Multicentre cluster randomised trial comparing a community group exercise programme and home-based exercise with usual care for people aged 65 years and over in primary care

Steve Iliffe, 1* Denise Kendrick, 2 Richard Morris, 1 Tahir Masud, 3 Heather Gage, 4 Dawn Skelton, 5 Susie Dinan, 1 Ann Bowling, 6 Mark Griffin, 1 Deborah Haworth, 1 Glen Swanwick, 2 Hannah Carpenter, 2 Arun Kumar, 2 Zoe Stevens, 1 Sheena Gawler, 1 Cate Barlow, 1 Juliette Cook 2 and Carolyn Belcher 2

Declared competing interests of authors: Dawn Skelton and Susie Dinan are directors for Later Life Training, who deliver FaME and OEP training to health and leisure professionals across the UK. The other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Published August 2014 DOI: 10.3310/hta18490

¹Research Department of Primary Care and Population Health, University College London, London, UK

²Division of Primary Care, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

³Clinical Gerontology Research Unit, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, UK

⁴Department of Economics, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

⁵School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, UK

⁶Health Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

^{*}Corresponding author

Plain English summary

The ProAct65+ study

Health Technology Assessment 2014; Vol. 18: No. 49 DOI: 10.3310/hta18490

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Physical activity (PA) in later life can improve physical and mental health and quality of life, yet many older adults are inactive.

The ProAct65+ trial tested two methods of promoting PA with older people, one with weekly classes and the other with home exercises, both for 24 weeks. The aim of the study was to examine if the two exercise programmes were effective in increasing levels of PA 12 months after each programme ended.

We invited people aged ≥65 years from 43 general practices to take part in the study, and 1256 did so. Practices were randomly allocated to have class exercises, home exercise or usual care (with no special exercise plan). We measured different aspects of health and well-being. The aim was to increase the proportion of participants who reached or exceeded 150 minutes per week of moderate to vigorous PA.

Participants were followed up for 12 months after the exercise intervention ended. Significantly more of those participants in the exercise classes than in the usual-care group reached the target for PA at the 12-month follow-up. Those who had home exercise alone were no more likely to reach the PA target compared with the usual-care group. At follow-up the exercise class group had significantly fewer falls than the usual-care group, but there was no significant difference for the exercise at home group. Participants in the exercise class arm were more likely to be positive about exercise at follow-up. There were no other changes in health and well-being.

HTA/HTA TAR

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 5.116

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the ISI Science Citation Index and is assessed for inclusion in the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: www.hta.ac.uk/

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 06/36/04. The contractual start date was in June 2008. The draft report began editorial review in October 2013 and was accepted for publication in February 2014. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2014. This work was produced by Iliffe et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Editor-in-Chief of Health Technology Assessment and NIHR Journals Library

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the HTA Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andree Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

Professor Aileen Clarke Professor of Public Health and Health Services Research, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Director of NETSCC, HTA, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Elaine McColl Director, Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Health Sciences Research, Faculty of Education, University of Winchester, UK

Professor Jane Norman Professor of Maternal and Fetal Health, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, University College London, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk