The natural history of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in women: a multi-parameter evidence synthesis

Malcolm J Price,¹ AE Ades,^{2*} Kate Soldan,³ Nicky J Welton,² John Macleod,² Ian Simms,³ Daniela DeAngelis,^{3,4} Katherine ME Turner² and Paddy J Horner^{2,5}

¹Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK ²School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK ³Public Health England (formerly Health Protection Agency), Colindale, London, UK ⁴Medical Research Council Biostatistics Unit, Cambridge, UK ⁵Bristol Sexual Health Centre, University Hospital Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK

*Corresponding author

Declared competing interests of authors: KMET reports personal fees from Aquarius Population Health, outside the submitted work. PJH reports personal fees from Aquarius Population Health, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Cepheid, personal fees from Crown Prosecution Service, personal fees from British Association for Sexual Health and HIV, grants from Mast Group Ltd, grants and personal fees from Hologic, outside the submitted work; in addition, PJH has a patent A sialidase spot test to diagnose bacterial vaginosis, issued to University of Bristol.

Declared competing interests of authors: none

Published March 2016 DOI: 10.3310/hta20220

Plain English summary

Natural history of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in women Health Technology Assessment 2016; Vol. 20: No. 22 DOI: 10.3310/hta20220

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Chlamydia trachomatis (CT) infection is a cause of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), ectopic pregnancy (EP) and infertility but is usually asymptomatic. The National Chlamydia Screening Programme (NCSP) offers sexually active young men and women annual screening for CT, but its effectiveness and value for money have not been definitely established. There has been no consensus on how much reproductive damage untreated CT infection can cause or on the best methods for estimating this.

The purpose of this project was to assemble all the evidence on CT infection, PID, EP and tubal factor infertility (TFI) to see if a consistent picture could be formed of the role of CT in causing reproductive damage. We looked at the worldwide literature on the risks of these outcomes following CT, and also at the UK evidence on CT prevalence, and routinely reported PID and EP seen in general practitioner surgeries, hospitals and sexually transmitted disease clinics, and at evidence on infertility from UK surveys.

We found a way of interpreting all this evidence which provided a single consistent set of estimates. It was confirmed that untreated CT infection posed a significant threat to reproductive health. Our findings show that screening is beneficial to the individual, but a focus on treating infections at the time they are acquired may have greater benefit.

The cost-effectiveness of the NCSP now needs to be reassessed, using these new estimates of how much reproductive damage CT infection can cause.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 5.027

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the ISI Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme or, commissioned/managed through the Methodology research programme (MRP), and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

This report

This issue of the Health Technology Assessment journal contains a project funded by the MRC Infections and Immunity Board and promoted under the auspices of the Methodology Research Programme (an MRC NIHR Partnership), which is published in the journal by collaborative agreement due to the relevance of the technology being investigated.

The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the MRC, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the MRC, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2016. This work was produced by Price *et al.* This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Health Technology Assessment Editor-in-Chief

Professor Hywel Williams Director, HTA Programme, UK and Foundation Professor and Co-Director of the Centre of Evidence-Based Dermatology, University of Nottingham, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the HTA Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andree Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

Professor Aileen Clarke Professor of Public Health and Health Services Research, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Director of NETSCC, HTA, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Elaine McColl Director, Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Health Sciences Research, Health and Wellbeing Research and Development Group, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Health Services Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk