Active Treatment for Idiopathic Adolescent Scoliosis (ACTIvATeS): a feasibility study

Mark A Williams,^{1*} Peter J Heine,² Esther M Williamson,¹ Francine Toye,³ Melina Dritsaki,² Stavros Petrou,² Richard Crossman,² Ranjit Lall,² Karen L Barker,^{1,3} Jeremy Fairbank,^{1,3} Ian Harding,⁴ Adrian Gardner,⁵ Anne-Marie Slowther,⁶ Neil Coulson⁷ and Sarah E Lamb^{1,2} on behalf of the ACTIvATeS study group

 ¹Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
²Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK
³Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust, Oxford, UK
⁴North Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol, UK
⁵Royal Orthopaedic Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK
⁶Health Sciences, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK
⁷School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

*Corresponding author

Declared competing interests of authors: Jeremy Fairbank reports non-financial support from Integrated Shape Imaging System (ISIS) scanner during the conduct of the study. Adrian Gardner reports grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Medtronic Spine, Dublin, Ireland, and personal fees from Depuy Synthesis Spine, Leeds, UK, outside the submitted work.

Published July 2015 DOI: 10.3310/hta19550

Plain English summary

Active Treatment for Idiopathic Adolescent Scoliosis (ACTIvATeS) Health Technology Assessment 2015; Vol. 19: No. 55 DOI: 10.3310/hta19550

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

A dolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) is a three-dimensional twisting of the spine of unknown cause, resulting in a sideways curvature and sometimes an obvious hump. It affects approximately 2 in every 1000 children in the UK. Depending on the stage of growth and amount of spinal curvature, patients may be offered surgery or conservative treatment with progress monitored by a spinal consultant.

Current standard NHS care for those not requiring surgery is variable but generally consists of advice and monitoring, supplemented with bracing for a small minority of patients. Exercises are not routinely prescribed and, according to our up-to-date systematic review, there is limited evidence regarding whether or not exercises are beneficial.

The main study aim was to assess the feasibility of conducting a conclusive randomised controlled trial (RCT) comparing a scoliosis-specific exercise programme with routine NHS care for patients with AIS. The study aimed to find out if enough patients could be recruited and randomised to a pilot study and if there are enough patients to conduct a conclusive RCT by surveying specialist UK hospitals. It also refined and assessed the acceptability of the exercise programme and study processes to patients, parents and physiotherapists by reviewing literature and consulting with experts and patients.

There were sufficient numbers of patients recruited into the feasibility study to suggest that conducting a conclusive RCT is possible. Interviews with patients, parents and physiotherapists suggest that the exercise programme was acceptable. In conclusion, this supports the feasibility of a full RCT to evaluate clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 5.116

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the ISI Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 10/38/03. The contractual start date was in March 2012. The draft report began editorial review in June 2014 and was accepted for publication in November 2014. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2015. This work was produced by Williams *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Editor-in-Chief of *Health Technology Assessment* and NIHR Journals Library

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the HTA Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andree Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

Professor Aileen Clarke Professor of Public Health and Health Services Research, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Director of NETSCC, HTA, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Elaine McColl Director, Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Health Sciences Research, Faculty of Education, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk