The SNAP trial: a randomised placebo-controlled trial of nicotine replacement therapy in pregnancy – clinical effectiveness and safety until 2 years after delivery, with economic evaluation

Sue Cooper, 1* Sarah Lewis, 2 James G Thornton, 3,4 Neil Marlow, 5 Kim Watts, 6 John Britton, 2 Matthew J Grainge, 2 Jaspal Taggar, 1 Holly Essex, 7 Steve Parrott, 7 Anne Dickinson, 1 Rachel Whitemore 1 and Tim Coleman 1 for the Smoking, Nicotine And Pregnancy (SNAP) Trial Team 1

¹Division of Primary Care, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

Declared competing interests of authors: Neil Marlow reports personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Elsevier, outside the submitted work. Tim Coleman reports personal fees from Pierre Fabre Laboratories, France, outside the submitted work.

Published August 2014 DOI: 10.3310/hta18540

²Division of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

³Division of Child Health, Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

⁴Nottingham Clinical Trials Unit, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

⁵Institute for Women's Health, University College London, London, UK

⁶Academic Division of Midwifery, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

⁷Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, UK

^{*}Corresponding author

[†]Additional members of the team are listed in the Acknowledgements.

Plain English summary

The SNAP trial

Health Technology Assessment 2014; Vol. 18: No. 54 DOI: 10.3310/hta18540

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Smoking in pregnancy harms developing babies, but stopping smoking before childbirth improves infants' health. Nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) helps non-pregnant smokers quit but, in pregnancy, women's bodies process nicotine faster. Therefore, we cannot assume that NRT will help pregnant smokers and previous research studies have not shown that it does. We tested whether or not NRT patches help pregnant women stop smoking and looked at effects on their newborn babies and when they reached 2 years of age.

A total of 1050 smokers joined the study and were randomly allocated to a 2-month supply of either NRT or identical dummy patches. Women's smoking was monitored 1 month after joining the study, at childbirth and at 6, 12 and 24 months afterwards. Babies' health was monitored at birth and their development and breathing problems were assessed when they were 2 years old.

Effects on smoking were modest. Those using NRT were twice as likely to stop smoking for 4 weeks immediately after joining the study; however, at childbirth and until 24 months, although there were slightly fewer smokers in the NRT group, this small difference would not usually be considered important. Babies' health at birth was very similar no matter which patches mothers had been allocated. However, 2-year-old children born to women in the NRT group were more likely to have no development problems and there was no difference in the frequency of breathing problems between groups.

We need further research to find out if higher doses of NRT could help pregnant women to stop smoking.

HTA/HTA TAR

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 5.116

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the ISI Science Citation Index and is assessed for inclusion in the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 06/07/01. The contractual start date was in February 2006. The draft report began editorial review in June 2013 and was accepted for publication in October 2013. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2014. This work was produced by Cooper et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Editor-in-Chief of *Health Technology Assessment* and NIHR Journals Library

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the HTA Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andree Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

Professor Aileen Clarke Professor of Public Health and Health Services Research, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Director of NETSCC, HTA, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Elaine McColl Director, Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Health Sciences Research, Faculty of Education, University of Winchester, UK

Professor Jane Norman Professor of Maternal and Fetal Health, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, University College London, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk