Oral anticoagulants for primary prevention, treatment and secondary prevention of venous thromboembolic disease, and for prevention of stroke in atrial fibrillation: systematic review, network meta-analysis and cost-effectiveness analysis

Jonathan AC Sterne,^{1*} Pritesh N Bodalia,^{2,3} Peter A Bryden,¹ Philippa A Davies,¹ Jose A López-López,¹ George N Okoli,¹ Howard HZ Thom,¹ Deborah M Caldwell,¹ Sofia Dias,¹ Diane Eaton,⁴ Julian PT Higgins,¹ Will Hollingworth,¹ Chris Salisbury,¹ Jelena Savović,¹ Reecha Sofat,^{5,6} Annya Stephens-Boal,⁷ Nicky J Welton¹ and Aroon D Hingorani^{5,6}

¹School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK ²University College London Hospitals, NHS, London, UK ³Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital, NHS, London, UK ⁴AntiCoagulation Europe, Bromley, UK ⁵University College London, London, UK ⁶London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK ⁷Thrombosis UK, Llanwrda, UK

*Corresponding author

Declared competing interests of authors: Jonathan AC Sterne was a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Clinical Trial Board member from 2010 to 2014 and is a NIHR Senior Investigator (award NF-SI-0611-10168). Chris Salisbury is a NIHR Health Services and Delivery Research Board member, and also reports receipt of a research grant from NIHR. Howard HZ Thom reports personal fees for consultancy work from Novartis Pharma, Eli Lilly and company, and ICON Plc, all outside this work. Sofia Dias reports grants from NIHR, Novartis and Pfizer, all outside this work. Diane Eaton reports other from Boehringer Ingelheim, Pfizer, Bayer, Leo Pharmaceuticals and Bristol-Myers Squib, outside the submitted work, and AntiCoagulation Europe (ACE), a registered charity, the aims of which include raising awareness of the risk and prevention of thrombosis, and providing information, education and support to people who are on anticoagulation therapy for any duration, including long term for those with chronic conditions. Diane Eaton works with ACE in an associate consultant capacity in the role of Project Development Manager. She has over 40 years of personal experience of anticoagulation therapy and represents ACE as a patient expert at the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). On behalf of the charity, she has provided the patient perspective for the submissions for the technology appraisals for the novel oral anticoagulants (NOACs) and Diagnostic Guidance for Coagulometers over a 4-year period. Please note that the financial information has been prepared by Eve Knight, Chief Executive of AntiCoagulation Europe, for the purpose of inclusion in this document.

Published March 2017 DOI: 10.3310/hta21090

Plain English summary

Oral anticoagulants for venous thromboembolic disease and stroke

Health Technology Assessment 2017; Vol. 21: No. 9 DOI: 10.3310/hta21090

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

B lood clots, which can occur in both arteries and veins, sometimes break loose and move to other organs where they cause serious health problems. Venous thromboembolism (VTE) includes clots in deep veins of the legs or pelvis, and their displacement to the artery from the heart to the lungs. Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a form of irregular heartbeat that is associated with an increased risk of stroke. The UK NHS tries to reduce these problems in high-risk patients through anticoagulant drugs, which lower the risk of blood clots but increase the risk of bleeding. New oral anticoagulant drugs (NOACs) offer potential advantages compared with warfarin and low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH), the current standard treatments. They cost more, but this might be offset by reduced need for anticoagulation services, better effectiveness or improved safety. We compared the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of these treatments in people with AF, and people with, or at risk of, VTE. We searched for relevant randomised trials, and compared all of the treatments that had been evaluated. One of the NOACs, apixaban, was among the best treatments for stroke prevention in AF, and was the most cost-effective. We found little evidence, in terms of clinical effectiveness or cost-effectiveness, that NOACs should replace LMWH for prevention of VTE after hip or knee surgery. For treatment of VTE, and for preventing repeat venous thromboembolisms, risk of complications due to bleeding was lower for some NOACs than for warfarin. Apixaban was the most cost-effective treatment for VTE, but it is not cost-effective to prescribe NOACs or warfarin for preventing recurrence of VTE.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Sterne *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 4.058

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the ISI Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 11/92/17. The contractual start date was in October 2013. The draft report began editorial review in May 2015 and was accepted for publication in December 2015. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Sterne *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Health Technology Assessment Editor-in-Chief

Professor Hywel Williams Director, HTA Programme, UK and Foundation Professor and Co-Director of the Centre of Evidence-Based Dermatology, University of Nottingham, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the EME Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andree Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Health Sciences Research, Health and Wellbeing Research Group, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Director, Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk