

Open, multicentre, randomised controlled trial of cardiac output-guided haemodynamic therapy compared to usual care in patients undergoing emergency bowel surgery.

Short Title FLO-ELA trial

Sponsor University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation NHS Trust

The contact person for the above sponsor organisation is:

Dr Karen Underwood

R&D Senior Contracts Manager

R&D Department

E-level, SCBR Mail Point 138 Southampton General Hospital

Tremona Road

Southampton SO16 6YD

Email: karen.underwood@uhs.nhs.uk

IRAS Project ID 214459

Chief Investigator Dr Mark Edwards

**UK co-ordinating centre** Research Office

Department of Anaesthesia Southampton General Hospital

Tremona Road

Southampton SO16 6YD Email: admin @floela.org

Clinical Trials Unit Pragmatic Clinical Trials Unit (PCTU)

Centre for Primary Care and Public Health

Blizard Institute

Yvonne Carter Building

58 Turner Street London E1 2AB

**Funder** National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment ref:

15/80/54

Principal Investigator [Insert local PI details]



# Contents

1	GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	3
2	SIGNATURE PAGE	5
3	SUMMARY	6
4	INTRODUCTION	8
5	TRIAL OBJECTIVES	10
6	TRIAL METHODOLOGY	11
7	TRIAL PROCEDURES	14
8	STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS	24
9	RESEARCH ETHICS	26
10	DATA HANDLING AND RECORD KEEPING	27
11	PRODUCTS, DEVICES AND TECHNIQUES	28
12	SAFETY REPORTING	28
13	MONITORING & AUDITING	30
14	TRIAL MANAGEMENT & COMMITTEES	31
15	FINANCE AND FUNDING	32
16	SPONSORSHIP & INDEMNITY	32
17	PUBLICATION	32
18	REFERENCES	33
APF	PENDIX 1: NELA INCLUSION & EXCLUSION CRITERIA	36
APF	PENDIX 2: NELA DATA ENTRY	39
APF	PENDIX 3 - LEVEL OF CARE AFTER SURGERY	54
APF	PENDIX 4 – TYPICAL COMPLICATIONS OF EMERGENCY LAPAROTOMY	55
APF	PENDIX 5 – PROTOCOL VERSION HISTORY	56

#### 1 GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AE Adverse Event

ASA American Society of Anesthesiologists

BP Blood pressure

CEAC Cost-Effectiveness Acceptability Curve

CI Chief Investigator

DMEC Data Monitoring & Ethics Committee

EPOCH Enhanced Peri-Operative Care for High-risk patients trial

EQ-5D-3L Euro-Qol 5-Dimension 3-level quality of life measure

GCS Glasgow Coma Scale

GDHT Goal directed haemodynamic therapy

GCP Good Clinical Practice

HES Hospital Episode Statistics

HR Heart rate

HSCIC Health & Social Care Information Centre (now NHS Digital)

ICU Intensive Care Unit

NELA National Emergency Laparotomy Audit

NHS REC
National Health Service Research Ethics Committee

NHS R&D
National Health Service Research & Development

NICE
National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

NSSISD NHS National Services Scotland Information Services Division

ONS Office of National Statistics

OR Odds Ratio

Participant An individual who takes part in a clinical trial

PCTU Pragmatic Clinical Trials Unit

PI Principal Investigator

PIS Participant Information Sheet

(P)-POSSUM (Portsmouth modified)-Physiological and Operative Severity Score for the

enUmeration of Mortality and morbidity

QA Quality Assurance

QALY Quality Adjusted Life Years

QMUL Queen Mary University London
RCT Randomised Controlled Trial
REC Research Ethics Committee

SAE Serious Adverse Event

SOP Standard Operating Procedure

TMG Trial Management Group
TSC Trial Steering Committee

UHS University Hospital Southampton

#### 2 SIGNATURE PAGE

# **Chief Investigator Agreement**

The clinical study as detailed within this research protocol (version 1.0, 26/01/2017), or any subsequent amendments will be conducted in accordance with the Research Governance Framework for Health & Social Care (2005), the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki (1996) and the current and applicable regulatory requirements and any subsequent amendments of the appropriate regulations.

Chief Investigator Name: Dr Mark Edwards

Chief Investigator Affiliation: University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation

Trust

Signature and date

26/01/2017

# **Statistician Agreement**

The clinical study as detailed within this research protocol (version 1.0, 26/01/2017), or any subsequent amendments will be conducted in accordance with the Research Governance Framework for Health & Social Care (2005), the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki (1996), Principles of ICH-GCP and the current and applicable regulatory requirements.

Statistician name: Brennan Kahan

Signature and date:

26/01/2017

#### **Principal Investigator Agreement**

The clinical study as detailed within this research protocol (version 1.0, 26/01/2017), or any subsequent amendments will be conducted in accordance with the Research Governance Framework for Health & Social Care (2005), the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki (1996) and the current and applicable regulatory requirements and any subsequent amendments of the appropriate regulations.

**Principal Investigator Name:** 

**Principal Investigator Affiliation:** 

Signature and date:

# 3 SUMMARY

Short title	FLO-ELA trial
Methodology	Open, multi-centre, randomised controlled trial
Research sites	UK hospitals undertaking emergency bowel surgery and participating in the National Emergency Laparotomy Audit (NELA)
Objectives	To establish whether minimally invasive cardiac output monitoring to guide protocolised administration of intravenous fluid during and for up to six hours after major emergency bowel surgery will reduce the number of patients who die within 90 days of randomisation.
Number of patients	7646 patients (3823 per arm)
Inclusion criteria	Patients aged 50 years and over undergoing an expedited, urgent or emergency major abdominal procedure on the gastrointestinal tract eligible for inclusion within NELA.
Exclusion criteria	Refusal of patient consent, clinician refusal, abdominal procedure outside the scope of NELA, previous enrolment in the FLO-ELA trial, previous inclusion in the NELA audit within the same hospital admission, current participation in another clinical trial of a treatment with a similar biological mechanism.
Statistical analysis	All analyses will be by modified intention-to-treat; patients will be analysed according to the treatment group to which they were randomised and all eligible patients for whom an outcome is available will be included in the analysis. Patients who were randomised in error (i.e. were ineligible at the time of randomisation) will be excluded from the analysis.  Summary statistics, treatment effects, 95% confidence intervals, and p-values will be presented for primary, secondary and process outcomes. The primary outcome

	(mortality within 90 days of randomisation) will be analysed using a mixed-effects logistic regression model with a random intercept for centre. The model will be adjusted for patient age, ASA score, urgency of surgery, and preoperative Glasgow coma score, systolic blood pressure, and heart rate. Secondary outcomes will be				
	analysed using similar methods.				
Proposed start date	01 May 2017				
Proposed end date	01 January 2022				
Study duration	57 months				

#### 4 INTRODUCTION

Emergency abdominal surgery on the gastrointestinal tract (laparotomy) is a common major surgical procedure performed for life-threatening abdominal conditions related to underlying cancer, infection or previous surgery. It is performed on over 30,000 patients in England and Wales each year (1,2) and has a particularly high burden of postoperative morbidity and mortality, with a 90-day postoperative mortality rate of 20% in those aged 50 and over. The critical need to improve the care of patients undergoing this procedure has been recognized by the establishment of a national audit of care and outcomes in this patient group and a number of national quality improvement initiatives (1,2). In a recent research priority setting exercise conducted by the Royal College of Anaesthetists and James Lind Alliance, research to improve outcomes for patients undergoing emergency surgery was chosen as one of the top ten priorities. This underlines the desire of clinicians, patients and the public to test treatments which may help improve outcomes for this group.

It is accepted that intra-venous fluids given during and after surgery have an important effect on patient outcomes, in particular following major gastrointestinal surgery. Yet they are commonly prescribed to subjective criteria leading to wide variation in clinical practice (3). One possible solution is the use of cardiac output monitoring to guide intra-venous fluid dosing as part of a haemodynamic therapy algorithm. This approach has been studied for many years and has been shown to modify inflammatory pathways, and improve tissue perfusion and oxygenation (4,5). A Cochrane review of this intervention was recently updated, incorporating the largest contemporary trial in this area to date (6). Complications were less frequent among patients treated according to a hemodynamic therapy algorithm (Intervention 488/1548 [31.5%] vs Controls 614/1476 [41.6%]; RR 0-77 [0-71-0-83]). The intervention was associated with a reduced incidence of post-operative infection (Intervention 182/836 patients [21-8%] vs Controls 201/790 patients [25.4%]; RR 0-81 [0-69-0.95]) and a reduced duration of hospital stay (mean reduction 0.79 days [0-62-0.96]). There was a non-significant reduction in mortality at longest follow-up (Intervention 267/3215 deaths [8.3%] vs Controls 327/3160 deaths [10.3%]; RR 0-86 [0-74-1-00]).

Despite this suggestion of benefit in elective surgery, these findings are not generalisable to patients undergoing emergency abdominal surgery (7). Patients requiring emergency bowel surgery have fundamental pathophysiological differences from elective patients. These include acute inflammation, sepsis, bleeding, and fluid disturbances which may be established before surgery even begins. They therefore have similarities with critically ill patients, in whom the evidence base for fluid resuscitation based on cardiac output monitoring is very uncertain (8–10). There is a lack of dedicated studies of this treatment in emergency surgical patients, with only one pilot study of a cardiac output-guided haemodynamic therapy algorithm exclusively in patients undergoing emergency laparotomy (11). Other studies included too few patients undergoing emergency surgery to allow subgroup analyses (12–15).

These data highlight the uncertainty surrounding the possible benefits of peri-operative haemodynamic therapy algorithms in emergency bowel surgery and the need for a definitive large multi-centre clinical trial to resolve this. The aim of this trial is to evaluate the effects of peri-operative haemodynamic therapy guided by cardiac output on the number of patients who die following major emergency bowel surgery.

#### 5 TRIAL OBJECTIVES

# 5.1 Primary objective

To establish whether the use of minimally invasive cardiac output monitoring to guide protocolised administration of intra-venous fluid (goal-directed haemodynamic therapy, GDHT), for patients aged 50 and over undergoing emergency laparotomy will reduce mortality within 90 days of randomisation, when compared with usual care.

### 5.2 Primary outcome measure

Mortality within 90 days of randomisation

### 5.3 Secondary objectives

To determine whether GDHT reduces mortality one year after randomisation, and is cost-effective.

# 5.4 Secondary outcome measures

Mortality within one year of randomisation

### 5.5 Process measures

- Duration of hospital stay (number of days from randomisation until hospital discharge)
- Duration of stay in a level 2 or level 3 critical care bed within the primary hospital admission
- Hospital readmission as an inpatient (overnight stay) within 90 days from randomisation

#### 5.6 Health economic endpoints

- Mean cost of implementing the intervention and control treatments
- Mean cost of secondary care resource use within 90 days from randomisation
- QALY gain at 90 days from randomisation using EQ-5D-3L-derived utility scores at baseline and 90 day follow-up (estimated from preceding EPOCH trial data)

#### 5.7 Assessment of primary and secondary outcomes

We will request hospital episode statistics and mortality data from NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) for participants in England or equivalents for the devolved nations (NHS National Services Scotland Information Services Division, NSSISD, and Patient Episode Database for Wales, PEDW). Prospective consent for Office of National Statistics (ONS), Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) and devolved nation equivalent data linkage will be sought before enrolment into the trial. Mortality outcomes will be derived from ONS data (for England and Wales; via NSSISD for Scotland). Duration of hospital stay and critical

care stay (during the index hospital admission) will be derived from NELA data. Hospital readmissions will be derived from HES, NSSISD and PEDW data.

#### 6 TRIAL METHODOLOGY

### 6.1 Study design

Open, multi-centre pragmatic randomised controlled trial with internal pilot.

#### 6.2 Inclusion criteria

- Age 50 years and over
- Scheduled to undergo a surgical procedure which fulfils the criteria for entry into the National Emergency Laparotomy Audit (NELA), i.e. an expedited, urgent or emergency abdominal procedure on the gastrointestinal tract within the audit scope, including:
  - Procedures involving the stomach, small or large bowel, or rectum for conditions such as perforation, ischaemia, abdominal abscess, bleeding or obstruction.
  - Washout/evacuation of intra-peritoneal abscess (unless due to appendicitis or cholecystitis).
  - Bowel resection/repair due to incarcerated umbilical, inguinal and femoral hernias (but not hernia repair without bowel resection/repair).
  - Return to theatre for repair of substantial dehiscence of major abdominal wound (i.e. 'burst abdomen') or after patients underwent non-elective gastro-intestinal surgery.
- Patient has an NHS number

The term "emergency" laparotomy is defined in line with NELA and the National Confidential Enquiry into Peri-Operative Deaths (NCEPOD) 2004, to encompass the following categories: "immediate" surgery (required within two hours of the decision to operate), "urgent" surgery (required within 2-18 hours of the decision to operate) and "expedited" surgery (required within 18-24 hours of the decision to operate).

#### 6.3 Exclusion criteria

- · refusal of patient consent
- clinician refusal
- previous enrolment in the FLO-ELA trial
- previous inclusion in NELA within the current hospital admission
- · current participation in another clinical trial of a treatment with a similar biological mechanism

 scheduled abdominal procedure outside the scope of NELA, including: elective procedures, uncomplicated appendicectomy or cholecystectomy, non-elective hernia repair without bowel resection, vascular surgery, including abdominal aortic aneurysm repair, Caesarean section, obstetric laparotomies or gynaecological laparotomy, or laparotomy / laparoscopy for pathology caused by trauma.

A full list of NELA inclusion / exclusion criteria is included in Appendix 1. During the course of the trial the NELA Project Team may make minor modifications to the definitions of surgical cases included within the audit. In this circumstance the inclusion/exclusion criteria for FLO-ELA will be amended to ensure consistency with NELA.

# 6.4 Internal pilot

The FLO-ELA trial will incorporate an internal pilot in order to confirm predicted site enrolment, participant recruitment, representativeness of the participants recruited and compliance with the study protocol. The duration of the internal pilot will be the first 12 months of recruitment. During this time, it is anticipated that 100 sites will be activated, and approximately 1780 patients will have been randomised. Recruitment to FLO-ELA will continue during the internal pilot analysis. A report will be compiled at the end of the internal pilot phase, which will be discussed at a monitoring meeting with the funder.

#### 6.4.1 Internal pilot outcomes:

- Number of sites open and having recruited first patient
- Number of patients randomised. This is anticipated to be approximately 1780 after 12 months.
   This figure allows for slower recruitment in the initial six months for each hospital (run-in phase).
- Adherence (intervention group): this is defined as a cardiac output monitor being used, and one
  or more cycles taken through the algorithm.
- Contamination (control group): this is defined as a cardiac output monitor being used for a patient in the control group.
- Representativeness of randomised patients compared with all eligible patients in the NELA dataset
  - o age
  - o sex
  - pre-operative physiological markers.
- Control arm event rate: the Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee will assess the 90-day
  mortality rate in the control arm to assess whether figures used in the sample size calculation are
  realistic. Only patients recruited during the first five months of recruitment will be included in this
  analysis; this is to provide enough time to complete data linkage. The trial team will remain
  blinded to this event rate.

# 6.4.2 Internal pilot stop/go criteria:

Number of sites open and having recruited at least one patient

- >90 sites open and having recruited first patient: continue.
- 70-90 sites open and having recruited first patient: review site selection and initiation procedures, provide further support.
- <70 sites open and having recruited first patient: discuss urgently with Trial Steering Committee and funder, considering all options including discontinuation.

# Number of patients randomised (target 1780)

- >80% of recruitment target achieved (>1426 patients): continue.
- 50-80% of recruitment target achieved (890-1426 patients): consider recruitment strategies (opening more centres, further training and support).
- <50% of recruitment target achieved (<890 patients): discuss urgently with TSC and funder, considering all options including discontinuation.

# Adherence (intervention group)

- >90%: continue.
- 80-90%: consider options such as re-training staff, providing further support, closing problem sites.
- <80%: discuss urgently with TSC and funder, considering all options including discontinuation.</li>

# Contamination (control group)

- <10%: continue.
- 10-20%: consider options such as re-training staff, providing further support. Individual sites with contamination rates over 10% may be closed at the end of the pilot period.
- >20%: discuss urgently with TSC and funder, considering all options including discontinuation.

#### Representativeness of randomised patients compared all eligible patients in the NELA dataset

- Small differences in all variables (<5 years difference in age, <10% difference in gender, <10% difference in pre-operative mortality risk score): continue.
- Large difference in one or more variables: consider strategies to target specific groups.

A face-to-face workshop with hospital Principal Investigators will be held 15 months after recruitment begins to review contamination and adherence data and share best practice recruitment strategies.

#### 7 TRIAL PROCEDURES

# 7.1 Recruitment and screening

Potential participants will be screened by clinical and research staff at the site having been identified from operating theatre lists and by communication with the relevant nursing and medical staff. Due to the randomisation at an individual level, participant consent is required. Most eligible patients will have capacity to consent (16,17). In the important minority who do not have capacity the Mental Capacity Act 2005 allows an alternative consent method (patients in England and Wales only) because:

- The research is related to the impairing condition that causes the lack of capacity or to the treatment of those with that condition; this is critical illness caused by an underlying condition needing urgent surgery.
- The research cannot be undertaken as effectively with people who have the capacity to consent to
  participate. Patients lacking capacity due to illness severity may be a subgroup with more to gain
  from optimal fluid management; excluding this subgroup would limit the representativeness of the
  overall FLO-ELA group and reduce the generalisability of the study findings to the ultimate target
  clinical group.
- The research will serve to increase knowledge of the cause, treatment or care of people with the same or similar condition and that the risks to participants will be negligible, with no significant interference with their privacy or freedom of action. We are testing the hypothesis that GDHT reduces mortality after surgery, demonstrating whether this intervention is beneficial to people with the same or similar conditions. The preceding literature suggests that the risk-benefit ratio is favourable (6). There will be no interference with privacy or freedom of action.

#### 7.2 Informed consent

# 7.2.1 Consent by patients

In patients with capacity, an authorised member of the team (named on the Delegation Log and with GCP training) will be responsible for obtaining written informed consent. This process will include an explanation of the aims, methods, anticipated benefits and potential hazards of the trial and provision of a Patient Information Sheet accompanied by the relevant consent form. The Principal Investigator or designee will explain to all potential participants that they are free to refuse to enter the trial or to withdraw at any time during the trial, for any reason. Patients will be given an adequate amount of time to consider their participation in the trial. Within the time available before the patient proceeds to surgery the patient will be allowed to specify the time they wish to spend deliberating, and have a second consultation if they wish to consider and discuss again. Periods shorter than 24 hours to consider the trial will be necessary due to the emergency nature of the surgery, however the person seeking consent must be satisfied that the patient has fully retained, understood and deliberated on the information given.

Patients who are not entered into this trial should be recorded (including reason not entered) on the patient-screening log in the FLO-ELA Investigator Site File.

# 7.2.2 Consultation for patients lacking capacity to consent (England and Wales)

In cases where the patient lacks capacity to give informed consent and a Personal Consultee is available to advise on the presumed wishes of the patient, authorised staff will explain the FLO-ELA trial and provide a Consultee Patient Information Sheet. After checking that his has been understood, if the Personal Consultee agrees that the patient would want to participate, they will be asked to sign a Consultee Declaration Form. If the Personal Consultee is not present, agreement can be obtained by telephone, and a Consultee Telephone Agreement Form will be completed. If no Personal Consultee is available, a Nominated Consultee may be approached, agreement being addressed in the same manner as for the Personal Consultee.

A Personal Consultee is defined as someone who knows the person who lacks capacity in a personal capacity who is able to advise the researcher about the person who lacks capacity's wishes and feelings in relation to the project and whether they should join the research. A Nominated Consultee is defined as someone who is appointed by the researcher to advise the researcher about the person who lacks capacity's wishes and feelings in relation to the project and whether they should join the research. This may include a member of the care team or GP, as long as they have no connection with the research project.

# 7.2.3 Emergency consent for patients lacking capacity to consent (England and Wales)

Due to the emergency nature of the surgery, and the need to proceed with medical intervention – including fluid management – there may not be a Personal or Nominated Consultee available in a timely fashion. In other cases, a Personal Consultee may be available but the urgency of the surgery means there is inadequate time for the Consultee to receive trial information and to advise on the enrolment of the person who lacks capacity, particularly as clinical information must take priority. In these cases the authorised research team member will proceed with emergency consent using the process described in Section 32(9) of the Mental Capacity Act 2005. An independent doctor nominated by the local research team will be consulted - either in person or via telephone - and if they agree, the researcher will recruit the patient into the trial. An Emergency Consent form will be completed by the member of the research team seeking consent.

# 7.2.4 Retrospective consent (England and Wales)

If a patient subsequently recovers capacity to consent, retrospective consent will be sought. This process will use the same approach as with a first approach to patients with capacity. However, as the intervention period occurs while the patient is under anaesthesia and for only up to six hours after surgery, in almost all cases the study intervention will be completed before the patient regains capacity. In these cases consent will allow data use, but no other contact with the patient for trial interventions will

be required. Patients will not be informed of their treatment group allocation until after retrospective consent is obtained. Refusal of consent at this stage should be treated as a patient withdrawal from the study, see section: 7.10. Specific Retrospective Patient Information Sheets and Retrospective Consent Forms will be used. If however a site becomes aware that the patient has a pre-existing condition which means they would never regain sufficient capacity to give informed retrospective consent, agreement of a Personal or Nominated Consultee should be sought to use the patient's data.

#### 7.3 Randomisation

After enrolment but before the start of surgery, participants will be centrally allocated to treatment groups in a 1:1 ratio by minimisation with a random component. The minimisation factors will be patient age (50-64 years, 65-79 years, and 80+ years) and ASA class (I, II, III, IV, and V). Randomisation will be performed as close as possible to the start of anaesthesia, typically when the patient arrives in the theatre suite for surgery. To enter a patient into the FLO-ELA trial, research staff at the site will log on to a secure web-based randomisation platform hosted by PCTU Queen Mary University of London and enter the patient's details to obtain a unique patient identification number and allocation to a treatment group. Allocation concealment will be used, ensuring that no one involved in study will be aware of the treatment allocation until after the patient has been randomised.

# 7.4 Trial treatment

The trial treatment period will commence at the start of general anaesthesia and continue for **six hours after the completion of surgery**. Eligible patients will be randomised to receive either cardiac-output guided haemodynamic therapy (intervention group), or usual care. Perioperative management for **all** patients during the trial treatment period will be in accordance with recommended guidance below.

# 7.4.1 Perioperative management for all patients

Care for all patients has been loosely defined to avoid extremes of clinical practice but also practice misalignment (18). All patients will receive standard measures to maintain oxygenation ( $SpO_2 \ge 94\%$ ), haemoglobin (>80 g/L), and core temperature (37 °C). A list of recommended fluids that may be given will be provided in the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for the study treatment. These fluids have a composition recommended by NICE for their specific clinical indication, i.e. maintenance fluid requirements or plasma volume expansion (19). A recommended fluid will be administered to satisfy maintenance fluid requirements in line with NICE guidance (19). Additional fluid will be administered at the discretion of the clinician guided by pulse rate, arterial pressure, urine output, core-peripheral temperature gradient, serum lactate and base excess. Mean arterial pressure will be maintained between 60 and 100 mmHg using a vasopressor or vasodilator as required. If inotropes, vasoconstrictors or vasodilators are required, they should be provided by intravenous infusion rather than intermittent

bolus. Other aspects of perioperative care should be based on the best available evidence for this group (20,21), and the audit standards recommended by NELA (2).

# 7.4.2 Intervention group

The cardiac output-guided haemodynamic therapy intervention will commence with induction of anaesthesia and continue at least until the end of surgery. In patients receiving level 2/3 critical care after surgery, the intervention will continue for six hours after the end of surgery. This level of care may be delivered in intensive care units, high dependency units or post-anaesthetic care units (PACU). For patients with a clinical plan to be transferred to level 1 (ward) care after initial recovery from anaesthesia in the PACU, wherever possible the intervention should be delivered for six hours within the PACU before transfer. See Appendix 3 for definitions of levels of care. Cardiac output and stroke volume will be measured by cardiac output monitor. Clinicians may choose from a range of cardiac output monitors in established use which have been shown to track changes in cardiac stroke volume accurately. Please see the SOP for the study treatment for a recommended list. No more than 500ml of intra-venous fluid will be administered within the intervention period prior to commencing cardiac output monitoring. In addition to the maintenance fluid and blood products described previously, patients will receive 250ml fluid challenges with a solution selected from a recommended list (see: SOP for the study treatment) by the clinician as required in order to achieve a maximal value of stroke volume. The absence of fluid responsiveness will be defined as the absence of a sustained rise in stroke volume of at least 10% for 20 minutes or more, or by a stroke volume variation less than 5%. All other management decisions will be taken by clinical staff.

#### 7.4.3 Usual care group

Patients in the control group will be managed by clinical staff according to usual practice. As described in the guidance for the management of all patients, this will include 250ml fluid challenges with a recommended intra-venous fluid (see SOP for the study treatment) administered at the discretion of the clinician guided by pulse rate, arterial pressure, urine output, core-peripheral temperature gradient, serum lactate and base excess. If a specific haemodynamic end-point for fluid challenges is to be used, the most appropriate would usually be a sustained rise in central venous pressure of at least 2 mmHg for 20 minutes or more. Patients should not be randomised if the clinician intends to use cardiac output monitoring regardless of study group allocation; this is considered 'clinician refusal' and is a specific exclusion criteria. However, clinical staff are able to request cardiac output monitoring if this is required to inform the treatment of a patient who becomes critically ill (e.g. because of severe haemorrhage); in this situation a protocol deviation form will be completed.

# 7.5 Intervention algorithm

# **General haemodynamic measures (all patients)**

- 1. Maintenance fluid (see SOP) at 1 ml/kg/hr
- 2. Transfuse blood to maintain haemoglobin >80 g/l
- 3. Clinician retains discretion to adjust therapy if concerned about risks of hypovolaemia or fluid overload
- 4. Mean arterial pressure 60-100 mmHg; Sp0₂ ≥94%; temperature 37°C; heart rate <100 bpm

# Administering fluid to a stroke volume end-point (intervention group)

- 1. 250ml fluid boluses to achieve a maximal value of stroke volume
- 2. Fluid challenges should not be continued in patients who are not fluid responsive in terms of a stroke volume increase
- 3. Fluid responsiveness is defined as a stroke volume increase ≥10%
- 4. If stroke volume decreases further fluid challenge(s) are indicated
- 5. Persistent stroke volume responsiveness suggests continued fluid loss
- 6. Fluid challenge is not recommended if stroke volume variation is <5%

# What if blood or IV fluid is required regardless of stroke volume?

 If blood products or additional fluid challenges are required, then stroke volume should still be monitored to identify any change in maximal stroke volume

# 7.6 Blinding and procedures to minimise bias

FLO-ELA is a pragmatic effectiveness trial of a treatment algorithm. It is not possible to conceal treatment allocation from all staff in trials of this type. Therefore, this trial will be open-label, and patients and the staff delivering the intervention will be unblinded. However, procedures will be put in place to minimise the possibility of bias arising because research staff become aware of trial group allocation. Clinicians will be instructed that the decision to admit a patient to critical care after surgery should be made on conventional clinical grounds before randomisation. Any changes to the planned level of care after surgery made after randomisation should also be based on clinical grounds and not trial group allocation. Confirmation of the primary and secondary outcomes is objective and automated through use of ONS/HES/NSSISD/PEDW data. Adjudication of Serious Adverse Events (SAEs) will be by the local Principal Investigator, who will be blinded to study group allocation.

Staff in hospitals participating in NELA are currently able to download a pseudo-anonymised NELA dataset for patients from their hospital that have been entered into NELA and have completed records. In order to prevent unauthorised local analysis, for hospitals participating in FLO-ELA, this dataset download will not indicate whether individual patients were recruited into FLO-ELA, and the data fields relating to the management of haemodynamic therapy, and the supplementary data fields used within FLO-ELA will not be included.

Research staff enrolling patients will not necessarily be blinded to previous allocations but the randomisation method used is not predictable so there is little risk of selection bias (22). The trial management group and the trial steering committee will not see results broken down by treatment arm during the trial. Final analysis will occur once all follow up data is collected, the final statistical analysis plan has been signed off and data cleaning has occurred. The independent data monitoring committee will see outcome results by treatment group but the report will be prepared by an independent statistician, not otherwise involved in the trial.

#### 7.7 Data collection

Nearly all data described below is already collected for NELA and entered on to the secure online web portal by clinicians and staff already registered at sites. NELA data are entered by the range of clinicians caring for laparotomy patients, with each specialty entering data on their area of clinical care. Existing NELA leads at each hospital monitor data completeness, addressing any missing data and taking responsibility for completing and locking patient records. Data completeness is monitored routinely by the central NELA team and fed back to sites regularly as an audit standard. A small number of data fields will be added to the NELA web portal for FLO-ELA, only becoming activated for those patients who have been randomised. Clinicians will be asked to complete these data fields prospectively, as they currently do for NELA. Research nurses will check for data completeness and accuracy of the FLO-ELA

specific data fields after the intervention period. This will be monitored and actively managed throughout the trial. These data will then be linked to the ONS/HES/NSSISD/PEDW databases using patient identifiers to allow collation of outcome data including mortality and hospital readmission. The data sharing agreement – with a legal basis in individual patient consent - will be established with NHS Digital (formerly the Health & Social Care Information Centre, HSCIC) and devolved nation equivalent organisations prior to the start of patient recruitment.

#### 7.7.1 Randomisation data

- NHS number\*
- Date of birth\*
- Gender\*
- Postcode\*
- NELA ID
- Checklist to ensure the patient meets the eligibility criteria
- Patient age
- ASA score
- Indication for planned surgery
- Centre ID (collected automatically during log-in to randomisation system)

# 7.7.2 NELA dataset

The full list of data collected by NELA is in the Participant Manual available at <a href="http://nela.org.uk/Audit-info-Documents#pt">http://nela.org.uk/Audit-info-Documents#pt</a> and is included in Appendix 2.

Peri-operative data include: Date of birth, gender, date/time of hospital admission and other key aspects of pre-operative care, urgency and indication for surgery, patient risk scores and physiology markers, seniority of surgeon and anaesthetist during surgery, operative findings and procedure performed

Outcomes data include: Duration of stay in level 3 or level 2 bed and in hospital, vital status at discharge.

# 7.7.3 Supplementary data fields for FLO-ELA

# Intervention patients:

Intra-operative:

- Cardiac output monitoring (COM): time started / monitor type
- Number of cycles through protocol (number of COM-guided fluid boluses given)
- Total volume of crystalloid, colloid, blood and blood product administered
- Inotrope/vasopressor administered type and mode of administration (bolus / infusion)

<sup>\*</sup>patient identifiers are collected to allow follow up of all randomised patients. See: section 7.10.

In level 2/3 care during the six hours after surgery:

- Cardiac output monitoring (COM): time started and stopped / monitor type
- Number of cycles through protocol (number of COM-guided fluid boluses given)
- Total volume of crystalloid, colloid, blood and blood product administered
- Inotrope/vasopressor administered type and mode of administration (bolus / infusion)
- Duration of trial intervention (if <6 hours, reason for early termination: transfer to level 1 care area / other)

# **Control group patients:**

Intra-operative:

- Total volume of crystalloid, colloid, blood and blood product administered
- Inotrope/vasopressor administered type and mode of administration (bolus / infusion)
- Cardiac output monitoring used in a control patient? If yes: time started and indication (patient deterioration / other reason)

In level 2/3 during the six hours after surgery:

- Total volume of crystalloid, colloid, blood and blood product administered
- Inotrope/vasopressor administered type and mode of administration (bolus / infusion)
- Cardiac output monitoring used in a control patient? If yes: time started and indication (patient deterioration / other reason)
- Duration of trial intervention (if <6 hours, reason for early termination: transfer to level 1 care area / other)

# 7.7.4 Outcomes data from NHS Digital or devolved nation NHS datasets

- Mortality at 90 days and one year (via the ONS/NSSISD)
- Readmission to hospital as an inpatient (overnight stay) within 90 days of randomisation (HES/NSSISD/PEDW)

# 7.8 Predefined protocol deviations

- Failure to use cardiac output monitoring in an intervention group patient
- Failure to follow the haemodynamic algorithm (defined as at least one cycle of fluid bolus with measurement of stroke volume response) in an intervention group patient when a cardiac output monitor is being used.
- Use of cardiac output monitoring in a control group patient, including forms of monitoring based on stroke volume variation or pulse pressure variation only.

# 7.9 Follow-up procedures

Investigators will review a participant's medical record (paper or electronic) in order to check and complete NELA data entry. To collect data on the primary and secondary outcomes and enable the health economic analysis, we will request hospital episode statistics and mortality data from NHS Digital (formerly HSCIC) and equivalent devolved nation organisations. Prospective consent for ONS/HES/NSSISD/PEDW data linkage will be sought before enrolment into the trial.

### 7.10 Withdrawal of participants

All study participants are free to withdraw from the study at any time. In a small number of cases, after a patient has been randomised and the study intervention started, the patient may not ultimately undergo a surgical procedure in line with NELA inclusion criteria. This may be due to clinical deterioration before the start of surgery such that no surgery is performed, or to a change in the surgery performed. These patients are currently not included in the NELA dataset, and any data already entered to NELA is removed. These patients should also be withdrawn from the FLO-ELA trial, with clinical management reverting back to usual care. A participant withdrawal form will be completed for all participants withdrawn from the trial. However, ONS/HES data will still be collected for these patients, and they will be included in the final analysis on an intention to treat basis, unless a participant specifically asks for their data not to be included (see section 8).

#### 7.11 End of study definition

The end of the study is defined as the point when the last patient has completed one year follow-up. The Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee (DMEC) will monitor safety data throughout the trial. Based on these results, they could recommend termination of the trial on safety grounds. They will report any concerns to the Trial Steering Committee (TSC), who will inform the Sponsor and take appropriate action, which may include stopping the trial, to address concerns about participant safety. The Research Ethics Committee will be informed in writing if the trial is suspended or terminated early.

#### 7.12 Schedule of assessment

Event/Visit	Screening	Pre-op	Intra-op	24 hrs	Hospital	Post-op	Post-op
				post-op	discharge	day 90	365 days
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Х						
Informed consent	Х						
NELA pre-operative data*		Х					
Randomisation		Х					
NELA intraoperative data*			Х	Х			
Fluid and inotropic therapy			Х	Х			
NELA postoperative data by medical notes review*				х	х		
NELA duration of hospital stay and days in critical care*					Х		

SAE		Х	Х	Х	Х
Hospital readmissions (HES)				Х	
Vital status (ONS)				Х	Х
End of trial form					Х

<sup>\*</sup>these data are already collected as routine care by medical teams for NELA

#### 8 STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

#### 8.1 Sample size calculation

Recent NELA data shows that in patients aged 50 or over, mortality 90 days after emergency laparotomy was 19.5% in 2014 and 18.8% in 2015. Meta-analysis of randomised trials of perioperative GDHT suggest a relative risk reduction in mortality at longest follow-up of ~15% (RR 0.86 [95%Cl 0.74 – 1.0]) (6). This would be a clinically important effect to detect, as if the intervention became routine practice for all patients undergoing emergency laparotomy annually it could save several hundred lives each year.

With a 5% alpha level, 90% power, and assuming a 2% dropout rate and a 19% 90-day mortality rate in the usual care arm, we require 3823 patients in each arm (7646 total) to detect a risk ratio of 0.85 (equivalent to an absolute decrease from 19% to 16.15%) for the primary outcome.

# 8.2 Statistical analysis

The number of patients recruited and followed up will be recorded in a CONSORT flow chart. Baseline characteristics will be summarised by treatment group.

All analyses will be by modified intention-to-treat; patients will be analysed according to the treatment group to which they were randomised and all eligible patients for whom an outcome is available will be included in the analysis (23). Patients who were randomised in error (i.e. were ineligible at the time of randomisation) will be excluded from the analysis.

For each analysis we will present the number of patients included in the analysis, a summary measure of the outcome in each treatment group, treatment effect, 95% confidence interval and a two-side p-value. P-values <0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

The primary outcome (mortality within 90 days of randomisation) will be analysed using a mixed-effects logistic regression model, with a random-intercept for centre (24). The model will be adjusted for the minimisation factors of patient age and ASA class (I, II, III, IV, and V) (25), as well as the following prognostic baseline covariates: urgency of surgery (Immediate, Urgent, and Expedited), Glasgow Coma Score (GCS), systolic blood pressure and pulse rate (26). Urgency of surgery and ASA class will be included as categorical variables, while patient age, GCS, systolic blood pressure, and pulse rate will be included as continuous variables. Patient age and GCS will be including assuming a linear association with the outcome, and systolic blood pressure and pulse rate will be included using restricted cubic splines with 3 knots (knots will be placed based on Harrell's recommended percentiles) (27,28). Missing baseline data will be handled using mean imputation for continuous variables, and a missing indicator variable for categorical variables (29). Secondary outcomes will be analysed using similar methods.

We will also conduct subgroup analysis of the primary outcome by urgency of surgery (Immediate vs. Urgent vs. Expedited), age (<70 vs. 70+), indication for surgery (bowel perforation vs. bowel obstruction without perforation vs. other indications) and a pre-operative predicted risk score, which will be determined, with a binary cut-off established for the subgroup analysis, before any of the data is viewed. Subgroup analysis will be undertaken by statistically testing for an interaction term. Subgroup specific estimates and 95% confidence intervals will also be reported. Any subgroup findings will be treated with caution and will be given less weight than the primary analysis.

#### 8.3 Health economic analysis

The economic evaluation would adhere, as far as possible, to the most up to date NICE Guide to the Methods of Technology Appraisal to ensure that trial findings are informative for national-level policy considerations. The perspective will be limited to NHS secondary care, which will likely cover the main drivers of total care costs, including the initial hospital admission (including the treatment of complications) and subsequent hospital readmissions during the 90-day period. NELA will provide all relevant individual-level resource use information related to the initial hospital admission, including that related to implementation of the intervention. Electronic hospital resource use data (including inpatient, outpatient and critical care episodes) will be obtained from NHS Digital (or devolved nation equivalents) for the 90 day period post-randomisation to estimate total secondary care cost over the 90 day follow-up period. In addition, hospital data covering a period of 90 days pre-randomisation will be retrospectively obtained from NHS Digital (or equivalent) in order to carry out adjustments for baseline differences in mean cost between comparison groups.

Unit costs for each assessed resource use item will be collated from national sources (such as the NHS Reference Costs) where possible; adjustments and additional estimates will be obtained from published studies and expert opinion where needed. These will be applied to individual-level resource use to estimate individual-level costs.

Due to the lack of direct patient assessments in this trial, quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) will be estimated from EQ5D-3L assessments in the EPOCH trial using a mapping approach relevant to the available data (to be determined and established prior to an economic analysis plan being signed off). Broadly, this will involve estimating an EQ-5D-3L or tariff prediction model in the EPOCH data using relevant patient characteristics common to both studies, and applying that model to our study data to predict EQ-5D-3L or tariff values.

The comparison of resulting QALYs and costs will broadly follow the outcomes analyses (e.g. same comparison groups, intention-to-treat basis, adjustment for minimisation factors and other pre-specified covariates) but bootstrapped regression approaches (5000 replications) will be used to address the expected skewness in the data distributions.

The main economic analysis will be a cost-effectiveness analysis linking 90-day costs with the primary outcome, mortality within 90 days of surgery. The cost-effectiveness analysis will be presented in terms of incremental cost per death avoided at 90 days post-randomisation. A secondary economic analysis (since the QALY data are being estimated indirectly) will be a cost-utility analysis in terms of incremental cost per QALY gained at 90 days post randomisation. Incremental cost-effectiveness ratios will be calculated in cases when both incremental cost and outcome is positive or negative. In other cases, the proposed intervention will be considered either dominant (lower cost and higher outcome) or dominated (higher cost and lower outcome).

Uncertainty around cost-effectiveness/cost-utility will be analysed using cost-effectiveness planes and cost-effectiveness acceptability curves (CEACs). CEACs will be constructed using the net benefit regression approach. For each cost-outcome combination that we will examine, we will calculate a series of net benefits for a range of relevant values that decision-makers may place upon outcome improvements ( $\lambda$ ). (For QALYs the range is likely to be £0 to £50,000 to include the current value placed on QALY gains in decision-making by NICE). Net benefits will then be compared by trial arm using bootstrapped regressions (5000 replications; including relevant covariates) of trial arm upon net benefit. For each value of  $\lambda$ , the proportion of iterations indicating a higher net benefit for the intervention arm will be calculated and plotted as a CEAC.

One-way sensitivity analyses and Tornado diagrams will be used to examine the effect of structural and parametric assumptions on the results of the cost-effectiveness and cost-utility analyses. This includes uncertainty in published unit costs used to value resource use, intervention cost and the values of parameters used in the QALY mapping algorithm. All cost, outcome and unit cost data used for the economic evaluation will also be presented in a disaggregated format to facilitate interpretations from alternative perspectives.

#### 8.4 Secondary studies

The use of FLO-ELA trial data for further secondary studies is encouraged. A prospective statistical analysis plan will be prepared for each secondary study before data analysis commences.

### 9 RESEARCH ETHICS

The PI will ensure that this trial is conducted in accordance with the Principles of the Declaration of Helsinki as amended in Tokyo (1975), Venice (1983), Hong Kong (1989), South Africa (1996), Edinburgh (2000), Washington DC (2002), Tokyo (2004), Seoul (2008) and Fortaleza (2013) as described at the following internet site: <a href="http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/index.html">http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/index.html</a>. The trial will fully adhere to the principles outlined in the Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice ICH Tripartite Guideline

(January 1997) and to the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The study will be carried out in accordance with the ethical principles in the Research Governance Framework for Health and Social Care, Second Edition, 2005 and its subsequent amendments as applicable and applicable legal and regulatory requirements. At sites, all accompanying material given to a potential participant will have undergone an independent Research Ethics Committee review. Full approval by the Research Ethics Committee will be obtained prior to starting the trial and fully documented by letter to the Chief Investigator naming the trial site, local PI (who may also be the Chief Investigator) and the date on which the ethics committee deemed the trial as permissible at that site. All members of the trial steering committee will declare conflicts of interest before joining the study group. These will be listed on any publications arising from the trial.

#### 10 DATA HANDLING AND RECORD KEEPING

# 10.1 Confidentiality

Information related to participants will be kept confidential and managed in accordance with the Data Protection Act (UK), NHS Caldicott Principles (UK), The Research Governance Framework for Health and Social Care (UK), and the conditions of Research Ethics Committee Approval, or corresponding legislation or approvals for a particular participating site. The patient's NHS Number, gender, date of birth and postcode will be collected at randomisation to allow tracing through national records. The personal data recorded on all documents will be regarded as confidential. The PI must maintain in strict confidence trial documents, which are to be held in the local hospital (e.g. patients' written consent forms). The PI must ensure the patient's confidentiality is maintained at all times. The Sponsor will ensure that all participating partner organisations will maintain the confidentiality of all subject data and will not reproduce or disclose any information by which subjects could be identified, other than reporting of serious adverse events. Representatives of the trial management team will require access to patient notes for quality assurance purposes and source data verification, but patients should be reassured that their confidentiality will be respected at all times. In the case of special problems and/or competent authority queries, it is also necessary to have access to the complete trial records, provided that patient confidentiality is protected.

#### 10.2 Data storage

Data will be entered directly on to the secure NELA data entry web portal. Submitted data will be reviewed for completeness and consistency by authorised users within the study group. Submitted data will be stored securely against unauthorised manipulation and accidental loss since only authorised users at site, the Sponsor organisation, Queen Mary University of London or NELA (host of the data entry portal) will have access. Desktop security is maintained through user names and passwords. Data back-up procedures are in place. Storage and handling of confidential trial data and documents will be in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998 (UK).

# 10.3 Archiving

Each site will maintain and securely store an investigator site file. NELA and the PCTU (QMUL) will be responsible for archiving identifiable data. Data will be archived in accordance with local standards and procedures for quality and assurance. All other trial documentation and data will be archived by the Sponsor and PCTU in a purpose designed archive facility for twenty years in accordance with regulatory requirements. Access to these archives will be restricted to authorised personnel. Electronic data sets will be stored indefinitely.

### 10.4 Patient identifiable data

To facilitate linkage to national databases for the collection of follow-up data, patient identifiable data will be collected and entered on to the secure NELA data entry web portal and the randomisation system. Data will be stored and handled in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998 (UK) or equivalent legislation for a particular country or site. In the event that patient identifiable data needs to be transferred between authorised users, this will occur by email from @nhs.net to @nhs.net.

### 11 PRODUCTS, DEVICES AND TECHNIQUES

#### 11.1 Cardiac output-guided haemodynamic therapy

Cardiac output monitors are routinely used in secondary care. Investigators may only use commercially available cardiac output monitoring equipment shown to accurately track changes in cardiac stroke volume, from a list of devices in the intervention SOP. Please see the study intervention SOP for specific details of the intervention.

# 12 SAFETY REPORTING

# 12.1 Adverse Events (AE)

An AE is any untoward medical occurrence in a subject to whom an intervention has been administered, including occurrences which are not necessarily caused by or related to that intervention. An AE can therefore be any unfavourable and unintended sign (including an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom or disease temporarily associated with study activities. However, FLO-ELA is a non-CTIMP trial, and all trial interventions are already in routine clinical use for patients undergoing emergency laparotomy surgery. Furthermore, adverse events are very common following emergency laparotomy. AEs will therefore not be collected for the FLO-ELA trial. The DMEC will monitor the safety of the intervention by reviewing in-hospital mortality rates and reported SAEs at intervals in both trial groups.

# 12.2 Serious Adverse Event (SAE)

A serious adverse event (SAE) is defined as an untoward occurrence that:

- (a) results in death;
- (b) is life-threatening;
- (c) requires hospitalisation or prolongation of existing hospitalisation;
- (d) results in persistent or significant disability or incapacity;

An SAE occurring to a research participant should be reported to the sponsor where in the opinion of the Principal Investigator the event was:

- · Related that is, it resulted from administration of any of the research procedures, and
- Unexpected that is, the type of event is not listed in the protocol as an expected occurrence.

The FLO-ELA trial is an investigation of a perioperative intervention. It is expected that patients undergoing emergency laparotomy surgery will suffer medical complications, with consequences up to and including death. Only complications considered by the local PI or delegated authority (blinded to study group allocation) to be *related to the use of study procedures* and not a typical complication of emergency bowel surgery should be reported as SAEs. Typical complications of emergency bowel surgery, which should not be reported as SAEs, are included in Appendix 4.

#### 12.3 Notification and reporting of Serious Adverse Events

Serious Adverse Event (SAEs) that are considered to be 'related' and 'unexpected' are to be reported to the sponsor and the sponsor's representative within 72 hours of learning of the event. The event will be reviewed by the CI and if it meets the criteria for an SAE, will then be reported to the REC within 15 days of receipt of the SAE report.

# 12.4 Reporting a Serious Adverse Event

The local PI or delegated authority will be blinded to study group allocation and will review any reported events to ensure that they meet the criteria for an SAE. Individual sites will notify the co-ordinating centre in that country of an SAE by emailing a scanned copy of the supplementary SAE report form to the national co-ordinator. An SAE log should be maintained at site to record the details of the SAE and its review until resolution. SAEs will be reported within 72 hours and will be forwarded to the sponsor via the co-ordinating centre.

#### 12.5 Urgent safety measures

The CI may take urgent safety measures to ensure the safety and protection of trial participants from any immediate hazard to their health and safety. The measures should be taken immediately. In this instance, the approval of the REC prior to implementing these safety measures is not required. However,

it is the responsibility of the CI to inform the sponsor and Research Ethics Committee of this event in writing, setting out the reasons for the urgent safety measures and the plan for further action, within three days. The sponsor must be sent a copy of the correspondence with regards to this matter.

# 12.6 Annual safety reporting

The CI will send the annual progress report to the REC and to the sponsor starting 12 months after the date of the favourable opinion..

#### 12.7 Overview of the safety reporting responsibilities

The CI/PI has the overall oversight responsibility. The CI/PI will ensure that safety monitoring and reporting is conducted in accordance with the sponsor's requirements.

#### 13 MONITORING & AUDITING

The Sponsor and PCTU will have oversight of the trial conduct at each site. The trial team will take day-to-day responsibility for ensuring compliance with the requirements of GCP in terms of quality control and quality assurance of the data collected as well as safety reporting. The FLO-ELA Trial Management Group will communicate closely with individual sites and the Sponsor's representatives to ensure these processes are effective. A Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee (DMEC) will be appointed (see section 14.3).

The PCTU quality assurance manager will conduct a study risk assessment in collaboration with the CI. Based on the risk assessment, an appropriate study monitoring and auditing plan will be produced according to PCTU SOPs. Any changes to the monitoring plan must be agreed by the PCTU QA manager and C.I.

# 13.1 Monitoring the safety and wellbeing of trial participants

The Research and Development departments at each trial site should perform regular audits of research practice. Systems are in place to ensure that all PIs and designees are able to demonstrate that they are qualified by education, training or experience to fulfill their roles and that procedures are in place that assures the quality of every aspect of the trial. The intervention will last less than 12 hours in most cases, therefore it is extremely unlikely that new safety information will arise during the intervention period. Nonetheless should this situation arise, participants will be informed and asked if they wish to discontinue the intervention. If the subjects wish to continue in the trial they will be formally asked to sign a revised approved patient information sheet and consent form. Early termination of trial in response to safety issues will be addressed via the DMEC. Day to day management and monitoring of individual sites will be undertaken via the Trial Management Group composed of the Chief Investigator and supporting staff. They will meet on a regular basis to discuss trial issues.

### 13.2 Monitoring the safety of investigators

Each site has health and safety policies for employees. All personnel should ensure that they adhere to health and safety regulations relating to their area of work. The PI will ensure that all personnel have been trained appropriately to undertake their specific tasks. The trial team will complete GCP and consent training prior to start up.

#### 14 TRIAL MANAGEMENT & COMMITTEES

# 14.1 Trial management group

Day-to-day trial management will be co-ordinated by a trial management group consisting of the Chief Investigator, their support staff and members of the PCTU.

# 14.2 Trial steering committee

The Trial Steering Committee will be formed in accordance with NIHR guidance. It will oversee the trial and will consist of:

- several independent clinicians, statistician and trialists
- lay representation
- co-investigators
- an independent Chair

Meetings will be held at regular intervals determined by need but not less than once a year. The TSC will take responsibility for:

- approving the final trial protocol;
- major decisions such as a need to change the protocol for any reason;
- monitoring and supervising the progress of the trial;
- reviewing relevant information from other sources;
- considering recommendations from the DMEC and
- informing and advising on all aspects of the trial

#### 14.3 Data monitoring and ethics committee

The Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee (DMEC) will be formed in accordance with NIHR guidance. It is independent of the trial team and comprises of two clinicians with experience in undertaking clinical trials and a statistician. The committee will agree conduct and remit, which will include the early termination process. During the period of recruitment into the trial the DMEC will monitor safety data and routinely meet to assess safety analyses. The trial will be terminated early if there is evidence of harm in the intervention group or if recruitment is futile. The DMEC functions primarily as a check for safety by

reviewing SAEs and in-hospital mortality.

#### 15 FINANCE AND FUNDING

The FLO-ELA trial will be funded by the National Institute for Health Research (UK).

#### 16 SPONSORSHIP & INDEMNITY

University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust will act as Sponsor and provide no fault insurance.

#### 17 PUBLICATION

Data arising from this research will be made available to the scientific community in a timely and responsible manner. A detailed scientific report will be submitted to a widely accessible scientific journal on behalf of the FLO-ELA Trial Steering Committee. The TSC will agree the membership of a writing committee, which will take primary responsibility for final data analysis and writing of the scientific report. All members of the writing committee will comply with internationally agreed requirements for authorship and will approve the final manuscript prior to submission. Please see FLO-ELA trial publication charter for further details.

#### 18 REFERENCES

- 1. NELA project team. First Patient Report of the National Emergency Laparotomy Audit. Royal College of Anaesthetists; 2015.
- 2. NELA project team. The Second Patient Report of the National Emergency Laparotomy Audit [Internet]. 2016. Available from: http://nela.org.uk/reports
- 3. Cannesson M, Pestel G, Ricks C, Hoeft A, Perel A. Hemodynamic monitoring and management in patients undergoing high risk surgery: a survey among North American and European anesthesiologists. Crit Care. 2011;15(4):R197.
- 4. Jhanji S, Vivian-Smith A, Lucena-Amaro S, Watson D, Hinds CJ, Pearse RM. Haemodynamic optimisation improves tissue microvascular flow and oxygenation after major surgery: a randomised controlled trial. Crit Care. 2010;14(4):R151.
- 5. Bangash MN, Patel NS, Benetti E, Collino M, Hinds CJ, Thiemermann C, et al. Dopexamine can attenuate the inflammatory response and protect against organ injury in the absence of significant effects on hemodynamics or regional microvascular flow. Crit Care. 2013 Mar 28;17(2):R57.
- 6. Pearse RM, Harrison DA, MacDonald N, Gillies MA, Blunt M, Ackland G, et al. Effect of a perioperative, cardiac output-guided hemodynamic therapy algorithm on outcomes following major gastrointestinal surgery: a randomized clinical trial and systematic review. JAMA. 2014 May 19;311(21):2181–90.
- 7. Shapter SL, Paul MJ, White SM. Incidence and estimated annual cost of emergency laparotomy in England: is there a major funding shortfall? Anaesthesia. 2012 May;67(5):474–8.
- 8. The ProCESS Investigators. A randomized trial of protocol-based care for early septic shock. N Engl J Med. 2014 Mar 18;370:1683–93.
- ARISE Investigators, ANZICS Clinical Trials Group, Peake SL, Delaney A, Bailey M, Bellomo R, et al. Goal-directed resuscitation for patients with early septic shock. N Engl J Med. 2014 Oct 16;371(16):1496–506.
- Power GS, Harrison DA, Mouncey PR, Osborn TM, Harvey SE, Rowan KM. The Protocolised Management in Sepsis (ProMISe) trial statistical analysis plan. Crit Care Resusc. 2013 Dec;15(4):311–7.

- 11. Harten J, Crozier JEM, McCreath B, Hay A, McMillan DC, McArdle CS, et al. Effect of intraoperative fluid optimisation on renal function in patients undergoing emergency abdominal surgery: a randomised controlled pilot study (ISRCTN 11799696). Int J Surg. 2008 Jun;6(3):197–204.
- 12. Boyd O, Grounds RM, Bennett ED. A randomized clinical trial of the effect of deliberate perioperative increase of oxygen delivery on mortality in high-risk surgical patients. JAMA. 1993 Dec 8;270(22):2699–707.
- 13. Pearse R, Dawson D, Fawcett J, Rhodes A, Grounds RM, Bennett ED. Early goal-directed therapy after major surgery reduces complications and duration of hospital stay. A randomised, controlled trial [ISRCTN38797445]. Critical Care. 2005;9(6):R687–93.
- 14. Sandham JD, Hull RD, Brant RF, Knox L, Pineo GF, Doig CJ, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of the use of pulmonary-artery catheters in high-risk surgical patients. N Engl J Med. 2003 Jan 2;348(1):5–14.
- 15. Shoemaker WC, Appel PL, Kram HB, Waxman K, Lee TS. Prospective trial of supranormal values of survivors as therapeutic goals in high-risk surgical patients. Chest. 1988 Dec;94(6):1176–86.
- 16. Pinkney TD, Calvert M, Bartlett DC, Gheorghe A, Redman V, Dowswell G, et al. Impact of wound edge protection devices on surgical site infection after laparotomy: multicentre randomised controlled trial (ROSSINI Trial). BMJ. 2013;347:1–13.
- 17. Kay R, Siriwardena A. The process of informed consent for urgent abdominal surgery. J Med Ethics. 2001 Jun;27(3):157–61.
- Deans KJ, Minneci PC, Danner RL, Eichacker PQ, Natanson C. Practice Misalignments in Randomized Controlled Trials: Identification, Impact and Potential Solutions. Anesth Analg. 2010 Aug;111(2):444–50.
- 19. NICE. Intravenous fluid therapy in adults in hospital (Clinical Guidance 174) [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2014 Apr 16]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/
- 20. Gray LD, Morris C. The principles and conduct of anaesthesia for emergency surgery. Anaesthesia. 2013 Jan;68 Suppl 1:14–29.
- 21. Stoneham M, Murray D, Foss N. Emergency surgery: the big three abdominal aortic aneurysm, laparotomy and hip fracture. Anaesthesia. 2014 Jan 1;69:70–80.
- 22. Kahan BC, Rehal S, Cro S. Risk of selection bias in randomised trials. Trials. 2015;16:405.

- 23. White IR, Horton NJ, Carpenter J, statistics reader in medical and social, Pocock SJ. Strategy for intention to treat analysis in randomised trials with missing outcome data. BMJ. 2011;342:d40.
- 24. Kahan BC. Accounting for centre-effects in multicentre trials with a binary outcome when, why, and how? BMC Med Res Methodol. 2014;14:20.
- 25. Kahan BC, Morris TP. Improper analysis of trials randomised using stratified blocks or minimisation. Stat Med. 2012 Feb 20;31(4):328–40.
- 26. Kahan BC, Jairath V, Doré CJ, Morris TP. The risks and rewards of covariate adjustment in randomized trials: an assessment of 12 outcomes from 8 studies. Trials. 2014;15:139.
- 27. Kahan BC, Rushton H, Morris TP, Daniel RM. A comparison of methods to adjust for continuous covariates in the analysis of randomised trials. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2016;16:42.
- 28. Harrell FE. Regression Modeling Strategies [Internet]. New York, NY: Springer New York; 2001 [cited 2016 Jul 21]. (Springer Series in Statistics). Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4757-3462-1
- 29. White IR, Thompson SG. Adjusting for partially missing baseline measurements in randomized trials. Stat Med. 2005 Apr 15;24(7):993–1007.

# **Appendix 1: NELA Inclusion & Exclusion Criteria**

#### **NELA Inclusion Criteria**

NELA will enrol the patients treated in England or Wales who meet the following criteria:

- aged 18 years and over
- have an NHS number
- who undergo an expedited, urgent or emergency (NCEPOD definitions) abdominal procedure on the gastrointestinal tract

#### This will include:

- Open, laparoscopic, or laparoscopically-assisted procedures
- Procedures involving the stomach, small or large bowel, or rectum for conditions such as perforation, ischaemia, abdominal abscess, bleeding or obstruction
- Washout/evacuation of intra-peritoneal abscess (unless due to appendicitis or cholecystitis excluded, see below)
- Washout/evacuation of intra-peritoneal haematoma
- Bowel resection/repair due to incarcerated incisional, umbilical, inguinal and femoral hernias (but not hernia repair without bowel resection/repair) E.g. large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection.
- Bowel resection/repair due to obstructing/incarcerated incisional hernias provided the presentation and findings were acute. This will include large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions.
- Laparotomy/laparoscopy with inoperable pathology (e.g. peritoneal/hepatic metastases)
- Laparoscopic/open adhesiolysis
- Return to theatre for repair of substantial dehiscence of major abdominal wound (i.e. "burst abdomen")
- Any reoperation/return to theatre meeting the criteria above is included, such as;
  - patients returning to theatre for ischaemic bowel following elective or emergency aortic aneurysm surgery, or for ischaemic bowel following cardiac surgery
  - o patients requiring non-elective GI surgery following prior gynaecological surgery

If multiple procedures are performed on different anatomical sites within the abdominal/pelvic cavity, the patient would be included if the major procedure is general surgical. E.g.

- Non-elective colonic resection with hysterectomy for a fistulating colonic cancer would be included as the bowel resection is the major procedure
- However bowel resection at the same time as emergency abdominal aortic aneurysm repair would not be included as the aneurysm repair is the major procedure

- Any reoperation/return to theatre meeting the criteria above is included, such as;
  - patients returning to theatre for ischaemic bowel following elective or emergency aortic aneurysm surgery, or for ischaemic bowel following cardiac surgery
  - o patients requiring any of the above non-elective GI procedures following prior gynaecological surgery
  - patients returning to theatre for post-operative complications (e.g. bleeding, sepsis)
     following prior urological/renal surgery (except transplant)
  - patients requiring any of the above non-elective GI procedures as a return to theatre following any other elective or emergency procedure (even if the original procedure would have been excluded)

The above criteria are not exhaustive. Any intra-abdominal procedure not identifiable within the exclusion criteria should be included.

### **NELA Exclusion Criteria**

Patients with the following characteristics will be excluded from NELA:

- 1. Patients under 18
- 2. Do not have an NHS number
- 3. Elective laparotomy / laparoscopy
- 4. Diagnostic laparotomy/laparoscopy where no subsequent procedure is performed (NB, if no procedure is performed because of inoperable pathology, then include)
- 5. Appendicectomy +/- drainage of localised collection unless the procedure is incidental to a nonelective procedure on the GI tract
- 6. Cholecystectomy +/- drainage of localised collection unless the procedure is incidental to a non-elective procedure on the GI tract (All surgery involving the appendix or gallbladder, including any surgery relating to complications such as abscess or bile leak is excluded. The only exception to this is if carried out as an incidental procedure to a more major procedure. We acknowledge that there might be extreme cases of peritoneal contamination, but total exclusion avoids subjective judgement calls about severity of contamination.)
- 7. Non-elective hernia repair without bowel resection
- 8. Minor abdominal wound dehiscence unless this causes bowel complications requiring resection
- 9. Non-elective formation of a colostomy or ileostomy as either a trephine or a laparoscopic procedure (NB: if a midline laparotomy is performed, with the primary procedure being formation of a stoma then this should be included)
- 10. Vascular surgery, including abdominal aortic aneurysm repair (NB: resection of ischaemic bowel as a separate visit to theatre following abdominal aortic aneurysm repair is included)
- 11. Caesarean section or obstetric laparotomies

- 12. Gynaecological laparotomy (However bowel resection performed as a non-elective procedure for obstruction due to gynaecological cancer would be included)
- 13. Ruptured ectopic pregnancy, or pelvic abscesses due to pelvic inflammatory disease
- 14. Laparotomy/laparoscopy for pathology caused by blunt or penetrating trauma
- 15. All surgery relating to organ transplantation (including returns to theatre for any reason following transplant surgery)
- 16. Surgery relating to sclerosing peritonitis
- 17. Surgery for removal of dialysis catheters
- 18. Laparotomy/laparoscopy for oesophageal pathology
- 19. Laparotomy/laparoscopy for pathology of the spleen, renal tract, kidneys, liver, gall bladder and biliary tree, pancreas or urinary tract

# Appendix 2: NELA data entry

1.	Demographics and Admission	Format	Notes
1.1	NHS Number		
1.2	Pseudo-anonymisation		Computer generated
1.3	Local patient id/hospital number		
1.4	Date of birth		
	Age on arrival		Age will automatically be calculated on web tool
1.5	Sex	•Male / •Female	
1.6	Forename		
1.7	Surname		
1.8	Postcode		
1.9	Date and time patient admitted to this hospital		Admission time is 1st presentation to hospital/A&E. If the GP out of hours centre is based at the hospital A&E, then use time care was transferred from GP to the hospital. I.e. Admission time is intended to reflect the time at which the patient's care became the responsibility of the hospital.
1.10	What was the nature of this admission?	•Elective / •Non-elective	
1.10b	If non-elective, what was the initial route of admission/assessment?	<ul> <li>Assessed initially in         Emergency Department</li> <li>Assessed initially in "front         of house" acute surgical         assessment unit</li> <li>Direct referral to ward by         GP</li> </ul>	
1.11	Which specialty was this patient first admitted under?	<ul> <li>General surgery</li> <li>General medicine</li> <li>Gastroenterology</li> <li>Elderly Care</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>	<b>Do not</b> use "other" if the patient spent a period of observation under Emergency Medicine
1.12	Residence before this hospital admission	<ul> <li>Own home/sheltered housing</li> <li>Residential care</li> <li>Nursing care</li> <li>Unknown</li> </ul>	

2	Pre-op	Format	Notes		
	If the patient is returning to theatre as an emergency following previous elective surgery, all answers should relate to the				
emer	gency laparotomy, not the pr	revious elective surgery.			
2.1	Date and time first	Date(DD/MM/YYYY)	For acute general surgical admissions, please		
	seen by consultant	<ul> <li>Date not known</li> </ul>	detail the first consultant surgical review		
	surgeon following	Time (HH:MM)	following admission.		
	admission with acute	<ul> <li>Time not known</li> </ul>	For inpatients referred to the surgical team by		
	abdomen. If under care	o Not Seen	different specialities, please detail the first		
	of a non-surgical		consultant surgical review following referral.		
	specialty, this should be		For patients having emergency surgery as a		
	the time 1 <sup>st</sup> seen after		complication of elective surgery, please use the		
	referral to general		time that the decision was made that they		
	surgeons		needed surgery for 2.1 & 2.2. In reality, Qu 2.1		

2.2	Date and time that the decision was made to operate If this is unavailable please enter date and time that this patient was first booked for theatre for emergency	Date(DD/MM/YYYY)  o Date not known Time (HH:MM)  o Time not known	will be redundant for these patients as they will be highlighted by the fact that they were originally an elective admission (Qu1.10), and complication of previous surgery within the same admission (Qu 5.1).  If the time is unknown for "decision made", but date and time known for "booking", please provide full details of the latter. If only date is known for both fields, please provide date for "decision made".
2.2i	Iaparotomy Which date and time is	Decision to operate	
2.3	recorded?  Consultant responsible for surgical care at the time the patient was booked for surgery (this may be different to the operating consultant)	First booked for theatre  (Local pick list of names with GMC number)	If a consultant is being entered for the first time, please tick on the 'Consultant not on list' box and manually enter the name and GMC number. Once these have been entered, the consultant will appear on the drop down list in call cases going forward
2.4	Was there consultant surgeon input into the decision to operate?	<ul> <li>Yes, consultant reviewed patient at time of decision*</li> <li>Yes, following discussion with junior team member #</li> <li>Decision made by junior team member without consultant input</li> <li>Unknown</li> </ul>	*can refer to situations where e.g. decision is made on consultant ward round pending CT results, which then confirms need for surgery # refers to situations where consultant has not seen patient but has been discussed with consultant
2.5	NO LONGER REQUIRED	NO LONGER REQUIRED	
2.6	NO LONGER REQUIRED	NO LONGER REQUIRED	
2.7	Was an abdominal CT scan performed in the pre-operative period as part of the diagnostic work-up?	<ul><li>No</li><li>Yes</li><li>Unknown</li></ul>	
2.7a	If performed, how was this CT reported preoperatively?	<ul> <li>In-house consultant</li> <li>In-house Registrar</li> <li>Outsourced service</li> <li>Not reported pre-operatively</li> <li>Unknown</li> </ul>	If CT is reported by a registrar and validated by a consultant <b>before</b> surgery, select "in-house consultant". If <b>not validated</b> by a consultant before surgery, select "registrar")
2.7b	Was there a pre- operative discussion between the radiologist and the requesting team about the CT findings?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li><li>Unknown</li></ul>	
2.7c	Was there a discrepancy between the CT report and surgical findings that altered or delayed either the diagnosis or surgical management?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li><li>Unknown</li></ul>	

2.8a	Consultant Anaesthetist involvement in planning perioperative care	<ul> <li>Yes – seen by consultant anaesthetist in person</li> <li>Yes – discussion between consultant anaesthetist &amp; other team member (of any specialty)</li> <li>No consultant anaesthetist input before surgery</li> <li>Unknown</li> </ul>	This can include pre-operative assessment, discussion about decisions and risks/benefits or surgery, or need for critical care
2.8b	Intensive care involvement in planning perioperative care	<ul> <li>Yes – seen by consultant intensivist in person</li> <li>Yes – discussion between consultant intensivist &amp; other team member (of any specialty)</li> <li>Seen by or discussion with junior ITU team member only</li> <li>No intensive care input before surgery</li> <li>Unknown</li> </ul>	This can include pre-operative assessment, discussion about decisions and risks/benefits or surgery, or need for critical care
2.9	NO LONGER REQUIRED	NO LONGER REQUIRED	
2.10	What was the date and time of the first dose of antibiotics following presentation to hospital?	<ul> <li>In theatre, or</li> <li>Date(DD/MM/YYYY)</li> <li>Date not known</li> <li>Time (HH:MM)</li> <li>Time not known</li> <li>Not Administered</li> </ul>	Only relevant for non-elective admissions
2.11a	Was sepsis suspected on admission?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li><li>Unknown</li></ul>	
2.11b	Was sepsis suspected at the time the decision for surgery was made?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li><li>Unknown</li></ul>	
2.12	Was the patient assessed by a specialist from Elderly Medicine in the pre-operative period?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li><li>Unknown</li></ul>	Can include physician or nurse specialist

3	Pre-op Risk stratification	Format	Notes
3.1	Prior to surgery, what was the risk of death for the patient that was entered into medical record?	<ul> <li>lower (&lt;5%)</li> <li>high (5-10%)</li> <li>highest (&gt;10%)</li> <li>Not documented</li> </ul>	For information, wording of relevant standard: "An assessment of mortality risk should be made explicit to the patient and recorded clearly on the consent form and in the medical record."  If both percentage predicted mortality AND risk category are documented, please select the highest risk option
3.2	If documented, how was this assessment of risk made? (Please select all that apply)	<ul> <li>Risk prediction tool (e.g. P-POSSUM)</li> <li>Clinical Judgement</li> <li>Surgical APGAR</li> <li>Physiological criteria</li> <li>Other e.g. hospital policy</li> </ul>	Formal assessments of risk; this includes risk stratification tools (such as ASA) and prediction models (such as APACHE and POSSUM systems).  Clinical judgement; refers to the categorisation or estimation of risk, based on

			clinical acumen and experience.
			<b>Physiological criteria</b> ; either use of physiological
			variables in isolation (eg lactate) or
			incorporated into tools such as the early warning score (i.e. not incorporated into a risk
			stratification tool or prediction model as above)
3.3	What was the <b>ASA</b>	o 1: No systemic disease	stratification tool of prediction model as above)
3.3	score?	<ul><li>2: Mild systemic disease</li></ul>	
	Score.	o 3: Severe systemic	
		disease, not life-	
		threatening	
		o 4: Severe, life-	
		threatening	
		<ul><li>5: Moribund patient</li></ul>	
3.4	What was the most	0	Please enter values closest to time of booking
	recent pre-operative	<ul> <li>Not performed</li> </ul>	for theatre
	value for serum		
	Creatinine (micromol/l)		
3.5	What was the most	0	Please enter values closest to time of booking
	recent pre-operative	<ul> <li>Not performed</li> </ul>	for theatre. Only one decimal point required.
	value for blood lactate –		
	may be arterial or		
	venous (mmol/l)		
3.5i	What was the highest	0	
	CRP in the pre-	o Not performed	
	operative period		
	(mg/I)?		
3.5ii	What was the lowest	0	
	albumin in the pre-	o Not performed	
	operative period (g/l)?		
	operative period (g/l)?		
	P-POSSUM		
	P-POSSUM calculation	please enter values closest to tim	ne of booking for theatre in order to calculate P-
	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22		ne of booking for theatre in order to calculate Pohysiology.
	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should	please enter values closest to tim reflect chronic <i>and</i> acute pathop	<u> </u>
3.6	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate		<u> </u>
3.6	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should		<u> </u>
3.6	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium		<u> </u>
	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/l)		<u> </u>
	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/I) Serum Potassium concentration (mmol/I) Serum Urea		<u> </u>
3.7	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/I) Serum Potassium concentration (mmol/I) Serum Urea concentration (mmol/I)		physiology.
3.7	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/l) Serum Potassium concentration (mmol/l) Serum Urea concentration (mmol/l) Serum Haemoglobin		Units must be in g/l. If results are presented as
3.7	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/I) Serum Potassium concentration (mmol/I) Serum Urea concentration (mmol/I)		Units must be in g/l. If results are presented as g/dl in your institution, the value should be
3.7 3.8 3.9	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/l) Serum Potassium concentration (mmol/l) Serum Urea concentration (mmol/l) Serum Haemoglobin concentration (g/dl)		Units must be in g/l. If results are presented as
3.7	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/l) Serum Potassium concentration (mmol/l) Serum Urea concentration (mmol/l) Serum Haemoglobin concentration (g/dl) Serum White cell count		Units must be in g/l. If results are presented as g/dl in your institution, the value should be
3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/l) Serum Potassium concentration (mmol/l) Serum Urea concentration (mmol/l) Serum Haemoglobin concentration (g/dl)  Serum White cell count (x10\9/l)		Units must be in g/l. If results are presented as g/dl in your institution, the value should be
3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10 3.11	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/l) Serum Potassium concentration (mmol/l) Serum Urea concentration (mmol/l) Serum Haemoglobin concentration (g/dl)  Serum White cell count (x10\9/l) Pulse rate(bpm)		Units must be in g/l. If results are presented as g/dl in your institution, the value should be
3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/l) Serum Potassium concentration (mmol/l) Serum Urea concentration (mmol/l) Serum Haemoglobin concentration (g/dl)  Serum White cell count (x10^9 / l) Pulse rate(bpm)  Systolic blood pressure		Units must be in g/l. If results are presented as g/dl in your institution, the value should be
3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10 3.11 3.12	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/I) Serum Potassium concentration (mmol/I) Serum Urea concentration (mmol/I) Serum Haemoglobin concentration (g/dl)  Serum White cell count (x10^9/I) Pulse rate(bpm)  Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)		Units must be in g/l. If results are presented as g/dl in your institution, the value should be
3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10 3.11 3.12 3.13	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate  Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/I) Serum Potassium concentration (mmol/I) Serum Urea concentration (mmol/I) Serum Haemoglobin concentration (g/dI)  Serum White cell count (x10^9/I) Pulse rate(bpm)  Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) Glasgow coma scale	reflect chronic and acute pathor	Units must be in g/l. If results are presented as g/dl in your institution, the value should be multiplied by 10 to convert to g/l.
3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10 3.11 3.12	P-POSSUM calculation For questions 3.6 to 3.22 POSSUM. Answers should in order to calculate Serum Sodium concentration (mmol/I) Serum Potassium concentration (mmol/I) Serum Urea concentration (mmol/I) Serum Haemoglobin concentration (g/dl)  Serum White cell count (x10^9/I) Pulse rate(bpm)  Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)		Units must be in g/l. If results are presented as g/dl in your institution, the value should be

		abnormal rhythm/paced rhythm/>5VE/min/Q, ST or T wave abnormalities	
3.15	Select an option that best describes this patient's <b>cardiac signs</b> and chest xray appearance	<ul> <li>No failure</li> <li>Diuretic, digoxin, antianginal or antihypertensive therapy</li> <li>Peripheral oedema, warfarin Therapy or CXR: borderline cardiomegaly</li> <li>Raised jugular venous pressure or CXR: cardiomegaly</li> </ul>	If CXR findings are worse than clinical findings, (or vice versa) please use worst score.  If no investigation have been performed AND there is no clinical detail available, please select "no abnormality"
3.16	Select an option that best describes this patient's respiratory history and chest xray appearance	<ul> <li>No dyspnoea</li> <li>Dyspnoea on exertion or CXR: mild COAD</li> <li>Dyspnoea limiting exertion to &lt; 1 Flight or CXR: moderate COAD</li> <li>Dyspnoea at rest/rate &gt; 30 at rest or CXR: fibrosis or consolidation</li> </ul>	If CXR findings are worse than clinical findings, (or vice versa) please use worst score.  If no investigation have been performed AND there is no clinical detail available, please select "no abnormality"
3.16a	Patient was ventilated prior to emergency laparotomy	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul> Online web tool will automatically calculate	This is intended to identify those patients who are intubated and ventilated prior to laparotomy, e.g. ITU patients
3.17	Select the operative severity of the intended surgical intervention (see help box for examples)	Physiology severity score	Major+: All colonic resections (excluding colostomy alone) All gastrectomy (but not repair perforated or bleeding ulcer) Small bowel tumour resection Re-operations for ongoing sepsis or bleeding Laparostomy Intestinal bypass Major All other procedures including: Stoma formation Small bowel resection Division adhesions Repair perforated or bleeding ulcer
3.18	Including this operation, how many operations has the patient had in the 30 day period prior to this procedure?	○ 1 ○ 2 ○ >2	Do not "unbundle" procedures. Examples of single procedure:  Hartmann's procedure (this should not be "unbundled" as 2 procedures -sigmoid colectomy and end colostomy).  Colonic resection with washout of a localised abscess would also be 1 procedure.

3.19	Based on your clinical experience of the intended surgery, please estimate the likely intraoperative blood loss (ml)		<ul> <li>Examples of 2 procedures:</li> <li>Primary colonic anastomosis with a defunctioning ileostomy.</li> <li>Colonic resection and extensive division of adhesions.</li> <li>Colonic resection and small bowel repair.</li> <li>Example of &gt;2 procedures:         <ul> <li>Hartmann's procedure with resection of small bowel with insertion of tube gastrostomy</li> </ul> </li> <li>Based on your clinical experience, please do your best to estimate the likely volume of intraoperative blood loss.</li> </ul>
3.20	Please select a value that best describes the likely degree of peritoneal soiling	<ul> <li>None</li> <li>Serous fluid</li> <li>Localised pus</li> <li>Free bowel content, pus or blood</li> </ul>	Based on available radiological imaging and your clinical experience, please do your best to estimate the likely degree of peritoneal soiling.
3.21	What severity of malignancy is anticipated to be present?	<ul><li>None</li><li>Primary only</li><li>Nodal metastases</li><li>Distant metastases</li></ul>	Based on available radiological imaging and your clinical experience, please do your best to estimate the extent of intra-abdominal malignancy.
3.22	Please select urgency of surgical intervention (see help notes for additional information, including equivalent Possum categories)	<ul> <li>3. Expedited (&gt;18 hours)</li> <li>2B. Urgent (6-18 hours)</li> <li>2A. Urgent (2-6 hours)</li> <li>1. Immediate (&lt;2 hours)</li> </ul>	Based on your clinical experience this should be the maximum time that a patient could reasonably wait for surgery. These classifications are based on NCEPOD and Surviving Sepsis. The equivalent POSSUM categories are also shown.  Examples:  POSSUM: Emergency (resuscitation of > 2h possible)  3. Expedited (>18 hours): No SIRS or sepsis e.g. developing large bowel obstruction 2B. Urgent (6-18 hours): Sepsis e.g. localised abscess or obstructed hernia 2A. Urgent (2-6 hours): Severe sepsis e.g. intestinal perforation  POSSUM: Emergency (immediate surgery <2h needed)  1. Immediate (<2 hours): Life threatening haemorrhage and septic shock e.g. profuse GI bleed or pan-intestinal ischaemia
		Online web tool will	
		automatically calculate	

		Operative severity score	
3.23	Pre-op P-POSSUM predicted mortality	Calculated	This value will be calculated automatically
3.24	Pre-op POSSUM predicted morbidity	Calculated	This value will be calculated automatically
3.25	Not all P-POSSUM investigations available	0	Please select if any of the above investigations are unavailable. This will allow you to save the form with missing data
3.26	Estimated mortality using NELA risk adjustment model	O Calculated	Figure only provided if all data available

4	Intra-op	Format	Notes
4.1	Date and time of entry in to operating theatre/anaest hetic room (not theatre suite)	Date(DD/MM/YYYY) Time(HH:MM)  O Time not known	Please enter the date/time at which the patient enters the anaesthetic room OR operating theatre (for patients anaesthetisted in theatre), whichever comes first.
4.2	Senior surgeon grade	<ul> <li>Consultant</li> <li>Post-CCT fellow</li> <li>SAS grade</li> <li>Research Fellow / Clinical Fellow</li> <li>Specialty trainee / registrar</li> <li>Core trainee / SHO</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>	This can include surgeon supervising in theatre but not necessarily scrubbed
4.2a	Consultant present/supervisi ng: Name/GMC/speci alty of operating or supervising consultant	(Please select consultant - Online)	If consultant not present, enter name of supervising consultant
4.3	Senior anaesthetist present in theatre	<ul> <li>Consultant</li> <li>Post-CCT fellow</li> <li>SAS grade</li> <li>Research Fellow / Clinical Fellow</li> <li>Specialty trainee / registrar</li> <li>Core trainee / SHO</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>	
4.3a	Consultant present (or supervising): Name/GMC of anaesthetist	(Please select consultant - Online)	If consultant not present, enter name of supervising consultant
4.4	How did you provide goal directed fluid therapy?	<ul> <li>Not provided</li> <li>Dynamic index e.g. Stroke volume, PPV, SVV</li> <li>Static index e.g. CVP</li> <li>Other, e.g. bioimpedance</li> </ul>	PPV – pulse pressure variability SVV – stroke volume variability CVP – central venous pressure

THIS OLIFSTION	
THIS QUESTION	
REPLACED AS	
DESCRIBED IN	
MAIN PROTOCOL	
FOR FLO-ELA	
PATIENTS	

5	Procedure	Format	Notes
	Is this the first surgical procedure of this admission, or a complication of previous surgery within the same admission?	<ul> <li>First surgical procedure after admission</li> <li>Surgery for complication of previous surgical procedure within the same admission</li> </ul>	
5.2	What is the indication for surgery? (Please select all that apply)	Peritonitis Perforation Abdominal abscess Anastomotic leak Intestinal fistula Phlegmon Pneumoperitoneum Necrosis Sepsis Small bowel obstruction Large bowel obstruction Volvulus Internal hernia Pseudo-obstruction Incarcerated hernia Obstructing incisional hernia Haemorrhage Ischaemia Colitis Abdominal wound dehiscence Abdominal compartment syndrome Acidosis Iatrogenic injury Foreign body Planned relook	
5.3.a	Main procedure	<ul> <li>Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation</li> <li>Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed</li> <li>Gastrectomy: partial or total</li> <li>Gastric surgery - other</li> <li>Small bowel resection</li> <li>Resection of Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: subtotal or</li> </ul>	Please note that, in accordance with NELA inclusion criteria, primary and additional procedure options vary  Please see inclusion/exclusion criteria under the "support" tab on this data collection website. They can also be downloaded from http://www.nela.org.uk/NEL A_Docs

papproctocolectormy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection - other Abdominal wall closure following dehistience Abdominal wall closure following dehistience Abdominal wall creonstruction Adhesiolysis Reduction of volvulus Enterotormy Stricturoplasty Drainage of abscess/collection Evacuation of haematoma Debridement Exploratory/relook laparotomy only Haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal perforation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal perforation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with dowel resection Colectomy: left (including lieocaecal resection) Colectomy: left (including lieocaecael resection) Colectomy: right (including lieocaecael resection) Colectomy: subtotal or papproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectomy: subtotal or papproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection - other Splenectomy Abdominal wall construction		
Colorectal resection - other Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction Adhesiolysis Reduction of volvulus Enterotomy Stricturoplasty Drainage of abscess/collection Evacuation of haematoma Debridement Exploratory/relook laparotomy only Haemostasis Intestinal typass Laparostomy formation Repair of revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal perforation Repair of revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra- abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.b Second procedure (at same laparotomy) Third procedure (at same laparotomy) Semoval of preforation Peptic ulcer—suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer—suture or repair		
5.3.b  Second procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Colectorny: Bett (including liecocaccal resection of Meskel's diverticulum of Colectorny: partial or total Gastrectorny: partial		
following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction Adhesiolysis Reduction of volvulus Enterotomy Stricturoplasty Drainage of abscess/collection Evacuation of haematoma Debridement Exploratory/relook laparotomy only Haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair of intestinal perforation Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra- abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Colectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Colectomy: gight (including lieocascal resection) Colectomy: gight (including lieocascal resection) Colectomy: right (including lieocascal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection - other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall closure		
Abdominal wall reconstruction Adhesiolysis Reduction of volvulus Enterotomy Stricturoplasty Drainage of abscess/collection Evacuation of haematoma Debridement Exploratory/relook laparotomy only Haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair of revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra-abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Third procedure (at same laparotomy) Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Resection Resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: left (including lileoaecal resection) Colectomy: subtoal or paparocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectomy: Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall closure		
o. Adhesiolysis Reduction of volvulus Enterotomy Stricturoplasty Drainage of abscess/collection Evacuation of haematoma Debridement Exploratory/relook laparotomy only Haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair of nevision of anastomosis Repair of nevision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal perforation Repair of intestinal perforation Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra-abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery Not amenable to surgery Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Static surgery other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including lieoaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or papproctoolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		following dehiscience
o. Reduction of volvulus Enterotomy Stricturoplasty Drainage of abscess/collection Evacuation of haematoma Debridement Exploratory/relook laparotomy only Haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal perforation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra-abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  Third procedure (at same laparotomy) Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: left (including lilecoaceal resection) Colectomy: left (including lilecoaceal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or paparococolectomy: Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		Abdominal wall reconstruction
Stricturoplasty  Stricturoplasty  Drainage of abscess/collection  Evacuation of haematoma  Debridement  Exploratory/relook laparotomy only  Haemostasis Intestinal bypass  Laparostomy formation  Repair of revision of anastomosis  Repair of revision of anastomosis  Repair of intestinal perforation  Repair of intestinal fistula  Resection of other intra-abdominal tumour(s)  Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy  Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy  Not amenable to surgery  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Salout only  Removal of foreign body  Not amenable to surgery  Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation  Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation or per		<ul> <li>Adhesiolysis</li> </ul>
Stricturoplasty Drainage of abscess/collection Evacuation of haematoma Debridement Exploratory/relook laparotomy only Haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal perforation Repair of other intra- abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via middline laparotomy Revision of stoma via middline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastrectomy: partial or method Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastrectomy: subtotal or papproctocelectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: left (including lieozaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or papaproctocelectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		Reduction of volvulus
Drainage of abscess/collection Evacuation of haematoma Debridement Exploratory/relook laparotomy only Haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair of intestinal perforation Repair of intestinal perforation Repair of revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra-abdominal turnour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.b. Second procedure (at same laparotomy) Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: left (including ileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtoal or paparoctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		o Enterotomy
Evacuation of haematoma Debridement Exploratory/relook laparotomy only Haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair of revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal perforation Repair of revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra-abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including liecosecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		<ul> <li>Stricturoplasty</li> </ul>
Debridement Exploratory/relook laparotomy only Haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra- abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Samul fowel resection Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrictomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: left (including ileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or paparoctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		<ul> <li>Drainage of abscess/collection</li> </ul>
Exploratory/relook laparotomy only Haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal perforation Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra- abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including lieocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		<ul> <li>Evacuation of haematoma</li> </ul>
only Haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra-abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including lileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		o Debridement
only Haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra-abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including lileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		<ul> <li>Exploratory/relook laparotomy</li> </ul>
haemostasis Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair of intestinal perforation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra- abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.b Second procedure (at same laparotomy) Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including lileozaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		
Intestinal bypass Laparostomy formation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of intestinal perforation Repair of intestinal fistula Resection of other intra- abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c Third procedure (at same laparotomy) Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including lieozeacal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall closure		· ·
S.3.b Second procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Second procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Second procedure (at same laparotomy)  Colectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection  Besection of Meckel's diverticulum  Colectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection  Colectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection  Colectomy: gift (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)  Colectomy: right (including lieocaecal resection)  Colectomy: subtotal or paparotococlectomy  Hartmann's procedure  Colorectal resection - other Splenectomy  Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience  Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience  Abdominal wall reconstruction		o Intestinal bypass
o Repair of intestinal perforation Repair or revision of anastomosis Repair of revision of the intra- abdominal tumour(s) Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Feptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including liecoaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience		
o. Repair or revision of anastomosis  o. Repair of intestinal fistula  o. Resection of other intra- abdominal tumour(s)  Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy O. Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions O. Washout only O. Removal of foreign body O. Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  O. Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other O. Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or paparoctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		
anastomosis  Repair of intestinal fistula  Resection of other intra- abdominal tumour(s)  Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with wision of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		
o Repair of intestinal fistula o Resection of other intra- abdominal tumour(s) o Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy e Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Second procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  O Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		
Resection of other intra- abdominal tumour(s)  Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Facility of the perforation Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery – other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Spilenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		
abdominal tumour(s)  Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy  Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy  Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection  Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection  Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions  Washout only  Removal of foreign body  Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation  Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed  Gastrectomy: partial or total  Gastric surgery - other  Small bowel resection  Resection of Meckel's diverticulum  Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)  Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)  Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy  Hartmann's procedure  Colorectal resection – other  Splenectomy  Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience  Abdominal wall reconstruction		
Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c Third procedure (at same laparotomy) Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  5.3.c Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Deptic ulcer — oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: gight (including ileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection — other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall closure		
laparotomy   Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy		
Revision of stoma via midline laparotomy  Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection  Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions  Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		
laparotomy   Large incisional hernia repair with bowel resection		
S.3.b Second procedure (at same laparotomy)  5.3.c Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  5.3.c Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Colectomy: gargery - other  Small bowel resection  Resection of Meckel's diverticulum  Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)  Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)  Colectomy: subtotal or papproctocolectomy  Hartmann's procedure  Colorectal resection – other  Splenectomy  Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience  Abdominal wall reconstruction		
with bowel resection  Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions  Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including ileoaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		
Large incisional hernia repair with division of adhesions     Washout only     Removal of foreign body     Not amenable to surgery      Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation     Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed     Gastrectomy: partial or total     Gastric surgery - other     Small bowel resection     Resection of Meckel's diverticulum     Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)     Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)     Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy     Hartmann's procedure     Colorectal resection – other     Splenectomy     Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience     Abdominal wall reconstruction		-
with division of adhesions  Washout only Removal of foreign body Not amenable to surgery  5.3.c  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  5.3.c  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Castric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		
Second procedure (at same laparotomy)      S.3.b Second procedure (at same laparotomy)      Third procedure (at same laparotomy)      Third procedure (at same laparotomy)      Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation     Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed     Gastrectomy: partial or total     Gastric surgery - other     Small bowel resection     Resection of Meckel's diverticulum     Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)     Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)     Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy     Hartmann's procedure     Colorectal resection – other     Splenectomy     Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience     Abdominal wall reconstruction		
Second procedure (at same laparotomy)  5.3.c Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Feptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation  Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed  Gastrectomy: partial or total  Gastric surgery - other  Small bowel resection  Resection  Resection of Meckel's diverticulum  Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)  Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)  Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy  Hartmann's procedure  Colorectal resection – other  Splenectomy  Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience  Abdominal wall reconstruction		
Second procedure (at same laparotomy)  5.3.c Third procedure (at same laparotomy)      Third procedure (at same laparotomy)      Peptic ulcer – suture or repair of perforation     Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed     Gastrectomy: partial or total     Gastric surgery - other     Small bowel resection     Resection of Meckel's diverticulum     Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)     Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)     Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy     Hartmann's procedure     Colorectal resection – other     Splenectomy     Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience     Abdominal wall reconstruction		
5.3.b Second procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  Deptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		
5.3.c Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  perforation  Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		o Not amenable to surgery
5.3.c Third procedure (at same laparotomy)  perforation  Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed Gastrectomy: partial or total Gastric surgery - other Small bowel resection Resection of Meckel's diverticulum Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection) Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection) Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction	<b>501</b> 6 1 1 1 1 1	
<ul> <li>Peptic ulcer – oversew of bleed</li> <li>Gastrectomy: partial or total</li> <li>Gastric surgery - other</li> <li>Small bowel resection</li> <li>Resection of Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy</li> <li>Hartmann's procedure</li> <li>Colorectal resection – other</li> <li>Splenectomy</li> <li>Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience</li> <li>Abdominal wall reconstruction</li> </ul>	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
<ul> <li>Gastrectomy: partial or total</li> <li>Gastric surgery - other</li> <li>Small bowel resection</li> <li>Resection of Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy</li> <li>Hartmann's procedure</li> <li>Colorectal resection – other</li> <li>Splenectomy</li> <li>Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience</li> <li>Abdominal wall reconstruction</li> </ul>	5.3.c I fird procedure (at same laparotomy)	·
<ul> <li>Gastric surgery - other</li> <li>Small bowel resection</li> <li>Resection of Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy</li> <li>Hartmann's procedure</li> <li>Colorectal resection – other</li> <li>Splenectomy</li> <li>Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience</li> <li>Abdominal wall reconstruction</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Small bowel resection</li> <li>Resection of Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy</li> <li>Hartmann's procedure</li> <li>Colorectal resection – other</li> <li>Splenectomy</li> <li>Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience</li> <li>Abdominal wall reconstruction</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Resection of Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy</li> <li>Hartmann's procedure</li> <li>Colorectal resection – other</li> <li>Splenectomy</li> <li>Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience</li> <li>Abdominal wall reconstruction</li> </ul>		
diverticulum  Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)  Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)  Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy  Hartmann's procedure  Colorectal resection – other  Splenectomy  Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience  Abdominal wall reconstruction		
<ul> <li>Colectomy: left (including sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy</li> <li>Hartmann's procedure</li> <li>Colorectal resection – other</li> <li>Splenectomy</li> <li>Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience</li> <li>Abdominal wall reconstruction</li> </ul>		
sigmoid colectomy and anterior resection)  Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)  Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy  Hartmann's procedure  Colorectal resection – other  Splenectomy  Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience  Abdominal wall reconstruction		
resection)  Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)  Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy  Hartmann's procedure  Colorectal resection – other  Splenectomy  Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience  Abdominal wall reconstruction		
<ul> <li>Colectomy: right (including ileocaecal resection)</li> <li>Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy</li> <li>Hartmann's procedure</li> <li>Colorectal resection – other</li> <li>Splenectomy</li> <li>Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience</li> <li>Abdominal wall reconstruction</li> </ul>		
ileocaecal resection)  Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy Hartmann's procedure Colorectal resection – other Splenectomy Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience Abdominal wall reconstruction		
<ul> <li>Colectomy: subtotal or panproctocolectomy</li> <li>Hartmann's procedure</li> <li>Colorectal resection – other</li> <li>Splenectomy</li> <li>Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience</li> <li>Abdominal wall reconstruction</li> </ul>		
panproctocolectomy  Hartmann's procedure  Colorectal resection – other  Splenectomy  Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience  Abdominal wall reconstruction		·
<ul> <li>Hartmann's procedure</li> <li>Colorectal resection – other</li> <li>Splenectomy</li> <li>Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience</li> <li>Abdominal wall reconstruction</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Colorectal resection – other</li> <li>Splenectomy</li> <li>Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience</li> <li>Abdominal wall reconstruction</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Splenectomy</li> <li>Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience</li> <li>Abdominal wall reconstruction</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Abdominal wall closure following dehiscience</li> <li>Abdominal wall reconstruction</li> </ul>		
following dehiscience		
Abdominal wall reconstruction		
- Abdaminal bamina		
ο Abdominal nernia repair		Abdominal hernia repair

		o Adhesiolysis	
		<ul> <li>Reduction of volvulus</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Enterotomy</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Stricturoplasty</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Drainage of abscess/collection</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Evacuation of haematoma</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Debridement</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Haemostasis</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Intestinal bypass</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Laparostomy formation</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Repair of intestinal perforation</li> </ul>	
		o Repair or revision of	
		anastomosis	
		Repair of intestinal fistula	
		Resection of other intra-	
		abdominal tumour(s)	
		5 6	
		o Defunctioning stoma via midline laparotomy	
		laparotomy  o Large incisional hernia repair	
		with bowel resection	
		o Large incisional hernia repair	
		with division of adhesions	
		Removal of foreign body	
5.4	Procedure approach	o Open	
		o Laparoscopic	
		<ul> <li>Laparoscopic assisted</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Laparoscopic converted to open</li> </ul>	
5.5	Operative findings:	o Abscess	Operative findings are
5.5	(Please select all that apply)	Anastomotic leak	intended to be best guess.
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul><li>Anastomotic leak</li><li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li></ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances
5.5	(Please select all that apply)	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Malignancy – localised</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Malignancy – localised</li> <li>Malignancy – disseminated</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Malignancy – localised</li> <li>Malignancy – disseminated</li> <li>Colorectal cancer</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Malignancy – localised</li> <li>Malignancy – disseminated</li> <li>Colorectal cancer</li> <li>Gastric cancer</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Malignancy – localised</li> <li>Malignancy – disseminated</li> <li>Colorectal cancer</li> <li>Gastric cancer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – peptic ulcer</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Malignancy – localised</li> <li>Malignancy – disseminated</li> <li>Colorectal cancer</li> <li>Gastric cancer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – postoperative</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Malignancy – localised</li> <li>Malignancy – disseminated</li> <li>Colorectal cancer</li> <li>Gastric cancer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – postoperative</li> <li>Ulcerative colitis</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Malignancy – localised</li> <li>Malignancy – disseminated</li> <li>Colorectal cancer</li> <li>Gastric cancer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – postoperative</li> <li>Ulcerative colitis</li> <li>Other colitis</li> <li>Crohn's disease</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Malignancy – localised</li> <li>Malignancy – disseminated</li> <li>Colorectal cancer</li> <li>Gastric cancer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – postoperative</li> <li>Ulcerative colitis</li> <li>Other colitis</li> <li>Crohn's disease</li> <li>Abdominal compartment</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Malignancy – localised</li> <li>Malignancy – disseminated</li> <li>Colorectal cancer</li> <li>Gastric cancer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – postoperative</li> <li>Ulcerative colitis</li> <li>Other colitis</li> <li>Crohn's disease</li> <li>Abdominal compartment syndrome</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Malignancy – localised</li> <li>Malignancy – disseminated</li> <li>Colorectal cancer</li> <li>Gastric cancer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – postoperative</li> <li>Ulcerative colitis</li> <li>Other colitis</li> <li>Crohn's disease</li> <li>Abdominal compartment syndrome</li> <li>Intestinal ischaemia</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion
5.5	(Please select all that apply) If unsure whether this patient is eligible	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Perforation – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Perforation – small bowel/colonic</li> <li>Diverticulitis</li> <li>Intestinal fistula</li> <li>Adhesions</li> <li>Incarcerated hernia</li> <li>Volvulus</li> <li>Internal hernia</li> <li>Intussusception</li> <li>Stricture</li> <li>Pseudo-obstruction</li> <li>Gallstone ileus</li> <li>Meckel's diverticulum</li> <li>Malignancy – localised</li> <li>Malignancy – disseminated</li> <li>Colorectal cancer</li> <li>Gastric cancer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – peptic ulcer</li> <li>Haemorrhage – postoperative</li> <li>Ulcerative colitis</li> <li>Other colitis</li> <li>Crohn's disease</li> <li>Abdominal compartment syndrome</li> </ul>	intended to be best guess. There may be instances where the operative findings are such that, had these findings been known prior to surgery, the patient would not have been included in the audit. However since they have now had a laparotomy, they are still included. This is why there appear to be some findings/procedures that are under the exclusion

		<ul> <li>Stoma complications</li> <li>Abdominal wound dehiscence</li> <li>Normal intra-abdominal findings</li> </ul>
5.6	Please describe the peritoneal contamination present (select all that apply)	<ul> <li>None or reactive serous fluid only</li> <li>Free gas from perforation +/- minimal contamination</li> <li>Pus</li> <li>Bile</li> <li>Gastro-duodenal contents</li> <li>Small bowel contents</li> <li>Faeculent fluid</li> <li>Faeces</li> <li>Blood/haematoma</li> </ul>
5.7	Please indicate if the contamination was;	<ul> <li>Localised to a single quadrant of the abdomen</li> <li>More extensive / generalised</li> </ul>

6	Post-op Risk stratification	Format	Notes
6.1	At the end of surgery, what risk of death was the patient documented as having?	<ul> <li>Lower (&lt;5%)</li> <li>High (5-10%)</li> <li>Highest (&gt;10%)</li> <li>Not documented</li> </ul>	
6.2	How was this assessment of risk made? (Please select all that apply)	<ul> <li>Risk prediction tool         (e.g. P-POSSUM)</li> <li>Clinical Judgement</li> <li>Surgical APGAR score</li> <li>Physiologicial criteria</li> <li>Other, e.g. hospital         policy</li> </ul>	Formal assessments of risk; this includes risk stratification tools (such as ASA) and prediction models (such as APACHE and POSSUM systems).  Clinical judgement; refers to the categorisation or estimation of risk, based on clinical acumen and experience.  Physiological criteria; either use of physiological variables in isolation or incorporated into tools such as the early warning score (i.e. not incorporated into a risk stratification tool or prediction model as above)
6.3	Blood lactate – may be arterial or venous (mmol/l)	Not performed	Or within 30 minutes of the end of surgery.
	Post-operative P-POSSUM calculation Q 6.4-6.14 no longer included from Year 4 specification Physiology severity score:	(Automatically calculated)	
6.15	What was the operative severity? (see help box for examples)	Major     Major+	Major+: All colonic resections (excluding colostomy alone) All gastrectomy (but not repair

6.16	Including this operation, how many operations has the patient had in the 30 day period prior to this procedure?	0 1 0 2 0 >2	perforated or bleeding ulcer) Small bowel tumour resection Re-operations for ongoing sepsis or bleeding Laparostomy Intestinal bypass Major All other procedures including: Stoma formation Small bowel resection Division adhesions Repair perforated or bleeding ulcer Do not "unbundle" procedures. Examples of single procedure:  • Hartmann's procedure (this should not be "unbundled" as 2 procedures -sigmoid colectomy and end colostomy). • Colonic resection with washout of a localised abscess would also be 1 procedure.  Examples of 2 procedures:  • Primary colonic anastomosis with a defunctioning ileostomy. • Colonic resection and extensive division of adhesions. • Colonic resection and small bowel repair.  Example of >2 procedures:
			<ul> <li>Hartmann's procedure with resection of small bowel with insertion of tube gastrostomy</li> </ul>
6.17	Please select this patient's measured intraoperative blood loss (ml)	<ul> <li>&lt;100</li> <li>101-500</li> <li>501-1000</li> <li>&gt;1000</li> </ul>	If measured blood loss is unavailable, please estimate
6.18	Please select the option that best	o None	
	describes this patient's degree of	Serious fluid	
	peritoneal soiling	<ul><li>Local pus</li><li>Free bowel content, pus</li></ul>	
		or blood	
6.19	What was the level of malignancy based	o None	
	on surgical findings	o Primary only	
		<ul> <li>Nodal metastases</li> </ul>	
		o Distant metastases	
6.20	What is the NCEPOD urgency?	o 3. Expedited (>18 hours)	Based on your clinical experience

	(see help notes for additional information, including equivalent Possum categories)	<ul> <li>2B. Urgent (6-18 hours)</li> <li>2A. Urgent (2-6 hours)</li> <li>1. Immediate (&lt;2 hours)</li> </ul>	this should be the maximum time that a patient could reasonably wait for surgery. These classifications are based on NCEPOD and Surviving Sepsis. The equivalent POSSUM categories are also shown.  Examples: POSSUM: Emergency (resuscitation of > 2h possible) 3. Expedited (>18 hours): No SIRS or sepsis e.g. developing large bowel obstruction 2B. Urgent (6-18 hours): Sepsis e.g. localised abscess or obstructed hernia 2A. Urgent (2-6 hours): Severe sepsis e.g. intestinal perforation  POSSUM: Emergency (immediate surgery <2h needed) 1. Immediate (<2 hours): Life threatening haemorrhage and septic shock e.g. profuse GI bleed or pan-intestinal ischaemia
	Online web tool will automatically calculate Operative severity score		
6.21	Post-op P-POSSUM predicted <b>mortality</b> :	Calculated	This value will be calculated automatically
6.22	Post-op POSSUM predicted <b>morbidity</b> :	Calculated	This value will be calculated automatically
6.23	Not all P-POSSUM investigations available	0	Please select if any of the above investigations are unavailable. This will allow you to save the form with missing data
6.24	Where did the patient go for continued post-operative care following surgery?	<ul> <li>Ward</li> <li>Critical Care (includes Level 2 HDU or Level 3 ICU)</li> <li>Other enhanced care area (e.g. PACU)</li> <li>Died prior to discharge from theatre complex</li> </ul>	
6.24a	At the end of surgery, was the decision made to place the patient on an end of life pathway?	o Yes o No	This is intended to identify those patients whose pathology, at the time of surgery, was such that only supportive treatment was warranted.
6.26	Estimated mortality using NELA risk adjustment model (Figure only provided if all data available)	Calculated	

7	Post-op	Format	Notes
7.1	Total length of post-operative critical care stay (rounded up to whole days)  Includes both ICU and HDU stay -see help box for additional information. Do not include LOS in PACU/other enhanced recovery area	Number required	Each day, or part day, counts as 1 day. Hence:  a. Admitted and discharged on same day = 1 day b. Admitted on Monday, discharged on Tues = 2 days c. Admitted on Monday, discharged on Wed = 3 days. Values should reflect actual discharge, rather than when medically fit for discharge.
7.3	Was the patient assessed by a specialist from Elderly Medicine in the post-operative period?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li><li>Unknown</li></ul>	Can include physician or nurse specialist
7.4	Within this admission, did the patient have an <b>unplanned</b> return to theatre in the post-operative period following their initial emergency laparotomy?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li><li>Unknown</li></ul>	Do not include planned returns for e.g. closure of abdomen
7.4a	What was the main indication for the return to theatre	<ul> <li>Anastomotic leak</li> <li>Abscess</li> <li>Bleeding or Haematoma</li> <li>Decompression of abdominal compartment syndrome</li> <li>Bowel obstruction</li> <li>Abdominal wall dehiscence</li> <li>Accidental damage to bowel or other organ</li> <li>Stoma viability or retraction</li> <li>Other</li> <li>Unknown</li> </ul>	Only one option to be chosen
7.5	Did the patient have an unplanned move from the ward to a higher level of care within 7 days of surgery? (do not include moves from HDU to ITU, or escalation from other enhanced area/PACU)	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li><li>Unknown</li></ul>	This refers to within 7 days of their emergency laparotomy, not any prior surgery.
7.6	NO LONGER REQUIRED	NO LONGER REQUIRED	
7.7	Status at discharge	<ul><li>Dead</li><li>Alive</li><li>Still in hospital at 60 days</li></ul>	'Still in hospital at 60 days' option to be used when approaching an audit deadline by which all incomplete cases need to be locked
7.8	Date discharged from hospital	(DD/MM/YYYY) Date required	Date of discharge, NOT date fit for discharge.

7.9	Discharge destination	0	Own home/sheltered	
			housing	
		0	Residential care	
		0	Nursing care	
		0	Unknown	

## Appendix 3 - Level of care after surgery

The level of care should be defined according to the care the patient received rather than the location. For example, a patient receiving level 2 care in a level 3 area should be recorded as receiving level 2 care.

- 1. Critical care level 3: includes advanced organ support e.g. invasive ventilation, renal replacement therapy.
- 2. Critical care level 2: may include advanced cardiorespiratory monitoring (e.g. invasive arterial / central venous monitoring) and basic organ support (e.g. non-invasive ventilation, inotropic/vasoactive drug administration).
- 3. Post-anaesthetic care unit: care within a designated area for the patients in the immediate recovery from anaesthesia. May deliver care at levels 1 to 3.

Surgical ward (level 0/1): normal ward care without level 2 or 3 capabilities.

## Appendix 4 – Typical complications of emergency laparotomy

The following are recognised complications of emergency laparotomy surgery, which may have clinical severity up to and including disability and death.

Acute kidney injury

Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS)

Anaphylaxis

Anastamotic breakdown

**Bowel infarction** 

Cardiac arrhythmia

Cardiac arrest

Cardiogenic pulmonary oedema

Deep vein thrombosis

Delirium or acute psychosis

Electrolyte imbalance

Gastrointestinal or other postoperative bleed

Infection, source uncertain

Laboratory confirmed bloodstream infection

Multi-organ dysfunction syndrome

Myocardial infarction

Myocardial injury after non-cardiac surgery

Pneumonia

Paralytic ileus

Perforated viscus

Postoperative haemorrhage

Pulmonary embolism

Stroke

Surgical site infection (superficial, deep or organ/space)

Urinary tract infection

# Appendix 5 – Protocol version history

Protocol:		Amendments:				
Version no.	Date	Amendment no.	Protocol section (no./title)	Summary of main changes from previous version		
1.0	26/01/2017	N/A	N/A	N/A		