The UK Lung Cancer Screening Trial: a pilot randomised controlled trial of low-dose computed tomography screening for the early detection of lung cancer

John K Field,^{1*} Stephen W Duffy,² David R Baldwin,³ Kate E Brain,⁴ Anand Devaraj,⁵ Tim Eisen,⁶ Beverley A Green,¹ John A Holemans,⁷ Terry Kavanagh,⁸ Keith M Kerr,⁹ Martin Ledson,¹⁰ Kate J Lifford,⁴ Fiona E McRonald,¹ Arjun Nair,¹¹ Richard D Page,¹² Mahesh KB Parmar,¹³ Robert C Rintoul,¹⁴ Nicholas Screaton,¹⁵ Nicholas J Wald,¹⁶ David Weller,¹⁷ David K Whynes,¹⁸ Paula R Williamson,¹⁹ Ghasem Yadegarfar¹ and David M Hansell⁵

- ¹Department of Molecular and Clinical Cancer Medicine, Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
- ²Centre for Cancer Prevention, Wolfson Institute of Preventive Medicine, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- ³Respiratory Medicine Unit, David Evans Research Centre, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Nottingham University Hospitals, Nottingham, UK
- ⁴Division of Population Medicine, College of Biomedical and Life Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK
- ⁵Department of Radiology, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
- ⁶Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
- ⁷Department of Radiology, Liverpool Heart and Chest Hospital, Liverpool, UK ⁸Lung Cancer Patient Advocate, Liverpool, UK
- ⁹Department of Pathology, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Aberdeen, UK
- ¹⁰Department of Respiratory Medicine, Liverpool Heart and Chest Hospital, Liverpool, UK
- ¹¹Department of Radiology, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
- ¹²Department of Thoracic Surgery, Liverpool Heart and Chest Hospital, Liverpool, UK
- ¹³MRC Clinical Trials Unit, University College London, London, UK
- ¹⁴Department of Thoracic Oncology, Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK

- ¹⁵Department of Radiology, Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK
- ¹⁶Centre for Environmental and Preventive Medicine, Wolfson Institute of Preventive Medicine, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- ¹⁷School of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

¹⁸School of Economics, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

¹⁹Department of Biostatistics, Institute of Translational Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

*Corresponding author

Declared competing interests of authors: Tim Eisen has declared share ownership and corporate sponsored research from AstraZeneca. He is on the advisory boards of AVEO, Astellas, Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS), Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim (BI), GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), Novartis, Pfizer and Roche. He has declared corporate-sponsored research from Bayer, GSK and Pfizer. He has received honoraria from AVEO, Astellas, BMS, Bayer, BI, GSK, Novartis, Pfizer and Roche. Tim Eisen is on part-time leave of absense from the University of Cambridge to work in AstraZeneca as Vice President, Head of Clinical Discovery Unit.

Published May 2016 DOI: 10.3310/hta20400

Plain English summary

The UK Lung Cancer Screening Trial

Health Technology Assessment 2016; Vol. 20: No. 40 DOI: 10.3310/hta20400

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Lung cancer kills more people than any other cancer. To reduce the number of deaths, we need to detect lung cancer at an earlier stage when it can be cured. An American trial showed that chest computed tomography (CT) screening could prevent 20% of deaths from lung cancer.

In view of the above, we carried out a pilot trial of low-dose CT screening for lung cancer. To find people at high risk of lung cancer, we sent postal questionnaires about known lung cancer risk factors to 250,000 people aged 50–75 years. Very few people aged < 60 years were at high risk. Four thousand high-risk people joined the trial: half were offered a CT scan of their lungs; the others were not screened.

In total, 1994 people had a CT scan; 979 of those people had clear scans, 951 needed repeat scans because of a minor change and 64 people had major findings. Forty-two cancers have been found to date, of which 36 (85.7%) were identified at an early stage, so are potentially curable. From the scans, we also found 128 people with other conditions unrelated to lung cancer, providing these people with an earlier diagnosis.

To assess the emotional impact of lung screening, we looked at standard measures of cancer distress, anxiety and depression. Although some people, particularly those with an abnormal scan result, experienced more cancer distress, this was still at normal levels.

Our results suggest that CT screening for lung cancer could be cost-effective but further research is needed to confirm this.

[©] Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2016. This work was produced by Field *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 5.027

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the ISI Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 09/61/01. The contractual start date was in April 2011. The draft report began editorial review in April 2015 and was accepted for publication in December 2015. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2016. This work was produced by Field *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Health Technology Assessment Editor-in-Chief

Professor Hywel Williams Director, HTA Programme, UK and Foundation Professor and Co-Director of the Centre of Evidence-Based Dermatology, University of Nottingham, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the HTA Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andree Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

Professor Aileen Clarke Professor of Public Health and Health Services Research, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Director of NETSCC, HTA, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Elaine McColl Director, Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit, Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Health Sciences Research, Health and Wellbeing Research and Development Group, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Health Services Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk