

Development of processes allowing near real-time refinement and validation of triage tools during the early stage of an outbreak in readiness for surge: the FLU-CATs Study

Sudhir Venkatesan,^{1†} Puja R Myles,^{1†} Gerard McCann,² Antonis A Kousoulis,² Maimoona Hashmi,² Rabah Belatri,² Emma Boyle,² Alan Barcroft,² Tjeerd Pieter van Staa,³ Jamie J Kirkham,⁴ Jonathan S Nguyen Van Tam,¹ Timothy J Williams² and Malcolm G Semple^{4*}

¹Division of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

²Clinical Practice Research Datalink, Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, London, UK

³University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

⁴Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

*Corresponding author

†Joint first authors

Declared competing interests of authors: SV, PRM, GMcC, AAK, MH, RB, EB, AB, TPvS, JJK, JSNVT, JSW and MGS were in receipt of grants from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), both for the conduct of this study and others. In addition, PRM reports grants from F. Hoffman La Roche outside the submitted work, and TPvS has participated in expert meetings with GlaxoSmithKline and Boehringer (not related to flu) and has provided methodological advice to Laser (including an observational study on the incidence of flu). The Clinical Practice Research Datalink is a joint venture between the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency and NIHR.

Published October 2015

DOI: 10.3310/hta19890

Plain English summary

The FLU-CATs Study

Health Technology Assessment 2015; Vol. 19: No. 89

DOI: 10.3310/hta19890

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Severe pandemics of influenza (flu) and other new infections are rare but inevitable events. When these widespread outbreaks of disease occur, health-care capacity in communities and hospitals can be overwhelmed. Doctors then need to make difficult decisions about who should be admitted to hospital and who can safely be allowed to stay at home.

To do this fairly, most doctors feel that the same type of patient assessment should be used across the wider community. This process is called triage.

The ethical principle of triage is 'to do most for most'. This does not mean treating everybody equally. It means using scarce resources for those people most likely to benefit from treatment.

Triage tools should help doctors identify which people are most likely to benefit from treatment in hospital and which people can safely be managed at home.

The difficulty in designing triage tools for a future pandemic is that the nature of disease caused by a new pathogen (bacteria or virus) is unknown until that pandemic occurs. A further difficulty is that disease often can affect children and adults quite differently. A one-size-fits-all tool is unlikely to work.

This study developed processes that capture information from general practitioner consultations of people with flu-like illness and their electronic health record, and links this information to the hospital record if the patient is admitted to hospital.

This means processes are ready to check that triage tools are 'fit for purpose' at the start of a pandemic, for use should that pandemic become severe.

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 5.116

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the ISI Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: nhredit@southampton.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the *Health Technology Assessment* journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: <http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta>

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 11/46/22. The contractual start date was in January 2013. The draft report began editorial review in June 2015 and was accepted for publication in August 2015. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report. Should the study progress further, the full report will be published in the HTA journal.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2015. This work was produced by Venkatesan *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Editor-in-Chief of *Health Technology Assessment* and NIHR Journals Library

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the HTA Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andree Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

Professor Aileen Clarke Professor of Public Health and Health Services Research, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Director of NETSCC, HTA, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Elaine McColl Director, Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Health Sciences Research, Faculty of Education, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Health Services Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board:
www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk