## A randomised controlled trial of Outpatient versus inpatient Polyp Treatment (OPT) for abnormal uterine bleeding

T Justin Clark, 1,2\* Lee J Middleton, 3 Natalie AM Cooper, 4 Lavanya Diwakar, 5 Elaine Denny, 6 Paul Smith, 1,2 Laura Gennard, 3 Lynda Stobert, 6 Tracy E Roberts, 5 Versha Cheed, 3 Tracey Bingham, 1 Sue Jowett, 5 Elizabeth Brettell, 3 Mary Connor, 7 Sian E Jones 8 and Jane P Daniels 2,3

- <sup>1</sup>Birmingham Women's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK
- <sup>2</sup>School of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- <sup>3</sup>Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK <sup>4</sup>Women's Health Research Unit, The Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- <sup>5</sup>Health Economics Unit, School of Health and Population Sciences, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- <sup>6</sup>Centre for Health and Social Care Research, Faculty of Health, Birmingham City University, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK
- <sup>7</sup>Jessop Wing, Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Sheffield, UK <sup>8</sup>Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Bradford, UK

**Declared competing interests of authors:** Mr Clark reports receiving honoraria for training from Hologic and Ethicon, which make endoscopic instruments [Myosure (Hologic, Marlborough, MA, USA) and Versapoint (Gynecare, Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA)] suitable for removing uterine pathologies such as polyps. Since completing the OPT Trial recruitment (but not before completing writing this report) he received £40,000 funding from Smith & Nephew to evaluate a product (TruClear) also suitable for removing uterine polyps and fibroids. Dr Smith reports grants from National Institute for Health Research Grants during the conduct of the study, and grants from Smith & Nephew outside the submitted work.

Published July 2015 DOI: 10.3310/hta19610

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

# **Plain English summary**

### Outpatient vs. inpatient polyp treatment for AUB

Health Technology Assessment 2015; Vol. 19: No. 61

DOI: 10.3310/hta19610

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

## **Plain English summary**

A bnormal vaginal bleeding is common, occurs in women of all ages, and is found in association with 'polyps', which are localised, overgrown areas of the endometrium (womb lining) which protrude inside the uterus (womb). Removal of polyps or 'polypectomy' involves placing surgical instruments into the uterus via the vagina. This procedure is usually performed under general anaesthetic in hospital. However, advances in technology have made it possible to perform polypectomy in a conscious patient in an outpatient setting. We do not know if this approach is as effective as traditional inpatient management and how acceptable it is to women. Convenience and cost-savings to the UK NHS are potential advantages of outpatient polypectomy, and so even if this approach was marginally less effective it may still represent an attractive option to women and health services. We therefore conducted a randomised controlled trial with a parallel patient preference study to evaluate the effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and acceptability of outpatient polypectomy compared with conventional inpatient polypectomy.

The trial showed that outpatient polypectomy alleviated bleeding symptoms in 73% of women at 6 months and was no worse than inpatient polypectomy at 6, 12 and 24 months. However, when choosing a treatment setting, women need to be aware that for every nine outpatient polypectomies performed an additional one procedure will fail compared with inpatient treatment. In addition, polypectomy in the outpatient setting is less acceptable compared with the inpatient setting. Outpatient polypectomy was found to be cost-effective compared with inpatient polypectomy.

#### HTA/HTA TAR

### **Health Technology Assessment**

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 5.116

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the ISI Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

#### Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

#### HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

#### This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 06/404/84. The contractual start date was in April 2008. The draft report began editorial review in October 2013 and was accepted for publication in February 2014. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2015. This work was produced by Clark et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

# **Editor-in-Chief of Health Technology Assessment and NIHR Journals Library**

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the HTA Programme, UK

#### **NIHR Journals Library Editors**

**Professor Ken Stein** Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andree Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

**Professor Matthias Beck** Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

**Professor Aileen Clarke** Professor of Public Health and Health Services Research, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Director of NETSCC, HTA, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

**Professor Elaine McColl** Director, Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Health Sciences Research, Faculty of Education, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Health Services Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

**Professor James Raftery** Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

**Professor Helen Snooks** Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

**Professor Jim Thornton** Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk