

The role of digital communication in patient-clinician communication for NHS providers of specialist clinical services for young people (The LYNC study): a mixed methods study

Authors

Frances E Griffiths¹, Xavier Armoiry¹, Helen Atherton¹, Carol Bryce¹, Abigail Buckle¹, Jonathan AK Cave², Rachel Court¹, Kathryn Hamilton³, Thandiwe Rebecca Dliwayo³, Melina Dritsaki¹, Patrick Elder¹, Vera Forjaz³, Joe Fraser⁴, Richard Goodwin³, Caroline Huxley¹, Agnieszka Ignatowicz¹, Eleni Karasouli¹, Sung Wook Kim¹, Peter Kimani¹, Jason J Madan¹, Harjit Matharu⁵, Mike May¹, Luhanga Musumadi⁶, Moli Paul⁸, Gyanu Raut⁷, Sailesh Sankaranarayanan⁹, Anne-Marie Slowther¹, Mark A Sujan¹, Paul A Sutcliffe¹, Isabelle Svahnstrom¹, Frances Taggart¹, Ayesha Uddin¹, Alice Verran¹, Leigh Walker¹ and Jackie Sturt³

¹Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

²Department of Economics, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

³Florence Nightingale Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, King's College London, London, UK

⁴Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) representative, London, UK

⁵University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust, Coventry, UK

⁶Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

⁷King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

⁸Coventry and Warwickshire Partnership Trust, Coventry, UK

⁹University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust, Coventry, UK

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Griffiths *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This 'first look' scientific summary may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Important

A 'first look' scientific summary is created from the original author-supplied summary once the normal NIHR Journals Library peer and editorial review processes are complete. The summary has undergone full peer and editorial review as documented at NIHR Journals Library website and may undergo rewrite during the publication process. The order of authors was correct at editorial sign-off stage.

A final version (which has undergone a rigorous copy-edit and proofreading) will publish as part of a fuller account of the research in a forthcoming issue of the Health Services and Delivery Research journal.

Any queries about this 'first look' version of the scientific summary should be addressed to the NIHR Journals Library Editorial Office – journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The research reported in this 'first look' scientific summary was funded by the HS&DR programme or one of its predecessor programmes (NIHR Service Delivery and Organisation programme, or Health Services Research programme) as project number 12/209/51. For more information visit <https://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hsdr/1220951/#/>

The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HS&DR editors have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' work and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments however; they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this scientific summary.

This 'first look' scientific summary presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HS&DR programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Griffiths *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This 'first look' scientific summary may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HS&DR programme or the Department of Health.

FIRST LOOK DRAFT

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Griffiths *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This 'first look' scientific summary may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Scientific summary

Background

Young people (age 16-25 years) with long term health conditions tend to disengage from health services resulting in poor health outcomes. They are prolific users of digital communications and use it for health care. Innovative clinicians in the UK NHS are using digital means to communicate with these young people about clinical issues. There are plans for the NHS to use digital communication with patients more widely.

Published evidence on the use of digital communication for health care include many technology or disease specific systematic reviews. These suggested its use may improve health outcome but recommended research be undertaken across disease areas to address identified evidence gaps: how digital communication impacts on health care experience and outcome, cost and resource use, risks and harms.

Research question, aims and objectives

The overall research question was: What are the effects, impacts, costs and necessary safeguards for digital clinical communications for young people living with long term conditions and engaging with specialist NHS providers?

The research aims were

- to evaluate the impacts and outcomes of digital clinical communications for young people living with a long term condition;
- to provide a critical analysis of the use, monitoring and evaluation, of digital clinical communications by NHS providers.

The objectives were:

- 1) To engage young people in the implementation of the research;
- 2) To observe and explore with young people with long term conditions - and where appropriate a parent/carer, clinicians and managers, the use of digital clinical

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Griffiths *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This 'first look' scientific summary may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

communication in the NHS for a variety of clinical conditions, how it is used and with what impact and issues related to ethics and patient safety;

- 3) To investigate the impact of digital clinical communications on health outcomes for young people with long term conditions and on their engagement with, and use of, health services;
- 4) To describe the cost of implementation and on-going provision of digital clinical communication and how it varies across different clinical conditions, and to understand the value of this service to patients and clinicians;
- 5) To identify and explore the use of patient reported outcome measures for future cost-effectiveness studies which can be used across disease areas to capture the impact of digital clinical communication;
- 6) To evaluate and synthesise published evidence on the use of digital clinical communication by health professionals with young people with long term conditions;
- 7) To develop and disseminate guidance for NHS providers and commissioners on the use of digital clinical communication, to provide insights for policy makers from current NHS use of digital clinical communication, and to consider the need for future research.

Methods

Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) Activity

Two events each of two days were run with school pupils who undertook mini projects to capture the perspectives of their peers on digital clinical communication. Young people beyond school age were engaged via social media to provide their perspective on potential patient reported outcome measures. The project management group was chaired by the PPI co-applicant and five further PPI representatives were members.

Empirical study

This was an observational mixed methods case study undertaken in the UK National Health Service.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Griffiths *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This 'first look' scientific summary may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

One hundred and four clinical teams were identified as potential case studies of which 47 were eligible and interested in participating. 20 teams were sampled purposively for diversity of clinical condition, digital clinical communication, size and geographical location.

Inclusion criteria for case studies were: the clinical team was providing specialist care for young people (age 16-25 years) with long term conditions; the clinical team had interest in the use of two-way digital communications with the young people; the long term condition had considerable cost implications for the NHS.

Clinical teams and the young people variously used the following media for digital clinical communication text: mobile phone calls, text messages, email, Voice over Internet Protocol. Three clinical teams used no digital communication with their young people.

Observation was undertaken with 79 staff drawn from across all clinical teams during their day to day work. Interviews were undertaken with 165 young people aged 16-25 years and living with one of 13 different long term physical or mental health conditions, 13 of their parents, 173 clinical team members providing care for the young people from across all sites, and 16 Information Governance Specialists from 11 provider organisations. A questionnaire completed by 109 staff from across 17 sites provided health economic data.

Evidence of each clinical team's own evaluation of their use of digital clinical communication was sought. The following routinely collected aggregate data was extracted: Did not attend, Accident and Emergency attendance and Hospital admissions rates for young people cared for by each clinical team, along with a clinic specific clinical outcome.

Observation data was recorded as written notes at the time of observation then typed up and expanded immediately after observation, and reflective notes made. Interviews were audio-recorded and reflective notes made after each interview. Recordings were transcribed and notes typed up and expanded. Transcriptions were checked for accuracy against recordings.

All qualitative data was coded for the different analysis approaches within the project (What works for whom, where when and why; ethics; patient safety; health economics). The Information Governance specialist interviews were coded and analysed separately as these

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Griffiths *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This 'first look' scientific summary may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

interviews covered the use of digital clinical communication in the whole NHS Trust in which the specialist worked, not just the clinic we had studied.

Independent coding was undertaken on 20% of all coding and discrepancies discussed. Quality checks were undertaken on data entry of survey data. Routinely collected aggregate data was checked on receipt for its completeness.

For 'What works for whom, where, when and why', ethics and patient safety, and health economics, qualitative data was analysed thematically. Survey data was analysed for annual direct costs associated with digital clinical communication usage. Routinely collected data was analysed for trend across time.

Review of potential generic measures available to assess the impact of digital clinical communication

A systematic review of published literature for patient reported outcome measures used in the evaluation of digital clinical communication was undertaken followed by a social media supported consultation with PPI representatives on their appropriateness. Further structured literature review, informed by empirical study findings, was used to identify relevant patient reported outcome measures which were reviewed by PPI representatives and tested in cognitive interviews.

Evidence reviews

Five systematic scoping reviews and one review of reviews, were undertaken on the use of digital clinical communication of any type, with young people within the following topic areas: mental health (CRD42016038792), diabetes, physical health conditions (excluding diabetes), involvement of parents and carers (CRD42016035467), use of Voice over Internet Protocol media and, use in countries with or moving towards universal health coverage (excluding literature included in the other reviews).

Searches were undertaken for peer reviewed literature published from 2009 onwards in English (except for review of literature from countries with or moving towards universal

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Griffiths *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This 'first look' scientific summary may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

health coverage when searches were expanded to include non-English language literature), and of any study/review design. Study/review participants had to include young people between 16 and 25 years (or 0-25 years for parent/carer review) living with a long term condition.

For the systematic reviews, patient, health professional and health service delivery outcomes were reported along with technical problems. Papers were checked for quality and data extracted into a standardised format, with independent checks by another reviewer. Results were summaries narratively and in summary tables.

Developing guidance for NHS providers and commissioners and providing insights for policy makers

As project results started to emerge consultation meetings were held with Health and Social Care Information Centre and NHS England. From our initial results they identified the following needs:

- An understanding of the use of Personal Health Records within the NHS
- An understanding of Information Governance Specialist perspectives on the use of Skype in their NHS Trusts
- Results of the study in a format accessible to front line clinicians.

Six case sites had platforms able to support some of the features of a Personal Health Record. Data from these sites was re-interrogated for how this Record was being used, and the views of staff and young people summarised for each case site. The 16 Information Governance Specialist interviews were re-interrogated for data on views and use of Skype and analysed thematically. Study results were summarised into 10 themes and study PPI representatives provided feedback on these Quick Reference Guides.

A consensus conference was held to externally validate the Quick Reference Guides, to agree on how they can be used to support any planning or provision of digital clinical communication within the NHS, and on their transferability to other patient populations.

Clinicians, service users, patient advocates and information governance/technology
© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Griffiths *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This 'first look' scientific summary may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

professionals were invited via digital communication channels. Forty nine attended and participated in focus group discussions. Notes were taken and summarised thematically.

Results

Digital access for young people with long term conditions to the right clinician at a time when it will make a difference to how they manage their condition – with response times between a few minutes to a few days depending on the health condition – is valued by young people and clinicians. This timely communication can enhance the experience of health care through timely access to information and advice for young people with long term conditions. It is valued by the young people and their care providers as an addition to traditional clinic appointments. Clinicians and young people variously use mobile phone, text messages, email, Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP), and Personal Health Record systems.

This access challenges the nature and boundaries of the therapeutic relationship. Digital communication was convenient for clinicians and young people, particularly asynchronous communication, but there is qualitative evidence that its use also improved relationships between young people and clinicians, increased patient empowerment and autonomy, enhanced activation and prompted better self-management. There was also evidence of wider benefits in wellbeing.

Risks from the use of digital clinical communication for timely access include increased dependence on clinicians and disempowerment of the young people. The ease and speed of use of digital media magnifies risks relevant to all forms of clinical communication: gaining consent, inadvertent disclosure of confidential information, communication failures, failure to record the communication in clinical notes and failure to consult clinical notes. There is variation in whether and how clinicians mitigated these risks in their clinical context. There was also variation in application of service provider policy on consent and confidentiality.

There was a recognition among Information Governance specialists of the need for clinician training.

Providing timely digital access to clinical advice and support increases workload and rarely replaces traditional in person consultations, but can improve their value for clinicians and

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Griffiths *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This 'first look' scientific summary may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

young people, particularly Personal Health Records or similar systems where clinical data was exchanged. The economic costs are mostly for staff time and there was concern this activity was not fully recorded. There may be some saving in opportunity costs, but this was difficult to quantify. The potential benefits of improved self-management and wellbeing among the young people is likely to save NHS costs in the long term.

None of the clinical teams studied had undertaken their own evaluation of the impact of the use of digital clinical communication on their young people. Analysis of routinely collected data did not reveal impact on health outcomes. However, pathways to impact such as improved wellbeing and reduced complications from the long term condition were identified. There is no currently used generic outcome measure for digital clinical communication. However, the Patient Activation Measure and the Physician Humanistic Behaviour Questionnaire are promising candidate measures.

The six systematic scoping reviews suggest that digital clinical communication is acceptable to young people and their carers. The evidence suggests no clear benefit to health outcome from its use except for mental health conditions, although the reviews did not distinguish between provision of replacement and additional service.

There was consensus that the results of this study are transferable to other health care settings, particularly where a clinical team has an ongoing relationship with a patient with a long term condition.

Conclusions

Timely digital clinical communication is perceived as making a difference to the experience of health care and health outcome for young people with long term conditions, although this is not yet supported by evidence measuring health outcome. It is likely that evaluation of digital clinical communication requires measurement of modifiers of health outcome rather than health outcome itself as impact on health outcome may be beyond the timescale of most studies.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Griffiths *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This 'first look' scientific summary may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

The provision of timely digital clinical communication is costly and challenging to provide. There are risks, many of which can be mitigated by relevant technology such as encrypted email, clinical system design, clinical team protocols and training.

If clinical teams develop a business case for the use of digital communication, it is helpful to clarify the degree to which the digital communication will be additional to traditional provision or a replacement. As digital communication becomes the norm in society generally, replacement of some aspects of traditional clinical communication with digital may be important for maintaining health service access for the digital generations.

Qualitative data was collected from clinician enthusiasts so may not reflect the perspectives of clinicians more generally. Interviews did not elicit explicit ethical reflection. No interviews were achieved with young people who failed to engage with their clinical team. Clinicians found it difficult to estimate workload. Only 8 clinical teams provided full sets of routine data.

Further research is needed to

1. Test relevant Patient Reported Outcome Measures for use in evaluating digital clinical communication
2. Evaluate with an experimental design, the impact of timely digital clinical communication for people living with long term conditions
3. Explore and evaluate the benefits and dis-benefits of replacing traditional clinical consultations with digital communication
4. Evaluate the impact of the changing digital landscape on how it is best to use digital clinical communication to achieve its aims in an ethical, safe and cost effective way

Project funding National Institute of Health Research, Health Services & Research Delivery Programme:12/209/51

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Griffiths *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This 'first look' scientific summary may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.