International multicentre randomised controlled trial of improvisational music therapy for children with autism spectrum disorder: TIME-A study

Mike J Crawford,1* Christian Gold,2 Helen Odell-Miller,3 Lavanya Thana,1 Sarah Faber,3 Jörg Assmus,2 Łucja Bieleninik,2 Monika Geretsegger,2 Claire Grant,4 Anna Maratos,4 Stephan Sandford,5 Amy Claringbold,1 Helen McConachie,6 Morag Maskey,7 Karin Antonia Mössler,2 Paul Ramchandani1 and Angela Hassiotis8 on behalf of the TIME-A study team

1Centre for Psychiatry, Imperial College London, London, UK
2The Grieg Academy Music Therapy Research Centre, Uni Research Health, Bergen, Norway
3Music for Health Research Centre, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, UK
4Central and North West London NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
5Chelsea and Westminster Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
6Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
7Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
8Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK

*Corresponding author m.crawford@imperial.ac.uk

Declared competing interests of authors: The institutions of most of the authors have received funding from the National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment programme for other studies. Christian Gold received funding from the Research Council of Norway during the conduct of the study. Christian Gold, Claire Grant, Helen Odell-Miller, Sarah Faber, Karin Antonia Mössler, Stephan Sandford, Anna Maratos and Monika Geretsegger are clinically trained music therapists.

Published October 2017
DOI: 10.3310/hta21590
Scientific summary

The TIME-A study
Health Technology Assessment 2017; Vol. 21: No. 59
DOI: 10.3310/hta21590

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk
Scientific summary

Background

One out of every 100 children have autism spectrum disorder (ASD). The core features of the condition are persistent impairment in reciprocal social interaction and social communication, together with restricted, repetitive patterns of behaviour, interests or activities. ASD are associated with an increased risk of poor mental health, social exclusion and reduced quality of life. The costs associated with ASD in the UK are estimated to be > £28B per year.


A systematic review in 2014 (Geretsegger M, Elefant C, Mössler KA, Gold C. Music therapy for people with autism spectrum disorder. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014;6:CD004381) identified 10 small randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of music therapy (involving 165 participants) and found evidence of improvements in social interaction and communication. The authors concluded that music therapy may help children with ASD, but highlighted differences in delivery between trials and normal clinical practice. In clinical practice, most children received weekly sessions, but trials have generally tested more frequent sessions. Another limitation is that the trials examined the impact of music therapy only while it was being delivered. No trials have tested if any benefits persist once treatment stops.

The TIME-A study is an international multicentre RCT funded by the Research Council of Norway to investigate the clinical effectiveness of improvisational music therapy (IMT) for children with ASD. We obtained funding for recruitment in England.

Objectives

To examine whether or not adding IMT improves children’s social affect and social responsiveness, and to explore whether or not any benefits are influenced by how often the treatment is offered. In the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)-funded arm, we also explored if music therapy was associated with reduced stress and improved mental well-being of parents.

Methods

Study design

A three-arm, international, multicentre, Phase III RCT. Researchers conducting assessments were masked to allocation status, but participants, their families and staff involved in their care were not.

Setting

State-funded, voluntary and private sector-funded health, educational and social care services in Australia, Austria, Brazil, Israel, Italy, Korea, Norway and the USA. Participants for the NIHR-funded arm of the study were recruited from schools and NHS clinics in Bedfordshire, Cambridgeshire, Essex and London.
**Target population**
Children aged between 4 years and 6 years and 364 days (i.e. > 4 years but < 7 years) with a clinical diagnosis of ASD that was confirmed using the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS), and two of the three domains of the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R). We excluded children who were already receiving music therapy or had done so within the past 12 months, children with severe sensory disorder and those whose parent or guardian was unable or unwilling to provide written informed consent to participate.

**Health technologies being assessed**
Parents/guardians (referred to as ‘parents’ in the remainder of this report) of all participants were offered enhanced standard care (ESC) by adding three sessions of advice and support to the care they would otherwise have received. Half of all children were also offered IMT for 5 months, either three times per week (high frequency) or once per week (low frequency). All music therapy sessions were 30 minutes long and delivered in accordance with consensus guidelines.

**Measurement of outcomes**
The primary outcome was the child’s social affect at 5 months using the social affect scale of the ADOS. Higher scores indicated greater impairment. Secondary outcomes included social affect measured at 12 months and social responsiveness reported by parents using the Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS) at 5 and 12 months. Following feedback from parents of children with ASD in England, we also assessed parental stress, using the Parenting Stress Index – Short Form (PSI-SF), and parental well-being, using the short version of the Warwick–Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Scale, at 5 and 12 months in the NIHR-funded English arm of the trial. Higher scores on the PSI-SF indicated higher levels of stress, and higher scores on the Warwick–Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Scale indicated higher levels of mental well-being.

**Study logistics**
Potential participants were identified by teachers and staff working in schools who deliver specialist education to children with developmental problems, and by clinical staff in health centres. Parents who gave verbal consent to meet a researcher were given written and verbal information about the study. Those willing to take part were asked to provide written informed consent to assess eligibility and to complete baseline assessments.

Those meeting the eligibility criteria were randomised by a remote service (based in Norway) using an allocation ratio of 1 : 1 : 2 (high-frequency music therapy : low-frequency music therapy : ESC alone). We used block randomisation with randomised block sizes of four or eight, stratified by study centre.

Follow-up assessments were conducted 5 and 12 months after randomisation by a researcher who was masked to the participant’s allocation status.

**Sample size**
We estimated that a sample of 235 participants would provide 90% power to detect a medium effect size of the intervention in the social affect score of the ADOS at 5 months, with a 5% level of statistical significance. To take account of clustering and loss to follow-up, we set out to recruit a minimum of 300 children and their families. We aimed to recruit 100 participants in the NIHR-funded arm of the trial to help the international study to achieve the required sample.

**Data analysis**
All primary analyses were by intention to treat using two-sided tests and a 0.05 level of statistical significance. The primary analysis compared changes in the social affect score of the ADOS between baseline and 5 months in the pooled active arms and controls randomised to ESC. Following assessment of normality, treatment effects were analysed using generalised estimating equations that allow for analysis of longitudinal data while accounting for correlations among the repeated observations for each participant. Generalised estimating equation analyses were also used to examine dose–effect relationships and to explore possible confounding effects of site or relevant subgroups, such as age and gender.
Results

Between November 2011 and November 2015, 702 children were assessed for eligibility, of whom 315 were excluded ($n=109$ ineligible; $n=206$ declined) prior to the baseline assessment, and another 23 were found ineligible and not randomised. Among the 364 remaining participants, 182 were allocated to IMT plus ESC (90 to high-frequency sessions and 92 to low-frequency sessions) and 182 were allocated to ESC alone. Participating children had a mean age of 5 years and 4 months (standard deviation 0.9 years) and 302 (83.0%) were male. In total, 316 (86.8%) were followed up 5 months later. Among the 182 participants randomised to IMT, 171 (94.0%) received it. The median number of sessions attended was 19 (35 in those offered high-frequency therapy and 15 in those offered low-frequency therapy).

No difference in the primary outcome was found between trial arms. The mean change in social affect scores at 5 months between the active and control arms of the trial was 0.06 [95% confidence interval (CI) –0.70 to 0.81]. The mean difference in change in parent-reported SRS score between those randomised to IMT and ESC was –3.64 (95% CI –7.72 to 0.94; $p=0.90$).

A total of 81 participants were recruited in the NIHR-funded arm of the trial. All 41 (100%) children randomised to IMT in the NIHR-funded arm of the trial received it. The median number of sessions attended was 43 in the high-frequency group and 15 in those randomised to the low-frequency group.

The outcomes of participants in the NIHR-funded arm of the trial did not differ from those in the international study. Parents of children who were randomised to music therapy reported less distress at 12 months (difference of –3.73%, 95% CI –2.39 to –10.86; $p=0.007$); no differences were seen in parental mental well-being. Further details of the results of the study have been published in the Journal of the American Medical Association (Bieleninik L, Geretsegger M, Mössle K, Assmus J, Thompson G, Gattino G, et al. Effects of improvisational music therapy versus enhanced standard care on symptom severity among children with autism spectrum disorder: the TIME-A randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2017;318:523–4).

Implications for health care

Many children with ASD enjoy music and engage well with music therapy. However, adding IMT to other treatments received by children aged 4–7 years with ASD does not appear to improve the core symptoms of this disorder.

Recommendations for future research

Future research should examine alternative methods for delivering music-focused interventions for children with ASD.

Trial registration

This trial is registered as ISRCTN78923965.
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Funding for this study was provided by the Health Technology Assessment programme of the NIHR.
Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in Health Technology Assessment (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in Health Technology Assessment are termed ‘systematic’ when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. ‘Health technologies’ are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 12/167/95. The contractual start date was in June 2014. The draft report began editorial review in April 2017 and was accepted for publication in August 2017. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors’ report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen’s Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Crawford et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).
Health Technology Assessment Editor-in-Chief

Professor Hywel Williams  Director, HTA Programme, UK and Foundation Professor and Co-Director of the Centre of Evidence-Based Dermatology, University of Nottingham, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Tom Walley  Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the EME Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein  Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andrée Le May  Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key  Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck  Chair in Public Sector Management and Subject Leader (Management Group), Queen’s University Management School, Queen’s University Belfast, UK

Dr Tessa Crilly  Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin  Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson  Director of the NIHR Dissemination Centre, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont  Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid  Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire  Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads  Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie  Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor John Powell  Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery  Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma  Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts  Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross  Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks  Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Jim Thornton  Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood  Director, Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk