Bladder ultrasonography for diagnosing detrusor overactivity: test accuracy study and economic evaluation

Suneetha Rachaneni,¹ Shanteela McCooty,² Lee J Middleton,³ Victoria L Parker,³ Jane P Daniels,^{1,3*} Arri Coomarasamy,^{1,2} Tina S Verghese,^{1,2} Moji Balogun,² Ilias Goranitis,⁴ Pelham Barton,⁴ Tracy E Roberts,⁴ Jonathan J Deeks^{3,5} and Pallavi Latthe^{1,2} on behalf of the Bladder Ultrasound Study (BUS) Collaborative Group

 ¹School of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
²Birmingham Women's NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK
³Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
⁴Health Economics Unit, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
⁵Public Health, Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Birmingham, UK

*Corresponding author

Declared competing interests of authors: Dr Latthe has received financial support from Pfizer and Astellas to attend international urogynaecology conferences.

Published January 2016 DOI: 10.3310/hta20070

Plain English summary

Bladder ultrasonography for diagnosing detrusor overactivity Health Technology Assessment 2016; Vol. 20: No. 7 DOI: 10.3310/hta20070

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Overactive bladder (OAB) is a distressing condition that affects about 12% of the population. The symptoms are the frequent and urgent desire to urinate, sometimes with loss of control of the bladder. The underlying cause may be uncontrollable contractions of the bladder wall called detrusor overactivity. These contractions can be observed during an intimate test called urodynamics (UDS), in which the bladder is artificially filled with water. Drugs can then be prescribed to relax the bladder.

The contractions cause the bladder wall to thicken and the thickness can be measured by vaginal ultrasonography. If there is a good relationship between bladder wall thickness, contractions and symptoms, ultrasonography could replace UDS.

We undertook a study in over 600 women with OAB symptoms. Participants underwent both ultrasonography and UDS. Women preferred the ultrasonography test to UDS, but we found that the ultrasonography results did not relate to the UDS diagnosis, nor to the severity of symptoms. Repeating ultrasonography did not produce consistent measurements.

We also asked women about their symptoms and treatments at 6 and 12 months after testing. We found that doctors use UDS results to provide the most appropriate treatment, but only 57% of women reported symptom improvements. We also found UDS provides value for money.

The results of our study conclusively show that ultrasonography is not useful for diagnosis of OAB. More research is needed to compare whether women have better outcomes if treatment decisions are based on the urodynamic results or on symptoms only.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 5.116

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the ISI Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 09/22/122. The contractual start date was in January 2011. The draft report began editorial review in October 2014 and was accepted for publication in April 2015. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2016. This work was produced by Rachaneni *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Health Technology Assessment Editor-in-Chief

Professor Hywel Williams Director, HTA Programme, UK and Foundation Professor and Co-Director of the Centre of Evidence-Based Dermatology, University of Nottingham, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the HTA Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andree Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

Professor Aileen Clarke Professor of Public Health and Health Services Research, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Director of NETSCC, HTA, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Elaine McColl Director, Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Health Sciences Research, Health and Wellbeing Research and Development Group, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Health Services Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk