IMPRoving Outcomes for children exposed to domestic ViolencE (IMPROVE): an evidence synthesis

Emma Howarth,^{1,2} Theresa HM Moore,^{3,4} Nicky J Welton,⁴ Natalia Lewis,⁵ Nicky Stanley,⁶ Harriet MacMillan,⁷ Alison Shaw,⁵ Marianne Hester,⁸ Peter Bryden⁴ and Gene Feder⁵*

¹National Institute for Health Research Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care East of England (NIHR CLAHRC EoE), Cambridge, UK ²Cambridge Institute of Public Health, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK ³National Institute for Health Research Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care West (NIHR CLAHRC West) at University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK

⁴School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK ⁵Centre for Academic Primary Care, School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

⁶Connect Centre, School of Social Work, Care and Community, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK

⁷Psychiatry and Behavioural Neurosciences, and Pediatrics, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

⁸School for Policy Studies, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

Declared competing interests of authors: none

Disclaimer: This report contains transcripts of interviews from studies identified during the course of the research and contains language that may offend some readers.

Published December 2016

DOI: 10.3310/phr04100

Plain English summary

(IMPROVE): an evidence synthesis Public Health Research 2016; Vol. 4: No. 10

DOI: 10.3310/phr04100

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

^{*}Corresponding author

Plain English summary

C hildren who are exposed to domestic violence and abuse (DVA) are more likely to experience emotional and behavioural problems in childhood, adolescence and adulthood than children who are not exposed to DVA. There are existing programmes that try to prevent or reduce the damage that DVA causes to children. However, there is a lack of research on whether or not these programmes make a difference to children in the short and long term. Therefore, we do not know if the types of support offered to children in the UK and elsewhere are helpful and well received (acceptable), nor whether or not they represent good value for money.

The purpose of this study was to review existing evidence and opinion on the clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and acceptability of programmes for children exposed to DVA. The aim of bringing together different types of knowledge was to identify gaps in the evidence and to identify promising programmes that should be studied and tested in more detail in future research.

We concluded that more experimental studies should be conducted in the UK to better understand which programmes work and which do not; that effectiveness should be measured against outcomes that are relevant and important to children, parents, service providers and policy-makers, rather than to researchers only; and that studies should examine whether or not different types of programmes are more or less effective and acceptable for different groups of people. We also identified three types of programme that we recommend should be prioritised for further evaluation in the UK.

Public Health Research

ISSN 2050-4381 (Print)

ISSN 2050-439X (Online)

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk

The full PHR archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/phr. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Public Health Research journal

Reports are published in *Public Health Research* (PHR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the PHR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Public Health Research* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search, appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

PHR programme

The Public Health Research (PHR) programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), evaluates public health interventions, providing new knowledge on the benefits, costs, acceptability and wider impacts of non-NHS interventions intended to improve the health of the public and reduce inequalities in health. The scope of the programme is multi-disciplinary and broad, covering a range of interventions that improve public health. The Public Health Research programme also complements the NIHR Health Technology Assessment programme which has a growing portfolio evaluating NHS public health interventions.

For more information about the PHR programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/phr

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the PHR programme as project number 11/3007/01. The contractual start date was in March 2013. The final report began editorial review in January 2015 and was accepted for publication in January 2016. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The PHR editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the final report document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the PHR programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the PHR programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2016. This work was produced by Howarth *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Public Health Research Editor-in-Chief

Professor Martin White Director of Research and Programme Leader, UKCRC Centre for Diet and Activity Research (CEDAR), MRC Epidemiology Unit, Institute of Metabolic Science, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge; Visiting Professor, Newcastle University; and Director, NIHR Public Health Research Programme

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the EME Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andree Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

Professor Aileen Clarke Professor of Public Health and Health Services Research, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Health Sciences Research, Health and Wellbeing Research Group, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Director, Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: nihredit@southampton.ac.uk