

Steps Towards Alcohol Misuse Prevention Programme (STAMPP): a school- and community-based cluster randomised controlled trial

Harry Sumnall,^{1*} Ashley Agus,² Jon Cole,³
Paul Doherty,² David Foxcroft,⁴ Séamus Harvey,¹
Michael McKay,^{1,3} Lynn Murphy² and Andrew Percy⁵

¹Public Health Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

²Northern Ireland Clinical Trials Unit, Belfast, UK

³Department of Psychological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

⁴Social Work and Public Health, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK

⁵School of Sociology, Social Policy and Social Work, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK

*Corresponding author h.sumnall@ljmu.ac.uk

Declared competing interests of authors: Harry Sumnall reports that his department has previously received funding from the alcohol industry (indirectly via the industry-funded Drinkaware) for unrelated primary research. David Foxcroft reports that his department has previously received funding from the alcohol industry for unrelated prevention programme training work. The sponsor university (Liverpool John Moores University) received and administered a payment from the alcohol industry for the printing of pupil workbooks in the Glasgow trial site.

Published April 2017

DOI: 10.3310/phr05020

Plain English summary

Steps Towards Alcohol Misuse Prevention Programme (STAMPP)

Public Health Research 2017; Vol. 5: No. 2

DOI: 10.3310/phr05020

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Heavy alcohol use in young people can adversely impact on health, school performance, crime, welfare and well-being. We developed an intervention called the Steps Towards Alcohol Misuse Prevention Programme (STAMPP) that combined a school-based alcohol education curriculum with an intervention delivered at a special parents/carers evening. The programme aimed to encourage positive behavioural change in pupils, to reduce alcohol-related harms such as binge drinking or getting into fights after consuming alcohol and to help parents/carers set rules about alcohol in the family home.

Our research investigated whether or not STAMPP worked as intended and represented good value for money, whether or not pupils and teachers enjoyed and valued it and whether or not it was delivered as it was meant to be. We followed up participating pupils for over 2 years after they received STAMPP.

We showed that fewer pupils who took part in STAMPP reported binge drinking in the previous 30 days (17% of pupils) than pupils in other schools who just received their normal education (26% of pupils). However, the number of alcohol-related harms after drinking (including consequences such as getting into fights, damaging property or having a hangover) reported by pupils who had drunk alcohol in the previous 30 days was not different between the STAMPP pupils and the comparison group. Furthermore, although drinking was reduced, we did not find any reduction in pupils' use of public services.

The programme was relatively cheap to deliver (£818 per school), and pupils enjoyed taking part in the lessons and reported that they found the curriculum interesting and relevant to their lives. Teachers also believed that pupils were engaged by the programme and felt confident in their ability to deliver it. Although the curriculum was delivered well in schools, it was very difficult to attract parents and carers to attend the special parents' evening.

Public Health Research

ISSN 2050-4381 (Print)

ISSN 2050-439X (Online)

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full PHR archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/phr. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the *Public Health Research* journal

Reports are published in *Public Health Research* (PHR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the PHR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Public Health Research* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

PHR programme

The Public Health Research (PHR) programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), evaluates public health interventions, providing new knowledge on the benefits, costs, acceptability and wider impacts of non-NHS interventions intended to improve the health of the public and reduce inequalities in health. The scope of the programme is multi-disciplinary and broad, covering a range of interventions that improve public health. The Public Health Research programme also complements the NIHR Health Technology Assessment programme which has a growing portfolio evaluating NHS public health interventions.

For more information about the PHR programme please visit the website: <http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/phr>

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the PHR programme as project number 10/3002/09. The contractual start date was in November 2011. The final report began editorial review in April 2016 and was accepted for publication in September 2016. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The PHR editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the final report document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the PHR programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the PHR programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Sumnall *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Public Health Research Editor-in-Chief

Professor Martin White Director of Research and Programme Leader, UKCRC Centre for Diet and Activity Research (CEDAR), MRC Epidemiology Unit, Institute of Metabolic Science, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge; Visiting Professor, Newcastle University; and Director, NIHR Public Health Research Programme

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the EME Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andree Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (EME, HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Health Sciences Research, Health and Wellbeing Research Group, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Director, Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board:
www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk