

# Molecular testing for Lynch syndrome in people with colorectal cancer: systematic reviews and economic evaluation

Tristan Snowsill,<sup>1\*</sup> Helen Coelho,<sup>1</sup> Nicola Huxley,<sup>1</sup>  
Tracey Jones-Hughes,<sup>1</sup> Simon Briscoe,<sup>1</sup> Ian M Frayling<sup>2</sup>  
and Chris Hyde<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Peninsula Technology Assessment Group (PenTAG), University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Cancer and Genetics, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, UK

\*Corresponding author [t.m.snowsill@exeter.ac.uk](mailto:t.m.snowsill@exeter.ac.uk)

**Declared competing interests of authors:** Ian M Frayling reports personal fees from the UK National External Quality Assessment Scheme for Immunocytochemistry and In Situ Hybridisation (UK NEQAS ICC and ISH) outside the submitted work and is Honorary Medical Adviser to the charity Lynch Syndrome UK.

Published September 2017

DOI: 10.3310/hta21510

## Plain English summary

### Molecular testing for Lynch syndrome in people with colorectal cancer

Health Technology Assessment 2017; Vol. 21: No. 51

DOI: 10.3310/hta21510

NIHR Journals Library [www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk)

## Plain English summary

The DNA mismatch repair system corrects errors in DNA replication that occur when cells in the body divide. Without the mismatch repair system, errors in DNA multiply and this can lead to cancer developing, especially in the bowel and in the female reproductive organs.

Most people are born with two working copies of the genes responsible for the mismatch repair system, but some people inherit a faulty (mutated) copy of one of the genes. As they have only one working copy they are more likely to lose the function in the mismatch repair system and subsequently develop cancer. This leads to patterns of cancer in a family, which is known as Lynch syndrome.

Around two in five people with Lynch syndrome will develop bowel cancer during their lifetime and around one in three women with Lynch syndrome will develop womb cancer. People with Lynch syndrome are also more likely to develop ovarian cancer and a number of other cancers.

The aim of this study was to find out whether it would be clinically effective (i.e. good for patients and their families) and cost-effective (i.e. a good use of limited NHS resources) to screen bowel cancer patients for Lynch syndrome by testing their tumours. If tests on the tumours show signs of Lynch syndrome they can be offered genetic testing to search for the mutated gene responsible. Family members can then be offered a blood test to see if they have the mutated gene. The benefit of knowing that someone has Lynch syndrome is that surveillance can be offered, such as colonoscopy, to reduce the risk of cancer in the future.

Published evidence shows that tumour tests can help to identify Lynch syndrome. Although it has not been proven in practice, a mathematical model suggests that screening would be clinically effective and cost-effective.

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 4.236

*Health Technology Assessment* is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) ([www.publicationethics.org/](http://www.publicationethics.org/)).

Editorial contact: [journals.library@nhr.ac.uk](mailto:journals.library@nhr.ac.uk)

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at [www.journalslibrary.nhr.ac.uk/hta](http://www.journalslibrary.nhr.ac.uk/hta). Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: [www.journalslibrary.nhr.ac.uk](http://www.journalslibrary.nhr.ac.uk)

## Criteria for inclusion in the *Health Technology Assessment* journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

## HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: <http://www.nets.nhr.ac.uk/programmes/hta>

## This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was commissioned and funded by the HTA programme on behalf of NICE as project number 15/17/04. The protocol was agreed in January 2016. The assessment report began editorial review in September 2016 and was accepted for publication in March 2017. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

**© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Snowsill *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.**

Published by the NIHR Journals Library ([www.journalslibrary.nhr.ac.uk](http://www.journalslibrary.nhr.ac.uk)), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland ([www.prepress-projects.co.uk](http://www.prepress-projects.co.uk)).

## **Health Technology Assessment Editor-in-Chief**

**Professor Hywel Williams** Director, HTA Programme, UK and Foundation Professor and Co-Director of the Centre of Evidence-Based Dermatology, University of Nottingham, UK

## **NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief**

**Professor Tom Walley** Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the EME Programme, UK

## **NIHR Journals Library Editors**

**Professor Ken Stein** Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

**Professor Andrée Le May** Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

**Dr Martin Ashton-Key** Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

**Professor Matthias Beck** Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen's University Management School, Queen's University Belfast, UK

**Dr Tessa Crilly** Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

**Dr Eugenia Cronin** Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

**Ms Tara Lamont** Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

**Dr Catriona McDaid** Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

**Professor William McGuire** Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

**Professor Geoffrey Meads** Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

**Professor John Norrie** Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

**Professor John Powell** Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

**Professor James Raftery** Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

**Dr Rob Riemsma** Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

**Professor Helen Roberts** Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

**Professor Jonathan Ross** Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

**Professor Helen Snooks** Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

**Professor Jim Thornton** Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

**Professor Martin Underwood** Director, Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board:  
[www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors)

**Editorial contact:** [journals.library@nihr.ac.uk](mailto:journals.library@nihr.ac.uk)