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The study aimed to compare two drugs (bevacizumab and ranibizumab), and two dosing intensities (injections monthly or intermittently), for treating wet age-related macular degeneration (AMD). Twenty-three hospitals took part. Broadly, we sought to include patients with wet AMD in the study eye, who were older than 50 years. Each participant was allocated one of the drugs and one of the dosing intensities, creating four combinations. The main outcome was the number of letters read on an eye chart using the study eye. Other aspects of eyesight, the appearance of the affected part of the eye, possible harms of treatment, patient-reported outcomes, and resources used to treat participants were measured.

The average improvement in eyesight was very similar with either drug. Monthly treatment was slightly better than intermittent treatment. Quality of life and treatment satisfaction did not differ by drug or dosing intensity. When our results were combined with those of other trials, there was no difference in eyesight outcomes between drugs, but giving treatment monthly was slightly better. Hospitalisations and deaths during the trial occurred equally often with either drug but less often with monthly than intermittent treatment. Ranibizumab was 15 times more expensive than bevacizumab.

Our findings show that the two drugs improve eyesight by a very similar amount and that monthly treatment improves eyesight slightly more than intermittent treatment. Safety appeared to be slightly better when treatment was given monthly. Ranibizumab was not cost-effective compared with bevacizumab. More work is being done on the safety aspects of these drugs and treatment schedules.
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