis of variance		

All abbreviations that have been used in this report are listed here unless the abbreviation is well known (e.g. NHS), or it has been used only once, or it is a non-standard abbreviation used only in figures/tables/appendices in which case the abbreviation is defined in the figure legend or at the end of the table.



Executive summary

Background

There is currently considerable practice and research activity arising from the drive to establish a secure evidence base for interventions and treatments in mental healthcare. However, this line of research has followed a main effects model; that is, one that attempts to determine main effects in mental healthcare delivery that can be labelled as specific factors influencing outcome. While such a line of research has covered important components in the delivery of effective mental healthcare, these components do not explain the activity between therapist and patient, as reflected by common factors. There has been increasing evidence of the important role played by common factors, which operate across different kinds of therapies (psychological and drug). It is therefore important to focus on the question of how to secure reliable and valid measurement of core processes. In the context of clinical governance and the increasingly central role placed on user perspectives, the quality of the interactions between therapist and patient becomes paramount. With regard to the field of therapist-patient interactions, it is essential that measures are subject to quality-control procedures.

Objectives

The purpose of this report has been (1) to assemble the current literature on tests and measures of therapist–patient interactions; (2) to subject this literature to critical appraisal with the aim of making recommendations for practice, training and research; and (3) to establish benchmarks for standardisation, acceptability and routine use of such measures.

Methods I

Conceptual map Literature scoping

The initial aim of the project was to scope out the subject area of therapist–patient interactions. The purpose of the scoping review was to develop a comprehensive conceptual map of the review area.

Literature searches

As a first step to defining the kinds of questions/areas to be addressed by the review, a pinpoint exercise was conducted at the first steering group meeting. The participants came from a variety of professional and academic backgrounds (clinical psychology, counselling, liaison psychiatry, psychiatric nursing, primary care). The final search strategy was run on the PsycINFO database (1886–2002). The development of the search strategy was a highly iterative process, involving frequent and intensive collaboration with the library team.

Selection and rating of studies

All references were incorporated into a database and independently assessed by two project members acting as raters. Abstracts were included on the basis of the following criteria: therapist (however defined); patient (however defined); all therapist–patient interactions in mental health irrespective of setting, clinical background, training and orientation; all populations; all psychological therapies; review or conceptual/theoretical papers; no time span limit. All abstracts which met the above inclusion criteria were then rated on a fivepoint scale in order of content relevance to the project (5 = most relevant to 1 = least relevant).

Data extraction

All articles rated 4 and 5 were data extracted by project staff hired specifically for this level of work, using a data summary sheet. The purpose of the summary sheet was to summarise comprehensively information on areas pertinent to the scoping review (e.g. therapist–patient interaction measured, theoretical orientation, measures used). The data summary sheets from the '5'-rated articles were then analysed to produce a conceptual map of the subject area.

Data analysis

Three people independently read and listed key themes and concepts from the summary sheets. The three lists were then combined and reviewed. Similar themes were combined and grouped. During this process the summary sheets were revisited to check that the list of themes was grounded in the articles. Using qualitative methodology, items were then grouped and reduced as overlapping terms and concepts were identified.

Review of therapist-patient measures *Literature searches*

The explicit aim was to include all possible relevant literature relating to both studies of therapist-patient interactions and tests/measures of interactions. This review involved the search of a diverse range of electronic and non-electronic sources to maximise the likelihood of capturing all relevant material. As there is no single electronic database that is comprehensive enough in either subject or publication format coverage to retrieve all articles relevant to the review question, a range of electronic databases was searched. All electronic searches covered the years 1886-2002. The general strategy was to combine the search used for the scoping exercise with a search strategy containing specific descriptors such as 'assessment instruments' and 'tests and measures'.

Selection and rating of studies

Two project staff sifted through these references and extracted a list of candidate measures using specified inclusion and exclusion criteria. A series of desirable attributes for psychometric instruments was selected from a recent systematic review commissioned by the UK HTA Programme. These were classified under the six broad headings of reliability, validity, responsiveness, precision, acceptability and feasibility.

Data extraction

Summaries of each of the criteria were entered into an electronic database and key references addressing each attribute were cross-referenced using the relational functions of the database. A measure summary sheet was designed to address each of the six psychometric properties. All information pertaining to these criteria was retrieved from the database and entered on to the summary sheet.

Quality appraisal

Two research staff then applied coding instructions for quality assessment to each of the six criteria. This procedure required consensus between the two staff.

Results

Conceptual map

The map included the various concepts and domains that had been used in the context of the literature on therapist–patient interactions, and was used to guide the successive stages of the review.

Three developmental processes were identified as necessary for the provision of an effective therapeutic relationship: 'establishing a relationship', 'developing a relationship' and 'maintaining a relationship'.

Review of therapist-patient measures

Candidate measures

Eighty-three measures were identified having basic information on reliability and validity for critical appraisal.

Content coverage

The areas of the conceptual map that received most coverage (i.e. over 50% measures associated with them) were framework, therapist and patient engagement, roles, therapeutic techniques and threats to the relationship. These areas relate to the three key developmental processes outlined above.

Eighty-six per cent of the measures were developed in the USA. The remaining measures were developed in the UK, Canada, Australia and Germany. The majority of the measures were developed within pan-theoretical or psychodynamic/psychoanalytic perspectives, were observer rated and related to adult population groups.

Psychometric status

Of the 83 measures matching the content domain, 43 met the minimum standard. A total of 30 measures displayed adequate responsiveness or precision. None of the 43 measures that met the minimum standard was fully addressed in terms of acceptability and feasibility evidence. The majority of these measures had three or fewer components described. Therefore, out of a total of 83 measures matching the content domain, no measure could be said to have met an industry standard.

Conclusions

The findings from the report indicated that the therapist–patient interaction can be measured using a wide range of instruments of varying value. Due care should be taken in ensuring that the measure is suitable for the context in which it is to be used.

Recommendations for further research

The following recommendations for further research are listed below in priority order.

- Specific research networks for the development of therapist–patient measures should be established.
- It is recommended that research activity should prioritise investment in increasing the evidence

base of existing measures rather than attempting to develop new ones. Where research effort and time is invested in new measures this should be done strategically in a fashion that will service national policy needs.

• It is recommended that research activity should focus on improving existing measures in terms of acceptability and feasibility issues.

Chapter I Background to the project

This chapter sets out the background and aims for a review and critical analysis of studies assessing the nature and quality of therapist-patient interactions in the treatment of patients with mental health problems.

Main effects model

There is currently considerable practice and research activity arising from the drive to establish a secure evidence base for interventions and treatments in mental healthcare. This activity incorporates primary, secondary and tertiary services and is driven by, among other things, the desire to plan and deliver high-quality but costeffective services to patients as set out in the National Service Framework for Mental Health.¹

Research initiatives

A range of initiatives has fed into this activity. These include the following: ongoing Cochrane Reviews of mental health interventions,² a comprehensive and critical review of the psychotherapies³ and clinical practice guidelines for, among others, treatment choice in psychological therapies and counselling.⁴ However, almost without exception, the conceptual models upon which reviews are based have followed a main effects model. A main effects model is one that attempts to determine main effects in mental healthcare delivery that can be labelled as specific factors influencing outcome. Hence, the focus has been on, for example, issues of psychiatric diagnosis with the aim of establishing which mental health intervention works best for which disorder and attributing the effect or outcome to specific components of the intervention.

Limitations of the main effects model

While such a line of research has covered important components in the delivery of effective mental healthcare, these components do not explain the activity between therapist and patient, although the important role of this relationship has been acknowledged.⁴ The focus on diagnoses and treatments comes in part from the respective investments in developing specific taxonomies for classifying: (1) diagnoses via the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) or the Tenth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) classifications, and (2) treatments via attempts to define a set of empirically supported treatments;⁵ that is, treatments supported by a robust research evidence base. This paradigm has been wholly consistent with the evidence-based practice movement. It is also consistent with the search for specific effects.

Contextual model in search of common factors

Informative though this strategy is, it does not take into account major aspects of activity occurring between patient and the mental health professional as reflected by common factors. The interest in common factors has reflected the view that differences in outcomes (in psychological treatments as well as drug treatments) can be accounted for by factors that cut across differing therapies and other interventions, rather than necessarily factors that are specific or unique to individual interventions or treatments. Estimates as to the extent of the common factors effect differ, but they vary from 30% for common factors versus 15% for specific factors⁶ to 70% for general factors versus 8% for specific factors.7 (These percentages refer to the explained variance in outcomes.)

Evidence for common factors

There has been increasing evidence of the important role played by common factors, which operate across different kinds of therapies (psychological and drug). Rosenzweig first proposed the concept of common factors in 1937.⁸ He suggested that 'common factors' existed across differing forms of psychotherapy and that the most salient ones would include the relationship and that they all involved a system of explanation (i.e. by the therapist to the patient). Importantly, Rosenzweig proposed that because common factors were so omnipresent, any comparative treatment study would be expected to show non-significant differences in outcomes. The commonality between and across interventions has

been espoused,⁹ and there has been a consistent message from intervention studies suggesting that there is broad equivalence of outcomes,¹⁰ although there are clear exceptions within specific disorders.³ For example, cognitive therapies, strongly driven by technique (specific factors), are recommended for specific phobias. In other forms of treatment, the context in which the treatment is offered or delivered, particularly the relationship between patient and therapist, remains an important factor in the successful delivery of treatment. For example, drug placebo conditions have been found not to be significantly less effective than active psychological treatments, and patients in such conditions have rated similar levels of therapeutic alliance to those patients receiving active psychological treatments.¹¹

Common factors associated with therapist-patient interaction

Factors that have been associated with the therapist-patient interaction include the therapist-patient 'relationship' and 'alliance'12 concepts, with a key component being the interdependence of one with the other. For example, research on the concepts of 'attachment'13 and of the 'repair of patient-therapist ruptures',14 engagement and responsiveness¹⁵ all contribute to this knowledge base. Other factors include the shared attitudes and beliefs of patients, holding similar views of the world, and so on.⁷ However, these concepts may not only play an important role in determining patient outcomes, but also show differential impacts across service settings or explain the influence of other variables on patient outcomes. That is, they can act as both moderators and mediators in clinical settings. A moderated relationship occurs when a relationship is found to hold for some categories of a sample but not others. For example, the importance of the therapist-patient interaction may be different within primary care settings (e.g. doctor-patient interactions where the length of interactions may be relatively short) as opposed to psychotherapy settings (e.g. therapist-patient alliance) and settings in which mental health professionals, including nurses, work with patients experiencing severe and enduring illness (e.g. engagement with service). A mediator may be thought of as an intervening variable; that is, the therapist-patient relationship provides an explanation of the impact of another variable on outcome. For example, the therapist-client alliance has been shown to mediate the effect of interpersonal style on outcome; that is, the relationship between interpersonal style was attenuated by the intervening variable of alliance.¹⁶

The measurement agenda

The Department of Health has recently instigated policy initiatives to steer services towards recognising the need to standardise outcome measurement procedures. There has been a specific call for services to "Incorporate measures of outcome into your psychological therapies service as a matter of routine".¹⁷ In addition, the 'Outcomes measures implementation: best practice guidance'¹⁸ has built on this call and subsequently identified four levels of outcome activity, incorporating measurement, data monitoring, service and treatment management, and benchmarking.

This report, focusing as it does on the process between therapist and patient, has the potential to complement and counterbalance the outcome agenda by focusing on important factors that may be salient in the broader context of outcomes. Indeed, they may be predictive of outcomes or, as stated earlier, moderate them. However, comparatively little effort has been expended on organising 'process' measures. The review of recommended measures by Hill and Corbett¹⁹ has been the most recent and notable attempt to provide a consensus for researchers on which process measures to use. The review was conducted in an effort to promote cohesiveness and unity in process research. However, the review also noted that 38% and 49% of the process measures used in studies in Journal of Counseling Psychology and Journal of Consulting and Clinical *Psychology*, respectively, were study-specific measures and not used subsequently. This tendency had been noted 20 years previously by Strupp²⁰ and Kiesler.²¹

Measuring therapist-patient interactions

One striking issue has always remained, that of how to measure common factor components. For example, there was considerable effort in measuring common factors such as empathy during the 1970s (see Hill and Corbett¹⁹ for overview), but the resulting yield in terms of enhancing the quality of care has been small. More recently, there has been a concerted effort in developing measures of, for example, the therapeutic alliance.^{22,23}

This issue has been ever present in research on the psychological therapies: how to secure reliable and valid measurement of core processes. In the context of clinical governance and the increasingly central role placed on 'user' perspectives, the

quality of the interactions between therapist and patient becomes paramount. With regard to the field of therapist-patient interactions, it is essential that measures are subject to qualitycontrol procedures. When aggregating results across studies it is necessary not only that the same measures are used, but also that these measures have been proven to meet established, acceptable criteria. The importance of client-practitioner interaction research lies in its ability to determine and delineate the change mechanisms in therapy due to the therapeutic relationship. Using measures that do not meet criteria has implications for hypothesis testing in this field. The use of psychometrically sound measures will ensure that researchers and practitioners will be able to determine reliably what leads to outcome and will enable new models of therapy to be successfully developed.

The importance of a common factors approach therefore lies partly in its not being specific to any single school of intervention and partly in the fact that progressing a common factors approach would therefore span all theoretical models and have wide applicability in healthcare settings. Hence, in this review, the term 'therapist' is viewed as including any mental health professional (e.g. doctors, psychiatrists, nurses, clinical psychologists and counsellors) and 'patient' as any user of a mental health service.

Overall aims of project

The purpose of this report is to assemble the current literature on tests and measures of therapist-patient interactions and subject this literature to critical appraisal with the aim of making recommendations for practice, training and research. The authors recommend minimum standards for acceptability for measuring therapist-patient interactions, and the current tests are synthesised into a database with the aim of making this available via a website to other researchers and practitioners.

Chapter 2 The conceptual map

Scoping review

The initial aim of the project was to scope out the subject area of 'therapist-patient interactions'. The purpose of the scoping review was to develop a comprehensive conceptual map of the review area. The map would include the various concepts and domains that had been used in the context of the literature on therapist-patient interactions (e.g. attachment and alliance), and would be used to guide the successive stages of the review.

Development of a search strategy

Scoping searches typically include searching for existing reviews relevant to the review's objectives. Therefore, any electronic search strategies needed to be carefully tailored to the research questions. As a first step towards defining the kinds of questions/areas to be addressed by the review, a pinpoint exercise was conducted at the first steering group meeting. The participants came from a variety of professional and academic backgrounds (clinical psychology, counselling, liaison psychiatry, psychiatric nursing, primary care). Participants (n = 8; MB, GH, SG, CA, DR, PB, JCa, KA) were instructed to write down words pertaining to therapist-client interaction on Post-it notes. A list of 36 key terms was generated and these are presented alphabetically in *Box 1*.

To assist in the design of an effective electronic search strategy, information experts from the University of Leeds Library (MG and SM) were

employed. The authors met the librarians responsible for designing the search strategy to discuss the broad aims and content area of the scoping review. It was decided, in the first instance, to design a search strategy to be used on the PsycINFO database, as its scope seemed the most relevant to the proposed content area of the review. The development of the search strategy was a highly iterative process, involving frequent and intensive collaboration with the library team. Each draft of the search strategy was tested on the PsycINFO database to gauge its sensitivity (recall) and specificity (precision). Sensitivity is a measure of the comprehensiveness of a search strategy, that is, its ability to identify all relevant articles on the topic under review. Specificity is a measure of the ability of the search to exclude irrelevant articles. Searches with high sensitivity tend to have low specificity. As the purpose of the scoping review was to be as inclusive as possible, it was decided that the strategy should be oversensitive. The result was a large number of 'false drops' (irrelevant articles). However all references went through a selection process, as described below. The final search strategy, which was run on PsycINFO database from 1886 to 2002, is presented in Appendix 1.

Selection and rating of studies

A total of 5644 hits was returned from the PsycINFO database. All references were transported into an Endnote database and independently sifted by two project members

BOX I Keywords

Accept*; Adherence (medical model); Agreement; Alliance; Attachment Boundaries
Communication; Compliance; Concordance; Contract; Core skills; Counter-transference
Dialogue; Disagreement
Engagement/non-engagement; Expectation of outcome
Friendship
Getting on (e.g. with healthcare practitioner)
Interaction; Involvement
Keeping in touch with services
Length; Like*
Partnership; Patient-centred interviewing; Patient centredness; Power
Relationship; Rupture (and repair)
Sharing power
Therapeutic bond; Therapeutic*; Transference; Trust; Turning up for treatment
Working relationship

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2008. All rights reserved.

acting as raters (JCa and KA). Sifting refers to the process by which inclusion criteria were applied to each abstract. Abstracts were included on the basis of the following criteria:

- therapist (however defined)
- patient (however defined)
- all therapist-patient interactions in mental health, irrespective of setting, clinical background, training and orientation
- all populations
- all psychological therapies
- review or conceptual/theoretical papers
- no timespan limit.

The above inclusion criteria were specified to ensure that the scoping review would contain as wide a range of articles as possible provided they had a bearing on the subject matter.

Abstracts were allocated to three categories of 'in', 'out' or 'possible'. All abstracts were categorised by JCa and KA. Any discrepancies between the two project members concerning the status of an abstract were resolved by consensus agreement/discussion. This left 150 articles that were rated as 'in'. All abstracts that met the above inclusion criteria according to the individual/joint judgement of the two project team members were then rated on a five-point scale in order of content relevance to the project (5 = most relevant to 1 = least relevant). Hard copies of articles were ordered beginning with those rated as 5. Articles rated 4 and 3 were also ordered.

Theoretical saturation

Scoping searches were conducted on the MEDLINE and EMBASE databases. However, it was decided that a point of theoretical saturation had been reached when no new domains of patient interaction were being identified. Theoretical saturation was a function of the scope of the review and the inclusion criteria described above, in that studies had not been restricted by therapy type or clinical background.

Data extraction

All articles rated 4 (n = 67) and 5 (n = 45) were data extracted by a further two project staff hired specifically for this level of work (KP and KB). The data summary sheet is presented in Appendix 2. The purpose of the summary sheet was to summarise comprehensively information on areas pertinent to the scoping review (e.g. therapist–patient interaction measured, theoretical orientation, measures used). The data summary sheets from the '5'-rated articles were then analysed by GH (see below) to produce a conceptual map of the subject area.

Data analysis

Key terms and concepts were identified from the summary sheets. Three people (GH, KP, KB) independently read and listed key themes and concepts from the summary sheets. The three lists were then combined and reviewed. Similar themes were combined and grouped. During this process the summary sheets were revisited to check that the list of themes was grounded in the articles. Using qualitative methodology, items were then grouped and reduced as overlapping terms and concepts were identified.

Two methods of validation were used. First, the '4'rated articles were subject to the same procedure as above with the aim of identifying any new themes and modifying existing ones. This continued until no new themes were being identified. Secondly, the map containing the grouped themes was scrutinised by the whole research team for comprehensiveness, face validity and usefulness. Each theme was then considered in relation to the remaining themes. Such grouping led to the generation of a number of models, which again were discussed with the whole research team, and the model shown in Figure 1 was agreed. Themes within the stages of the map or model are detailed in the following sections. In addition, two members of the team (PB, DR) examined the map with the associated references to ensure the adequacy of the audit trail.

Introduction to the conceptual map

The therapist, the patient and the relationship they establish all contribute to the experience and effects of treatment within mental health services. For example, even in trials where enormous effort is made to control the effects of individual therapists, an average of over 6% of the outcome variance is due to therapists.²⁴ Similarly, the effects of personal characteristics of patients on treatment outcome remain over and above diagnostic symptoms.²⁵ Finally, the quality of the therapist-patient relationship is not just a byproduct of therapeutic success, but it is the most consistently reported predictor of successful outcome, with overall effect sizes of between 0.21 and 0.25.²⁶ Indeed, these authors present a summary of the literature on predictors of outcome in psychotherapy, showing that 15% of outcome is due to expectancy effects, 15% due to

techniques, 30% due to 'common factors' which primarily involve the therapeutic relationship, and 40% to extratherapeutic change.²⁶

So, what do we understand about the therapeutic relationship and what are the active ingredients that promote patient change? In trying to answer these questions the authors have drawn together the literature to identify the elements of the relationship that impact on the quality of the therapist-patient relationship. In some cases there is evidence from empirical studies that these aspects of the relationship also impact on treatment outcome. Although the primary goal in this review has not been to consider treatment outcome, inevitably many of the articles that were reviewed have considered this. Therefore, the authors have indicated where there is evidence that treatment or therapy outcomes improve as a consequence of establishing certain aspects of the therapeutic relationships.

Overview of the map

In the conceptual map (*Figure 1*) three developmental processes were identified as necessary for the provision of an effective therapeutic relationship. These are summarised in 'establishing a relationship', 'developing a relationship' and 'maintaining a relationship'. Key processes involved in establishing what might be called 'mini-outcomes' or 'objectives' for each phase are listed. It is assumed that although these stages develop across therapy, there will also be a cycling through these stages within a therapeutic meeting, or over a number of weeks or months. For example, a therapeutic relationship may be well developed when there is a break in treatment, resulting in patient-reported dissatisfaction. The therapist will work to repair this rupture in the relationship and may also return to the use of engagement skills.

Therapist, patient and *contextual* factors determine the nature of the roles and frameworks within which the therapeutic interactions take place. These in turn impact on the processes and outcomes of each phase of the relationship.

The description of the map begins from the right of *Figure 1* going through the processes and objectives of each phase of the relationship. Next, the patient, therapist and contextual factors that moderate the frameworks of, and roles taken, in the relationship are described. *Figure 1* gives examples of the components of the levels of the conceptual map, along with a reference to the tables containing complete listings.

Establishing the relationship

There is clear evidence that the early development of a good relationship between therapist and patient predicts better outcome and remaining in therapy.²⁷ The processes and objectives of this phase of therapy are taken in turn (*Table 1*).

Engagement processes

Therapist behaviours and attitudes that encourage patient engagement are discussed below. In



FIGURE I Conceptual map

TABLE I Establishing the relationship

Engagement processes	Engagement objectives
Empathy, warmth and genuineness Negotiation of goals Collaborative framework Support Guidance Affirmation	Expectancies Intentions Motivation Hope

general, it is assumed that the more therapists engage appropriately in these activities, the better will be their relationships with patients.

Empathy, warmth and genuineness

These three elements of Rogers' therapeutic conditions are linked to outcome, particularly empathy.²⁸ Empathy is the ability of the therapist to enter and understand, both affectively and cognitively, the patient's world. Three types of empathy have been identified: rapport, communicative attunement and person empathy.²⁹ Clients' experience of empathy (received empathy) is important in the development of the relationship.³⁰ Therapist warmth³¹ and genuineness or respect³² are also associated with the development of a good therapeutic relationship.

Negotiation of goals

Engagement also involves the therapist discussing and agreeing with the patient what are the aims of therapy. Agreement between patient and therapist on what are the problems the patient is bringing to therapy is not related to engagement, but agreement on goals and tasks is. Goal consensus is one of the relationship objectives (as a part of alliance). There is also evidence that it is important to reach consensus early in therapy. For example, early session information gathering and later session sharing and negotiation of problem formulation and treatment plans improve engagement and return for further sessions of therapy.³³

Collaborative framework

More generally than just negotiation of goals, mutual involvement in the helping relationship is associated with engagement. Therapist behaviours that are associated with involvement or a collaborative framework include talking rather than remaining silent, encouraging patient experiencing so that sessions are reported as being 'deep' and avoiding conflict within the sessions.³⁴

Support and guidance

Some aspects of support are described as being important within cognitive and behavioural therapies, such as tolerance³⁵ and guidance.³⁶ Friedlander and Tuason³¹ found that directiveness predicted increases in couples' positive behaviour, although only with couples from lower socioeconomic backgrounds. Russell and Shirk³⁷ found that children were less hostile with a directive therapist. Other techniques that improve patient engagement include providing early clarifications in the here and now³⁸ and using patient preparatory techniques.³⁹

Affirmation

There is mixed evidence for the use of praise in developing the therapist–patient relationship, although 'mutual affirmation' is seen as important.⁴⁰ Ogrodniczuk and Piper⁴¹ discuss that when working with patients with personality disorders therapists must balance transference work with supportive work, such as reassurance and praise. Although most therapies discuss the importance of praise, Sweet⁴² and Wilson⁴³ describe the mixed evidence for the role of praise in behaviour therapy.

Engagement objectives

Patient engagement in therapy is the primary target at this stage and can be divided into the following objectives.

Expectancies

In the early stages in therapy Frank and Frank identified the importance of building patients' positive expectations of therapy.⁹

Intentions and motivation

Intentions and motivation for change also need to be sufficiently present for engagement in therapy to occur.³⁰ Therapists' behaviours described earlier are in part designed to develop patients' expectations. Therapists rate patients as more attractive if they are seen to be motivated to change and committed to work with the therapist in working out their problems. Such patients are more likely to become engaged.

Норе

Therapists' expressed hope for the usefulness of therapy is important in engaging patients in therapy.³⁷ This links to patient expectancies,^{44,45} or to the therapists' power base, which in turn increases therapists' ability to influence patients.⁴⁶

Nathan⁴⁷ examines hope in relation to difficult patients, finding that therapists often lack hope with such patients, which leads to impoverished therapeutic relationships. The importance of openly addressing hope or the lack of it with patients is discussed by Bordin,⁴⁸ for example, improvements in the patient–therapist relationship are seen with the early building of a sense of hope with patients who suffer from posttraumatic stress.⁴⁹

Developing the relationship

This section looks at therapists' techniques that are helpful in progressing therapy and developing the therapist–patient relationship, and the objectives for this phase of therapy (*Table 2*). In addition, during this phase therapists use more 'self-reflective' activities to deepen their relationship with their patients. These include an awareness of transference and the use of selfdisclosure.

Exploration and reflection

Exploration and reflection of aspects of the therapist–patient relationship are emphasised by psychodynamic, interpersonal and humanistic therapies. Increased use of exploration is associated with more positive relationship ratings.^{30,41,50–62}

Secure base

This concept is taken from attachment theory and describes the level of safety a patient may experience in relationships. The aim in the therapeutic relationship is to develop a base from which patients feel secure and able to explore their problems productively. Dozier and Tyrrell⁵⁵ describe this process as beginning with the patient entering therapy with expectations of the therapist based on their previous attachment figures, which are then worked on in therapy to explore other

TABLE 2 Developing the relationship

Processes	Objectives
Exploration	Openness
Reflection	Trust
Secure base	Commitment
Feedback	
Relational interpretations	
Non-verbal communications	

attachment models. Direct evidence for this process is limited, but there is evidence that secure clients are more cooperative in therapy and that security in patients' attachment to their therapist is positively associated with a strong alliance.⁵⁵

Feedback

Feedback is usefully conceptualised as an influence process where the therapist changes the patient's behaviour through the delivery of discrepant, change-promoting messages or positive reinforcement of aspects of the patient's behaviour or self-beliefs. The feedback message can be descriptive or inferential: research evidence indicates that descriptive feedback is more useful; positive feedback is generally more acceptable (although negative feedback can be useful if preceded by positive feedback); and if feedback is collaborative it is less likely to arouse resistance in the patient.³⁷ Positive feedback also helps to establish and strengthen the relationship.⁶³

Relational interpretations

Relational interpretations are a therapy technique where the therapist makes an intervention that addresses interpersonal links, connections or themes within the patients' stories. Several studies have linked the use of relational interpretations to outcome, and a few have looked at interpretations and the quality of the alliance. Crits-Christoph and Connolly,⁶⁴ summarising this evidence, conclude that high levels of transference interpretations should be avoided and the primary focus of interpersonal interpretations should be on the central interpretant theme of the patient.

Non-verbal communication

Non-verbal behaviour is important in therapy; it provides information about patients' emotional state and can be used as a tool for improving the therapist–patient relationship. For example, laughter and humour³⁷ are described as indicating a positive change in patients' self-concept and as an expression of a positive relationship. The experience, interpretation and use of silence are also the focus of discussion in a number of papers.⁵²

Transference

Many of the papers mention the importance of therapists' recognition of transferences within the therapeutic relationship. The concept of transference is complex and has developed in meaning.^{65,66} However, transference understandings are essential to the therapies that propose the therapeutic relationship as the vehicle for the process of change, as patients' conflictual

ways of relating to others are identified, understood and worked on through the therapist–patient relationship.³³ However, the evidence for the value of transference interpretations is mixed.

Self-disclosure

Self-disclosure (the therapist revealing something personal about him or herself) was traditionally not recommended by psychoanalytic therapists. Other theoretical orientations have seen selfdisclosure as a useful part of the therapeutic relationship. Four types of disclosure have been described: facts, insights, strategies and feelings. Patients are often more positive about therapist self-disclosure than therapists, but the positive effects on either the relationship or therapy outcome have yet to be demonstrated. Because of the lack of clear understanding of the impacts on patients it is generally recommended that therapists should only infrequently disclose, and that if they do so this should be in a positive way to validate reality or normalise behaviour. Careful attention should be paid to the impact of disclosure on the relationship.67

Relationship development objectives

Once patients are hopeful that therapy may help and are motivated to change, it is important that they are able to turn to the tasks of therapy. To do this they need to have trust in the therapist, openness to the process of therapy⁶⁸ and a commitment to working with their therapist.^{69,70} Therapist engagement and relationship development behaviours described previously help these attitudes to develop. Patients who show good engagement in therapy often describe their therapist as being attractive.^{32,58,59,71-74} In contrast, defensiveness and hostility have been negatively linked to the quality of the patient's working relationship.⁷⁵

Maintaining the relationship

As therapy progresses it is likely that difficulties in the relationship will arise. Although these are common, therapy may be impeded if the problems are not resolved. These threats to the relationship have been grouped into 'therapist behaviours', 'patient behaviours' and 'relationship challenges'; and therapist actions needed to avoid or resolve these threats grouped as 'self-reflection', 'metacommunication', 'flexibility' and 'repair' (*Table 3*).

Threats

Therapist behaviour

Both patient and therapist may have negative feelings towards the other person. Therapists have commonly reported feelings of fear, anger and attraction towards patients. Therapists' negative feelings towards patients have been shown to result in a decrease in patient functioning during treatment. Often these feelings are not spoken about as therapists report being ashamed of their negative reactions, although occasionally they report recognition of such feelings as having positive consequences.⁷⁶ Other therapist behaviours that patients have described as intrusive and defensive and as having a negative impact on the relationship include therapists imposing their own values, making irrelevant comments, or being critical, rigid, bored, blaming, moralistic or uncertain.⁷⁷ Poor use of therapeutic techniques, such as continued application of a technique when not accepted by or found to be

TABLE 3 Maintaining the	relationship
-------------------------	--------------

Threats	Processes	Objectives
Therapist behaviour Intrusive Defensive	Self-reflection Metacommunication	
Negative feelings Self-disclosure		
Patient behaviour Resistance Hostility Negative feelings	Flexibility Responsiveness	Satisfaction Alliance Cohesion Emotional expression Changing view of self
Relationship challenges Ruptures (confrontations or withdrawal) Misunderstandings	Repair	

helpful by the patient, and poor use of silence are linked with a poor relationship. 75,78

Patient behaviour

Patients tend to hide their negative feelings (such as fear, hostility and anger) and often the therapist is unaware of what the patient is feeling. Such patient deference to the therapist has been linked with poor outcome.^{28,75,79}

Resistance was originally developed as a psychoanalytic concept of the patient's unconscious avoidance from the analytic work. It was later developed in social psychology as a theory of psychological reactance that was seen as a normal reaction to a perceived threat. Social influence theory defined the concept of resistance as a product of incongruence between the therapist's behaviour and the power or legitimacy ascribed to the therapist. Resistance can be seen in patients as both a state expressed, such as anger and resentment, and a trait (described above). Trait-resistant patients are more likely to drop out of therapy. Recognition of resistance states should be acknowledged and the therapeutic contract renegotiated. Treatment plans with patients with high resistance traits should de-emphasise the therapist's authority and therapists should avoid stimulating the patient's level of resistance.^{28,80}

Relationship challenges

Misunderstanding between patients and therapists on the goals and tasks of therapy may result in confrontations, patient withdrawal and misunderstandings.^{28,81,82} Such ruptures in therapy are common and an expected part of treatment.^{28,75,77,83} Crits-Christoph⁸⁴ describes ruptures as transference reactions; others when the therapist makes mistakes, is anxious or has a strong need for approval, or when patients experience negative feelings about the therapist as a result of the therapist doing something they did not want, or not doing something they did want.

Processes

Reflection and metacommunication

Therapists' ability to reflect on their own position and feelings may enable problems in the relationship to be resolved.⁷⁵ This includes observation of counter-transference feelings. Definitions of counter-transference have changed over the years; however, currently, it is seen as an interactional process, which can be both helpful and problematic and include 'resistance' in the therapist owing to inner conflicts and specific reactions of a therapist to specific transferences of the patient. Related concepts include therapists' withdrawal, overprotectiveness, sympathy or identification with the patient.⁸⁵ Management of counter-transference issues consists of five interrelated factors: self-insight, self-integration, empathy, anxiety management and conceptualising ability.⁸⁶

Flexibility

Of paramount importance in maintaining the relationship is the therapist's ability to tailor therapy to the individual needs and characteristics of patients. This involves therapists responding appropriately to relational fluctuations so that negative reactions are contained and managed. For example, therapists' inflexible adherence to treatment strategies (either cognitive or interpretations) is associated with poor relationships.⁸⁷ Flexibility is, therefore, required: higher alliance ratings are given to therapists who are seen as flexible.⁸⁷

Responsiveness

Appropriate responsiveness refers to therapists' moment-to-moment adjustments to patients' requirements within the framework of an individual treatment's goals and standards.⁸⁷ This description of the way therapist and patient respond to each other is cyclical, with each participant affecting the behaviour of the other, which in turn affects their own response.⁶⁶ This mutual influence process suggests why research that assumes a linear relationship between, for example, patient characteristics and outcome produces conflicting findings.

Rupture resolution

As described earlier, ruptures are an expected part of the treatment process. Non-resolution may lead to treatment failures. In contrast, there is some evidence that resolution of a rupture leads to a deeper and better relationship and treatment outcome.^{35,37,52,88}

Safran and colleagues^{89,90} have developed a model of rupture resolution which begins with the therapist attending to rupture markers, which are usually indicated by patient withdrawal or confrontation. The patient and therapist then explore the rupture experience, including avoidance, which then leads to the emergence of a patient's wish or need.

Maintaining relationship objectives

Different types of therapy assume the importance of different aspects of the relationship: these various objectives or outcomes of patient–therapist interactions have been grouped into five elements: satisfaction, alliance, cohesion, expressed emotions and changing view of self with others.

These relationship objectives are not separate, discrete elements; they overlap and have common features. They are not really outcomes, in the sense of change in patient symptoms or quality of life, but they represent a meeting point of therapist interpersonal behaviours, client characteristics and relationship processes, and form a description of the quality of the relationship.

Satisfaction

The first element includes general satisfaction with the relationship and patients' positive appraisal of the relationship.^{83,91,92} Patients' satisfaction with their therapist is associated with their satisfaction with therapy in general.⁹³ It is an experiential phenomenon rather than behavioural; patients tend to be less discriminating than therapists about the quality of their relationship, forming a global positive or negative impression of the relationship.²⁸ Patients and therapists often value different things; for example, patients' satisfaction is generally associated with confirmed expectations.⁹³

Dissatisfaction is the most frequent reason given for leaving therapy and for non-compliance.⁹³ Given that about 25–59% of patients are non-compliant with treatment, this is an important issue.⁹⁴ High levels of satisfaction are linked to good compliance and higher levels of engagement.^{28,95}

Alliance

Achieving a working relationship is the most important aspect of therapy that thus far has been linked with treatment outcome.⁹⁶ This is referred to as the alliance (working, therapeutic, etc.). Although there are important differences in the definitions of the alliance,²⁶ for example, a major controversy exists whether the alliance arises from the interpersonal process or is an intrapsychic phenomenon⁸² and different therapies will emphasise different aspects of the alliance, it is the quality and strength of the collaborative relationship between patient and therapist that appears important.⁴⁵

The most frequently used definition of the alliance is by Bordin,⁴⁸ who describes three elements of the relationship: consensus with regard to task; affective bond (trust, liking, caring); and agreement on goals (actively committed, purposeful). It is thought that task and goal are more important than bond.⁷⁹ This is in part because the bond develops slowly, whereas task and goals need to be established quickly.³³

Cohesion

Cohesion refers to a similar concept to alliance, except that it refers to relationships established in group work. Systemic definitions of therapeutic relationships involve multiple alliances: member to member, member to leader, leader to leader and leader to group. This means that the features of the alliances or of cohesion are intrapersonal, interpersonal and intragroup, plus a bonding, collaborative working alliance of the group.⁹⁷

Expressed emotion

For some therapies the relationship is the vehicle for emotions to be supported and expressed; the emotional relationship is seen as being a cathartic experience,^{44,98} although for others the emotional experience leads to change in cognitions and selfunderstanding and for others experiential insight is the key to change.^{45,79}

Changing view of self with others

Several reviews describe the purpose of the relationship as enabling alternative views of the self to be explored. These are sometimes referred to as narrative truths: social constructionism describes the processes in therapy as the patient and therapist constructing the relationship together, where old problems are deconstructed and new narratives arise.^{84,87,91}

Patient factors

Patient factors that impact on the quality of the therapeutic relationship are considered here (*Table 4*). Two of the main patient characteristics found by Beutler²⁵ to moderate treatment outcome and poor therapeutic relationships are functional impairment and coping style. Functional impairment includes problems in work, social and intimate relationships. Problem complexity has also been associated with poor relationship development.⁹⁹ One significant factor related to negative outcome is therapists' underestimation of the seriousness of problems.¹⁰⁰

In contrast, factors that may be associated with the development of good working relationships include patients who have had therapy previously, have less severe personality disturbances and have begun to address their problems.¹⁰¹

The impact of past and current relationship experiences on the development of the

TABLE 4 Patient and therapist individual differences

Patient differences	Therapist differences
Coping style	Attachment style
Severity of impairment	Attitudinal variable
Relationship experiences	Relationship experiences
Social support	Values
Defensive style	

patient-therapist relationship has already been discussed in the section 'Transference' (p. 9). Other terms used to describe these past relationship experiences include dysfunctional relationship schemas,⁹⁰ attachment styles (see below) and negative introjects.¹⁰²

Patients' particular attachment style has been found to influence the quality of the therapeutic relationship, with patients who have insecure attachment styles less able to form satisfactory alliances.¹⁰³ Patients with an avoidant attachment style are particularly hard to engage in therapy.²⁸

Systemic therapies describe a process by which therapists initially undertake compensatory relationships with patients, compensating for any reduced levels of social support they may have, and then as external support is achieved therapists take a more subsidiary role.²⁷ Mallinckrodt³⁵ describes a social competency model: maladaptive social interactions learned in childhood are identified in therapy, and the therapeutic relationship provides patients with new experiences to broaden their social competencies and to increase the social support available to them. Peltzer¹⁰⁴ describes part of the role of the therapist in providing education and social support for victims of organised violence.

Patients' defensive styles, particularly the use of repression, impact negatively on the quality of the patient–therapist relationship.^{52,54,60}

Therapist factors

Some therapists achieve better relationships and outcomes with their patients than others. This is found even in studies that have attempted to minimise the effects of individual therapists on patient outcomes.¹⁰⁵ Patients characterise some of these differences between therapists in terms of their engagement behaviour and the presence of

negative behaviours. Additional therapist characteristics that are associated with negative aspects of the patient–therapist relationship include being rigid, uncertain, distant, tense and distracted, and their level of experience.^{77,87}

Attitudinal variables that are associated with the development of a good relationship include perceptions that the therapist is trustworthy, interested, affirming, confident, respectful and open.¹⁰¹ These attributes potentially help patients to develop confidence in and collaboration with the therapist.

There is some evidence that therapists' attachment style impacts on the quality of the relationship formed with patients. For example, therapists who have an insecure, over-involved attachment style tend to respond less empathically to patients than secure therapists.²⁸ In addition, therapists who impose their values on patients tend to have poorer alliances.^{39,56,72,106–109}

Contextual factors

Both broad factors such as the social grouping to which patients and therapists belong, and aspects of the therapy context and how these impact on the relationship, are considered next (*Table 5*).

Therapy context Confidentiality and boundaries

The impact of these aspects of the relationship has generally been studied only when special cases bring out ethical dilemmas or issues. For example, confidentiality and boundary issues may be challenged when working with victims of violence and abuse,^{56,110} consideration of boundary issues is sometimes problematic when working with patients with learning disabilities,¹¹¹ and abuse of power happens when therapists form sexual relationships with their patients.^{71,112}

TABLE 5 Con	ntextual factors
-------------	------------------

Therapy context	Broader context
Confidentiality	Race, ethnicity, culture
Boundaries	Social class
Influence	Religion
Values	Age
Power	Gender
Type of therapy	

Confidentiality issues and the impact that they may have on the therapist–patient relationship are also considered when therapy is observed by others or sessions are audio- or tape-recorded.¹¹³

Influence

Many of the reviews mention the importance of influence in the therapeutic relationship. For behaviour therapists, their relationship is good when they are effective and influential.^{67,103} This process is linked to social influence theory:⁹¹ the ability of the therapist to influence the patient on the basis of social power. Influence is also linked to the credibility of the therapist.⁷³

Power

Power has been described as the vital force in therapy.⁴⁶ The therapist is the 'expert' (behaviour therapists particularly) and can use this power to help patients to change (social influence theory). However, there is a question of whether the use of power is ethical,⁵⁰ particularly with vulnerable groups of patients, such as people with chronic personality disorders:⁴⁷ Veldhuis⁹² describes how feminist therapists work to create a relationship in which power is shared. Therapists working within a social constructionist framework aim to empower patients.¹¹⁴

Type of therapy

All therapies acknowledge the importance of establishing a good working relationship with patients, although different therapies emphasise different aspects of the relationship; for example, psychodynamic and interpersonal therapists focus on the expression of emotion and problems in the relationship, whereas cognitive therapies emphasise patterns of thoughts.⁵¹ However, the basic elements of the patient–therapist relationship remain the same for most therapies. Even in computerised therapies patients still form strong attachments to the therapy.⁶⁹

Broader context

The broader context comprises the psychosocial arena in which the relationship develops. At this stage the focus is primarily on issues of diversity, such as cultural and demographic variables that have been found to have an impact on the therapy relationship. It is important to ground therapeutic work in an awareness and knowledge of best practice for particular diverse groups: 'cultural competency', given that most practitioners are likely to work with patients from different groups and backgrounds from their own.

Race, ethnicity and culture

Although there is limited evidence that these variables impact on therapy outcome, patients from minority groups are less likely to remain in therapy and more likely to drop out prematurely.^{39,56,93,95,104,106,115,116} This suggests that there are engagement and relationship issues in working with patients from minority ethnic groups.^{117,118} Again, there is little research on whether patients should be matched with therapists from the same ethnic background, social class, religion, and so on. There is some evidence that perceived similarity with one's therapist results in greater satisfaction.95 Some of the reviews suggested that religion should be brought up to facilitate the development of the therapeutic relationship.95,109

The impact of diversity issues on the therapist–patient relationship occurs through two routes: first, via each person's knowledge and understandings of broader and therapy contextual factors, such as how illness and distress are understood, what credential the therapist is given, and differing concepts of the self; and secondly, through the ability of the therapist to be empathic and validate patients' feelings and experiences.¹¹⁹

Social class

Similarity in social class between therapist and patients has been linked with the formation of a better therapeutic relationship,¹⁰¹ although two further reviews concluded that social class did not impact on the quality of the relationship.⁵⁷ Heitler³⁹ concludes that patients from lower social classes are more likely to be rejected for psychological treatment and more likely to drop out of treatment because of lack of engagement with the therapist.

Gender

It is clear that male and female therapists act differently¹²⁰ although the impact of this on the therapeutic relationship is less clear.^{31,32,54,56,58,59,61,112,116,121–123}

Processes

Several reviews suggest that better management of the above factors requires therapists to be flexible in their approach to the relationship, to be reflective about their practice, and to gain greater understanding of the socio-political forces that influence their attitudes and greater knowledge of the cultural background of their patients. Therapists' recognition of their own values is also an important part of this process. The engagement process is potentially problematic and may lead to patients dropping out of therapy. Clarity of roles and treatment aims and methods are very important, and some methods of improving engagement have been discussed in the literature. For example, preparatory techniques such as socialising patients into role expectations and providing information before meeting may be helpful.³⁹ Encouraging discussion about problems that arise with one's therapist (stabilising) and teaching patients about the process of therapy (structuring) are useful.

Roles

The descriptions of the roles each person plays in the interactions between therapist and patient are usually defined by the assumed theoretical background of the therapist, the patient's expectations, and recognition of the unequal power bases of the two players. The impact of these assumed roles is likely to be strongest during the early phases of the development of the relationship. The various roles ascribed to therapist and patient are described in turn (*Table 6*).

Therapist roles

Roles that have been ascribed to the therapist include friend or companion. For example, therapists working with children or with people with learning difficulties are often given this role, along with the role of an advocate.¹²⁴ These roles highlight the large potential differences in power bases between therapists and patients, and the acknowledgement that the therapist will often relate to a number of people in the patient's world as part of their therapeutic involvement.

Other writers have described a task of therapy as one of deconstructing the power of the therapist and entering a more equal friendship relationship.¹²⁵ One of the important characteristics that patients value in their therapists has been described as 'friendliness'.⁸⁴

TABLE 6	Therapist and	batient roles
	The apise and	patient roles

Therapist roles	Patient roles
Friend/companion	Client
Advocate	Patient
Attachment figure	User
Expert/authority/leader	Consumer

Attachment theory describes the role of the therapist as one of providing a secure base from which the patient can explore their problems. Although part of this description includes the development of a transference relationship with the therapist, this attachment role is not confined to acknowledging and interpreting the transference, but includes a 'real' relationship that acknowledges patients' needs for security.⁵⁵

In contrast, some therapies attribute the competence of the therapist to the authority and respect they command.^{28,67,76,82,91,92,103,124,126} The expert role is linked theoretically to social influence theory, and the value of being seen as the expert is that it helps to build clients' expectancies.⁷³ This aspect of the therapist's role is put forward as being the route through which computer and Internet therapies achieve success.⁶⁹ Patients who achieve greater change describe their therapists as more expert.⁷³ In group therapy the leadership role of the therapist is described as central to the relationship between therapists and group members, and this role is defined in terms of authority and knowledge.¹²⁷

The blank-screen role of the therapist is traditionally used in psychoanalysis. There have been many critiques of this role and it is no longer common for therapists to assume a 'blank screen' with their patients. However, the use of the term to describe how therapists should adopt a stance in relation to transference materials of the patient is still valued among many analysts, who would recognise that patients form 'real' relationships with therapists at the same time as transference relationships.^{53,128,129}

Patient roles

The use of the terms such as patient, client or user reflects the increasing recognition of the unique and changing role of the person seeking help for mental health problems. The idea that the patient is a consumer of mental health services is not new, but the implications this has for the relationship has not been studied a great deal.¹²⁰ The concept of 'user-friendliness' has been developed as a process by which patients are asked specifically about the quality of their relationship with their therapist.⁹¹

Framework of the relationship

This section looks at the structural components of the therapist–patient relationship (*Table 7*). The framework of the relationship leads on from the

TABLE 7 Framework of the relationship

Managing therapy process	Matching of therapist and patient
Collaborative	Convergent
Structuring	Complementarity
Directive	Congruent

roles and from individual characteristics of the therapist and patient.

Managing therapy process

Although the evidence is that a collaborative framework is important for maintaining effective therapeutic alliance,^{52,112,130} some patients require a more directive and structured approach to maintain expectancies and motivation.^{27,37,73,111} Structuring the sessions is seen as an important part of the management of the relationship,^{31,39} as is the ability to remain focused.^{31,35,41,58,104,127,130}

Matching of therapist and patient

There is very little evidence that matching the therapist and patient on demographic variables improves the therapeutic relationship.⁶¹ There is some evidence, however, that perspective and attitude convergence and positive complementarity are associated with higher ratings of the relationship and better outcome.^{28,30,31,53,93} Positive complementarity involves both reciprocity in terms of control and correspondence in terms of affiliation.⁸³

Congruence is both a personal characteristic of a therapist (genuineness) and an experiential quality. The experiential quality of the relationship refers to the therapist's ability to reveal productively their experience of being with the patient to the patient. This may be helpful for patients through improving engagement and through the exploration of the relationship.^{27,30}

Summary

The establishment of a good relationship appears to be necessary early in therapy. Patients tend to emphasise the important of therapist warmth and emotional involvement at this stage, while therapists judge the quality of the relationship on patients' active participation and collaboration. Together, these make the primary components of the initial objectives for the relationship: expectancies, intentions and hope. Contextual factors, patient and therapist variables, impact on the roles and frameworks adopted in the relationship, which in turn impact on the development of the relationship. As therapy continues, the relationship becomes an arena in which therapeutic activity is carried out. These relational interventions lead to a deepening of the therapist-patient relationship, but may also lead to misunderstandings and negative reactions. Maintaining the quality of the relationship then involves therapists ensuring they are appropriately responsive to their patients, and repairing any ruptures in the relationship. Maintaining the relationship requires therapists to individualise their responses to specific aspects of patients' needs and relationship styles. In addition, an understanding of their reactions, styles and limitations helps to maintain a good working relationship. The overall objectives in therapy are for patients to be satisfied with their therapeutic relationship and to have formed good working alliances.

Inevitably, this map contains a number of weaknesses. When summarising such a vast literature, inevitably areas will have been neglected. However, attempts to prevent this have been made through the rigorous methods used to identify and summarise the literature. This method, though, has led to a second potential weakness. The map was not based on a specific theory of human interaction or on a specific psychological model. In many ways, however, this is a strength. The model encompasses a number of theoretical approaches. As it stands, it presents a useful tool for teaching and training. It highlights both the complex nature of the client-practitioner relationship, and the possibility of focusing on specific tasks at different points in therapy.

The map is intended as an organising framework, rather than a model to be tested, However, it would be useful to research further the elements within the model and the relationship of these elements to treatment outcome, patient acceptability and differences between therapies.

Chapter 3

Review to identify candidate measures of patient-therapist interaction

Review question

The aim of the review was to identify measures of therapist–patient interactions used in mental health settings, where:

- *measures* refer to a methodology for quantifying aspects of therapist–patient interactions as defined in the conceptual map derived from the scoping exercise
- *therapist* refers to any person delivering a mental health intervention
- *patient* is any person using a mental health service.

Literature searching techniques

The explicit aim was to include all possible relevant literature relating to both studies of therapist–patient interactions and tests/measures of interactions. Therefore, this review involved the search of a diverse range of sources, as described below, to maximise the likelihood of capturing all relevant material.

Search of electronic databases

As there is no single electronic database that is comprehensive enough in either subject or publication format coverage to retrieve all articles relevant to the review question, a range of databases was searched. Electronic databases that were searched included CCTR (Cochrane Controlled Trials Register), CINAHL, EBM Reviews, EMBASE, HAPI (Health & Psychosocial Instruments), HMIC (Health Information Management Consortium, comprising DH-Data, the King's Fund Database and Helmis), MEDLINE, NHS DARE (Database of Assessments of Reviews of Effects), NHS HTA (Health Technology Assessment), PsycINFO, Social Sciences Citation Index and SIGLE.

Development of search strategies

Information experts (MG, SM) from the University of Leeds were employed for the specific purpose of developing search strategies for each of the

electronic databases. As databases differed with regard to subject headings and thesaurus-derived indexing terms, search strategies needed to be created for each database. The general strategy was to combine the search used for the scoping exercise described in Chapter 2 (which was used to develop the conceptual map; the terms from the search strategy rather than the map were used to ensure comprehensive coverage of the area) with a search strategy containing specific descriptors such as 'assessment instruments' and 'tests and measures'. Where electronic databases offered a limit to 'tests and measures' function, this was used in addition to descriptors. This twin-track search strategy increased the possibility of locating material on tests and measures, which would map on to the conceptual map (derived from the scoping review). The search strategies are detailed in Appendix 3. Some databases did not provide the software to support lengthy complex search strategies (SIGLE, HMIC, HAPI, Social Sciences Citation Index). For these databases, terms from the conceptual map were put in as individual free text searches.

Searching other sources

Other non-electronic sources were searched systematically. These included:

- Digests and compendia of published/commercial tests: *Tests in print*, 5th ed.,¹³¹ *Mental measurements yearbook*, 13th ed.,¹³² *Tests*, 4th ed.¹³³ and *Test critiques*.¹³⁴
- Digests and compendia of unpublished/noncommercial tests: Directory of unpublished experimental mental measures, Vol. 7,¹³⁵ Tests in microfiche¹³⁶ and The cumulative index to tests in microfiche.¹³⁷
- Conference proceedings, searched via the Zetoc database.
- Handsearching of key journals: Journal of Counselling Psychology, Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, Psychotherapy Research, Journal of Clinical Psychology and Psychological Assessment. These journals were targeted because they had the most therapist–patient interaction references (from electronic searches) associated with them.

• Reference lists of articles on therapist–patient interaction measures were scanned to increase the likelihood of capturing relevant studies associated with therapist–patient interaction measures.

Selection of measures and associated studies

The searches described above yielded a total of 13,613 references and/or abstracts relating to tests and measures. Two project staff (JCa, KA) sifted through these references and extracted a list of candidate measures using specified inclusion and exclusion criteria. Any measures that seemed to be borderline in terms of the inclusion criteria were put into a 'possibly' file, and then consensus agreement was reached by the two project staff.

Inclusion criteria

A measure was marked for inclusion if it pertained to:

- any patient populations presenting with any mental health problem of a psychological nature (i.e. all people presenting with problems or diagnostic groups, e.g. depression, anxiety, or general)
- any practice settings (i.e. primary, secondary and specialist mental health settings)
- any interventions derived from any theoretical orientation (i.e. all theoretical approaches included, e.g. cognitive-behavioural, psychodynamic, interpersonal)
- any types of study/evidence (according to level of study hierarchy, from I to IV according to NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination 2001 criteria)
- any measure located within the conceptual map of therapist–patient interactions derived from the scoping exercise.

Exclusion criteria

A measure was excluded if:

- it focused on aspects other than the therapist-patient interaction (e.g. family interactions)
- it was non-English language and was associated with non-English-language articles (however, non-English-language versions of Englishlanguage measures were included if Englishlanguage articles were available)
- it focused exclusively on therapist qualities (e.g. skills) or patient qualities (e.g. personality types) without addressing the interaction.

Working list of measures

It was thought strategic to search first the database that would be most likely to yield the greater number of relevant tests and measures. Therefore, PsycINFO was targeted first, as the scope of the database seemed the most pertinent to the subject matter of the review. Then the other main electronic databases (MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, etc.) were searched for any further measures that had not already been identified by previous searches. Figure 2 is a flow diagram, which presents the order in which electronic databases and other sources were searched. The number of measures yielded by each source independently is given (in bold), as well as the running total (regular font). A total of 260 candidate measures was available for review.



FIGURE 2 Sources of therapist-patient interaction measures

Chapter 4

Appraising the psychometric attributes of measures of therapist-patient interaction

Overview

A series of desirable attributes for psychometric instruments was selected from a recent systematic review commissioned by the UK HTA programme.¹³⁸ These were classified under the six broad headings listed in *Box 2*. (A seventh criterion, 'appropriateness', was not used for this review as this criterion was considered more pertinent to the appraisal of outcome measures.)

These headings were used to develop a six-stage psychometric sieve, which was to be applied to the candidate measures. The authors acknowledge that the Fitzpatrick criteria were developed specifically for the appraisal of patient-based outcome measures. In the section 'Use of Fitspatrick criteria' (p. 35) the authors highlight where application of these criteria to the measures should be treated with caution.

Psychometric sieve

An electronic sieve, as described below, was used to determine whether each of the candidate measures had information relating to reliability and validity. This information would enable the measure to be evaluated in terms of a minimum standard for critical appraisal. The standard set was basic information on reliability and validity, information that would enable the measure to proceed to subsequent quality appraisal.

Psychometric sieve 1: evidence relating to reliability

Each measure identified in the review was searched on all available electronic databases for articles pertaining to reliability. The name of the measure was entered along with terms relating to 'reliability' and all components thereof:

[name of measure] and [psychometric propert\$ or reliab\$ or internal consisten\$]

The number of reliability studies was logged for each measure. Measures that had been identified by non-electronic search methods were also searched on the electronic databases. It was feasible that measures that had not been picked up at the content level by electronic search methods might still have reliability and validity information. As a supplement all measures that failed to get through the electronic sieve were handsearched (see 'Limitations of the electronic sieve', below).

All measures that had at least one reliability study associated with them proceeded to the next stage.

Psychometric sieve 2: evidence relating to validity

Electronic searches on all available databases were carried out on measures that had passed the first stage to detect articles pertaining to validity. The name of the measure was entered along with terms relating to 'validity' and all components thereof:

[name of measure] and [valid\$]

A. Reliability	A reliable measure is one that produces consistent results from the same respondents at different times where there exists no evidence of change
B. Validity	The extent to which a measure really measures the concept that it purports to measure
C. Responsiveness	Addresses the question: does the instrument detect changes over time that matter to the patient? It can be discriminative (between individuals) or evaluative (within an individual across time)
D. Acceptability	Addresses the question: is the measure acceptable to users?
E. Feasibility	Is the measure easy to administer and process?
F. Precision	How precise is the measure?

BOX 2 Fitzpatrick criteria

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2008. All rights reserved.

The number of validity studies was logged for each measure. All measures that had at least one validity study associated with them proceeded to successive stages of the psychometric sieve.

Limitations of the electronic sieve

It was found that some articles had failed to pass through the electronic sieve owing to the following factors:

- The abstract/title/keywords did not include the terms relating to reliability and validity as specified in the electronic searches described above; for example, an author may have written about Cronbach's alpha when referring to the reliability of the measure.
- The abstract/title/keywords of an article included terms relating to validity but not reliability, meaning that the measure would not have passed through stage 1 of the psychometric sieve. On closer examination, these articles did in fact address reliability issues that were not specified in searchable fields.

The electronic sieve developed for the review was not precise or inclusive enough. However, searching methods that rely on abstracts being precise or explicit are vulnerable to the detection of false negatives. In such cases, it is essential to do a manual check on 'excluded' measures. Each measure that failed to pass through the first two stages of the electronic sieve (n = 138) was searched on all databases to retrieve the full reference relating to that measure. Each of these abstracts was reread and the measure's status reassessed. In the cases where the abstract did not give adequate information the article was obtained and scanned. Of the 138 measures that originally failed to pass through the electronic sieve, 29 measures were found to satisfy the minimum standard. These 29 measures were combined with the 122 measures that had passed through the electronic sieve to yield a total of 151 measures that satisfied stages 1 and 2 of the electronic sieve.

All measures that failed to pass through stages 1 and 2 of the electronic sieve are listed in Appendix 4.

Excluded measures

Many of the 151 measures (n = 68) were excluded on the basis of the following criteria by two senior research staff. (Some of the exclusion criteria replicate those described in Chapter 3. This is due to certain measures being excluded not on the basis of electronic information (title/abstract), but through closer examination of content.) These measures were not subject to critical appraisal in terms of a minimum and industry standard and are listed in Appendix 5.

- Personality inventories
- satisfaction scales (measuring satisfaction with treatment)
- measures relating to patient/therapist characteristics rather than the interaction
- non-mental health-related measures
- assessment measures
- measures relating to medication adherence
- social psychology measures
- measures relating to therapist techniques (with no reference to the interaction)
- treatment outcome measures
- measures for which key primary articles were unattainable
- qualitative measures.

Applying these exclusion criteria left a total of 83 measures to be considered according to the six criteria of reliability, validity, responsiveness, acceptability, feasibility and precision. Measures that demonstrated adequate reliability and validity were said to meet a minimum standard, and measures that demonstrated adequacy on the remaining four criteria were said to meet an industry standard. The term industry standard derives from literature on outcome measurement and is used to refer to the benchmark set to establish a threshold for acceptable measures in terms of both psychometric properties and clinical utility.^{138,139} Acceptability and feasibility relate to issues of service implementation and userfriendliness, while responsiveness and precision relate to the discriminatory capacity and interpretability of the instrument, respectively. Operational definitions of all six criteria were drawn from key psychometric textbooks and papers and selected for their relevance to the appraisal of therapist-client interaction measures. Table 8 gives examples of component attributes for each of these six domains.

Candidate measures

Eighty-three separate measures were available for further examination, each of which gave basic information on reliability and validity. (Where there was extensive information on each of the parallel forms, e.g. therapist, client, observer, these were treated as separate measures for purposes of data extraction and appraisal.) All references relating to each separate measure were collated and grouped in a bibliographic database.

Criterion	Definition
Reliability Internal reliability Test-retest reliability	As measured by Cronbach's alpha, split-half reliability estimates
Inter-rater reliability	
Validity	
Face validity	The ability of a measure to tap, by item content, an underlying dimension
Content validity	The ability of a measure to tap all the relevant aspects of the attribute it is intending to measure
Concurrent validity	Where a new measure is administered at the same time as a pre-existing one and the two are correlated
Predictive validity	The predictive power of a given measure against some other measure
Construct	Hypotheses are generated and a measure tested to determine whether it actually reflects these prior hypotheses
Convergent	A measure converges with other indications of the same concept
Discriminant	A measure demonstrates low levels of correspondence with a measure that represents another concept
Responsiveness	Addresses the question: does the instrument detect changes over time that matter to the patient?
	It can be discriminative (between individuals) or evaluative (within individual across time)
Acceptability	Addresses the question: is the instrument acceptable to patients?
(to practitioners and	Practicality of administration
patients)	Time taken to complete Length of instrument
	Translations
	Access by ethnic minorities
	Reading age
Feasibility	Is the measure easy to administer and process?
	Cost and burden to administrative staff Electronic/optical scanning options?
	Scoring systems
	Training package
	Training manual
	Support from measure developers FAQ facility
Precision	Interpretability
	Normative data

TABLE 8 Key	psychometric	attributes ¹³⁸
-------------	--------------	---------------------------

The number of references pertaining to each measure ranged from one to 90.

Data extraction

For individual instruments, data were extracted in the following ways: for instruments with fewer than 20 key references, all papers were obtained. Data pertaining to the industry standard were extracted onto a standardised form. Summaries of each of the criteria (A–F) were entered into a Microsoft database and key references addressing each attribute (A–F) were cross-referenced using the relational functions of the database (see next section).

For reasons of practicality and optimal use of resources, abstracts were first consulted where an instrument had 20 or more associated references. Only those references likely to yield data relating to psychometric properties (A–F) were obtained for further inspection. Before data extraction began, all articles were sorted into primary and secondary references. Primary references typically concerned issues of measure development and validation. Secondary references pertained to the use and application of the measure. Primary articles were then selected for data extraction as outlined below. As primary references contained the most relevant psychometric information on the measure, it was considered an optimal use of time and resources to prioritise these for data extraction.

Access database

To aid efficient retrieval and interpretation, extracted data were entered into a Microsoft Access relational database, which had been designed specifically for this project. Use of a relational database, rather than traditional data extraction sheets, meant that data could be easily updated, sorted by specific criteria and combined according to specific requirements using the 'query' facility, which allows data to be viewed, retrieved and analysed in many different ways. To facilitate data entry, viewing and modification, three data entry forms were created. These forms related to data extracted on measures, references, and the interrelationship between references and measures (necessary as one reference could relate to many measures). See Appendix 6 for examples of data entry forms.

Measures section

Data pertaining to the 83 measures reaching 'minimum' standard were entered. This was a summary of data from primary references. The data entered related to the key areas of responsiveness of the measure (e.g. detection of change), acceptability (e.g. time taken to complete, number of items, translations, reading age), feasibility (scoring options and systems, training requirements) and precision (e.g. availability of normative data). The measures section was directly linked to the references section, making available a list of all references relating to a specific measure.

References section

This section contains details of the references for the current literature relative to the subject area and its relation to a specific measure. The information held in this section is primarily objective, specifically the title of the reference, journal, year, volume, authors, type or article [e.g. review, randomised controlled trial (RCT) development, psychometric].

References and measures

This section brings together the information from the previous two sections and is necessary as one reference can relate to many measures and vice versa. This section is the primary data entry point for data extracted from the literature and includes the following subsections:

- Reliability values for internal consistency, interrater reliability, test-retest and split-half reliability, together with the tests used. Any further related information can be added to individual notes sections.
- Details in note form on validity, specifically face, content, criterion, concurrent, predictive and convergent validity, plus factor structure and responsiveness information.
- Populations/practitioners/services: this subsection includes summary information from the literature on the populations with which the measure has been used (e.g. whether information on age, gender, ethnicity is included), by whom it has been used/rater type (e.g. psychiatrist, social worker, counsellors), the theoretical orientation (e.g. cognitivebehavioural, psychodynamic) and the level of service in which it has been used (e.g. NHS, primary, commercial).

Data extractions were conducted by research staff. No formal measure of reliability of data extraction was calculated, but disagreements and queries were resolved by discussion with senior research staff.

Measure summaries

Development of a measure summary sheet

A measure summary sheet (see Appendix 7) was designed to address each of the six psychometric properties (A-F). All information pertaining to these criteria was retrieved from the database and entered on to the summary sheet. To retrieve the information. the data from the three main sections of the database, referred to above, were cross-referenced using the 'query' facility of the relational database. A résumé of the measure was included at the end of each summary sheet to give an indication of the overall status of the measure in relation to how each of the criteria had been addressed. As before, no formal measure of the reliability of data summarising was calculated, but queries and disagreements were resolved by discussion. All summary sheets were checked by senior research staff and sample data summaries were discussed at research meetings to ensure standardisation.
Methods for appraisal of an industry standard

Each measure was critically evaluated using data from primary articles, which had been entered on to the summary sheet. When there was enough evidence for appraisal from primary articles, secondary articles were not consulted. However, for measures that had fewer primary articles associated with them, secondary articles were consulted for further psychometric data. This was to avoid any bias that could favour the older, more established measures, which had been extensively researched. In cases where reviews on a measure were available, these were used for the appraisal.

Two research staff then applied coding instructions for quality assessment to each of the six criteria. This procedure enabled a quality appraisal of each measure, which was reached by consensus between the two staff. The coding instructions for the six criteria are derived from NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination¹⁴⁰ and detailed in *Table 9*. The codings provide a global estimate of each of the criteria. For example, when more than one reliability estimate is supplied, an average is taken. For full details of the psychometric properties of each measure, see Appendix 8.

There was an absence of inter-rater reliability estimates associated with the rating of the therapist–patient interaction measures, therefore limiting assessment of quality. However, as an extension of the work on which this report is based, the authors are currently using a revised coding structure¹⁴¹ and estimating inter-rater reliability for each of the attributes of the coding scheme (for details see the section 'Quality appraisal criteria', p. 33). The attributes and criteria for measuring them incorporate more succinct operational definitions and assessment of the rigour of the study's design methodology in which the measures are used.

Fitzpatrick criteria	Coding	Explanation
Reliability ^a	Adequate	≥0.70
	Partial	≥0.50 < 0.70
	Inadequate	<0.50
	Unknown	Reliability estimates not supplied
Validity	Adequate	≥0.50
	Partial	≥0.30 < 0.50
	Inadequate	<0.30
	Unknown	Validity estimates not supplied
Responsiveness	Adequate	Significant differences found between groups or within individual
	Partial	Non-significant trends found between groups or within individua
	Inadequate	Not addressed
Acceptability	Addressed	All of the components described
	Partially addressed	At least one of the components described
	Not addressed	None of the components described
Feasibility	Addressed	All of the components described
	Partially addressed	At least one of the components described
	Not addressed	None of the components described
Precision	Addressed	All of the components described
	Partially addressed	At least one of the components described
	Not addressed	None of the components described

TABLE 9 Coding instructions for quality assessment

Chapter 5 Results

Primary evidence associated with candidate measures

Table 10 presents a list of all measures that had basic information relating to reliability and validity. The measures are ranked in descending order of the number of primary articles associated with each measure. *Table 11* lists all measures that

had only one primary article associated with them.

Content of candidate measures

Appendix 9 presents a content description of each measure (n = 83) selected for critical appraisal together with associated areas of the conceptual map.

TABLE 10 Number of primary articles associated with candidate measures

Measures	No. of primary references
Core Conflictual Relationship Theme	30
Affective Sensitivity Scale: Form A, C, D, D-80 and E-80	16
Barrett-Lennard Relationship Inventory	16
California Psychotherapy Alliance Scales	15
Counselor Rating Form	10
Working Alliance Inventory	10
Hill Interaction Matrix	9
Carkhuff 1969 Scales	8
Penn Helping Alliance Rating Scale	8
Session Evaluation Questionnaire	8
Counselor Verbal Response Category System	7
Penn Helping Alliance Questionnaire	7
Comprehensive Process Analysis	6
Counselor Rating Form – Short Version	6
Multicultural Counseling Inventory	6
Psychotherapy Process Q Set	6
Truax and Carkhuff 1967 Scales	6
California Therapeutic Alliance Rating System	5
Cross-Cultural Counseling Inventory Revised	5
Truax and Carkhuff Accurate Empathy	5
Counseling Evaluation Inventory	4
Counselor Evaluation Rating Scale	4
Experiencing Scale	4
Hill Interaction Matrix – Form G2	4
Missouri Identifying Transference Scale	4
Vanderbilt Therapeutic Alliance Scale	4
Capacity for Dynamic Process Scale	3
Carkhuff Empathic Understanding	3
Client Attachment to Therapist Scale	3
Client Behavior System	3
Counselor Effectiveness Rating Scale	3
Counsellor Effectiveness Scale	3
Counselor Verbal Response Category System – Revised	3
Group Assessment of Interpersonal Traits	3
Helping Alliance Counting Signs Method	3
Octant Scale Impact Message Inventory	3
Jourard Self-Disclosure Questionnaire	3
Penn Helping Alliance Questionnaire – Revised	3

continued

TABLE 10 Number of primary articles associated with candidate me	easures (cont'd)
--	------------------

Measures	No. of primary references
Therapist Action Scale	3
Vanderbilt Psychotherapy Process Scale: 80 items	3
Agnew Relationship Measure	2
Child Psychotherapy Process Scales	2
Hill Client Verbal Response Category System	2
Integrative Psychotherapy Alliance Scale	2
Program Environment Scale	2
Session Impacts Scale	2
Therapist Representation Inventory	2
Working Alliance Inventory – Short	2

TABLE II Candidate measures with only one primary article

Measure Carkhuff Facilitative Self-Disclosure Carkhuff Immediacy **Client Resistance Scale** Coding the Interaction in Psychotherapy Coherence of the Relationship Theme Counseling Evaluation Inventory - Short Version **Counselor Perception Questionnaire** Empathy Construct Rating Scale: 23 items Empathy Construct Rating Scale: 84 items **Empathy Test** Family Engagement Questionnaire Family Therapeutic Alliance Scale Feminist Self Disclosure Inventory FIRO-B Grief Experience Inventory Helper Behaviour Rating System Helpful Responses Questionnaire Intersession Experience Questionnaire Maslach Burnout Inventory - Client Maslach Burnout Inventory - Therapist Patient Action Scale Psychotherapy Process Inventory Reasons for Ending Treatment Questionnaire Therapeutic Alliance Scales for Children Therapeutic Bond Scales Therapeutic Factors Inventory Therapist Behaviour Scale Truax and Carkhuff Non-Possessive Warmth Truax and Carkhuff Genuineness Vanderbilt Negative Indicators Scale Vanderbilt Negative Indicators Scale - Short Vanderbilt Psychotherapy Process Scale: 44 items

Psychometric properties of candidate measures

Appendix 10 presents a key summary of the core psychometric properties of each measure according to accepted psychometric criteria and coded according to the instructions set out in *Table 9* (p. 23).

Candidate measures by theoretical orientation/discipline and perspective

Table 12 presents the number of measures, grouped according to theoretical orientation and perspective. As some measures have parallel forms, the total number exceeds 83. The figures show that the dominant theoretical orientations are psychodynamic/interpersonal and pantheoretical, and that there is a slight bias towards observer-related measures.

Candidate measures by theoretical orientation and population group

Table 13 presents the number of measures grouped according to theoretical orientation and population group. As some measures relate to more than one population group, the total number exceeds 83. The figures show that the majority of the measures relate to adults.

Measures meeting the minimum standard

Table 14 presents the 43 measures that displayed adequate reliability and validity as specified in

Theoretical orientation/discipline	Therapist completed	Patient completed	Observer rated	Total
Pan-theoretical	8	8	15	31
Psychoanalytic/psychodynamic	7	11	12	30
Counselling	2	3	7	12
Interpersonal	7	3	I	11
Person centred	2	I	4	7
Mental health nursing	2	2	2	6
Not specified	3	2	0	5
Behavioural	0	0	I	I
Feminism	I	0	0	1
Process experiential	0	I	0	I
Psychiatry	I	0	0	I
Systemic	I	0	0	I
Total	34	31	42	107

TABLE 12 Candidate measures grouped according to theoretical orientation and perspective

TABLE 13 Candidate measures grouped according to theoretical orientation and population group

Theoretical orientation/discipline	Adult	Child/adolescent	Therapists	Groups	Families	Total
Pan-theoretical	20	I	2	2	I	26
Psychoanalytic/psychodynamic	19	I	4	0	I	25
Counselling	5	2	4	0	0	11
Interpersonal	I	0	6	2	0	9
Person centred	5	I	I	I	0	8
Not specified	2	I	I	0	0	4
Mental health nursing	2	0	0	0	0	2
Behavioural	I	0	0	0	0	I
Feminism	0	0	I	0	0	I
Process experiential	I	0	0	0	0	I
Psychiatry	0	0	0	0	I	I
Systemic	0	0	0	0	I	I
Total	56	6	19	5	4	90

Table 9. A measure was required to demonstrate at least one aspect of reliability/validity to meet criteria for adequacy. In cases where there was variability across studies ranging from partial to adequate reliability/validity, the measure was termed adequate. This was to avoid bias against measures with a larger research base.

Developing an industry standard for research

Each of these measures was then rated in terms of an industry standard. The twin criteria addressed were:

- 1. acceptability and feasibility
- 2. responsiveness and precision.

Acceptability and feasibility relate to issues of service implementation and user-friendliness,

while responsiveness and precision relate to the quality of the instrument.

For criterion 1 a measure was rated in terms of how many components were addressed on acceptability and feasibility. Acceptability and feasibility each had six components. This was a judgement of the evidence base and amount of information relating to these components (i.e. whether they were addressed) and not a judgement on how acceptable/feasible the measure was. Thresholds were not established to make such evaluative judgements. For criterion 2, a measure was deemed to be adequate if the research evidence indicated that it was either responsive or precise (as set out in the coding instructions in *Table 9*).

Thirty of the measures displayed adequate responsiveness or precision. None of the 43 was fully addressed in terms of acceptability and feasibility evidence; the majority of the measures had three or fewer components described. Measures that had more than three components described on either acceptability or feasibility are highlighted in bold in *Table 14*. These measures may have the potential to be adapted for use in service settings or in research practice settings, in that the authors had addressed the concepts of user-friendliness and service implementation.

TABLE 14 Measures meeting criteria for adequacy on reliability and validity

Measure ID	Name of measure	Α	F	R&P	No. of primary references
BI	Barrett-Lennard Relationship Inventory	4	2	Α	16
C18	Counselor Rating Form	4	2	Α	10
WI	Working Alliance Inventory – Client	2	2	Α	10
H6	Hill Interaction Matrix – Statement by Statement	2	4	Α	9
A2	Affective Sensitivity Scale – Form C	2	2	Α	8
C8	Carkhuff Scales	2	3	Α	8
P4	Penn Helping Alliance Rating Scale	2	4		8
H7	Hill Verbal Counselor Response Category System	2	2	Α	7
P3	Penn Helping Alliance Questionnaire Revised	2	3		7
W3	Working Alliance Inventory – Therapist	2	2	Α	7
C2	California Psychotherapy Alliance Scales – Patient	4	4		6
M3	Multicultural Counseling Inventory	4	2	Α	6
P6	Psychotherapy Process Q-Set	2	4	Α	6
T10	Truax and Carkhuff Scales	2	4		6
CI	California Psychotherapy Alliance Scale – Original	2	3	А	5
C3	California Psychotherapy Alliance Scales – Rater	3	3	А	5
C22	Cross-Cultural Counseling Inventory – Revised	4	2	Α	5
C15	Counseling Evaluation Inventory	3	3	А	4
E4	Experiencing Scale	3	3	А	4
H5	Hill Interaction Matrix – Form G	3	3		4
W2	Working Alliance Inventory – Observer	2	3	А	4
C5	California Therapeutic Alliance Rating System	3	3	А	3
C6	California Therapeutic Alliance Rating System Scales	3	3	А	3
C7	Capacity for Dynamic Process Scale	2	2		3
C10	Client Attachment to Therapist Scale	2	2	А	3
C16	Counselor Effectiveness Rating Scale	2	I		3
GI	Group Assessment of Interpersonal Traits	2	4	Α	3
H3	Helping Alliance Counting Signs Method	3	3	А	3
H8	Hill Counselor Verbal Response Category System – Revised	2	3	А	3
Τ4	Therapist Action Scale	3	3		3
A7	Agnew Relationship Measure	2	2	А	2
11	Integrative Psychotherapy Alliance Scale	3	4		2
S4	Session Impacts Scale	2	2		2
A5	Affective Sensitivity Scale – Forms E-80 and E-A-2	2	2		1
A6	Affective Sensitivity Scale – Form H	2	4	Α	1
CI2	Coding the Interaction in Psychotherapy	3	3	А	1
C19	Counselor Rating Form Short Version	5	2	Α	1
C21	Counselor Perception Questionnaire	3	2		1
EI	Empathy Construct Rating Scale – 23	2	2	А	
E2	Empathy Construct Rating Scale – 84	2	2		l
F3	Feminist Self-Disclosure Inventory	2	3	А	l
P5	Psychotherapy Process Inventory	3	2	A	
Т3	Therapeutic Factors Inventory	2	2	A	i

Chapter 6

Conclusions and recommendations for further research

Conclusions

The conclusions cover issues arising from the scoping review (conceptual), methodological issues and results.

Scoping review

Three developmental processes were identified as necessary for the provision of an effective therapeutic relationship. These are summarised in 'establishing a relationship', 'developing a relationship' and 'maintaining a relationship'.

Key processes involved in establishing what might be called 'mini-outcomes' or 'objectives' for each phase are listed. It is assumed that although these stages develop across therapy, there will also be a cycling through these stages within a therapeutic meeting, or over a number of weeks or months.

Therapist, patient and contextual factors determine the nature of the roles and frameworks within which the therapeutic interactions take place. These, in turn, impact on the processes and outcomes of each phase of the relationship.

Identification of measures

Electronic searches on bibliographic databases identified a total of 241 measures for review and handsearching methods identified a further 19 measures.

A total of 151 measures had information pertaining to reliability and validity as demonstrated by the electronic sieve. Twenty-nine of these measures were incorrectly excluded by the electronic sieve.

Of the 151 measures, 68 were excluded on the basis of their content domain not meeting requirements, leaving a total of 83 measures for critical appraisal.

Critical appraisal of measures

The areas of the conceptual map that received most coverage (i.e. over 50% of measures associated with them) were framework, therapist and patient engagement, roles, therapeutic techniques and threats to the relationship. These areas relate to the three key developmental processes outlined above.

Eighty-six per cent of the measures were developed in the USA. The remaining measures were developed in the UK, Canada, Australia and Germany.

Over one-third of the 83 measures (35%) had only one primary article associated with them.

The majority of the measures were developed within pan-theoretical or psychodynamic/psychoanalytic perspectives, were observer rated and related to adult population groups.

Towards an industry standard

Of the 83 measures matching the content domain, 43 met the minimum standard. A total of 30 measures displayed adequate responsiveness or precision. None of the 43 measures that met the minimum standard was fully addressed in terms of acceptability and feasibility evidence. The majority of these measures had three or fewer components described. Therefore, out of a total of 83 measures matching the content domain, no measure could be said to have met an industry standard.

Recommendations for further research

On the basis of the above findings, the authors make the following observations and recommendations in five areas: policy, priorities and planning of research; accessibility of measures and information relating to them; methodological issues regarding electronic searching; focus of research effort; and developing an industry standard.

Policy, priorities and planning

In contrast to outcomes and outcome measurement, there is currently no driver from policy space that provides a rationale for developing and researching measures of

29

therapist–patient interactions. As such, this area of work lacks a direct link into policy and practice.

The authors recommend that a review of policy documents should be carried out, focusing on the effects of common factors.

The review has shown that it is possible to measure therapist–patient interaction using a wide range of instruments of varying value.

Care should be taken in ensuring that therapist-patient interaction measures are suitable for the context in which they are to be used, by referring to agreed psychometric standards.

Much of the work reviewed lacks any coordinated planning and approach by researchers. There is a need for more systematic research on individual measures to ensure that they meet the wider range of psychometric criteria required to justify their inclusion in research and practice. In the UK, the Department of Health-funded Outcomes Measures Implementations Group has been set up as a forum to discuss issues related to implementation of outcomes measures. At a practice research level, the Clinical Outcomes in Routine Evaluation (CORE) Network is currently evolving a new paradigm of quality evaluation.143 Services comprising the CORE Network collect data from the CORE measures in a supported standardised database (CORE-PC) and donate them to the CORE system trust to develop CORE national research databases. However, there is currently no parallel research network activity for the development of therapist-patient measures.

Building on the recent advances in outcomes measurement activity, specific research networks could be established to provide the necessary capacity and continuity for development and research on a therapist–patient measure (or group of measures) to take place.

Accessibility of measures and information relating to them

Gaining access to information about measures is time-consuming and may lead to a less than optimal decision.

The authors recommend that a website be made available that could house summaries of current research on measures to ease the decision-making process. Gaining access to some of the measures proved difficult, as many are only available from noncommercial sources.

As many measures as possible should be made available from a single source.

Methodological issues regarding electronic searching

The electronic sieve did not correctly classify all measures.

The authors recommend that electronic searching techniques be verified and supplemented by handsearching techniques.

Focus of research effort

Although the core developmental processes outlined in the conceptual map are adequately covered in terms of content, one-third of the measures have only one primary article associated with them.

The authors propose that research activity should prioritise investment in establishing a more robust evidence base for existing measures, rather than attempting to develop new ones. However, where research effort and time are invested in new measures, this should be done strategically and in a planned and coordinated fashion that will serve national policy needs.

The majority of the measures are North American in origin.

The authors recommend that more research activity (as opposed to more measures) in the UK should be allocated to the study and development of process measures to ensure an evidence base attesting to their transportability.

The psychodynamic and pan-theoretical orientations are currently overrepresented. There is a lack of measures relating to non-adult population groups and a bias towards observerrated measures.

The authors suggest that any development of future measures should relate to other theoretical orientations and more diverse population groups, and should focus on therapist and patient perspectives.

Developing an industry standard

Of the additional psychometric criteria defining the industry standard, acceptability and feasibility were not adequately addressed by any measure. The authors recommend that research activity should focus on improving existing measures in terms of acceptability and feasibility issues; in particular, user support from measure developers, translations to enhance access by minority ethnic groups, and web or scanning options. Such moves would ensure that measures could be successfully implemented in practice and research settings.

Chapter 7

Client-practitioner interaction: future directions

Addendum to 2003 report

Since the production of the original 2003 report the authors have taken the work forward in the light of valuable feedback from an American Psychiatric Association (APA) journal. Accordingly, points to consider when reading this report are outlined below.

Terminology

A decision was made to change the terminology from therapist-patient interactions to client-practitioner interactions. The authors believe that this terminology was applicable to and more inclusive of a greater range of therapies and professions.

Quality appraisal criteria

The main issues arising from the application of systematic quality appraisal criteria to the present area are as follows.

Study design and methodology

Some measures with reported high reliability and validity estimates from methodologically poor study designs were termed as having met the minimum standard of psychometric data. The converse of this was the exclusion of many highprofile measures which were lacking in positive validity evidence as a result of having been rigorously tested and examined with more robust study designs. The positive research evidence stipulation was a particular problem in this study relying, as it did, on somewhat crude thresholds and resulting in the exclusion of 26 measures (Table 15) that have been used extensively and productively in the field of process research, as indicated by the number of citations in the Web of Science.

Assessment of primary studies

The authors suggest the introduction of some guidelines for assessment of the primary studies that form the evidence base for appraisal of the

Measure	Author(s), year	Exclusion	Web of Science citations		
		category	All	Excluding self-references	
Affective Sensitivity Scale – A	Campbell, Kagan & Krathwohl, 1971	I	0	0	
Affective Sensitivity Scale – D	Kagan & Schneider, 1987	2	0	0	
Affective Sensitivity Scale – D80	Kagan & Schneider, 1987	2	0	0	
CALPAS – Therapist	Gaston & Marmar, 1991	0	0	0	
Child Psychotherapy Process Scales	Estrada & Russell, 1999	3	2	0	
Client Resistance Scale	Mahalik, 1994	2	I	0	
Coherence of the Relationship Theme	Mitchell, 1995	2	I	0	
Core Conflictual Relationship Theme	Luborsky, 1977	I	61	48	
Counsellor Effectiveness Scale	lvey, 1971	0	0	0	
Counselor Evaluation Rating Scale	Myrick & Kelly, 1971	3	2	2	
Empathy Test	Layton & Wykle, 1990	2	4	4	
Family Engagement Questionnaire	Kroll & Green, 1997	2	0	0	
Family Therapeutic Alliance Scale	Martin & Allison, 1993	3	0	0	
Helper Behaviour Rating System – Modified	Shapiro, Barkham & Irving, 1984	3	0	0	
Helpful Responses Questionnaire	Miller, Hedrick & Orlofsky, 1991	3	2	I	

TABLE 15 Excluded measures

continued

TABLE 15	Excluded	measures	(cont'd)
----------	----------	----------	----------

Measure	Author(s), year		Web of Science citations	
			All	Excluding self-references
Hill Client Verbal Response Category System	Hill, 1986	3	0	0
Intersession Experience Questionnaire	Orlinsky, Geller, Tarragona & Farber, 1993	2	0	0
Maslach Burnout Inventory (Therapist and Client Versions)	Linehan, Cochran, Mar, Levensky & Comtois, 2000	Ι	I	0
Missouri Identifying Transference Scale	Multon, Patton & Kivlighan, 1996	3	4	2
Octant Scale Impact Message Inventory	Keisler, Schmidt & Wagner, 1997	I	I	0
Patient Action Scale	Hoyt, Marmar, Horowitz & Alvarez, 1981	I	I	0
Penn Helping Alliance Questionnaire	Alexander & Luborsky, 1986	0	3	3
Reasons for Ending Treatment Questionnaire	Garcia & Weisz, 2002	3	I	0
Session Evaluation Questionnaire	Stiles, 1980	3	4	4
Session Evaluation Questionnaire – Form 3	Stiles & Snow, 1984	3	6	5
Session Evaluation Questionnaire – Form 4	Stiles, Reynolds, Hardy, Rees, Barkham & Shapiro, 1994	3	4	2
Therapeutic Alliance Scales for Children	Shirk & Saiz, 1992	I.	2	2
Therapeutic Bond Scales	Saunders, Howard & Orlinsky, 1989	I	0	0
Therapist Behavior Scale	Duckro, George & Beal, 1980	3	0	0
Therapist Representation Inventory – Therapist Embodiment Scale	Geller, Cooley & Hartley, 1981	Ι	2	0
Therapist Representation Inventory – Therapist Involvement Scale	Geller, Cooley & Hartley, 1981	3	2	0
Therapist Representation Inventory – Record of Dreams	Geller, Cooley & Hartley, 1981	0	0	0
Therapist Representation Inventory – Free Response Task	Geller, Cooley & Hartley, 1981	0	0	0
Vanderbilt Negative Indicators Scale	Suh, Strupp & Malley, 1986	I I	I	I
Vanderbilt Negative Indicators Scale – Short	Nergaard & Silberschatz, 1989	3	0	0
Vanderbilt Psychotherapy Process Scale – 80 items	Suh, Strupp & O'Malley, 1986	3	8	6
Vanderbilt Therapeutic Alliance Scale	Hartley & Strupp, 1983	3	4	4
Working Alliance Inventory – Client Short Form	Tracey & Kokotovic, 1989	3	2	I
Working Alliance Inventory – Observer Short Form	Tracey & Kokotovic, 1989	3	2	I
Working Alliance Inventory – Therapist Short Form	Tracey & Kokotovic, 1989	3	3	2

measures. At present, several checklists are available for the quality appraisal of both randomised and non-randomised studies of interventions.¹⁴⁴ However, there is a current gap in the literature for an equivalent tool for the assessment of primary studies associated with measures. In response to APA reviews, the authors have identified and adapted review criteria formulated by the Scientific Advisory Committee of the Medical Outcomes Trust. These criteria

34

pertain to key attributes of health status and quality of life instruments (e.g. reliability, validity, responsiveness, interpretability, respondent and administrative burden, cultural and language adaptations). The criteria against which the instruments/measures are reviewed on these attributes are much more closely linked to the rigour of the study in which the measures are used. A copy of this rating tool is found in *Figure 3*. Although these criteria are at the piloting stage, they may be used as a starting point for reviewing therapist–patient interaction measures.

Meta-analytic procedures for measures

In conventional systematic reviews, each trial might be associated with one or more papers (with the usual number being one), whereas in the current context, a number of measures was associated with multiple papers. There was also a difference in terms of the impact of multiple studies: each RCT of effectiveness adds to knowledge of the effect of a treatment, by changing the estimate of the effect size and the confidence interval through meta-analysis. This was not the case with data on issues such as reliability, where continued demonstrations did not add to knowledge of the instrument's reliability, but reflected the size of the literature associated with the instrument. At present, there is no direct analogue of the process of meta-analysis with measures rather than interventions. However, there is an evolving technology of the systematic review of diagnostic and rating instruments used in psychological assessment,¹⁴⁵ and the techniques of meta-analysis and systematic review have more recently been applied to the performance of depression rating scales,¹⁴⁶ although in this case such scales can be compared on a common standard (i.e. case finding). Appropriate systematic appraisal criteria for process measures would complement and counterbalance this evolving literature. The authors anticipate that use of the new rating tool (Figure 3) may be an important first step towards achieving this.

Use of Fitzpatrick criteria

The Fitzpatrick criteria were developed specifically for the appraisal of patient-based outcome measures. Areas where such criteria may be problematic for the assessment of client–practitioner interaction measures identified in the present study are described below.

Validity issues

Validity is multifaceted, concerning the ways in which a measure demonstrates that it assesses what it purports to assess. There needs to be an understanding of the way that the process components in psychotherapy operate. For example, process-outcome correlation logic overlooks therapist and client responsiveness to varying client requirements for process components, which is a factor that can cause null findings, responsiveness being inherently non-linear.¹⁴⁷ On this basis, it may be suggested that any criteria should be critically appraised themselves, and informed by relevant debates in the process literature, before being used for purposes of critical appraisal.

Responsiveness

Null findings relating to the ability of an instrument to measure change over sessions could be reflecting the non-linear nature of client and practitioner responsiveness.

Acceptability and feasibility

Acceptability and feasibility were not operationalised sufficiently clearly in the review. This was in part related to the different purpose of process measures in psychotherapy research and practice. Historically, process measures have been used more for in-house research trials and monitoring of therapist skills or therapeutic relationships, rather than benchmarking and dissemination, as is the case with outcome measures. For this reason, the length of the instrument and details on completion time and reading age should not be accorded the same importance in an assessment of a process measure as for an outcome measure being selected for inclusion in a trial where high response rates from patients are essential.

Inter-rater reliability estimates

There was an absence of inter-rater reliability estimates associated with the rating of the client–practitioner interaction measures. The authors are currently using a revised coding structure¹⁴¹ and estimating inter-rater reliability for each of the attributes of the coding scheme (see above and *Figure 3*). The attributes and criteria for measuring them incorporate more succinct operational definitions and assessment of the rigour of the study's design methodology in which the measures are used.

Reliability: internal consistency			Yes = I No = 0 9 = Unable to determine N/A = Not applicable to measure
Ι	Methods to collect reliability data		Information on (a) methods of sample accrual and sample size; (b) characteristics of sample; (c) testing conditions; (d) descriptive statistics for the instrument
2	Reliability estimates and standard errors for all score elements (classical test) or standard error of the mean over the range of scale and marginal reliability of each scale (modern item response theory)		
3	Data to calculate reliability coefficients or actual calculations or reliability coefficients		
4	Above data for each major population of interest if necessary		e.g. Different language and cultural groups; different diagnostic groups; clinical/non-clinical populations

ID

	ability: reproducibility (inter-rater reliability or te = I No = 0 9 = Unable to determine				
5	Methods employed to collect reliability data		Information on (a) methods of sample accrual and sample size; (b) characteristics of sample; (c) testing conditions; (d) descriptive statistics for the instrument		
6	Well-argued rationale to support the design of the study and the interval between the first and subsequent administration to support the assumption that the population is stable				
7	Information on test-retest reliability and inter- rater reliability based on intraclass correlation coefficients				
8	For item response theory applications: information on the comparability of the item parameter estimates and on measurement precision over repeated administrations				

Valic	lity Yes = I No = 0 9 = Unable to d N/A = not applicable to measure		
9	Rationale supporting the particular mix of evidence presented for the intended cases		
10	Clear description of the methods employed to collect validity data		Information on (a) methods of sample accrual and sample size; (b) characteristics of sample; (c) testing conditions; (d) descriptive statistics for the instrument
11	Composition of the sample used to examine validity (in detail)		
12	Above data for each major population of interest		

FIGURE 3 Rating tool for appraisal of client-practitioner interaction tests and measures

13	For construct validity, present hypotheses tested and data related to the tests	
14	Clear rationale and support for the choice of criteria measures	

	onsiveness (change over time or differences betw I No = 0 9 = Unable to determine	veen groups) N/A = Not applicable to measure
15	Evidence on the changes in scores of the instrument	
16	Longitudinal data that compare a group that is expected to change with a group that is expected to remain stable	
17	Population(s) on which responsiveness has been tested, including the time intervals of assessment, interventions or measures involved in evaluating change, and the populations assumed to be stable	

Acceptability: respondent burden		Yes = I No = 0 9 = Unable to determine N/A = Not applicable to measure		
18	Information on average and range of the time needed to complete the instrument			
19	Information on reading and comprehension level			
20	Information on any special requirements or requests made of respondent			
21	Level of missing data and refusal rates and the reasons for both			
22	Provide evidence that the instrument places no undue physical or emotional strain on the respondent			ion is only applicable to instruments that asive amounts of missing data
23	Indicate when or under what circumstances their instrument is not suitable for respondents			

Feas	ibility: administrative burden	Yes = I N/A = Not	9 = Unable to determine to measure	
24	Information about any resources required for administration of the instrument, such as the need for special or specific computer hardware or software to administer, score or analyse the instrument			

FIGURE 3 Rating tool for appraisal of client-practitioner interaction tests and measures (cont'd)

	For interviewer-administered instruments	
25	Document the average time and range of time required of a trained interviewer to administer the instrument in face-to-face interviews, by telephone or with computer-assisted formats/applications, as appropriate	
26	Indicate the amount of training and level of education or professional expertise and experience needed by administrative staff to administer, score or otherwise use the instrument	
27	Indicate the availability of scoring instructions	

Cultural and language adaptations or translations		Yes = I No = 0 9 = Unable to determine N/A = Not applicable to measure		
28	Describe methods to achieve linguistic equivalence. Steps are (a) at least two forward translations; (b) at least one backward translation to the source language that yields a pooled forward translation; (c) a review of translated versions by lay and expert panels with revisions; (d) field tests to provide evidence of comparability			
29	Describe methods to achieve conceptual equivalence. Steps are (a) assessment of content validity of the measure in each cultural or language group to which the measure is to be applied; (b) item response theory and confirmatory factor analysis to evaluate cross-cultural equivalence through examination of differential item functioning			
30	Identify and explain any significant differences between the original and translated versions			
31	Explain how inconsistencies were reconciled			

Prec	ision (degree to which one can assign easily unde Yes = I No = 0 9 = Unable to determ	rstood meaning to an instrument's quantitative scores) ine $N/A = Not$ applicable to measure
32	Description of the rationale for selection of external criteria or populations for purposes of comparison and interpretability of data	
33	Information regarding the ways in which data from the instrument should be (or have been) reported and displayed	
34	Cite meaningful benchmarks (comparative or normative data) to facilitate interpretation of the scores	

FIGURE 3 Rating tool for appraisal of client-practitioner interaction tests and measures (cont'd)

Conclusions and recommendations

The hallmark of systematic reviews is an adherence to a set of agreed procedures supported by documentation detailing the decision-making process. Such procedures have been pivotal in developing the rigour for the discipline. In the authors' opinion, there needs to be a similar priority given to the development of client–practitioner interaction measures and a detailed protocol for doing this, and researchers need to establish an agenda to shape up measure research in order for it to emulate the rigour of a systematic approach.

Because the application of systematic review techniques to rating instruments is still very recent, there should be some caution in how the current inventory of measures is used. Although the measures in Table 14 (p. 28) have been critically appraised and found to have met the minimum standard of psychometric data, if the measure has not been cited at least once in the Web of Science (excluding self-citations), a larger research evidence base may be needed before it can be used with confidence as a research tool. Although this should be part of the process of methodologically rigorous research, this recommendation is being drawn up in an effort to offset the tendency noted earlier for new, underused measures to populate the field of research into client-practitioner interactions.

The reader is also referred to the list of excluded measures (*Table 15*), paying particular attention to the reason for exclusion and the number of times

the measure has been cited in the Web of Science. The authors would advise that all measures that have been excluded on the positive evidence on validity rule, but which have been cited at least once in the Web of Science (excluding selfcitations) are worthy of consideration.

Some common problems were identified associated with applying systematic appraisal criteria to client–practitioner interaction measures. In the authors' opinion, a tool needs to be developed to assess primary studies associated with measures, akin to tools currently available for appraisal of intervention studies. Such a move would ensure that practitioners and researchers involved in therapeutic activities could have more confidence in the use and selection of client–practitioner interaction measures.

With regard to the field of client-practitioner interactions, it is essential that measures are subject to quality-control procedures. When aggregating results across studies it is necessary not only that the same measures are used, but also that these measures have been proven to meet established, acceptable criteria. The importance of client-practitioner interaction research lies in its ability to determine and delineate the change mechanisms in therapy due to the therapeutic relationship. Using measures that do not meet criteria has implications for hypothesis testing in this field. The use of psychometrically sound measures will ensure that researchers and practitioners will be able to determine reliably what leads to outcome and will enable new models of therapy to be successfully developed.

Acknowledgements

This project was funded by the NIHR HTA R&D Programme (project number 06/90/05).

The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the funder.

Contribution of authors

Jane Cahill (Research Officer) managed the research project and had a primary role in the drafting and critical revision of the manuscript at all stages. Michael Barkham (Professor of Counselling and Clinical Psychology) contributed to the writing and critical reworking of the draft at all stages of development and was responsible for the general supervision of the research group. Gillian Hardy (Professor of Clinical and Occupational Psychology) developed and wrote up the conceptual map. Simon Gilbody (Senior Lecturer in Psychiatry) oversaw methodological aspects of the project including literature searches and application of systematic review techniques. David Richards (Professor of Mental Health Nursing) contributed to the development of the conceptual map and its validation. Peter Bower (Senior Research Fellow) contributed to the development of the conceptual map and its validation. Kerry Audin (Research Officer) corated the measures and assisted in the writing and critical revision of the manuscript, including its preparation for submission. Janice Connell (Research Officer) developed the Access Database used in the data extraction of the measures.

Other personnel

Clive Adams (Professor of Mental Health Services Research, University of Leeds) was a grantholder on the project and contributed to the development of the scoping searches. Linda Gask (Reader in Psychiatry and Primary Care,

University of Manchester) was a grantholder on the project and provided advice on the interim report. Kate Bonsall (Research Assistant, University of Leeds) data extracted and conducted qualitative data analysis on articles in the preparation of the conceptual map, conducted data extraction on the articles relating to the measures and summarised psychometric information on the measures. Kanan Pandya (Research Assistant, University of Leeds) data extracted and conducted qualitative data analysis on articles in the preparation of the conceptual map. Clare Doherty (Research Assistant, University of Leeds) conducted data extraction on the articles relating to the measures and summarised psychometric information on the measures. Helen Ashworth (Clerical Support, University of Leeds) provided administrative support on the project. Martin Gill (Faculty Team Librarian, University of Leeds) assisted in the design of electronic search strategies. Susan Mottram (Faculty Team Librarian, University of Leeds) assisted in the design of electronic search strategies.

Papers published elsewhere relating to this research

Hardy GE, Cahill J, Barkham M. Models of the therapeutic relationship and prediction of outcome: a research perspective. In Gilbert P, Leahy RL, editors. *The therapeutic relationship in the cognitive behavioural psychotherapies*. London: Routledge; 2007. pp. 24–42.

Richards A, Barkham M, Cahill J, Richards D, Williams C, Heywood P. PHASE: a randomised, controlled trial of supervised self-help cognitive behavioural therapy in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2003;**53**:764–70.



- 1. Department of Health. *National Service Framework* for Mental Health. London: Department of Health; 1999.
- Geddes J, Freemantle N, Mason J, Eccles P, Boynton J. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) for depression (Cochrane Review). In: *The Cochrane Library*. Oxford: Update Software; 2001.
- 3. Roth A, Fonagy P. What works for whom? A critical review of psychotherapy research. 2nd ed. New York: Guilford Press; 2002.
- 4. Department of Health. *Treatment choice in psychological therapies and counselling: evidence based clinical practice guidelines*. London: Department of Health; 2001.
- 5. Chambless DL, Ollendick T. Empirically supported psychological interventions: controversies and evidence. *Ann Rev Psychol* 2001;**52**:685–716.
- Lambert MJ. Psychotherapy outcome research: implications for integrative and eclectical therapists. In Norcross C, Goldfried M, editors. *Handbook of psychotherapy integration*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1992. pp. 94–129.
- 7. Wampold BE. *The great psychotherapy debate: models, methods, and findings*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 2001.
- 8. Rosenzweig S. Some implicit common factors in diverse methods of psychotherapy: 'At last the dodo said, "Everybody has won and all must have prizes."' *Am J Orthopsychiatry* 1936;**6**:412–15.
- 9. Frank JD, Frank JB. *Persuasion and healing: a comparative study of psychotherapy*. 3rd ed. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press; 1991.
- Stiles WB, Shapiro DA, Elliott R. 'Are all psychotherapies equivalent?' *Am Psychol* 1986; 41:165–80.
- 11. Elkin I. The NIMH Treatment of Depression Collaborative Research Program: where we began and where we are. In Bergin A, Gardfield S, editors. *Handbook of psychotherapy and behavior change*. 4th ed. New York: Wiley; 1994. pp. 114–42.
- 12. Horvath AO, Greenberg LS. *The working alliance: theory, research, and practice.* New York: Wiley; 1994.
- Hughes J, Hardy G, Kendrick D. Assessing adult attachment status with clinically-orientated interviews: a brief report. *Br J Med Psychol* 2000; 73:279–83.
- 14. Agnew RM, Harper H, Shapiro DA, Barkham M. Resolving a challenge to the therapeutic

relationship: a single-case study. Br J Med Psychol 1994;67:155–70.

- 15. Hardy GE, Aldridge J, Davidson C, Rowe C, Reilly S, Shapiro DA. Therapist responsiveness to client attachment styles and issues observed in client-identified significant events in psychodynamic-interpersonal psychotherapy. *Psychother Res* 1999;**9**:36–53.
- Hardy GE, Cahill J, Shapiro DA, Barkham M, Rees A, Macaskill N. Client interpersonal and cognitive styles as predictors of response to timelimited cognitive therapy for depression. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2001;69:841–5.
- 17. Department of Health. *Organising and delivering psychological therapies*. London: Department of Health; 2004.
- National Institute for Mental Health in England. Outcomes measures implementation: best practice guidance. London: Department of Health; 2005.
- Hill C, Lambert M. Methodological issues in studying psychotherapy processes and outcomes. In Lambert M, editor. *Bergin and Garfield's handbook of psychotherapy and behavior change*, 5th ed. New York: John Wiley; 2004.
- 20. Strupp H. Psychotherapy: clinical, research and theoretical issues. New York: Jason Aronsson; 1973.
- 21. Kiesler D. *The process of psychotherapy*. Chicago, IL: Aldine; 1973.
- 22. Barkham M, Agnew RM, Culverwell A. The California Psychotherapy Alliance Scales: a pilot study of dimensions and elements. *Br J Med Psychol* 1993;**66**:157–65.
- 23. Agnew-Davies R, Stiles WB, Hardy GE, Barkham M, Shapiro DA. Alliance structure assessed by the Agnew Relationship Measure (ARM). *Br J Clin Psychol* 1998;**37**:155–72.
- 24. Huppert JD, Bufka LF, Barlow DH, Gorman JM, Shear MK, Woods SW. Therapists, therapist variables, and cognitive-behavioral therapy outcome in a multicenter trial for panic disorder. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2001;**69**:747–55.
- 25. Beutler LE. Empirically based decision making in clinical practice. *Prev Treat* 2000;**3**:1–17.
- Horvath A, Bedi R. The alliance. In Norcoss J, editor. *Psychotherapy relationships that work: therapist contributions and responsiveness to patients*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2002. pp. 37–69.
- 27. Campbell TW. Systemic therapies and basic research. J Systemic Therapies 1996;15:15–39.

- Bachelor A, Horvath A. The therapeutic relationship. In Hubble MA, Duncan BL, Miller SD, editors. *The heart and soul of change: what works in therapy*. Washington DC: American Psychological Association; 1999. pp. 133–78.
- Bohart AC, Elliott R, Greenberg LS, Watson JC. Empathy. In Norcoss J, editors. *Psychotherapy* relationships that work: therapist contributions and responsiveness to patients. Oxford: University Press; 2002. pp. 89–108.
- 30. Whiston SC, Coker JK. Reconstructing clinical training: implications from research. *Counsel Educ Supervis* 2000;**39**:228–53.
- Friedlander ML, Tuason MT. Processes and outcomes in couples and family therapy. In Brown S, Lent R, editors. *Handbook of counseling psychology*. 3rd ed. New York: Wiley 2000. pp. 797–824.
- Carr M, Robinson GE. Fatal attraction: the ethical and clinical dilemma of patient-therapist sex. *Can J Psychiatry* 1990;**35**:122–7.
- Gelso CJ, Carter JA. The relationship in counseling and psychotherapy: components, consequences, and theoretical antecedents. *Counsel Psychol* 1985;13:155–243.
- Tryon GS, Winograd G. Goal consensus and collaboration. *Psychother Theory Res Pract Train* 2001;**38**:385–9.
- Mallinckrodt B. Attachment, social competencies, social support, and interpersonal process in psychotherapy. *Psychother Res* 2000;10:239–66.
- 36. Luborsky L. Theory and technique in dynamic psychotherapy: curative factors and training therapists to maximize them. *Psychother Psychosom* 1990;**53**:50–7.
- Russell RL, Shirk SR. Child psychotherapy process research. In Ollenddisk TH, Prinz RJ, editors. *Advances in clinical child psychology*, Vol. 20. New York: Plenum Press. pp. 93–124.
- Waldinger RJ. Intensive psychodynamic therapy with borderline patients: an overview. *Am J Psychiatry* 1987;144:267–74.
- Heitler JB. Preparatory techniques in initiating expressive psychotherapy with lower-class, unsophisticated patients. *Psychol Bull* 1976; 83:339–52.
- 40. Kolden GG, Howard KI, Maling MS. The counseling relationship and treatment process and outcome. *Counsel Psychol* 1994;**22**:82–9.
- 41. Ogrodniczuk JS, Piper WE. Use of transference interpretations in dynamically oriented individual psychotherapy for patients with personality disorders. *J Pers Disord* 1999;**13**:297–311.
- 42. Sweet AA. The therapeutic relationship in behavior therapy. *Clin Psychol Rev* 1984;**4**:253–72.

- Wilson GT. Clinical issues and strategies in the practice of behavior therapy. *Ann Rev Behav Ther Theory Pract* 1984;10:302.
- 44. Garfield SL. What are the therapeutic variables in psychotherapy? *Psychother Psychosom* 1974;**24**:372–8.
- 45. Winston A, Muran JC. Common factors in the time-limited psychotherapies. *American Psychiatric Press Review of Psychiatry* 1996;15:43–68.
- 46. Puskar KR, Hess MR. Considerations of power by graduate student nurse psychotherapists: a pilot study. *Issues Ment Health Nurs* 1986;8:51–61.
- 47. Nathan R. Scientific attitude to 'difficult' patients. *Br J Psychiatry* 1999;**175**:876–886.
- 48. Bordin ES. The generalizability of the psychoanalytic concept of the working alliance. *Psychother Theory Res Pract* 1979;**16**:252–60.
- 49. Everly G Jr. Personologic alignment and the treatment of posttraumatic distress. *Int J Emerg Ment Health* 2001;**3**:171–7.
- 50. Bell D. Ethical issues in the prevention of suicide in prison. *Aust NZ J Psychiatry* 1999;**33**:723–8.
- 51. Blagys MD, Hilsenroth MJ. Distinctive features of short-term psychodynamic-interpersonal psychotherapy: a review of the comparative psychotherapy process literature. *Clin Psychol Sci Pract* 2000;**7**:167–88.
- 52. Blos P Jr. Silence: a clinical exploration. *Psychoanal Q* 1972;**41**:348–63.
- 53. Crastnopol M. Convergence and divergence in the characters of analyst and patient: Fairbairn treating Guntrip. *Psychoanal Psychol* 2001;**18**:120–36.
- 54. Dattner R. On the death of the analyst: a review. *Contemp Psychoanal* 1989;**25**:419–27.
- Dozier M, Tyrrell C. The role of attachment in therapeutic relationships. In Simpson JA, Rholes WS, editors. *Attachment theory and close relationships*. New York: Guilford Press; 1998. pp. 221–48.
- Enns CZ, Campbell J, Courtois CA. Recommendations for working with domestic violence survivors, with special attention to memory issues and posttraumatic processes. *Psychotherapy* 1997;34:459–77.
- 57. Harrison DK. Race as a counselor–client variable in counseling and psychotherapy: a review of the research. *Counsel Psychol* 1975;**5**:124–33.
- Pope KS. Therapists' sexual feelings and behaviors: research, trends, and quandaries. In Szuchman LT, Muscarella F, editors. *Psychological perspectives on human sexuality*. New York: Wiley, 2000. pp. 603–58.
- 59. Schaverien J. Desire and the female analyst. *J Analytic Psychol* 1996;**41**:261–87.
- 60. Stockman AF, Green-Emrich A. Impact of therapist pregnancy on the process of counseling

44

and psychotherapy. *Psychotherapy* 1994; **31**:456–62.

- 61. Vaughan SC, Roose SP. Patient–therapist match: revelation or resistance? *J Am Psychoanalyt Assoc* 2000;**48**:885–900.
- 62. Wilson JM. The value of touch in psychotherapy. *Am J Orthopsychiatry* 1982;**52**:65–72.
- 63. Claiborn CD, Goodyear RK, Horner PA. Feedback. *Psychother Theory Res Pract Train* 2001;**38**:401–5.
- 64. Crits-Christoph P, Connolly MB. Alliance and technique in short-term dynamic therapy. *Clin Psychol Rev* 1999;**19**:687–704.
- Beutler LE, Sandowicz M. The counseling relationship: what is it? *Counsel Psychol* 1994; 22:98–103.
- 66. Davis DM. Review of the psychoanalytic literature on countertransference. *Int J Short Term Psychother* 1991;**6**:131–43.
- 67. Keijsers GPJ, Schaap CPDR, Hoogduin CAL. The impact of interpersonal patient and therapist behavior on outcome in cognitive-behavioral therapy: a review of empirical studies. *Behav Modif* 2000;**24**:264–97.
- Orlinsky DE, Howard KI. The psychological interior of psychotherapy: explorations with the Therapy Session Reports. In Greenberg LS, Pinsof WM, editors. *The psychotherapeutic process: a research handbook*. Guilford Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy Series. New York: Guilford Press; 1986. pp. 477–501.
- Binik YM, Cantor J, Ochs E, Meana M. From the couch to the keyboard: psychotherapy in cyberspace. In Kiester S, editor. *Culture of the internet*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 1997:71–100.
- Guy JD, Souder JK. Impact of therapists' illness or accident on psychotherapeutic practice: review and discussion. *Prof Psychol Res Pract* 1986;17:509–13.
- Folman RZ. Therapist–patient sex: attraction and boundary problems. *Psychotherapy* 1991;28:168–73.
- 72. Ross MB. Discussion of similarity of client and therapist. *Psychol Rep* 1977;**40**:699–704.
- 73. Corrigan JD, Dell DM, Lewis KN, Schmidt LD. Counseling as a social influence process: a review. *J Counsel Psychol* 1980;**27**:395–441.
- 74. Taylor BJ, Wagner NN. Sex between therapists and clients: a review and analysis. *Prof Psychol* 1976;**7**:593–601.
- Binder JL, Strupp HH. 'Negative process': a recurrently discovered and underestimated facet of therapeutic process and outcome in the individual psychotherapy of adults. *Clin Psychol Sci Pract* 1997;4:121–39.
- 76. Harris AHS. Incidence and impacts of psychotherapists' feelings toward their clients:

a review of the empirical literature. *Counsel Psychol* Q 1999;**12**:363–75.

- Ackerman SJ, Hilsenroth MJ. A review of therapist characteristics and techniques negatively impacting the therapeutic alliance. *Psychotherapy* 2001;**38**:171–85.
- McLennan J. Improving our understanding of therapeutic failure: a review. *Counsel Psychol Q* 1996;9:391–7.
- Warwar S, Greenberg LS. Advances in theories of change and counseling. In Brown SD, Lent RW, editors. *Handbook of counseling psychology*. New York: Wiley; 2000. pp. 571–600.
- Lane RC. The difficult patient, resistance, and the negative therapeutic reaction: a review of the literature. *Curr Issues Psychoanalyt Pract* 1984;1:83–106.
- Safran JD, Muran JC, Samstag LW, Stevens C. Repairing alliance ruptures. *Psychother Theory Res Pract Train* 2001;38:406–12.
- 82. Saketopoulou A. The therapeutic alliance in psychodynamic psychotherapy: theoretical conceptualizations and research findings. *Psychotherapy* 1999;**36**:329–42.
- 83. Hill CE, Williams EN. *The process of individual therapy*. New York: Wiley; 2000. pp. 670–710.
- 84. Crits-Christoph P. The interpersonal interior of psychotherapy. *Psychother Res* 1998;**8**:1–16.
- Ens IC. An analysis of the concept of countertransference. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs* 1998;12:273–81.
- Van Wagoner SL, Gelso CJ, Hayes JA, Diemer R. Countertransference and the reputedly excellent psychotherapist. *Psychother Theory Res Pract* 1991;28:411–21.
- Stiles WB, Honos-Webb L, Surko M. Responsiveness in psychotherapy. *Clin Psychol Sci Pract* 1998;5:439–58.
- Sexton L. Vicarious traumatisation of counsellors and effects on their workplaces. *Br J Guid Counsell* 1999;**27**:393–403.
- 89. Safran JD, Crocker P, McMain S, Murray P. Therapeutic alliance rupture as a therapy event for empirical investigation. *Psychother Theory Res Pract Train* 1990;**27**:154–65.
- 90. Safran JD, Muran JC, Samstag LW. Resolving therapeutic alliance ruptures: a task analytic investigation. In Horvath AO, Greenberg LS, editors. *The working alliance: theory, research, and practice*. Wiley Series on Personality Processes. New York: Wiley; 1994. pp. 225–55.
- 91. McGuire R, McCabe R, Priebe S. Theoretical frameworks for understanding and investigating the therapeutic relationship in psychiatry. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2001;**36**:557–64.

- Veldhuis CB. The trouble with power. Women & Therapy 2001;23:37–56.
- Reis BF, Brown LG. Reducing psychotherapy dropouts: maximizing perspective convergence in the psychotherapy dyad. *Psychotherapy* 1999; 36:123–36.
- Blackwell B. From compliance to alliance: a quarter century of research. In Blackwell B, editor. *Treatment compliance and the therapeutic alliance. Chronic mental illness*, Vol. 5. Amsterdam: Harwood; 1997. pp. 1–15.
- 95. Bernstein DM. Therapist–patient relations and ethnic transference. In Tseng W-S, Streltzer J, editors. *Culture and psychotherapy: a guide to clinical practice*. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Press; 2001. pp. 103–21.
- 96. Norcoss J. Psychotherapy relationships that work: therapist contributions and responsiveness to patients. New York: Oxford University Press; 2002.
- 97. Marziali E, Alexander L. The power of the therapeutic relationship. *Am J Orthopsychiatry* 1991;**61**:383–91.
- 98. Truax CB, Carkhuff RR. Toward effective counseling and psychotherapy. Chicago, IL: Aldine; 1967.
- Kilmann PR, Scovern AW, Moreault D. Factors in the patient–therapist interaction and outcome: a review of the literature. *Compr Psychiatry* 1979; 20:132–46.
- Beutler LE, Clarkin JF. Systematic treatment selection: toward targeted therapeutic interventions. New York: Brunnerr/Mazel; 1990.
- Gardner GG. The psychotherapeutic relationship. *Psychol Bull* 1964;61:426–37.
- 102. Henry WP, Strupp HH. The therapeutic alliance as interpersonal process. In: Horvath AO, Greenberg LS, editors. *The working alliance: theory, research, and practice.* Wiley Series on Personality Processes. New York: Wiley; 1994. pp. 51–84.
- 103. Horvath AO. The therapeutic relationship: from transference to alliance. *In Session: Psychotherapy in Practice* 1995;**1**:7–18.
- Peltzer K. An integrative model for ethnocultural counseling and psychotherapy of victims of organized violence. *J Psychother Integration* 2001; 11:241–62.
- 105. Ilardi SS, Craighead WE. The role of nonspecific factors in cognitive-behavior therapy for depression. *Clin Psychol Sci Pract* 1994;1:138–56.
- 106. Westrich CA. Art therapy with culturally different clients. *Art Ther* 1994;**11**:187–90.
- 107. Goddard K. Morita therapy: a literature review. Transcult Psychiatr Res Rev 1991;28:93–115.
- 108. Kessel P, McBrearty JF. Values and psychotherapy: a review of the literature. *Percept Motor Skills* 1967;**25**:669–90.

- 109. Grame CJ, Tortorici JS, Healey BJ, Dillingham JH, Winklebaur P. Addressing spiritual and religious issues of clients with a history of psychological trauma. *Bull Menninger Clin* 1999;**63**:223–39.
- 110. Campbell TW. Sexual predator evaluations and phrenology: considering issues of evidentiary reliability. *Behav Sci Law* 2000;**18**:111–30.
- Hurley AD. Individual psychotherapy with mentally retarded individuals: a review and call for research. *Res Dev Disabil* 1989;10:261–75.
- 112. Gartner RB. Considerations in the psychoanalytic treatment of men who were sexually abused as children. *Psychoanal Psychol* 1997;**14**:13–41.
- Zinberg NE. The private versus the public psychiatric interview. *Am J Psychiatry* 1985; 142:889–94.
- 114. Sexton TL, Whiston SC. The status of the counseling relationship: an empirical review, theoretical implications, and research directions. *Counsel Psychol* 1994;**22**:6–78.
- 115. Margolese HC. Engaging in psychotherapy with the orthodox Jew: a critical review. *Am J Psychother* 1998;**52**:37–53.
- 116. Spector R. Is there a racial bias in clinicians' perceptions of the dangerousness of psychiatric patients? A review of the literature. *J Ment Health* 2001;**10**:5–15.
- 117. Draguns JG. Abnormal behavior patterns across cultures: implications for counseling and psychotherapy. *Int J Intercult Relat* 1997;**21**:213–48.
- 118. Flaskas C. Engagement and the therapeutic relationship in systemic therapy. *J Fam Ther* 1997;**19**:263–82.
- 119. Dyche L, Zayas LH. Cross-cultural empathy and training the contemporary psychotherapist. *Clin Soc Work J* 2001;**29**:245–58.
- Reimers S. Understanding alliances. How can research inform user-friendly practice? *J Fam Ther* 2001;**23**:46–62.
- Haldane D, Vincent C. Threesomes in psychodynamic couple psychotherapy. Sex Marital Ther 1998;13:385–96.
- 122. Mahrer AR, Gervaize PA. An integrative review of strong laughter in psychotherapy: what it is and how it works. *Psychotherapy* 1984;**21**:510–16.
- 123. Shackelford JF. Affairs in the consulting room: a review of the literature on therapist–patient sexual intimacy. *Private Pract* 1989;**8**:26–43.
- 124. Morris RJ, Nicholson J. The therapeutic relationship in child and adolescent psychotherapy: research issues and trends. In Kratochwill TR, Morris RJ, editors. *Handbook of psychotherapy with children and adolescents*. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon; 1993. pp. 405–25.

- 125. Schamess G. Reflections on intersubjectivity. *Smith College Studies in Social Work* 1999;**69**:188–200.
- 126. Kemp R, David A. Insight and compliance. In Blackwell B, editor. *Treatment compliance and the therapeutic alliance. Chronic mental illness.* Vol. 5. Amsterdam: Harwood; 1997. pp. 61–84.
- 127. Lambert MJ. The individual therapist's contribution to psychotherapy process and outcome. *Clin Psychol Rev* 1989;**9**:469–85.
- Schlesinger HJ, Appelbaum AH. When words are not enough. *Psychoanalyt Ing* 2000;20:124–43.
- Hoffman IZ. The patient as interpreter of the analyst's experience. *Contemp Psychoanal* 1983; 19:389–422.
- 130. Kirby SD. The development of a conceptual framework of therapeutic alliances in psychiatric (nursing) care delivery. In Landsberg G, Smiley A, editors. Forensic mental health: working with offenders with mental illness. Kingston, NJ: Civic Research Institute; 2001. pp. 25-1–8.
- Murphy LL, Impara JC, Plake BS. *Tests in print*. 5th ed. Lincoln, NE: Buros Institute for Mental Measurements; 1999.
- Conoley JC, Kramer JJ, Mitchell JV. Mental measurements yearbook. 13th ed. Lincoln, NE: Buros Institute for Mental Measurements; 1998.
- 133. Maddox T. Tests. 4th ed. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed; 1997.
- 134. Kramer JJ, Conoley JC (editors). *Test critiques*. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed; 1992.
- 135. Goldman BA, Mitchell DF, Egelson PE. Directory of unpublished experimental mental measures. Dubuque, IA: William C. Brown; 1997.
- 136. *Tests in microfiche*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service; 1975 to present.
- 137. *The cumulative index to tests in microfiche*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service; 1975–2000.
- 138. Fitzpatrick R, Davey C, Buxton MJ, Jones DR. Evaluating patient based outcome measures for

use in clinical trials. *Health Technol Assess* 1998;**2**(17).

- Guyatt GH, Kirshner B, Jaeschke R. Measuring health status: what are the necessary measurement properties? *J Clin Epidemiol* 1992;45:1341–5.
- 140. NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Undertaking systematic reviews of research on effectiveness. CRD Report 4. York: University of York; 2001.
- 141. Scientific Advisory Committee of the Medical Outcomes Trust. Assessing health status and quality-of-life instruments: attributes and review criteria. *Qual Life Res* 2002;**11**:193–205.
- Barker C, Pistrang N, Elliot R. Research methods in clinical and counselling psychology. New York: Wiley; 1994.
- 143. Mellor-Clark J, Jenkins AC, Evans R, Mothersole G, McInnes B. Resourcing a CORE Network to develop a National Research Database to help enhance psychological therapy and counselling service provision. *Counsel Psychother Res* 2006;6:16–22.
- 144. Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1998;**52**:377–84.
- 145. Deeks J. Evaluations of diagnostic and screening tests. In Egger M, Davey-Smith J, Altman DG, editors. Systematic reviews in health care. London: BMJ Books; 2000. pp. 248–82.
- 146. Williams JW Jr, Pignone M, Ramirez G, Stellato CP. Identifying depression in primary care: a literature synthesis of case-finding instruments. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2002;**24**: 225–37.
- 147. Stiles WB, Shapiro DA. Disabuse of the drug metaphor: psychotherapy process–outcome correlations. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1994;**62**: 942–8.

This version of HTA monograph volume 12, number 24 does not include the 364 pages of appendices. This is to save download time from the HTA website.

The printed version of this monograph also excludes the appendices.

View/download the appendices (1838 kbytes).

Health Technology Assessment reports published to date

Volume 1, 1997

No. 1

Home parenteral nutrition: a systematic review.

By Richards DM, Deeks JJ, Sheldon TA, Shaffer JL.

No. 2

Diagnosis, management and screening of early localised prostate cancer. A review by Selley S, Donovan J, Faulkner A, Coast J, Gillatt D.

No. 3

The diagnosis, management, treatment and costs of prostate cancer in England and Wales.

A review by Chamberlain J, Melia J, Moss S, Brown J.

No. 4

Screening for fragile X syndrome. A review by Murray J, Cuckle H, Taylor G, Hewison J.

No. 5

A review of near patient testing in primary care.

By Hobbs FDR, Delaney BC, Fitzmaurice DA, Wilson S, Hyde CJ, Thorpe GH, *et al.*

No. 6

Systematic review of outpatient services for chronic pain control. By McQuay HJ, Moore RA, Eccleston C, Morley S, de C Williams AC.

No. 7

Neonatal screening for inborn errors of metabolism: cost, yield and outcome. A review by Pollitt RJ, Green A, McCabe CJ, Booth A, Cooper NJ, Leonard JV, *et al.*

No. 8

Preschool vision screening. A review by Snowdon SK, Stewart-Brown SL.

No. 9

Implications of socio-cultural contexts for the ethics of clinical trials.

A review by Ashcroft RE, Chadwick DW, Clark SRL, Edwards RHT, Frith L, Hutton JL.

No. 10

A critical review of the role of neonatal hearing screening in the detection of congenital hearing impairment.

By Davis A, Bamford J, Wilson I, Ramkalawan T, Forshaw M, Wright S.

No. 11

Newborn screening for inborn errors of metabolism: a systematic review.

By Seymour CA, Thomason MJ, Chalmers RA, Addison GM, Bain MD, Cockburn F, *et al*.

No. 12

Routine preoperative testing: a systematic review of the evidence. By Munro J, Booth A, Nicholl J.

No. 13

Systematic review of the effectiveness of laxatives in the elderly.

By Petticrew M, Watt I, Sheldon T.

No. 14

When and how to assess fast-changing technologies: a comparative study of medical applications of four generic technologies. A review by Mowatt G, Bower DJ,

A review by Mowatt G, Bower DJ Brebner JA, Cairns JA, Grant AM, McKee L.

Volume 2, 1998

No. 1

Antenatal screening for Down's syndrome. A review by Wald NJ, Kennard A, Hackshaw A, McGuire A.

No. 2

Screening for ovarian cancer: a systematic review. By Bell R, Petticrew M, Luengo S, Sheldon TA.

No. 3

Consensus development methods, and their use in clinical guideline development.

A review by Murphy MK, Black NA, Lamping DL, McKee CM, Sanderson CFB, Askham J, *et al*.

No. 4

A cost–utility analysis of interferon beta for multiple sclerosis. By Parkin D, McNamee P, Jacoby A,

Miller P, Thomas S, Bates D.

No. 5

Effectiveness and efficiency of methods of dialysis therapy for end-stage renal disease: systematic reviews.

By MacLeod A, Grant A, Donaldson C, Khan I, Campbell M, Daly C, *et al*.

No. 6

Effectiveness of hip prostheses in primary total hip replacement: a critical review of evidence and an economic model.

By Faulkner A, Kennedy LG, Baxter K, Donovan J, Wilkinson M, Bevan G.

No. 7

Antimicrobial prophylaxis in colorectal surgery: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. By Song F, Glenny AM.

No. 8

Bone marrow and peripheral blood stem cell transplantation for malignancy. A review by Johnson PWM, Simnett SJ, Sweetenham JW, Morgan GJ, Stewart LA.

No. 9

Screening for speech and language delay: a systematic review of the literature.

By Law J, Boyle J, Harris F, Harkness A, Nye C.

No. 10

Resource allocation for chronic stable angina: a systematic review of effectiveness, costs and cost-effectiveness of alternative interventions. By Sculpher MJ, Petticrew M,

Kelland JL, Elliott RA, Holdright DR, Buxton MJ.

No. 11

Detection, adherence and control of hypertension for the prevention of stroke: a systematic review. By Ebrahim S.

No. 12

Postoperative analgesia and vomiting, with special reference to day-case surgery: a systematic review. By McQuay HJ, Moore RA.

No. 13

Choosing between randomised and nonrandomised studies: a systematic review.

By Britton A, McKee M, Black N, McPherson K, Sanderson C, Bain C.

No. 14

Evaluating patient-based outcome measures for use in clinical trials.

A review by Fitzpatrick R, Davey C, Buxton MJ, Jones DR.



Ethical issues in the design and conduct of randomised controlled trials.

A review by Edwards SJL, Lilford RJ, Braunholtz DA, Jackson JC, Hewison J, Thornton J.

No. 16

Qualitative research methods in health technology assessment: a review of the literature.

By Murphy E, Dingwall R, Greatbatch D, Parker S, Watson P.

No. 17

The costs and benefits of paramedic skills in pre-hospital trauma care. By Nicholl J, Hughes S, Dixon S, Turner J, Yates D.

No. 18

Systematic review of endoscopic ultrasound in gastro-oesophageal cancer.

By Harris KM, Kelly S, Berry E, Hutton J, Roderick P, Cullingworth J, et al.

No. 19

Systematic reviews of trials and other studies.

By Sutton AJ, Abrams KR, Jones DR, Sheldon TA, Song F.

No. 20

Primary total hip replacement surgery: a systematic review of outcomes and modelling of cost-effectiveness associated with different prostheses.

A review by Fitzpatrick R, Shortall E, Sculpher M, Murray D, Morris R, Lodge M, *et al.*

Volume 3, 1999

No. 1

Informed decision making: an annotated bibliography and systematic review.

By Bekker H, Thornton JG, Airey CM, Connelly JB, Hewison J,

Robinson MB, et al.

No. 2

Handling uncertainty when performing economic evaluation of healthcare interventions.

A review by Briggs AH, Gray AM.

No. 3

The role of expectancies in the placebo effect and their use in the delivery of health care: a systematic review.

By Crow R, Gage H, Hampson S, Hart J, Kimber A, Thomas H.

No. 4

A randomised controlled trial of different approaches to universal antenatal HIV testing: uptake and acceptability. Annex: Antenatal HIV testing – assessment of a routine voluntary approach.

By Simpson WM, Johnstone FD, Boyd FM, Goldberg DJ, Hart GJ, Gormley SM, *et al.*

No. 5

Methods for evaluating area-wide and organisation-based interventions in health and health care: a systematic review.

By Ukoumunne OC, Gulliford MC, Chinn S, Sterne JAC, Burney PGJ.

No. 6

Assessing the costs of healthcare technologies in clinical trials. A review by Johnston K, Buxton MJ, Jones DR, Fitzpatrick R.

No. 7

Cooperatives and their primary care emergency centres: organisation and impact.

By Hallam L, Henthorne K.

No. 8

Screening for cystic fibrosis. A review by Murray J, Cuckle H, Taylor G, Littlewood J, Hewison J.

No. 9

A review of the use of health status measures in economic evaluation. By Brazier J, Deverill M, Green C, Harper R, Booth A.

No. 10

Methods for the analysis of quality-oflife and survival data in health technology assessment.

A review by Billingham LJ, Abrams KR, Jones DR.

No. 11

Antenatal and neonatal haemoglobinopathy screening in the

UK: review and economic analysis. By Zeuner D, Ades AE, Karnon J,

Brown J, Dezateux C, Anionwu EN.

No. 12

Assessing the quality of reports of randomised trials: implications for the conduct of meta-analyses. A review by Moher D, Cook DJ, Jadad

AR, Tugwell P, Moher M, Jones A, *et al.*

No. 13

'Early warning systems' for identifying new healthcare technologies. By Robert G, Stevens A, Gabbay J.

No. 14

A systematic review of the role of human papillomavirus testing within a cervical screening programme.

By Cuzick J, Sasieni P, Davies P, Adams J, Normand C, Frater A, et al.

No. 15

Near patient testing in diabetes clinics: appraising the costs and outcomes. By Grieve R, Beech R, Vincent J, Mazurkiewicz J.

No. 16

Positron emission tomography: establishing priorities for health technology assessment. A review by Robert G, Milne R.

No. 17 (Pt 1)

The debridement of chronic wounds: a systematic review.

By Bradley M, Cullum N, Sheldon T.

No. 17 (Pt 2)

Systematic reviews of wound care management: (2) Dressings and topical agents used in the healing of chronic wounds.

By Bradley M, Cullum N, Nelson EA, Petticrew M, Sheldon T, Torgerson D.

No. 18

A systematic literature review of spiral and electron beam computed tomography: with particular reference to clinical applications in hepatic lesions, pulmonary embolus and coronary artery disease.

By Berry E, Kelly S, Hutton J, Harris KM, Roderick P, Boyce JC, *et al*.

No. 19

What role for statins? A review and economic model.

By Ebrahim S, Davey Smith G, McCabe C, Payne N, Pickin M, Sheldon TA, *et al*.

No. 20

Factors that limit the quality, number and progress of randomised controlled trials.

A review by Prescott RJ, Counsell CE, Gillespie WJ, Grant AM, Russell IT, Kiauka S, *et al*.

No. 21

Antimicrobial prophylaxis in total hip replacement: a systematic review. By Glenny AM, Song F.

No. 22

Health promoting schools and health promotion in schools: two systematic reviews.

By Lister-Sharp D, Chapman S, Stewart-Brown S, Sowden A.

No. 23

Economic evaluation of a primary carebased education programme for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee.

A review by Lord J, Victor C, Littlejohns P, Ross FM, Axford JS.

Volume 4, 2000

No. 1

The estimation of marginal time preference in a UK-wide sample (TEMPUS) project.

A review by Cairns JA, van der Pol MM.

No. 2

Geriatric rehabilitation following fractures in older people: a systematic review.

By Cameron I, Crotty M, Currie C, Finnegan T, Gillespie L, Gillespie W, *et al.*



Screening for sickle cell disease and thalassaemia: a systematic review with supplementary research.

By Davies SC, Cronin E, Gill M, Greengross P, Hickman M, Normand C.

No. 4

Community provision of hearing aids and related audiology services. A review by Reeves DJ, Alborz A, Hickson FS, Bamford JM.

No. 5

False-negative results in screening programmes: systematic review of impact and implications.

By Petticrew MP, Sowden AJ, Lister-Sharp D, Wright K.

No. 6

Costs and benefits of community postnatal support workers: a randomised controlled trial. By Morrell CJ, Spiby H, Stewart P, Walters S, Morgan A.

No. 7

Implantable contraceptives (subdermal implants and hormonally impregnated intrauterine systems) versus other forms of reversible contraceptives: two systematic reviews to assess relative effectiveness, acceptability, tolerability and cost-effectiveness.

By French RS, Cowan FM, Mansour DJA, Morris S, Procter T, Hughes D, *et al.*

No. 8

An introduction to statistical methods for health technology assessment. A review by White SJ, Ashby D,

Brown PJ.

No. 9

Disease-modifying drugs for multiple sclerosis: a rapid and systematic review.

By Clegg A, Bryant J, Milne R.

No. 10

Publication and related biases. A review by Song F, Eastwood AJ, Gilbody S, Duley L, Sutton AJ.

No. 11

Cost and outcome implications of the organisation of vascular services. By Michaels J, Brazier J, Palfreyman S, Shackley P, Slack R.

No. 12

Monitoring blood glucose control in diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. By Coster S, Gulliford MC, Seed PT, Powrie JK, Swaminathan R.

No. 13

The effectiveness of domiciliary health visiting: a systematic review of international studies and a selective review of the British literature.

By Elkan R, Kendrick D, Hewitt M, Robinson JJA, Tolley K, Blair M, *et al.*

No. 14

The determinants of screening uptake and interventions for increasing uptake: a systematic review.

By Jepson R, Clegg A, Forbes C, Lewis R, Sowden A, Kleijnen J.

No. 15

The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of prophylactic removal of wisdom teeth.

A rapid review by Song F, O'Meara S, Wilson P, Golder S, Kleijnen J.

No. 16

Ultrasound screening in pregnancy: a systematic review of the clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and women's views.

By Bricker L, Garcia J, Henderson J, Mugford M, Neilson J, Roberts T, et al.

No. 17

A rapid and systematic review of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the taxanes used in the treatment of advanced breast and ovarian cancer. By Lister-Sharp D, McDonagh MS, Khan KS, Kleijnen J.

No. 18

Liquid-based cytology in cervical screening: a rapid and systematic review. By Payne N, Chilcott J, McGoogan E.

No. 19

Randomised controlled trial of nondirective counselling, cognitive-behaviour therapy and usual general practitioner care in the management of depression as well as mixed anxiety and depression in primary care.

By King M, Sibbald B, Ward E, Bower P, Lloyd M, Gabbay M, *et al.*

No. 20

Routine referral for radiography of patients presenting with low back pain: is patients' outcome influenced by GPs' referral for plain radiography?

By Kerry S, Hilton S, Patel S, Dundas D, Rink E, Lord J.

No. 21

Systematic reviews of wound care management: (3) antimicrobial agents for chronic wounds; (4) diabetic foot ulceration.

By O'Meara S, Cullum N, Majid M, Sheldon T.

No. 22

Using routine data to complement and enhance the results of randomised controlled trials.

By Lewsey JD, Leyland AH, Murray GD, Boddy FA.

No. 23

Coronary artery stents in the treatment of ischaemic heart disease: a rapid and systematic review.

By Meads C, Cummins C, Jolly K, Stevens A, Burls A, Hyde C.

No. 24

Outcome measures for adult critical care: a systematic review. By Hayes JA, Black NA, Jenkinson C, Young JD, Rowan KM, Daly K, *et al*.

No. 25

A systematic review to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions to promote the initiation of breastfeeding.

By Fairbank L, O'Meara S, Renfrew MJ, Woolridge M, Sowden AJ, Lister-Sharp D.

No. 26

Implantable cardioverter defibrillators: arrhythmias. A rapid and systematic review.

By Parkes J, Bryant J, Milne R.

No. 27

Treatments for fatigue in multiple sclerosis: a rapid and systematic review.

By Brañas P, Jordan R, Fry-Smith A, Burls A, Hyde C.

No. 28

Early asthma prophylaxis, natural history, skeletal development and economy (EASE): a pilot randomised controlled trial.

By Baxter-Jones ADG, Helms PJ, Russell G, Grant A, Ross S, Cairns JA, *et al.*

No. 29

Screening for hypercholesterolaemia versus case finding for familial hypercholesterolaemia: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis.

By Marks D, Wonderling D, Thorogood M, Lambert H, Humphries SE, Neil HAW.

No. 30

A rapid and systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa antagonists in the medical management of unstable angina.

By McDonagh MS, Bachmann LM, Golder S, Kleijnen J, ter Riet G.

No. 31

A randomised controlled trial of prehospital intravenous fluid replacement therapy in serious trauma. By Turner J, Nicholl J, Webber L, Cox H, Dixon S, Yates D.

No. 32

Intrathecal pumps for giving opioids in chronic pain: a systematic review. By Williams JE, Louw G, Towlerton G.

No. 33 Combination therapy (interferon alfa and ribavirin) in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C: a rapid and systematic review.

By Shepherd J, Waugh N, Hewitson P.

A systematic review of comparisons of effect sizes derived from randomised and non-randomised studies.

By MacLehose RR, Reeves BC, Harvey IM, Sheldon TA, Russell IT, Black AMS.

No. 35

Intravascular ultrasound-guided interventions in coronary artery disease: a systematic literature review, with decision-analytic modelling, of outcomes and cost-effectiveness.

By Berry E, Kelly S, Hutton J, Lindsay HSJ, Blaxill JM, Evans JA, et al.

No. 36

A randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness and costeffectiveness of counselling patients with chronic depression.

By Simpson S, Corney R, Fitzgerald P, Beecham J.

No. 37

Systematic review of treatments for atopic eczema.

By Hoare C, Li Wan Po A, Williams H.

No. 38

Bayesian methods in health technology assessment: a review. By Spiegelhalter DJ, Myles JP,

Jones DR, Abrams KR.

No. 39

The management of dyspepsia: a systematic review. By Delaney B, Moayyedi P, Deeks J, Innes M, Soo S, Barton P, *et al.*

No. 40

A systematic review of treatments for severe psoriasis. By Griffiths CEM, Clark CM, Chalmers RJG, Li Wan Po A, Williams HC.

Volume 5, 2001

No. 1

Clinical and cost-effectiveness of donepezil, rivastigmine and galantamine for Alzheimer's disease: a rapid and systematic review.

By Clegg A, Bryant J, Nicholson T, McIntyre L, De Broe S, Gerard K, *et al.*

No. 2

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of riluzole for motor neurone disease: a rapid and systematic review.

By Stewart A, Sandercock J, Bryan S, Hyde C, Barton PM, Fry-Smith A, *et al.*

No. 3

Equity and the economic evaluation of healthcare.

By Sassi F, Archard L, Le Grand J. No. 4

Quality-of-life measures in chronic diseases of childhood.

By Eiser C, Morse R.

No. 5

Eliciting public preferences for healthcare: a systematic review of techniques.

By Ryan M, Scott DA, Reeves C, Bate A, van Teijlingen ER, Russell EM, *et al*.

No. 6

General health status measures for people with cognitive impairment: learning disability and acquired brain injury.

By Riemsma RP, Forbes CA, Glanville JM, Eastwood AJ, Kleijnen J.

No. 7

An assessment of screening strategies for fragile X syndrome in the UK. By Pembrey ME, Barnicoat AJ,

Carmichael B, Bobrow M, Turner G.

No. 8

Issues in methodological research: perspectives from researchers and

commissioners.

By Lilford RJ, Richardson A, Stevens A, Fitzpatrick R, Edwards S, Rock F, et al.

No. 9

Systematic reviews of wound care management: (5) beds; (6) compression; (7) laser therapy, therapeutic ultrasound, electrotherapy and electromagnetic therapy. By Cullum N, Nelson EA, Flemming

K, Sheldon T.

No. 10

Effects of educational and psychosocial interventions for adolescents with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. By Hampson SE, Skinner TC, Hart J,

Storey L, Gage H, Foxcroft D, et al.

No. 11

Effectiveness of autologous chondrocyte transplantation for hyaline cartilage defects in knees: a rapid and systematic review.

By Jobanputra P, Parry D, Fry-Smith A, Burls A.

No. 12

Statistical assessment of the learning curves of health technologies. By Ramsay CR, Grant AM,

Wallace SA, Garthwaite PH, Monk AF, Russell IT.

No. 13

The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of temozolomide for the treatment of recurrent malignant glioma: a rapid and systematic review.

By Dinnes J, Cave C, Huang S, Major K, Milne R.

No. 14

A rapid and systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of debriding agents in treating surgical wounds healing by secondary intention.

By Lewis R, Whiting P, ter Riet G, O'Meara S, Glanville J.

No. 15

Home treatment for mental health problems: a systematic review. By Burns T, Knapp M, Catty J, Healey A, Henderson J, Watt H, *et al.*

No. 16

How to develop cost-conscious guidelines.

By Eccles M, Mason J.

No. 17

The role of specialist nurses in multiple sclerosis: a rapid and systematic review. By De Broe S, Christopher F, Waugh N.

No. 18

A rapid and systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of orlistat in the management of obesity. By O'Meara S, Riemsma R,

Shirran L, Mather L, ter Riet G.

No. 19

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of pioglitazone for type 2 diabetes mellitus: a rapid and systematic review.

By Chilcott J, Wight J, Lloyd Jones M, Tappenden P.

No. 20

Extended scope of nursing practice: a multicentre randomised controlled trial of appropriately trained nurses and preregistration house officers in pre-operative assessment in elective general surgery.

By Kinley H, Czoski-Murray C, George S, McCabe C, Primrose J, Reilly C, *et al*.

No. 21

Systematic reviews of the effectiveness of day care for people with severe mental disorders: (1) Acute day hospital versus admission; (2) Vocational rehabilitation; (3) Day hospital versus outpatient care.

By Marshall M, Crowther R, Almaraz-Serrano A, Creed F, Sledge W, Kluiter H, *et al.*

No. 22

The measurement and monitoring of surgical adverse events.

By Bruce J, Russell EM, Mollison J, Krukowski ZH.

No. 23

Action research: a systematic review and guidance for assessment. By Waterman H, Tillen D, Dickson R,

de Koning K.

No. 24

A rapid and systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of gemcitabine for the treatment of pancreatic cancer.

By Ward S, Morris E, Bansback N, Calvert N, Crellin A, Forman D, et al.

A rapid and systematic review of the evidence for the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of irinotecan, oxaliplatin and raltitrexed for the treatment of advanced colorectal cancer.

By Lloyd Jones M, Hummel S, Bansback N, Orr B, Seymour M.

No. 26

Comparison of the effectiveness of inhaler devices in asthma and chronic obstructive airways disease: a systematic review of the literature.

By Brocklebank D, Ram F, Wright J, Barry P, Cates C, Davies L, *et al*.

No. 27

The cost-effectiveness of magnetic resonance imaging for investigation of the knee joint.

By Bryan S, Weatherburn G, Bungay H, Hatrick C, Salas C, Parry D, *et al.*

No. 28

A rapid and systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of topotecan for ovarian cancer.

By Forbes C, Shirran L, Bagnall A-M, Duffy S, ter Riet G.

No. 29

Superseded by a report published in a later volume.

No. 30

The role of radiography in primary care patients with low back pain of at least 6 weeks duration: a randomised (unblinded) controlled trial.

By Kendrick D, Fielding K, Bentley E, Miller P, Kerslake R, Pringle M.

No. 31

Design and use of questionnaires: a review of best practice applicable to surveys of health service staff and patients.

By McColl E, Jacoby A, Thomas L, Soutter J, Bamford C, Steen N, *et al*.

No. 32

A rapid and systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of paclitaxel, docetaxel, gemcitabine and vinorelbine in nonsmall-cell lung cancer.

By Clegg A, Scott DA, Sidhu M, Hewitson P, Waugh N.

No. 33

Subgroup analyses in randomised controlled trials: quantifying the risks of false-positives and false-negatives.

By Brookes ST, Whitley E, Peters TJ, Mulheran PA, Egger M, Davey Smith G.

No. 34

Depot antipsychotic medication in the treatment of patients with schizophrenia: (1) Meta-review; (2) Patient and nurse attitudes.

By David AS, Adams C.

No. 35

A systematic review of controlled trials of the effectiveness and costeffectiveness of brief psychological treatments for depression.

By Churchill R, Hunot V, Corney R, Knapp M, McGuire H, Tylee A, *et al*.

No. 36

Cost analysis of child health surveillance.

By Sanderson D, Wright D, Acton C, Duree D.

Volume 6, 2002

No. 1

A study of the methods used to select review criteria for clinical audit.

By Hearnshaw H, Harker R, Cheater F, Baker R, Grimshaw G.

No. 2

Fludarabine as second-line therapy for B cell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: a technology assessment.

By Hyde C, Wake B, Bryan S, Barton P, Fry-Smith A, Davenport C, *et al*.

No. 3

Rituximab as third-line treatment for refractory or recurrent Stage III or IV follicular non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Wake B, Hyde C, Bryan S, Barton P, Song F, Fry-Smith A, *et al*.

No. 4

A systematic review of discharge arrangements for older people.

By Parker SG, Peet SM, McPherson A, Cannaby AM, Baker R, Wilson A, *et al.*

No. 5

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of inhaler devices used in the routine management of chronic asthma in older children: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Peters J, Stevenson M, Beverley C, Lim J, Smith S.

No. 6

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of sibutramine in the management of obesity: a technology assessment.

By O'Meara S, Riemsma R, Shirran L, Mather L, ter Riet G.

No. 7

The cost-effectiveness of magnetic resonance angiography for carotid artery stenosis and peripheral vascular disease: a systematic review.

By Berry E, Kelly S, Westwood ME, Davies LM, Gough MJ, Bamford JM, *et al.*

No. 8

Promoting physical activity in South Asian Muslim women through 'exercise on prescription'. By Carroll B, Ali N, Azam N. No. 9

Zanamivir for the treatment of influenza in adults: a systematic review and economic evaluation. By Burls A, Clark W, Stewart T, Preston C, Bryan S, Jefferson T, *et al*.

No. 10

A review of the natural history and epidemiology of multiple sclerosis: implications for resource allocation and health economic models. By Richards RG, Sampson FC,

Beard SM, Tappenden P.

No. 11

Screening for gestational diabetes: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Scott DA, Loveman E, McIntyre L, Waugh N.

No. 12

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of surgery for people with morbid obesity: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Clegg AJ, Colquitt J, Sidhu MK, Royle P, Loveman E, Walker A.

No. 13

The clinical effectiveness of trastuzumab for breast cancer: a systematic review. By Lewis R, Bagnall A-M, Forbes C, Shirran E, Duffy S, Kleijnen J, *et al.*

No. 14

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of vinorelbine for breast cancer: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Lewis R, Bagnall A-M, King S, Woolacott N, Forbes C, Shirran L, *et al*.

No. 15

A systematic review of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of metal-on-metal hip resurfacing arthroplasty for treatment of hip disease.

By Vale L, Wyness L, McCormack K, McKenzie L, Brazzelli M, Stearns SC.

No. 16

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of bupropion and nicotine replacement therapy for smoking cessation: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Woolacott NF, Jones L, Forbes CA, Mather LC, Sowden AJ, Song FJ, et al.

No. 17

A systematic review of effectiveness and economic evaluation of new drug treatments for juvenile idiopathic arthritis: etanercept.

By Cummins C, Connock M, Fry-Smith A, Burls A.

No. 18

Clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of growth hormone in children: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Bryant J, Cave C, Mihaylova B, Chase D, McIntyre L, Gerard K, *et al.*



Clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of growth hormone in adults in relation to impact on quality of life: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Bryant J, Loveman E, Chase D, Mihaylova B, Cave C, Gerard K, *et al.*

No. 20

Clinical medication review by a pharmacist of patients on repeat prescriptions in general practice: a randomised controlled trial.

By Zermansky AG, Petty DR, Raynor DK, Lowe CJ, Freementle N, Vail A.

No. 21

The effectiveness of infliximab and etanercept for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Jobanputra P, Barton P, Bryan S, Burls A.

No. 22

A systematic review and economic evaluation of computerised cognitive behaviour therapy for depression and anxiety.

By Kaltenthaler E, Shackley P, Stevens K, Beverley C, Parry G, Chilcott J.

No. 23

A systematic review and economic evaluation of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin hydrochloride for ovarian cancer.

By Forbes C, Wilby J, Richardson G, Sculpher M, Mather L, Reimsma R.

No. 24

A systematic review of the effectiveness of interventions based on a stages-ofchange approach to promote individual behaviour change.

By Riemsma RP, Pattenden J, Bridle C, Sowden AJ, Mather L, Watt IS, *et al*.

No. 25

A systematic review update of the clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa antagonists.

By Robinson M, Ginnelly L, Sculpher M, Jones L, Riemsma R, Palmer S, et al.

No. 26

A systematic review of the effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and barriers to implementation of thrombolytic and neuroprotective therapy for acute ischaemic stroke in the NHS.

By Sandercock P, Berge E, Dennis M, Forbes J, Hand P, Kwan J, *et al.*

No. 27

A randomised controlled crossover trial of nurse practitioner versus doctor-led outpatient care in a bronchiectasis clinic.

By Caine N, Sharples LD, Hollingworth W, French J, Keogan M, Exley A, *et al*.

No. 28

Clinical effectiveness and cost – consequences of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in the treatment of sex offenders.

By Adi Y, Ashcroft D, Browne K, Beech A, Fry-Smith A, Hyde C.

No. 29

Treatment of established osteoporosis: a systematic review and cost–utility analysis.

By Kanis JA, Brazier JE, Stevenson M, Calvert NW, Lloyd Jones M.

No. 30

Which anaesthetic agents are costeffective in day surgery? Literature review, national survey of practice and randomised controlled trial.

By Elliott RA Payne K, Moore JK, Davies LM, Harper NJN, St Leger AS, *et al.*

No. 31

Screening for hepatitis C among injecting drug users and in genitourinary medicine clinics: systematic reviews of effectiveness, modelling study and national survey of current practice.

By Stein K, Dalziel K, Walker A, McIntyre L, Jenkins B, Horne J, et al.

No. 32

The measurement of satisfaction with healthcare: implications for practice from a systematic review of the literature.

By Crow R, Gage H, Hampson S, Hart J, Kimber A, Storey L, *et al.*

No. 33

The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of imatinib in chronic myeloid leukaemia: a systematic review.

By Garside R, Round A, Dalziel K, Stein K, Royle R.

No. 34

A comparative study of hypertonic saline, daily and alternate-day rhDNase in children with cystic fibrosis.

By Suri R, Wallis C, Bush A, Thompson S, Normand C, Flather M, *et al.*

No. 35

A systematic review of the costs and effectiveness of different models of paediatric home care.

By Parker G, Bhakta P, Lovett CA, Paisley S, Olsen R, Turner D, et al.

Volume 7, 2003

No. 1

How important are comprehensive literature searches and the assessment of trial quality in systematic reviews? Empirical study.

By Egger M, Jüni P, Bartlett C, Holenstein F, Sterne J.

No. 2

Systematic review of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, and economic evaluation, of home versus hospital or satellite unit haemodialysis for people with end-stage renal failure.

By Mowatt G, Vale L, Perez J, Wyness L, Fraser C, MacLeod A, *et al*.

No. 3

Systematic review and economic evaluation of the effectiveness of infliximab for the treatment of Crohn's disease.

By Clark W, Raftery J, Barton P, Song F, Fry-Smith A, Burls A.

No. 4

A review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of routine anti-D prophylaxis for pregnant women who are rhesus negative.

By Chilcott J, Lloyd Jones M, Wight J, Forman K, Wray J, Beverley C, et al.

No. 5

Systematic review and evaluation of the use of tumour markers in paediatric oncology: Ewing's sarcoma and neuroblastoma.

By Riley RD, Burchill SA, Abrams KR, Heney D, Lambert PC, Jones DR, *et al*.

No. 6

The cost-effectiveness of screening for *Helicobacter pylori* to reduce mortality and morbidity from gastric cancer and peptic ulcer disease: a discrete-event simulation model.

By Roderick P, Davies R, Raftery J, Crabbe D, Pearce R, Bhandari P, *et al.*

No. 7

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of routine dental checks: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Davenport C, Elley K, Salas C, Taylor-Weetman CL, Fry-Smith A, Bryan S, *et al*.

No. 8

A multicentre randomised controlled trial assessing the costs and benefits of using structured information and analysis of women's preferences in the management of menorrhagia.

By Kennedy ADM, Sculpher MJ, Coulter A, Dwyer N, Rees M, Horsley S, *et al*.

No. 9

Clinical effectiveness and cost–utility of photodynamic therapy for wet age-related macular degeneration: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Meads C, Salas C, Roberts T, Moore D, Fry-Smith A, Hyde C.

No. 10

Evaluation of molecular tests for prenatal diagnosis of chromosome abnormalities.

By Grimshaw GM, Szczepura A, Hultén M, MacDonald F, Nevin NC, Sutton F, *et al*.



First and second trimester antenatal screening for Down's syndrome: the results of the Serum, Urine and Ultrasound Screening Study (SURUSS).

By Wald NJ, Rodeck C, Hackshaw AK, Walters J, Chitty L, Mackinson AM.

No. 12

The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of ultrasound locating devices for central venous access: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Calvert N, Hind D, McWilliams RG, Thomas SM, Beverley C, Davidson A.

No. 13

A systematic review of atypical antipsychotics in schizophrenia. By Bagnall A-M, Jones L, Lewis R, Ginnelly L, Glanville J, Torgerson D, *et al.*

No. 14

Prostate Testing for Cancer and Treatment (ProtecT) feasibility study.

By Donovan J, Hamdy F, Neal D, Peters T, Oliver S, Brindle L, *et al*.

No. 15

Early thrombolysis for the treatment of acute myocardial infarction: a systematic review and economic evaluation. By Boland A, Dundar Y, Bagust A,

Haycox A, Hill R, Mujica Mota R, *et al.*

No. 16

Screening for fragile X syndrome: a literature review and modelling. By Song FJ, Barton P, Sleightholme V, Yao GL, Fry-Smith A.

No. 17

Systematic review of endoscopic sinus surgery for nasal polyps. By Dalziel K, Stein K, Round A,

Garside R, Royle P.

No. 18

Towards efficient guidelines: how to monitor guideline use in primary care.

By Hutchinson A, McIntosh A, Cox S, Gilbert C.

No. 19

Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of acute hospital-based spinal cord injuries services: systematic review. By Bagnall A-M, Jones L,

Richardson G, Duffy S, Riemsma R.

No. 20

Prioritisation of health technology assessment. The PATHS model: methods and case studies. By Townsend J, Buxton M,

Harper G.

| No. 21

Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of tension-free vaginal tape for treatment of urinary stress incontinence.

By Cody J, Wyness L, Wallace S, Glazener C, Kilonzo M, Stearns S, *et al.*

No. 22

The clinical and cost-effectiveness of patient education models for diabetes: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Loveman E, Cave C, Green C, Royle P, Dunn N, Waugh N.

No. 23

The role of modelling in prioritising and planning clinical trials.

By Chilcott J, Brennan A, Booth A, Karnon J, Tappenden P.

No. 24

Cost-benefit evaluation of routine influenza immunisation in people 65–74 years of age.

By Allsup S, Gosney M, Haycox A, Regan M.

No. 25

The clinical and cost-effectiveness of pulsatile machine perfusion versus cold storage of kidneys for transplantation retrieved from heart-beating and nonheart-beating donors.

By Wight J, Chilcott J, Holmes M, Brewer N.

No. 26

Can randomised trials rely on existing electronic data? A feasibility study to explore the value of routine data in health technology assessment.

By Williams JG, Cheung WY, Cohen DR, Hutchings HA, Longo MF, Russell IT.

No. 27

Evaluating non-randomised intervention studies.

By Deeks JJ, Dinnes J, D'Amico R, Sowden AJ, Sakarovitch C, Song F, *et al*.

No. 28

A randomised controlled trial to assess the impact of a package comprising a patient-orientated, evidence-based selfhelp guidebook and patient-centred consultations on disease management and satisfaction in inflammatory bowel disease.

By Kennedy A, Nelson E, Reeves D, Richardson G, Roberts C, Robinson A, *et al.*

No. 29

The effectiveness of diagnostic tests for the assessment of shoulder pain due to soft tissue disorders: a systematic review.

By Dinnes J, Loveman E, McIntyre L, Waugh N.

No. 30

The value of digital imaging in diabetic retinopathy.

By Sharp PF, Olson J, Strachan F, Hipwell J, Ludbrook A, O'Donnell M, *et al.*

No. 31

Lowering blood pressure to prevent myocardial infarction and stroke: a new preventive strategy.

By Law M, Wald N, Morris J.

No. 32

Clinical and cost-effectiveness of capecitabine and tegafur with uracil for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer: systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Ward S, Kaltenthaler E, Cowan J, Brewer N.

No. 33

Clinical and cost-effectiveness of new and emerging technologies for early localised prostate cancer: a systematic review.

By Hummel S, Paisley S, Morgan A, Currie E, Brewer N.

No. 34

Literature searching for clinical and cost-effectiveness studies used in health technology assessment reports carried out for the National Institute for Clinical Excellence appraisal system.

By Royle P, Waugh N.

No. 35

Systematic review and economic decision modelling for the prevention and treatment of influenza A and B.

By Turner D, Wailoo A, Nicholson K, Cooper N, Sutton A, Abrams K.

No. 36

A randomised controlled trial to evaluate the clinical and costeffectiveness of Hickman line insertions in adult cancer patients by nurses.

By Boland A, Haycox A, Bagust A, Fitzsimmons L.

No. 37

Redesigning postnatal care: a randomised controlled trial of protocol-based midwifery-led care focused on individual women's physical and psychological health needs.

By MacArthur C, Winter HR, Bick DE, Lilford RJ, Lancashire RJ, Knowles H, *et al*.

No. 38

Grimley Evans J.

Estimating implied rates of discount in healthcare decision-making. By West RR, McNabb R, Thompson AGH, Sheldon TA,



Systematic review of isolation policies in the hospital management of methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: a review of the literature with epidemiological and economic modelling.

By Cooper BS, Stone SP, Kibbler CC, Cookson BD, Roberts JA, Medley GF, *et al.*

No. 40

Treatments for spasticity and pain in multiple sclerosis: a systematic review. By Beard S, Hunn A, Wight J.

No. 41

The inclusion of reports of randomised trials published in languages other than English in systematic reviews.

By Moher D, Pham B, Lawson ML, Klassen TP.

No. 42

The impact of screening on future health-promoting behaviours and health beliefs: a systematic review.

By Bankhead CR, Brett J, Bukach C, Webster P, Stewart-Brown S, Munafo M, *et al.*

Volume 8, 2004

No. 1

What is the best imaging strategy for acute stroke?

By Wardlaw JM, Keir SL, Seymour J, Lewis S, Sandercock PAG, Dennis MS, *et al.*

No. 2

Systematic review and modelling of the investigation of acute and chronic chest pain presenting in primary care.

By Mant J, McManus RJ, Oakes RAL, Delaney BC, Barton PM, Deeks JJ, et al.

No. 3

The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of microwave and thermal balloon endometrial ablation for heavy menstrual bleeding: a systematic review and economic modelling.

By Garside R, Stein K, Wyatt K, Round A, Price A.

No. 4

A systematic review of the role of bisphosphonates in metastatic disease.

By Ross JR, Saunders Y, Edmonds PM, Patel S, Wonderling D, Normand C, et al.

No. 5

Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of capecitabine (Xeloda[®]) for locally advanced and/or metastatic breast cancer.

By Jones L, Hawkins N, Westwood M, Wright K, Richardson G, Riemsma R.

No. 6

Effectiveness and efficiency of guideline dissemination and implementation strategies.

By Grimshaw JM, Thomas RE, MacLennan G, Fraser C, Ramsay CR, Vale L, *et al*.

No. 7

Clinical effectiveness and costs of the Sugarbaker procedure for the treatment of pseudomyxoma peritonei.

By Bryant J, Clegg AJ, Sidhu MK, Brodin H, Royle P, Davidson P.

No. 8

Psychological treatment for insomnia in the regulation of long-term hypnotic drug use.

By Morgan K, Dixon S, Mathers N, Thompson J, Tomeny M.

No. 9

Improving the evaluation of therapeutic interventions in multiple sclerosis: development of a patient-based measure of outcome.

By Hobart JC, Riazi A, Lamping DL, Fitzpatrick R, Thompson AJ.

No. 10

A systematic review and economic evaluation of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography compared with diagnostic endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography.

By Kaltenthaler E, Bravo Vergel Y, Chilcott J, Thomas S, Blakeborough T, Walters SJ, *et al*.

No. 11

The use of modelling to evaluate new drugs for patients with a chronic condition: the case of antibodies against tumour necrosis factor in rheumatoid arthritis.

By Barton P, Jobanputra P, Wilson J, Bryan S, Burls A.

No. 12

Clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of neonatal screening for inborn errors of metabolism using tandem mass spectrometry: a systematic review.

By Pandor A, Eastham J, Beverley C, Chilcott J, Paisley S.

No. 13

Clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of pioglitazone and rosiglitazone in the treatment of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and economic

evaluation.

By Czoski-Murray C, Warren E, Chilcott J, Beverley C, Psyllaki MA, Cowan J.

No. 14

Routine examination of the newborn: the EMREN study. Evaluation of an extension of the midwife role including a randomised controlled trial of appropriately trained midwives and paediatric senior house officers.

By Townsend J, Wolke D, Hayes J, Davé S, Rogers C, Bloomfield L, *et al.*

No. 15

Involving consumers in research and development agenda setting for the NHS: developing an evidence-based approach.

By Oliver S, Clarke-Jones L, Rees R, Milne R, Buchanan P, Gabbay J, *et al*.

No. 16

A multi-centre randomised controlled trial of minimally invasive direct coronary bypass grafting versus percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty with stenting for proximal stenosis of the left anterior descending coronary artery.

By Reeves BC, Angelini GD, Bryan AJ, Taylor FC, Cripps T, Spyt TJ, et al.

No. 17

Does early magnetic resonance imaging influence management or improve outcome in patients referred to secondary care with low back pain? A pragmatic randomised controlled trial.

By Gilbert FJ, Grant AM, Gillan MGC, Vale L, Scott NW, Campbell MK, *et al.*

No. 18

The clinical and cost-effectiveness of anakinra for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis in adults: a

systematic review and economic analysis. By Clark W, Jobanputra P, Barton P, Burls A.

No. 19

A rapid and systematic review and economic evaluation of the clinical and cost-effectiveness of newer drugs for treatment of mania associated with bipolar affective disorder.

By Bridle C, Palmer S, Bagnall A-M, Darba J, Duffy S, Sculpher M, *et al*.

No. 20

Liquid-based cytology in cervical screening: an updated rapid and systematic review and economic analysis.

By Karnon J, Peters J, Platt J, Chilcott J, McGoogan E, Brewer N.

No. 21

Systematic review of the long-term effects and economic consequences of treatments for obesity and implications for health improvement.

By Avenell A, Broom J, Brown TJ, Poobalan A, Aucott L, Stearns SC, *et al.*

No. 22

Autoantibody testing in children with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes mellitus.

By Dretzke J, Cummins C, Sandercock J, Fry-Smith A, Barrett T, Burls A.


Clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of prehospital intravenous fluids in trauma patients. By Dretzke J, Sandercock J, Bayliss S,

Burls A.

No. 24

Newer hypnotic drugs for the shortterm management of insomnia: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Dündar Y, Boland A, Strobl J, Dodd S, Haycox A, Bagust A, et al.

No. 25

Development and validation of methods for assessing the quality of diagnostic accuracy studies.

By Whiting P, Rutjes AWS, Dinnes J, Reitsma JB, Bossuyt PMM, Kleijnen J.

No. 26

EVALUATE hysterectomy trial: a multicentre randomised trial comparing abdominal, vaginal and laparoscopic methods of hysterectomy.

By Garry R, Fountain J, Brown J, Manca A, Mason S, Sculpher M, et al.

No. 27

Methods for expected value of information analysis in complex health economic models: developments on the health economics of interferon- β and glatiramer acetate for multiple sclerosis.

By Tappenden P, Chilcott JB, Eggington S, Oakley J, McCabe C.

No. 28

Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of imatinib for first-line treatment of chronic myeloid leukaemia in chronic phase: a systematic review and economic analysis.

By Dalziel K, Round A, Stein K, Garside R, Price A.

No. 29

VenUS I: a randomised controlled trial of two types of bandage for treating venous leg ulcers.

By Iglesias C, Nelson EA, Cullum NA, Torgerson DJ on behalf of the VenUS Team.

No. 30

Systematic review of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, and economic evaluation, of myocardial perfusion scintigraphy for the diagnosis and management of angina and myocardial infarction.

By Mowatt G, Vale L, Brazzelli M, Hernandez R, Murray A, Scott N, et al.

No. 31

A pilot study on the use of decision theory and value of information analysis as part of the NHS Health Technology Assessment programme.

By Claxton K, Ginnelly L, Sculpher M, Philips Z, Palmer S.

No. 32

The Social Support and Family Health Study: a randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation of two alternative forms of postnatal support for mothers living in disadvantaged inner-city areas.

By Wiggins M, Oakley A, Roberts I, Turner H, Rajan L, Austerberry H, et al.

No. 33

Psychosocial aspects of genetic screening of pregnant women and newborns: a systematic review.

By Green JM, Hewison J, Bekker HL, Bryant, Cuckle HS.

No. 34

Evaluation of abnormal uterine bleeding: comparison of three outpatient procedures within cohorts defined by age and menopausal status.

By Critchley HOD, Warner P, Lee AJ, Brechin S, Guise J, Graham B.

No. 35

Coronary artery stents: a rapid systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Hill R, Bagust A, Bakhai A, Dickson R, Dündar Y, Haycox A, et al.

No. 36

Review of guidelines for good practice in decision-analytic modelling in health technology assessment.

By Philips Z, Ginnelly L, Sculpher M, Claxton K, Golder S, Riemsma R, et al.

No. 37

Rituximab (MabThera®) for aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: systematic review and economic evaluation. By Knight C, Hind D, Brewer N, Abbott V.

No. 38

Clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of clopidogrel and modified-release dipyridamole in the secondary prevention of occlusive vascular events: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Jones L, Griffin S, Palmer S, Main C, Orton V, Sculpher M, et al.

No. 39

Pegylated interferon α -2a and -2b in combination with ribavirin in the treatment of chronic hepatitis C: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Shepherd J, Brodin H, Cave C, Waugh N, Price A, Gabbay J.

No. 40

Clopidogrel used in combination with aspirin compared with aspirin alone in the treatment of non-ST-segmentelevation acute coronary syndromes: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Main C, Palmer S, Griffin S, Jones L, Orton V, Sculpher M, et al.

No. 41

Provision, uptake and cost of cardiac rehabilitation programmes: improving services to under-represented groups. By Beswick AD, Rees K, Griebsch I,

Taylor FC, Burke M, West RR, et al.

No. 42

Involving South Asian patients in clinical trials.

By Hussain-Gambles M, Leese B, Atkin K, Brown J, Mason S, Tovey P.

No. 43

Clinical and cost-effectiveness of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion for diabetes.

By Colquitt JL, Green C, Sidhu MK, Hartwell D, Waugh N.

No. 44

Identification and assessment of ongoing trials in health technology assessment reviews.

By Song FJ, Fry-Smith A, Davenport C, Bayliss S, Adi Y, Wilson JS, et al.

No. 45

Systematic review and economic evaluation of a long-acting insulin analogue, insulin glargine By Warren E, Weatherley-Jones E, Chilcott J, Beverley C.

No. 46

Supplementation of a home-based exercise programme with a class-based programme for people with osteoarthritis of the knees: a randomised controlled trial and health economic analysis.

By McCarthy CJ, Mills PM, Pullen R, Richardson G, Hawkins N, Roberts CR, et al.

No. 47

Clinical and cost-effectiveness of oncedaily versus more frequent use of same potency topical corticosteroids for atopic eczema: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Green C, Colquitt JL, Kirby J, Davidson P, Payne E.

No. 48

Acupuncture of chronic headache disorders in primary care: randomised controlled trial and economic analysis.

By Vickers AJ, Rees RW, Zollman CE, McCarney R, Smith CM, Ellis N, et al.

No. 49

Generalisability in economic evaluation studies in healthcare: a review and case studies.

By Sculpher MJ, Pang FS, Manca A, Drummond MF, Golder S, Urdahl H, et al.

No. 50

Virtual outreach: a randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation of joint teleconferenced medical consultations.

By Wallace P, Barber J, Clayton W, Currell R, Fleming K, Garner P, et al.



Volume 9, 2005

No. 1

Randomised controlled multiple treatment comparison to provide a cost-effectiveness rationale for the selection of antimicrobial therapy in acne.

By Ozolins M, Eady EA, Avery A, Cunliffe WJ, O'Neill C, Simpson NB, *et al.*

No. 2

Do the findings of case series studies vary significantly according to methodological characteristics?

By Dalziel K, Round A, Stein K, Garside R, Castelnuovo E, Payne L.

No. 3

Improving the referral process for familial breast cancer genetic counselling: findings of three randomised controlled trials of two interventions.

By Wilson BJ, Torrance N, Mollison J, Wordsworth S, Gray JR, Haites NE, et al.

No. 4

Randomised evaluation of alternative electrosurgical modalities to treat bladder outflow obstruction in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia.

By Fowler C, McAllister W, Plail R, Karim O, Yang Q.

No. 5

A pragmatic randomised controlled trial of the cost-effectiveness of palliative therapies for patients with inoperable oesophageal cancer.

By Shenfine J, McNamee P, Steen N, Bond J, Griffin SM.

No. 6

Impact of computer-aided detection prompts on the sensitivity and specificity of screening mammography.

By Taylor P, Champness J, Given-Wilson R, Johnston K, Potts H.

No. 7

Issues in data monitoring and interim analysis of trials.

By Grant AM, Altman DG, Babiker AB, Campbell MK, Clemens FJ, Darbyshire JH, *et al.*

No. 8

Lay public's understanding of equipoise and randomisation in randomised controlled trials.

By Robinson EJ, Kerr CEP, Stevens AJ, Lilford RJ, Braunholtz DA, Edwards SJ, *et al.*

No. 9

Clinical and cost-effectiveness of electroconvulsive therapy for depressive illness, schizophrenia, catatonia and mania: systematic reviews and economic modelling studies.

By Greenhalgh J, Knight C, Hind D, Beverley C, Walters S.

No. 10

Measurement of health-related quality of life for people with dementia: development of a new instrument (DEMQOL) and an evaluation of current methodology.

By Smith SC, Lamping DL, Banerjee S, Harwood R, Foley B, Smith P, *et al*.

No. 11

Clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of drotrecogin alfa (activated) (Xigris[®]) for the treatment of severe sepsis in adults: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Green C, Dinnes J, Takeda A, Shepherd J, Hartwell D, Cave C, *et al.*

No. 12

A methodological review of how heterogeneity has been examined in systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy.

By Dinnes J, Deeks J, Kirby J, Roderick P.

No. 13

Cervical screening programmes: can automation help? Evidence from systematic reviews, an economic analysis and a simulation modelling exercise applied to the UK.

By Willis BH, Barton P, Pearmain P, Bryan S, Hyde C.

No. 14

Laparoscopic surgery for inguinal hernia repair: systematic review of effectiveness and economic evaluation.

By McCormack K, Wake B, Perez J, Fraser C, Cook J, McIntosh E, *et al.*

No. 15

Clinical effectiveness, tolerability and cost-effectiveness of newer drugs for epilepsy in adults: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Wilby J, Kainth A, Hawkins N, Epstein D, McIntosh H, McDaid C, et al.

No. 16

A randomised controlled trial to compare the cost-effectiveness of tricyclic antidepressants, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and lofepramine.

By Peveler R, Kendrick T, Buxton M, Longworth L, Baldwin D, Moore M, *et al.*

No. 17

Clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of immediate angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction: systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Hartwell D, Colquitt J, Loveman E, Clegg AJ, Brodin H, Waugh N, *et al.*

No. 18

A randomised controlled comparison of alternative strategies in stroke care. By Kalra L, Evans A, Perez I, Knapp M, Swift C, Donaldson N.

No. 19

The investigation and analysis of critical incidents and adverse events in healthcare.

By Woloshynowych M, Rogers S, Taylor-Adams S, Vincent C.

No. 20

Potential use of routine databases in health technology assessment. By Raftery J, Roderick P, Stevens A.

No. 21

Clinical and cost-effectiveness of newer immunosuppressive regimens in renal transplantation: a systematic review and modelling study.

By Woodroffe R, Yao GL, Meads C, Bayliss S, Ready A, Raftery J, et al.

No. 22

A systematic review and economic evaluation of alendronate, etidronate, risedronate, raloxifene and teriparatide for the prevention and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis.

By Stevenson M, Lloyd Jones M, De Nigris E, Brewer N, Davis S, Oakley J.

No. 23

A systematic review to examine the impact of psycho-educational interventions on health outcomes and costs in adults and children with difficult asthma.

By Smith JR, Mugford M, Holland R, Candy B, Noble MJ, Harrison BDW, et al.

No. 24

An evaluation of the costs, effectiveness and quality of renal replacement therapy provision in renal satellite units in England and Wales.

By Roderick P, Nicholson T, Armitage A, Mehta R, Mullee M, Gerard K, *et al.*

No. 25

Imatinib for the treatment of patients with unresectable and/or metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumours: systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Wilson J, Connock M, Song F, Yao G, Fry-Smith A, Raftery J, *et al*.

No. 26

Indirect comparisons of competing interventions.

By Glenny AM, Altman DG, Song F, Sakarovitch C, Deeks JJ, D'Amico R, *et al.*

No. 27

Cost-effectiveness of alternative strategies for the initial medical management of non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome: systematic review and decision-analytical modelling.

By Robinson M, Palmer S, Sculpher M, Philips Z, Ginnelly L, Bowens A, et al.



Outcomes of electrically stimulated gracilis neosphincter surgery.

By Tillin T, Chambers M, Feldman R.

No. 29

The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of pimecrolimus and tacrolimus for atopic eczema: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Garside R, Stein K, Castelnuovo E, Pitt M, Ashcroft D, Dimmock P, et al.

No. 30

Systematic review on urine albumin testing for early detection of diabetic complications.

By Newman DJ, Mattock MB, Dawnay ABS, Kerry S, McGuire A, Yaqoob M, et al.

No. 31

Randomised controlled trial of the costeffectiveness of water-based therapy for lower limb osteoarthritis.

By Cochrane T, Davey RC, Matthes Edwards SM.

No. 32

Longer term clinical and economic benefits of offering acupuncture care to patients with chronic low back pain. By Thomas KJ, MacPherson H, Ratcliffe J, Thorpe L, Brazier J, Campbell M, *et al.*

No. 33

Cost-effectiveness and safety of epidural steroids in the management of sciatica. By Price C, Arden N, Coglan L, Rogers P.

No. 34

The British Rheumatoid Outcome Study Group (BROSG) randomised controlled trial to compare the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of aggressive versus symptomatic therapy in established rheumatoid arthritis.

By Symmons D, Tricker K, Roberts C, Davies L, Dawes P, Scott DL.

No. 35

Conceptual framework and systematic review of the effects of participants' and professionals' preferences in randomised controlled trials.

By King M, Nazareth I, Lampe F, Bower P, Chandler M, Morou M, *et al*.

No. 36

The clinical and cost-effectiveness of implantable cardioverter defibrillators: a systematic review.

By Bryant J, Brodin H, Loveman E, Payne E, Clegg A.

No. 37

A trial of problem-solving by community mental health nurses for anxiety, depression and life difficulties among general practice patients. The CPN-GP study.

By Kendrick T, Simons L, Mynors-Wallis L, Gray A, Lathlean J, Pickering R, *et al*.

No. 38

The causes and effects of sociodemographic exclusions from clinical trials.

By Bartlett C, Doyal L, Ebrahim S, Davey P, Bachmann M, Egger M, *et al.*

No. 39

Is hydrotherapy cost-effective? A randomised controlled trial of combined hydrotherapy programmes compared with physiotherapy land techniques in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis.

By Epps H, Ginnelly L, Utley M, Southwood T, Gallivan S, Sculpher M, *et al.*

No. 40

A randomised controlled trial and costeffectiveness study of systematic screening (targeted and total population screening) versus routine practice for the detection of atrial fibrillation in people aged 65 and over. The SAFE study.

By Hobbs FDR, Fitzmaurice DA, Mant J, Murray E, Jowett S, Bryan S, *et al.*

No. 41

Displaced intracapsular hip fractures in fit, older people: a randomised comparison of reduction and fixation, bipolar hemiarthroplasty and total hip arthroplasty.

By Keating JF, Grant A, Masson M, Scott NW, Forbes JF.

No. 42

Long-term outcome of cognitive behaviour therapy clinical trials in central Scotland.

By Durham RC, Chambers JA, Power KG, Sharp DM, Macdonald RR, Major KA, *et al.*

No. 43

The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of dual-chamber pacemakers compared with single-chamber pacemakers for bradycardia due to atrioventricular block or sick sinus syndrome: systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Castelnuovo E, Stein K, Pitt M, Garside R, Payne E.

No. 44

Newborn screening for congenital heart defects: a systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis.

By Knowles R, Griebsch I, Dezateux C, Brown J, Bull C, Wren C.

No. 45

The clinical and cost-effectiveness of left ventricular assist devices for end-stage heart failure: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Clegg AJ, Scott DA, Loveman E, Colquitt J, Hutchinson J, Royle P, *et al.*

No. 46

The effectiveness of the Heidelberg Retina Tomograph and laser diagnostic glaucoma scanning system (GDx) in detecting and monitoring glaucoma.

By Kwartz AJ, Henson DB, Harper RA, Spencer AF, McLeod D.

No. 47

Clinical and cost-effectiveness of autologous chondrocyte implantation for cartilage defects in knee joints: systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Clar C, Cummins E, McIntyre L, Thomas S, Lamb J, Bain L, et al.

No. 48

Systematic review of effectiveness of different treatments for childhood retinoblastoma.

By McDaid C, Hartley S, Bagnall A-M, Ritchie G, Light K, Riemsma R.

No. 49

Towards evidence-based guidelines for the prevention of venous thromboembolism: systematic reviews of mechanical methods, oral anticoagulation, dextran and regional anaesthesia as thromboprophylaxis.

By Roderick P, Ferris G, Wilson K, Halls H, Jackson D, Collins R, *et al.*

No. 50

The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of parent training/education programmes for the treatment of conduct disorder, including oppositional defiant disorder, in children.

By Dretzke J, Frew E, Davenport C, Barlow J, Stewart-Brown S, Sandercock J, *et al*.

Volume 10, 2006

No. 1

The clinical and cost-effectiveness of donepezil, rivastigmine, galantamine and memantine for Alzheimer's disease.

By Loveman E, Green C, Kirby J, Takeda A, Picot J, Payne E, *et al.*

No. 2

FOOD: a multicentre randomised trial evaluating feeding policies in patients admitted to hospital with a recent stroke.

By Dennis M, Lewis S, Cranswick G, Forbes J.

No. 3

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of computed tomography screening for lung cancer: systematic reviews.

By Black C, Bagust A, Boland A, Walker S, McLeod C, De Verteuil R, *et al.*



A systematic review of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of neuroimaging assessments used to visualise the seizure focus in people with refractory epilepsy being considered for surgery.

By Whiting P, Gupta R, Burch J, Mujica Mota RE, Wright K, Marson A, *et al.*

No. 5

Comparison of conference abstracts and presentations with full-text articles in the health technology assessments of rapidly evolving technologies.

By Dundar Y, Dodd S, Dickson R, Walley T, Haycox A, Williamson PR.

No. 6

Systematic review and evaluation of methods of assessing urinary incontinence.

By Martin JL, Williams KS, Abrams KR, Turner DA, Sutton AJ, Chapple C, *et al.*

No. 7

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of newer drugs for children with epilepsy. A systematic review.

By Connock M, Frew E, Evans B-W, Bryan S, Cummins C, Fry-Smith A, *et al.*

No. 8

Surveillance of Barrett's oesophagus: exploring the uncertainty through systematic review, expert workshop and economic modelling.

By Garside R, Pitt M, Somerville M, Stein K, Price A, Gilbert N.

No. 9

Topotecan, pegylated liposomal doxorubicin hydrochloride and paclitaxel for second-line or subsequent treatment of advanced ovarian cancer: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Main C, Bojke L, Griffin S, Norman G, Barbieri M, Mather L, *et al.*

No. 10

Evaluation of molecular techniques in prediction and diagnosis of cytomegalovirus disease in immunocompromised patients. By Szczepura A, Westmoreland D,

Vinogradova Y, Fox J, Clark M.

No. 11

Screening for thrombophilia in high-risk situations: systematic review and costeffectiveness analysis. The Thrombosis: Risk and Economic Assessment of Thrombophilia Screening (TREATS) study.

By Wu O, Robertson L, Twaddle S, Lowe GDO, Clark P, Greaves M, *et al.*

No. 12

A series of systematic reviews to inform a decision analysis for sampling and treating infected diabetic foot ulcers.

By Nelson EA, O'Meara S, Craig D, Iglesias C, Golder S, Dalton J, *et al.*

No. 13

Randomised clinical trial, observational study and assessment of costeffectiveness of the treatment of varicose veins (REACTIV trial).

By Michaels JA, Campbell WB, Brazier JE, MacIntyre JB, Palfreyman SJ, Ratcliffe J, *et al*.

No. 14

The cost-effectiveness of screening for oral cancer in primary care.

By Speight PM, Palmer S, Moles DR, Downer MC, Smith DH, Henriksson M *et al.*

No. 15

Measurement of the clinical and costeffectiveness of non-invasive diagnostic testing strategies for deep vein thrombosis.

By Goodacre S, Sampson F, Stevenson M, Wailoo A, Sutton A, Thomas S, *et al*.

No. 16

Systematic review of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of HealOzone[®] for the treatment of occlusal pit/fissure caries and root caries.

By Brazzelli M, McKenzie L, Fielding S, Fraser C, Clarkson J, Kilonzo M, *et al.*

No. 17

Randomised controlled trials of conventional antipsychotic versus new atypical drugs, and new atypical drugs versus clozapine, in people with schizophrenia responding poorly to, or intolerant of, current drug treatment.

By Lewis SW, Davies L, Jones PB, Barnes TRE, Murray RM, Kerwin R, *et al.*

No. 18

Diagnostic tests and algorithms used in the investigation of haematuria: systematic reviews and economic evaluation.

By Rodgers M, Nixon J, Hempel S, Aho T, Kelly J, Neal D, *et al*.

No. 19

Cognitive behavioural therapy in addition to antispasmodic therapy for irritable bowel syndrome in primary care: randomised controlled trial.

By Kennedy TM, Chalder T, McCrone P, Darnley S, Knapp M, Jones RH, *et al*.

No. 20

A systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of enzyme replacement therapies for Fabry's disease and

mucopolysaccharidosis type 1.

By Connock M, Juarez-Garcia A, Frew E, Mans A, Dretzke J, Fry-Smith A, *et al.*

No. 21

Health benefits of antiviral therapy for mild chronic hepatitis C: randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation.

By Wright M, Grieve R, Roberts J, Main J, Thomas HC on behalf of the UK Mild Hepatitis C Trial Investigators.

No. 22

Pressure relieving support surfaces: a randomised evaluation.

By Nixon J, Nelson EA, Cranny G, Iglesias CP, Hawkins K, Cullum NA, et al.

No. 23

A systematic review and economic model of the effectiveness and costeffectiveness of methylphenidate, dexamfetamine and atomoxetine for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents.

By King S, Griffin S, Hodges Z, Weatherly H, Asseburg C, Richardson G, *et al.*

No. 24

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of enzyme replacement therapy for Gaucher's disease: a systematic review.

By Connock M, Burls A, Frew E, Fry-Smith A, Juarez-Garcia A, McCabe C, *et al*.

No. 25

Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of salicylic acid and cryotherapy for cutaneous warts. An economic decision model.

By Thomas KS, Keogh-Brown MR, Chalmers JR, Fordham RJ, Holland RC, Armstrong SJ, *et al*.

No. 26

A systematic literature review of the effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions to prevent wandering in dementia and evaluation of the ethical implications and acceptability of their use.

By Robinson L, Hutchings D, Corner L, Beyer F, Dickinson H, Vanoli A, et al.

No. 27

A review of the evidence on the effects and costs of implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy in different patient groups, and modelling of costeffectiveness and cost-utility for these groups in a UK context.

By Buxton M, Caine N, Chase D, Connelly D, Grace A, Jackson C, et al.



Adefovir dipivoxil and pegylated interferon alfa-2a for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Shepherd J, Jones J, Takeda A, Davidson P, Price A.

No. 29

An evaluation of the clinical and costeffectiveness of pulmonary artery catheters in patient management in intensive care: a systematic review and a randomised controlled trial.

By Harvey S, Stevens K, Harrison D, Young D, Brampton W, McCabe C, *et al.*

No. 30

Accurate, practical and cost-effective assessment of carotid stenosis in the UK.

By Wardlaw JM, Chappell FM, Stevenson M, De Nigris E, Thomas S, Gillard J, *et al*.

No. 31

Etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Woolacott N, Bravo Vergel Y, Hawkins N, Kainth A, Khadjesari Z, Misso K, *et al*.

No. 32

The cost-effectiveness of testing for hepatitis C in former injecting drug users.

By Castelnuovo E, Thompson-Coon J, Pitt M, Cramp M, Siebert U, Price A, *et al.*

No. 33

Computerised cognitive behaviour therapy for depression and anxiety update: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Kaltenthaler E, Brazier J, De Nigris E, Tumur I, Ferriter M, Beverley C, *et al*.

No. 34

Cost-effectiveness of using prognostic information to select women with breast cancer for adjuvant systemic therapy.

By Williams C, Brunskill S, Altman D, Briggs A, Campbell H, Clarke M, *et al.*

No. 35

Psychological therapies including dialectical behaviour therapy for borderline personality disorder: a systematic review and preliminary economic evaluation.

By Brazier J, Tumur I, Holmes M, Ferriter M, Parry G, Dent-Brown K, et al.

No. 36

Clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of tests for the diagnosis and investigation of urinary tract infection in children: a systematic review and economic model.

By Whiting P, Westwood M, Bojke L, Palmer S, Richardson G, Cooper J, *et al*.

No. 37

Cognitive behavioural therapy in chronic fatigue syndrome: a randomised controlled trial of an outpatient group programme.

By O'Dowd H, Gladwell P, Rogers CA, Hollinghurst S, Gregory A.

No. 38

A comparison of the cost-effectiveness of five strategies for the prevention of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug-induced gastrointestinal toxicity: a systematic review with economic modelling.

By Brown TJ, Hooper L, Elliott RA, Payne K, Webb R, Roberts C, et al.

No. 39

The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of computed tomography screening for coronary artery disease: systematic review.

By Waugh N, Black C, Walker S, McIntyre L, Cummins E, Hillis G.

No. 40

What are the clinical outcome and costeffectiveness of endoscopy undertaken by nurses when compared with doctors? A Multi-Institution Nurse Endoscopy Trial (MINuET).

By Williams J, Russell I, Durai D, Cheung W-Y, Farrin A, Bloor K, et al.

No. 41

The clinical and cost-effectiveness of oxaliplatin and capecitabine for the adjuvant treatment of colon cancer: systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Pandor A, Eggington S, Paisley S, Tappenden P, Sutcliffe P.

No. 42

A systematic review of the effectiveness of adalimumab, etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis in adults and an economic evaluation of their costeffectiveness.

By Chen Y-F, Jobanputra P, Barton P, Jowett S, Bryan S, Clark W, *et al*.

No. 43

Telemedicine in dermatology: a randomised controlled trial. By Bowns IR, Collins K, Walters SJ, McDonagh AJG.

No. 44

Cost-effectiveness of cell salvage and alternative methods of minimising perioperative allogeneic blood transfusion: a systematic review and economic model.

By Davies L, Brown TJ, Haynes S, Payne K, Elliott RA, McCollum C.

No. 45

Clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer: systematic reviews and economic evaluation.

By Murray A, Lourenco T, de Verteuil R, Hernandez R, Fraser C, McKinley A, *et al*.

No. 46

Etanercept and efalizumab for the treatment of psoriasis: a systematic review.

By Woolacott N, Hawkins N, Mason A, Kainth A, Khadjesari Z, Bravo Vergel Y, *et al*.

No. 47

Systematic reviews of clinical decision tools for acute abdominal pain. By Liu JLY, Wyatt JC, Deeks JJ, Clamp S, Keen J, Verde P, *et al*.

No. 48

Evaluation of the ventricular assist device programme in the UK. By Sharples L, Buxton M, Caine N, Cafferty F, Demiris N, Dyer M, *et al.*

No. 49

A systematic review and economic model of the clinical and costeffectiveness of immunosuppressive therapy for renal transplantation in children.

By Yao G, Albon E, Adi Y, Milford D, Bayliss S, Ready A, et al.

No. 50

Amniocentesis results: investigation of anxiety. The ARIA trial. By Hewison J, Nixon J, Fountain J,

Cocks K, Jones C, Mason G, et al.

Volume 11, 2007

No. 1

Pemetrexed disodium for the treatment of malignant pleural mesothelioma: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Dundar Y, Bagust A, Dickson R, Dodd S, Green J, Haycox A, *et al*.

No. 2

A systematic review and economic model of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of docetaxel in combination with prednisone or prednisolone for the treatment of hormone-refractory metastatic prostate cancer.

By Collins R, Fenwick E, Trowman R, Perard R, Norman G, Light K, *et al.*

No. 3

A systematic review of rapid diagnostic tests for the detection of tuberculosis infection.

By Dinnes J, Deeks J, Kunst H, Gibson A, Cummins E, Waugh N, *et al*.

No. 4

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of strontium ranelate for the prevention of osteoporotic fragility fractures in postmenopausal women.

By Stevenson M, Davis S, Lloyd-Jones M, Beverley C.

A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative research on the role and effectiveness of written information available to patients about individual medicines.

By Raynor DK, Blenkinsopp A, Knapp P, Grime J, Nicolson DJ, Pollock K, *et al*.

No. 6

Oral naltrexone as a treatment for relapse prevention in formerly opioid-dependent drug users: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Adi Y, Juarez-Garcia A, Wang D, Jowett S, Frew E, Day E, *et al*.

No. 7

Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: a systematic review and cost-utility analysis.

By Kanis JA, Stevenson M, McCloskey EV, Davis S, Lloyd-Jones M.

No. 8

Epidemiological, social, diagnostic and economic evaluation of population screening for genital chlamydial infection.

By Low N, McCarthy A, Macleod J, Salisbury C, Campbell R, Roberts TE, *et al.*

No. 9

Methadone and buprenorphine for the management of opioid dependence: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Connock M, Juarez-Garcia A, Jowett S, Frew E, Liu Z, Taylor RJ, *et al.*

No. 10

Exercise Evaluation Randomised Trial (EXERT): a randomised trial comparing GP referral for leisure centre-based exercise, community-based walking and advice only.

By Isaacs AJ, Critchley JA, See Tai S, Buckingham K, Westley D, Harridge SDR, *et al*.

No. 11

Interferon alfa (pegylated and non-pegylated) and ribavirin for the treatment of mild chronic hepatitis C: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Shepherd J, Jones J, Hartwell D, Davidson P, Price A, Waugh N.

No. 12

Systematic review and economic evaluation of bevacizumab and cetuximab for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer.

By Tappenden P, Jones R, Paisley S, Carroll C.

No. 13

A systematic review and economic evaluation of epoetin alfa, epoetin beta and darbepoetin alfa in anaemia associated with cancer, especially that attributable to cancer treatment.

By Wilson J, Yao GL, Raftery J, Bohlius J, Brunskill S, Sandercock J, *et al.*

No. 14

A systematic review and economic evaluation of statins for the prevention of coronary events.

By Ward S, Lloyd Jones M, Pandor A, Holmes M, Ara R, Ryan A, *et al*.

No. 15

A systematic review of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of different models of community-based respite care for frail older people and their carers.

By Mason A, Weatherly H, Spilsbury K, Arksey H, Golder S, Adamson J, *et al.*

No. 16

Additional therapy for young children with spastic cerebral palsy: a randomised controlled trial.

By Weindling AM, Cunningham CC, Glenn SM, Edwards RT, Reeves DJ.

No. 17

Screening for type 2 diabetes: literature review and economic modelling. By Waugh N, Scotland G, McNamee P, Gillett M, Brennan A, Goyder E, *et al*.

No. 18

The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of cinacalcet for secondary hyperparathyroidism in end-stage renal disease patients on dialysis: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Garside R, Pitt M, Anderson R, Mealing S, Roome C, Snaith A, *et al.*

No. 19

The clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of gemcitabine for metastatic breast cancer: a systematic review and economic evaluation. By Takeda AL, Jones J, Loveman E, Tan SC, Clegg AJ.

No. 20

A systematic review of duplex ultrasound, magnetic resonance angiography and computed tomography angiography for the diagnosis and assessment of symptomatic, lower limb peripheral arterial disease.

By Collins R, Cranny G, Burch J, Aguiar-Ibáñez R, Craig D, Wright K, *et al*.

No. 21

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of treatments for children with idiopathic steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome: a systematic review. By Colquitt JL, Kirby J, Green C,

Cooper K, Trompeter RS.

No. 22

A systematic review of the routine monitoring of growth in children of primary school age to identify growth-related conditions. By Fayter D, Nixon J, Hartley S,

Rithalia A, Butler G, Rudolf M, et al.

No. 23

Systematic review of the effectiveness of preventing and treating *Staphylococcus aureus* carriage in reducing peritoneal catheter-related infections.

By McCormack K, Rabindranath K, Kilonzo M, Vale L, Fraser C, McIntyre L, *et al.*

No. 24

The clinical effectiveness and cost of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation versus electroconvulsive therapy in severe depression: a multicentre pragmatic randomised controlled trial and economic analysis.

By McLoughlin DM, Mogg A, Eranti S, Pluck G, Purvis R, Edwards D, *et al.*

No. 25

A randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation of direct versus indirect and individual versus group modes of speech and language therapy for children with primary language impairment.

By Boyle J, McCartney E, Forbes J, O'Hare A.

No. 26

Hormonal therapies for early breast cancer: systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Hind D, Ward S, De Nigris E, Simpson E, Carroll C, Wyld L.

No. 27

Cardioprotection against the toxic effects of anthracyclines given to children with cancer: a systematic review.

By Bryant J, Picot J, Levitt G, Sullivan I, Baxter L, Clegg A.

No. 28

Adalimumab, etanercept and infliximab for the treatment of ankylosing spondylitis: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By McLeod C, Bagust A, Boland A, Dagenais P, Dickson R, Dundar Y, et al.



Prenatal screening and treatment strategies to prevent group B streptococcal and other bacterial infections in early infancy: costeffectiveness and expected value of information analyses.

By Colbourn T, Asseburg C, Bojke L, Philips Z, Claxton K, Ades AE, *et al.*

No. 30

Clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of bone morphogenetic proteins in the non-healing of fractures and spinal fusion: a systematic review.

By Garrison KR, Donell S, Ryder J, Shemilt I, Mugford M, Harvey I, *et al.*

No. 31

A randomised controlled trial of postoperative radiotherapy following breast-conserving surgery in a minimum-risk older population. The PRIME trial.

By Prescott RJ, Kunkler IH, Williams LJ, King CC, Jack W, van der Pol M, *et al.*

No. 32

Current practice, accuracy, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the school entry hearing screen.

By Bamford J, Fortnum H, Bristow K, Smith J, Vamvakas G, Davies L, *et al*.

No. 33

The clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of inhaled insulin in diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Black C, Cummins E, Royle P, Philip S, Waugh N.

No. 34

Surveillance of cirrhosis for hepatocellular carcinoma: systematic review and economic analysis.

By Thompson Coon J, Rogers G, Hewson P, Wright D, Anderson R, Cramp M, *et al*.

No. 35

The Birmingham Rehabilitation Uptake Maximisation Study (BRUM). Homebased compared with hospital-based cardiac rehabilitation in a multi-ethnic population: cost-effectiveness and patient adherence.

By Jolly K, Taylor R, Lip GYH, Greenfield S, Raftery J, Mant J, et al.

No. 36

A systematic review of the clinical, public health and cost-effectiveness of rapid diagnostic tests for the detection and identification of bacterial intestinal pathogens in faeces and food.

By Abubakar I, Irvine L, Aldus CF, Wyatt GM, Fordham R, Schelenz S, *et al.*

No. 37

A randomised controlled trial examining the longer-term outcomes of standard versus new antiepileptic drugs. The SANAD trial.

By Marson AG, Appleton R, Baker GA, Chadwick DW, Doughty J, Eaton B, *et al*.

No. 38

Clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of different models of managing long-term oral anticoagulation therapy: a systematic review and economic modelling.

By Connock M, Stevens C, Fry-Smith A, Jowett S, Fitzmaurice D, Moore D, *et al.*

No. 39

A systematic review and economic model of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of interventions for preventing relapse in people with bipolar disorder.

By Soares-Weiser K, Bravo Vergel Y, Beynon S, Dunn G, Barbieri M, Duffy S, *et al.*

No. 40

Taxanes for the adjuvant treatment of early breast cancer: systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Ward S, Simpson E, Davis S, Hind D, Rees A, Wilkinson A.

No. 41

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of screening for open angle glaucoma: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Burr JM, Mowatt G, Hernández R, Siddiqui MAR, Cook J, Lourenco T, *et al.*

No. 42

Acceptability, benefit and costs of early screening for hearing disability: a study of potential screening tests and models.

By Davis A, Smith P, Ferguson M, Stephens D, Gianopoulos I.

No. 43

Contamination in trials of educational interventions.

By Keogh-Brown MR, Bachmann MO, Shepstone L, Hewitt C, Howe A, Ramsay CR, *et al*.

No. 44

Overview of the clinical effectiveness of positron emission tomography imaging in selected cancers.

By Facey K, Bradbury I, Laking G, Payne E.

No. 45

The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of carmustine implants and temozolomide for the treatment of newly diagnosed high-grade glioma: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Garside R, Pitt M, Anderson R, Rogers G, Dyer M, Mealing S, *et al.*

No. 46

Drug-eluting stents: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Hill RA, Boland A, Dickson R, Dündar Y, Haycox A, McLeod C, *et al.*

No. 47

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of cardiac resynchronisation (biventricular pacing) for heart failure: systematic review and economic model.

By Fox M, Mealing S, Anderson R, Dean J, Stein K, Price A, *et al*.

No. 48

Recruitment to randomised trials: strategies for trial enrolment and participation study. The STEPS study.

By Campbell MK, Snowdon C, Francis D, Elbourne D, McDonald AM, Knight R, *et al*.

No. 49

Cost-effectiveness of functional cardiac testing in the diagnosis and management of coronary artery disease: a randomised controlled trial. The CECaT trial.

By Sharples L, Hughes V, Crean A, Dyer M, Buxton M, Goldsmith K, *et al.*

No. 50

Evaluation of diagnostic tests when there is no gold standard. A review of methods.

By Rutjes AWS, Reitsma JB, Coomarasamy A, Khan KS, Bossuyt PMM.

No. 51

Systematic reviews of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of proton pump inhibitors in acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

By Leontiadis GI, Sreedharan A, Dorward S, Barton P, Delaney B, Howden CW, *et al*.

No. 52

A review and critique of modelling in prioritising and designing screening programmes.

By Karnon J, Goyder E, Tappenden P, McPhie S, Towers I, Brazier J, *et al*.

No. 53

An assessment of the impact of the NHS Health Technology Assessment Programme.

By Hanney S, Buxton M, Green C, Coulson D, Raftery J.

Volume 12, 2008

No. 1

A systematic review and economic model of switching from nonglycopeptide to glycopeptide antibiotic prophylaxis for surgery.

By Cranny G, Elliott R, Weatherly H, Chambers D, Hawkins N, Myers L, *et al.*



'Cut down to quit' with nicotine replacement therapies in smoking cessation: a systematic review of effectiveness and economic analysis.

By Wang D, Connock M, Barton P, Fry-Smith A, Aveyard P, Moore D.

No. 3

A systematic review of the effectiveness of strategies for reducing fracture risk in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis with additional data on longterm risk of fracture and cost of disease management.

By Thornton J, Ashcroft D, O'Neill T, Elliott R, Adams J, Roberts C, *et al*.

No. 4

Does befriending by trained lay workers improve psychological well-being and quality of life for carers of people with dementia, and at what cost? A randomised controlled trial.

By Charlesworth G, Shepstone L, Wilson E, Thalanany M, Mugford M, Poland F.

No. 5

A multi-centre retrospective cohort study comparing the efficacy, safety and costeffectiveness of hysterectomy and uterine artery embolisation for the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids. The HOPEFUL study.

By Hirst A, Dutton S, Wu O, Briggs A, Edwards C, Waldenmaier L, *et al*.

No. 6

Methods of prediction and prevention of pre-eclampsia: systematic reviews of accuracy and effectiveness literature with economic modelling.

By Meads CA, Cnossen JS, Meher S, Juarez-Garcia A, ter Riet G, Duley L, *et al.*

No. 7

The use of economic evaluations in NHS decision-making: a review and empirical investigation.

By Williams I, McIver S, Moore D, Bryan S.

No. 8

Stapled haemorrhoidectomy (haemorrhoidopexy) for the treatment of haemorrhoids: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Burch J, Epstein D, Baba-Akbari A, Weatherly H, Fox D, Golder S, *et al*.

No. 9

The clinical effectiveness of diabetes education models for Type 2 diabetes: a systematic review.

By Loveman E, Frampton GK, Clegg AJ.

No. 10

Payment to healthcare professionals for patient recruitment to trials: systematic review and qualitative study. By Raftery J, Bryant J, Powell J, Kerr C, Hawker S.

No. 11

Cyclooxygenase-2 selective non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (etodolac, meloxicam, celecoxib, rofecoxib, etoricoxib, valdecoxib and lumiracoxib) for osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Chen Y-F, Jobanputra P, Barton P, Bryan S, Fry-Smith A, Harris G, *et al.*

No. 12

The clinical effectiveness and costeffectiveness of central venous catheters treated with anti-infective agents in preventing bloodstream infections: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Hockenhull JC, Dwan K, Boland A, Smith G, Bagust A, Dündar Y, *et al.*

No. 13

Stepped treatment of older adults on laxatives. The STOOL trial. By Mihaylov S, Stark C, McColl E,

Steen N, Vanoli A, Rubin G, *et al.*

No. 14

A randomised controlled trial of cognitive behaviour therapy in adolescents with major depression treated by selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. The ADAPT trial.

By Goodyer IM, Dubicka B, Wilkinson P, Kelvin R, Roberts C, Byford S, *et al*.

No. 15

The use of irinotecan, oxaliplatin and raltitrexed for the treatment of advanced colorectal cancer: systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Hind D, Tappenden P, Tumur I, Eggington E, Sutcliffe P, Ryan A.

No. 16

Ranibizumab and pegaptanib for the treatment of age-related macular degeneration: a systematic review and economic evaluation.

By Colquitt JL, Jones J, Tan SC, Takeda A, Clegg AJ, Price A.

No. 17

Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of 64-slice or higher computed tomography angiography as an alternative to invasive coronary angiography in the investigation of coronary artery disease.

By Mowatt G, Cummins E, Waugh N, Walker S, Cook J, Jia X, et al.

No. 18

Structural neuroimaging in psychosis: a systematic review and economic evaluation. By Albon E, Tsourapas A, Frew E,

Davenport C, Oyebode F, Bayliss S, et al.

No. 19

Systematic review and economic analysis of the comparative effectiveness of different inhaled corticosteroids and their usage with long-acting beta₂ agonists for the treatment of chronic asthma in adults and children aged 12 years and over.

By Shepherd J, Rogers G, Anderson R, Main C, Thompson-Coon J, Hartwell D, *et al.*

No. 20

Systematic review and economic analysis of the comparative effectiveness of different inhaled corticosteroids and their usage with long-acting beta₂ agonists for the treatment of chronic asthma in children under the age of 12 years.

By Main C, Shepherd J, Anderson R, Rogers G, Thompson-Coon J, Liu Z, et al.

No. 21

Ezetimibe for the treatment of hypercholesterolaemia: a systematic review and economic evaluation. By Ara R, Tumur I, Pandor A,

Duenas A, Williams R, Wilkinson A, et al.

No. 22

Topical or oral ibuprofen for chronic knee pain in older people. The TOIB study.

By Underwood M, Ashby D, Carnes D, Castelnuovo E, Cross P, Harding G, et al.

No. 23

A prospective randomised comparison of minor surgery in primary and secondary care. The MiSTIC trial. By George S, Pockney P, Primrose J, Smith H, Little P, Kinley H, *et al.*

No. 24

A review and critical appraisal of measures of therapist–patient interactions in mental health settings. By Cahill J, Barkham M, Hardy G, Gilbody S, Richards D, Bower P, *et al.*





Director,

Deputy Director,

Professor Tom Walley, Director, NHS HTA Programme, Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, University of Liverpool **Professor Jon Nicholl,** Director, Medical Care Research Unit, University of Sheffield, School of Health and Related Research

Prioritisation Strategy Group

HTA Commissioning Board

Members

Chair,

Professor Tom Walley, Director, NHS HTA Programme, Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, University of Liverpool Professor Bruce Campbell, Consultant Vascular & General Surgeon, Royal Devon & Exeter Hospital

Professor Robin E Ferner, Consultant Physician and Director, West Midlands Centre for Adverse Drug Reactions, City Hospital NHS Trust, Birmingham Dr Edmund Jessop, Medical Adviser, National Specialist, Commissioning Advisory Group (NSCAG), Department of Health, London Professor Jon Nicholl, Director,

Medical Care Research Unit, University of Sheffield, School of Health and Related Research Dr Ron Zimmern, Director, Public Health Genetics Unit, Strangeways Research Laboratories, Cambridge

Members

Programme Director,

Professor Tom Walley, Director, NHS HTA Programme, Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, University of Liverpool

Chair,

Professor Jon Nicholl, Director, Medical Care Research Unit, University of Sheffield, School of Health and Related Research

Deputy Chair, Dr Andrew Farmer, University Lecturer in General Practice, Department of Primary Health Care, University of Oxford

Dr Jeffrey Aronson, Reader in Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford Professor Deborah Ashby, Professor of Medical Statistics, Department of Environmental and Preventative Medicine, Queen Mary University of London

Professor Ann Bowling, Professor of Health Services Research, Primary Care and Population Studies, University College London

Professor John Cairns, Professor of Health Economics, Public Health Policy, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London

Professor Nicky Cullum, Director of Centre for Evidence Based Nursing, Department of Health Sciences, University of York

Professor Jon Deeks, Professor of Health Statistics, University of Birmingham Professor Jenny Donovan, Professor of Social Medicine, Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol

Professor Freddie Hamdy, Professor of Urology, University of Sheffield

Professor Allan House, Professor of Liaison Psychiatry, University of Leeds

Professor Sallie Lamb, Director, Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, University of Warwick

Professor Stuart Logan, Director of Health & Social Care Research, The Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter & Plymouth

Professor Miranda Mugford, Professor of Health Economics, University of East Anglia

Dr Linda Patterson, Consultant Physician, Department of Medicine, Burnley General Hospital Professor Ian Roberts, Professor of Epidemiology & Public Health, Intervention Research Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Professor Mark Sculpher, Professor of Health Economics, Centre for Health Economics, Institute for Research in the Social Services, University of York

Professor Kate Thomas, Professor of Complementary and Alternative Medicine, University of Leeds

Professor David John Torgerson, Director of York Trial Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York

Professor Hywel Williams, Professor of Dermato-Epidemiology, University of Nottingham

Current and past membership details of all HTA 'committees' are available from the HTA website (www.hta.ac.uk)

Diagnostic Technologies & Screening Panel

Members

Chair, Dr Ron Zimmern, Director of the Public Health Genetics Unit, Strangeways Research Laboratories, Cambridge

Ms Norma Armston, Freelance Consumer Advocate, Bolton

Professor Max Bachmann, Professor of Health Care Interfaces, Department of Health Policy and Practice, University of East Anglia

Professor Rudy Bilous Professor of Clinical Medicine & Consultant Physician, The Academic Centre, South Tees Hospitals NHS Trust

Ms Dea Birkett, Service User Representative, London Dr Paul Cockcroft, Consultant Medical Microbiologist and Clinical Director of Pathology, Department of Clinical Microbiology, St Mary's Hospital, Portsmouth

Professor Adrian K Dixon, Professor of Radiology, University Department of Radiology, University of Cambridge Clinical School

Dr David Elliman, Consultant in Community Child Health, Islington PCT & Great Ormond Street Hospital, London

Professor Glyn Elwyn, Research Chair, Centre for Health Sciences Research, Cardiff University, Department of General Practice, Cardiff

Professor Paul Glasziou, Director, Centre for Evidence-Based Practice, University of Oxford Dr Jennifer J Kurinczuk, Consultant Clinical Epidemiologist, National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, Oxford

Dr Susanne M Ludgate, Clinical Director, Medicines & Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency, London

Mr Stephen Pilling, Director, Centre for Outcomes, Research & Effectiveness, Joint Director, National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health, University College London

Mrs Una Rennard, Service User Representative, Oxford

Dr Phil Shackley, Senior Lecturer in Health Economics, Academic Vascular Unit, University of Sheffield Dr Margaret Somerville, Director of Public Health Learning, Peninsula Medical School, University of Plymouth

Dr Graham Taylor, Scientific Director & Senior Lecturer, Regional DNA Laboratory, The Leeds Teaching Hospitals

Professor Lindsay Wilson Turnbull, Scientific Director, Centre for MR Investigations & YCR Professor of Radiology, University of Hull

Professor Martin J Whittle, Clinical Co-director, National Co-ordinating Centre for Women's and Childhealth

Dr Dennis Wright, Consultant Biochemist & Clinical Director, The North West London Hospitals NHS Trust, Middlesex

Pharmaceuticals Panel

Members

Chair,

Professor Robin Ferner, Consultant Physician and Director, West Midlands Centre for Adverse Drug Reactions, City Hospital NHS Trust, Birmingham

Ms Anne Baileff, Consultant Nurse in First Contact Care, Southampton City Primary Care Trust, University of Southampton Professor Imti Choonara, Professor in Child Health, Academic Division of Child Health, University of Nottingham

Professor John Geddes, Professor of Epidemiological Psychiatry, University of Oxford

Mrs Barbara Greggains, Non-Executive Director, Greggains Management Ltd

Dr Bill Gutteridge, Medical Adviser, National Specialist Commissioning Advisory Group (NSCAG), London

Mrs Sharon Hart, Consultant Pharmaceutical Adviser, Reading Dr Jonathan Karnon, Senior Research Fellow, Health Economics and Decision Science, University of Sheffield

Dr Yoon Loke, Senior Lecturer in Clinical Pharmacology, University of East Anglia

Ms Barbara Meredith, Lay Member, Epsom

Dr Andrew Prentice, Senior Lecturer and Consultant Obstetrician & Gynaecologist, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, University of Cambridge

Dr Frances Rotblat, CPMP Delegate, Medicines & Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency, London Dr Martin Shelly, General Practitioner, Leeds

Mrs Katrina Simister, Assistant Director New Medicines, National Prescribing Centre, Liverpool

Dr Richard Tiner, Medical Director, Medical Department, Association of the British Pharmaceutical Industry, London



Therapeutic Procedures Panel

Members Chair, Professor Bruce Campbell, Consultant Vascular and General Surgeon, Department of Surgery, Royal Devon &

Exeter Hospital

Dr Mahmood Adil, Deputy Regional Director of Public Health, Department of Health, Manchester

Dr Aileen Clarke, Consultant in Public Health, Public Health Resource Unit, Oxford Professor Matthew Cooke, Professor of Emergency Medicine, Warwick Emergency Care and Rehabilitation, University of Warwick

Mr Mark Emberton, Senior Lecturer in Oncological Urology, Institute of Urology, University College Hospital

Professor Paul Gregg, Professor of Orthopaedic Surgical Science, Department of General Practice and Primary Care, South Tees Hospital NHS Trust, Middlesbrough

Ms Maryann L Hardy, Lecturer, Division of Radiography, University of Bradford Dr Simon de Lusignan, Senior Lecturer, Primary Care Informatics, Department of Community Health Sciences, St George's Hospital Medical School, London

Dr Peter Martin, Consultant Neurologist, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge

Professor Neil McIntosh, Edward Clark Professor of Child Life & Health, Department of Child Life & Health, University of Edinburgh

Professor Jim Neilson, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Liverpool Dr John C Pounsford, Consultant Physician, Directorate of Medical Services, North Bristol NHS Trust

Dr Karen Roberts, Nurse Consultant, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Gateshead

Dr Vimal Sharma, Consultant Psychiatrist/Hon. Senior Lecturer, Mental Health Resource Centre, Cheshire and Wirral Partnership NHS Trust, Wallasey

Professor Scott Weich, Professor of Psychiatry, Division of Health in the Community, University of Warwick

Disease Prevention Panel

Members

Chair, Dr Edmund Jessop, Medical Adviser, National Specialist Commissioning Advisory Group (NSCAG), London

Mrs Sheila Clark, Chief Executive, St James's Hospital, Portsmouth

Mr Richard Copeland, Lead Pharmacist: Clinical Economy/Interface, Wansbeck General Hospital, Northumberland Dr Elizabeth Fellow-Smith, Medical Director, West London Mental Health Trust, Middlesex

Mr Ian Flack, Director PPI Forum Support, Council of Ethnic Minority Voluntary Sector Organisations, Stratford

Dr John Jackson, General Practitioner, Newcastle upon Tyne

Mrs Veronica James, Chief Officer, Horsham District Age Concern, Horsham

Professor Mike Kelly, Director, Centre for Public Health Excellence, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, London Professor Yi Mien Koh, Director of Public Health and Medical Director, London NHS (North West London Strategic Health Authority), London

Ms Jeanett Martin, Director of Clinical Leadership & Quality, Lewisham PCT, London

Dr Chris McCall, General Practitioner, Dorset

Dr David Pencheon, Director, Eastern Region Public Health Observatory, Cambridge

Dr Ken Stein, Senior Clinical Lecturer in Public Health, Director, Peninsula Technology Assessment Group, University of Exeter, Exeter Dr Carol Tannahill, Director, Glasgow Centre for Population Health, Glasgow

Professor Margaret Thorogood, Professor of Epidemiology, University of Warwick, Coventry

Dr Ewan Wilkinson, Consultant in Public Health, Royal Liverpool University Hospital, Liverpool



Expert Advisory Network

Members

Professor Douglas Altman, Professor of Statistics in Medicine, Centre for Statistics in Medicine, University of Oxford

Professor John Bond, Director, Centre for Health Services Research, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, School of Population & Health Sciences, Newcastle upon Tyne

Professor Andrew Bradbury, Professor of Vascular Surgery, Solihull Hospital, Birmingham

Mr Shaun Brogan, Chief Executive, Ridgeway Primary Care Group, Aylesbury

Mrs Stella Burnside OBE, Chief Executive, Regulation and Improvement Authority, Belfast

Ms Tracy Bury, Project Manager, World Confederation for Physical Therapy, London

Professor Iain T Cameron, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology and Head of the School of Medicine, University of Southampton

Dr Christine Clark, Medical Writer & Consultant Pharmacist, Rossendale

Professor Collette Clifford, Professor of Nursing & Head of Research, School of Health Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham

Professor Barry Cookson, Director, Laboratory of Healthcare Associated Infection, Health Protection Agency, London

Dr Carl Counsell, Clinical Senior Lecturer in Neurology, Department of Medicine & Therapeutics, University of Aberdeen

Professor Howard Cuckle, Professor of Reproductive Epidemiology, Department of Paediatrics, Obstetrics & Gynaecology, University of Leeds

Dr Katherine Darton, Information Unit, MIND – The Mental Health Charity, London Professor Carol Dezateux, Professor of Paediatric Epidemiology, London

Dr Keith Dodd, Consultant Paediatrician, Derby

Mr John Dunning, Consultant Cardiothoracic Surgeon, Cardiothoracic Surgical Unit, Papworth Hospital NHS Trust, Cambridge

Mr Jonothan Earnshaw, Consultant Vascular Surgeon, Gloucestershire Royal Hospital, Gloucester

Professor Martin Eccles, Professor of Clinical Effectiveness, Centre for Health Services Research, University of Newcastle upon Tyne

Professor Pam Enderby, Professor of Community Rehabilitation, Institute of General Practice and Primary Care, University of Sheffield

Professor Gene Feder, Professor of Primary Care Research & Development, Centre for Health Sciences, Barts & The London Queen Mary's School of Medicine & Dentistry, London

Mr Leonard R Fenwick, Chief Executive, Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Trust

Mrs Gillian Fletcher, Antenatal Teacher & Tutor and President, National Childbirth Trust, Henfield

Professor Jayne Franklyn, Professor of Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Birmingham, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Edgbaston, Birmingham

Dr Neville Goodman, Consultant Anaesthetist, Southmead Hospital, Bristol

Professor Robert E Hawkins, CRC Professor and Director of Medical Oncology, Christie CRC Research Centre, Christie Hospital NHS Trust, Manchester

Professor Allen Hutchinson, Director of Public Health & Deputy Dean of ScHARR, Department of Public Health, University of Sheffield

Professor Peter Jones, Professor of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge Professor Stan Kaye, Cancer Research UK Professor of Medical Oncology, Section of Medicine, Royal Marsden Hospital & Institute of Cancer Research. Surrev

Dr Duncan Keeley, General Practitioner (Dr Burch & Ptnrs), The Health Centre, Thame

Dr Donna Lamping, Research Degrees Programme Director & Reader in Psychology, Health Services Research Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London

Mr George Levvy, Chief Executive, Motor Neurone Disease Association, Northampton

Professor James Lindesay, Professor of Psychiatry for the Elderly, University of Leicester, Leicester General Hospital

Professor Julian Little, Professor of Human Genome Epidemiology, Department of Epidemiology & Community Medicine, University of Ottawa

Professor Rajan Madhok, Consultant in Public Health, South Manchester Primary Care Trust, Manchester

Professor Alexander Markham, Director, Molecular Medicine Unit, St James's University Hospital, Leeds

Professor Alistaire McGuire, Professor of Health Economics, London School of Economics

Dr Peter Moore, Freelance Science Writer, Ashtead

Dr Andrew Mortimore, Public Health Director, Southampton City Primary Care Trust, Southampton

Dr Sue Moss, Associate Director, Cancer Screening Evaluation Unit, Institute of Cancer Research, Sutton

Mrs Julietta Patnick, Director, NHS Cancer Screening Programmes, Sheffield

Professor Robert Peveler, Professor of Liaison Psychiatry, Royal South Hants Hospital, Southampton Professor Chris Price, Visiting Professor in Clinical Biochemistry, University of Oxford

Professor William Rosenberg, Professor of Hepatology and Consultant Physician, University of Southampton, Southampton

Professor Peter Sandercock, Professor of Medical Neurology, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Edinburgh

Dr Susan Schonfield, Consultant in Public Health, Hillingdon PCT, Middlesex

Dr Eamonn Sheridan, Consultant in Clinical Genetics, Genetics Department, St James's University Hospital, Leeds

Professor Sarah Stewart-Brown, Professor of Public Health, University of Warwick, Division of Health in the Community Warwick Medical School, LWMS, Coventry

Professor Ala Szczepura, Professor of Health Service Research, Centre for Health Services Studies, University of Warwick

Dr Ross Taylor, Senior Lecturer, Department of General Practice and Primary Care, University of Aberdeen

Mrs Joan Webster, Consumer member, HTA – Expert Advisory Network



Feedback

The HTA Programme and the authors would like to know your views about this report.

The Correspondence Page on the HTA website (http://www.hta.ac.uk) is a convenient way to publish your comments. If you prefer, you can send your comments to the address below, telling us whether you would like us to transfer them to the website.

We look forward to hearing from you.

The National Coordinating Centre for Health Technology Assessment, Alpha House, Enterprise Road Southampton Science Park Chilworth Southampton SO16 7NS, UK. Fax: +44 (0) 23 8059 5639 Email: hta@hta.ac.uk http://www.hta.ac.uk