## Systematic review, meta-analysis and economic modelling of molecular diagnostic tests for antibiotic resistance in tuberculosis

Francis Drobniewski,<sup>1,2,3,4\*</sup> Mary Cooke,<sup>5</sup> Jake Jordan,<sup>6</sup> Nicola Casali,<sup>3,4</sup> Tendai Mugwagwa,<sup>7,8</sup> Agnieszka Broda,<sup>3,4</sup> Catherine Townsend,<sup>9</sup> Anand Sivaramakrishnan,<sup>10</sup> Nathan Green,<sup>7,8</sup> Mark Jit,<sup>7,11</sup> Marc Lipman,<sup>12</sup> Joanne Lord,<sup>6</sup> Peter J White<sup>7,8,13</sup> and Ibrahim Abubakar<sup>5</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Public Health England National Mycobacterium Reference Laboratory, London, UK
- <sup>2</sup>Departments of Microbiology and Respiratory Medicine, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases and Immunity, Imperial College London, London, UK
- <sup>4</sup>Centre of Immunology and Infectious Disease, Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- <sup>5</sup>Centre for Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Research Department of Infection and Population Health, University College London, London, UK
- <sup>6</sup>Health Economics Research Group, Brunel University, Uxbridge, UK
- <sup>7</sup>Modelling and Economics Unit, Centre for Infectious Disease Surveillance and Control, Public Health England, London, UK
- <sup>8</sup>Medical Research Council (MRC) Centre for Outbreak Analysis and Modelling, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Imperial College London School of Public Health, London, UK
- <sup>9</sup>Imperial College London, London, UK
- <sup>10</sup>Department of Microbiology, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK
- <sup>11</sup>Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK
- <sup>12</sup>Division of Medicine, University College London, London, UK
- <sup>13</sup>National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Protection Research Unit in Modelling Methodology, Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Imperial College London School of Public Health, London, UK

\*Corresponding author

**Declared competing interests of authors:** Peter J White reports grants from Medical Research Council (MRC) and from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) during the conduct of the study, and grants from Otsuka outside the submitted work. Francis Drobniewski reports grants from EU FP7, European Centre for Disease Control, the World Health Organization and the Technology Strategy Board, outside the submitted work. Ibrahim Abubakar reports grants from NIHR and MRC outside the submitted work. Joanne Lord reports grants from NIHR outside the submitted work.

Published May 2015 DOI: 10.3310/hta19340

### **Plain English summary**

Molecular diagnostic tests for antibiotic resistance in tuberculosis Health Technology Assessment 2015; Vol. 19: No. 34 DOI: 10.3310/hta19340

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

# **Plain English summary**

Worldwide, there are almost 9 million cases of tuberculosis (TB) every year. The disease mainly affects the lungs and is spread person to person by breathing in droplets that contain the TB bacteria coughed up by someone else. TB can be cured but, if the bacteria have become resistant to the most effective drugs (isoniazid and rifampicin), the treatment can take up to 2 years, requires more toxic drugs and is less likely to be successful.

Although seeing bacteria in a person's phlegm under a microscope is a quick way of making a diagnosis, often the bacteria cannot be seen. Growing the bacteria requires special materials and, although less likely to miss a case of disease, this can take weeks. Scientists have developed new tests that give results within a day and can identify cases almost as well as growing the bacteria.

This report describes the performance, cost and likely benefit of rapid tests for drug-resistant TB. It brings together all that is currently known on the subject from the world's scientific literature and uses a computer model to explore what the impact of the tests could be (including the cost to the NHS). All of the new tests were good at telling when people had lung TB and when a case was likely to be cured by treatment with isoniazid and rifampicin. Faster diagnosis benefits patients by improving treatment results, including survival, can reduce costs of keeping patients in isolation until their diagnosis and may reduce spread of the disease between people.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2015. This work was produced by Drobniewski *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

### **Health Technology Assessment**

#### HTA/HTA TAR

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 5.116

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the ISI Science Citation Index and is assessed for inclusion in the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

#### Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

#### **HTA programme**

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

#### This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 10/96/01. The contractual start date was in November 2011. The draft report began editorial review in May 2014 and was accepted for publication in February 2015. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2015. This work was produced by Drobniewski *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

# Editor-in-Chief of *Health Technology Assessment* and NIHR Journals Library

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the HTA Programme, UK

#### **NIHR Journals Library Editors**

**Professor Ken Stein** Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andree Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

**Professor Matthias Beck** Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

**Professor Aileen Clarke** Professor of Public Health and Health Services Research, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Director of NETSCC, HTA, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

**Professor Elaine McColl** Director, Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Health Sciences Research, Faculty of Education, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

**Professor James Raftery** Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

**Professor Helen Snooks** Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk