Surveillance versus ablation for incidentally diagnosed small renal tumours: the SURAB feasibility RCT

Naeem Soomro,¹* Jan Lecouturier,² Deborah D Stocken,^{2,3} Jing Shen,² Ann Marie Hynes,³ Holly F Ainsworth,² David Breen,⁴ Grenville Oades,⁵ David Rix¹ and Michael Aitchison⁶

¹The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

²Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK ³Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK ⁴University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK ⁵NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde Health Board, Glasgow, UK ⁶Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

*Corresponding author naeem.soomro@nuth.nhs.uk

Declared competing interests of authors: none

Published December 2017 DOI: 10.3310/hta21810

Plain English summary

The SURAB feasibility RCT

Health Technology Assessment 2017; Vol. 21: No. 81 DOI: 10.3310/hta21810

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Most kidney tumours are small and are discovered by chance. Despite their small size, most of these tumours are cancerous. Most of these tumours grow very slowly and can be safely observed. Surgical removal of the diseased part (partial nephrectomy) is the standard treatment. Less invasive (ablative) procedures include radiofrequency ablation and cryoablation, which kill the cancer by heat generation or by freezing the cells, respectively. Ablative procedures do not require a long hospital stay but they may not kill the cancer completely in some cases. There was uncertainty as to whether or not, in reality, clinicians would ask their patients to participate in this trial and whether or not patients would agree. Therefore, we tried to carry out this trial to find out.

The key questions we asked were:

- 1. Are patients with kidney cancer willing to take part in a trial in which they will be offered either ablation or active monitoring (active surveillance)?
- 2. Are clinicians willing to approach their patients to take part in this study?

A small-scale study was conducted in eight centres in the UK to look at our ability to recruit these patients. Beforehand, we carried out a survey with (1) clinicians, to find out what type of patients they would consider suitable to enter into this trial, and (2) patients, to develop and test the information that will be provided.

Although 154 patients were approached, only 36 were eligible, six of whom participated in the study.

The study did not meet the criteria for progression, with the recruitment rate lower than expected. A full-scale trial is not currently possible. There were additional factors identified that had direct impact on patients not taking part in this trial, most of which can be potentially changed.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 4.236

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 11/107/01. The contractual start date was in April 2014. The draft report began editorial review in September 2016 and was accepted for publication in September 2017. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2017. This work was produced by Soomro *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Health Technology Assessment Editor-in-Chief

Professor Hywel Williams Director, HTA Programme, UK and Foundation Professor and Co-Director of the Centre of Evidence-Based Dermatology, University of Nottingham, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the EME Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Director of the NIHR Dissemination Centre, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Director, Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of members of the NIHR Journals Library Board: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk