

# An open randomised study of autoinflation in 4- to 11-year-old school children with otitis media with effusion in primary care

Ian Williamson,<sup>1\*</sup> Jane Vennik,<sup>1</sup> Anthony Harnden,<sup>2</sup> Merryn Voysey,<sup>2</sup> Rafael Perera,<sup>2</sup> Maria Breen,<sup>2</sup> Brendan Bradley,<sup>2</sup> Sadie Kelly,<sup>2</sup> Guiqing Yao,<sup>3</sup> James Raftery,<sup>3</sup> David Mant<sup>2</sup> and Paul Little<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Primary Medical Care, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

<sup>2</sup>University of Oxford, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, Oxford, UK

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, UK

\*Corresponding author

**Declared competing interests of authors:** James Raftery is a member of the National Institute for Health Research Editorial Board.

Published September 2015

DOI: 10.3310/hta19720

## Plain English summary

### Autoinflation in children with otitis media with effusion

Health Technology Assessment 2015; Vol. 19: No. 72

DOI: 10.3310/hta19720

NIHR Journals Library [www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk)

## Plain English summary

Otitis media with effusion is known more commonly as glue ear and is a very common condition in young school children. It is a collection of fluid behind the eardrum causing hearing loss at a time when children are developing language and social skills, and can also lead to physical ill health and poor quality of life (QoL). Although children tend to improve naturally by 3 months, some children do not and have persistent or recurrent untreatable problems, eventually requiring surgery. Autoinflation is a simple technique that increases pressure in the nose, which opens the Eustachian tubes and equalises middle ear pressures, in turn helping to clear the fluid. This study was designed to examine if regular autoinflation with a purpose-made nasal balloon alongside standard care was better than standard care alone in clearing middle ear fluid in affected children seen in primary care. To answer this question we studied 320 children aged 4–11 years with confirmed glue ear and recent or current symptoms. All children received standard care, but half ( $n = 160$ ) performed autoinflation three times per day for up to 3 months. Children and their parents were trained to use the nasal balloon by the study nurse. We assessed the children again at 1 and 3 months and found that children using autoinflation were 36% (at 1 month) and 37% (at 3 months) more likely to show resolution of fluid than children who received standard care alone. Additionally, QoL was significantly improved in children who had performed autoinflation. The method was also found to be good value for money for the NHS over the 3-month period. Most children were able to perform the technique and comply with the treatment schedule. This study has shown that autoinflation with a nasal balloon, when used in addition to standard care, is an effective treatment for both clearing the middle ear fluid and improving the QoL of children with glue ear symptoms, and we think it should be more widely used.

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 5.116

*Health Technology Assessment* is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the ISI Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) ([www.publicationethics.org/](http://www.publicationethics.org/)).

Editorial contact: [nhredit@southampton.ac.uk](mailto:nhredit@southampton.ac.uk)

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at [www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta). Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: [www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk)

## Criteria for inclusion in the *Health Technology Assessment* journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

## HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: <http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta>

## This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 09/01/27. The contractual start date was in September 2011. The draft report began editorial review in September 2014 and was accepted for publication in April 2015. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2015. This work was produced by Williamson *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library ([www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk)), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland ([www.prepress-projects.co.uk](http://www.prepress-projects.co.uk)).

## Editor-in-Chief of *Health Technology Assessment* and NIHR Journals Library

**Professor Tom Walley** Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the HTA Programme, UK

### NIHR Journals Library Editors

**Professor Ken Stein** Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

**Professor Andree Le May** Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

**Dr Martin Ashton-Key** Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

**Professor Matthias Beck** Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

**Professor Aileen Clarke** Professor of Public Health and Health Services Research, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

**Dr Tessa Crilly** Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

**Dr Peter Davidson** Director of NETSCC, HTA, UK

**Ms Tara Lamont** Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

**Professor Elaine McColl** Director, Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, UK

**Professor William McGuire** Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

**Professor Geoffrey Meads** Professor of Health Sciences Research, Faculty of Education, University of Winchester, UK

**Professor John Norrie** Health Services Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, UK

**Professor John Powell** Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

**Professor James Raftery** Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

**Dr Rob Riemsma** Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

**Professor Helen Roberts** Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

**Professor Helen Snooks** Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

**Professor Jim Thornton** Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board:  
[www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors)

**Editorial contact:** [nihredit@southampton.ac.uk](mailto:nihredit@southampton.ac.uk)