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The failure rate of information technology (IT) projects in health care is embarrassingly high. Failure is more likely if the system is imposed at national level with little local ownership or scope for local flexibility. Traditional analyses have tended to frame this as a problem of ‘resistance’; stubborn clinicians and administrators fail to engage with the innovation and persist illogically with their legacy systems and familiar old-fashioned routines. The solution appears to be a behaviourist one: rewards and punishments to ‘overcome resistance’.

In this study, we applied the concept of the ‘expert system’, defined by Giddens as ‘[a] system of technical accomplishment or professional expertise that organize[s] large areas of the material and social environments in which we live today’ and consisting of a bureaucratic infrastructure, a set of rules and a technology that is capable of storing, coding, classifying and aggregating large numbers of data. A defining characteristic of expert systems is that they can produce ‘action at a distance’; decision rules and classification systems developed in one place can be made to apply in another place so long as people use the technology as intended. Expert systems tend to ‘empty out’ social situations by imposing rules and categories that are insensitive to local contingencies or the unfolding detail of social situations.

We applied this theory to the use and non-use of three nationally mandated IT systems in the English NHS and found much evidence that what clinicians resist is the disruptive influence of expert systems on professional roles, relationships and practices.
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**HS&DR programme**

The Health Services and Delivery Research (HS&DR) programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was established to fund a broad range of research. It combines the strengths and contributions of two previous NIHR research programmes: the Health Services Research (HSR) programme and the Service Delivery and Organisation (SDO) programme, which were merged in January 2012.

The HS&DR programme aims to produce rigorous and relevant evidence on the quality, access and organisation of health services including costs and outcomes, as well as research on implementation. The programme will enhance the strategic focus on research that matters to the NHS and is keen to support ambitious evaluative research to improve health services.

For more information about the HS&DR programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hsdr
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