Alternative tumour necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi) or abatacept or rituximab following failure of initial TNFi in rheumatoid arthritis: the SWITCH RCT

Sarah Brown,¹ Colin C Everett,¹ Kamran Naraghi,² Claire Davies,¹ Bryony Dawkins,³ Claire Hulme,³ Christopher McCabe,⁴ Sue Pavitt,⁵ Paul Emery,^{3,6} Linda Sharples¹ and Maya H Buch^{3,6}*

¹Clinical Trials Research Unit, Leeds Institute of Clinical Trials Research, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

²Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

³Academic Unit of Health Economics, Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

⁴Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada ⁵Dental Translational and Clinical Research Unit, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK ⁶National Institute for Health Research Leeds Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK

*Corresponding author m.buch@leeds.ac.uk

Declared competing interests of authors: Maya H Buch reports grants from Pfizer and Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd (Roche), and personal fees from AstraZeneca, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd (Roche), Sandoz and R-Pharm, during the conduct of the study. Claire Hulme reports grants from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) programme, during the conduct of the study, and was a member of the NIHR HTA Commissioning Board during the conduct of the study. Paul Emery reports grants and personal fees from Pfizer, Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, UCB Pharma Ltd, Roche, Novartis, Samsung, Sandoz, and Eli Lilly and Company, during the conduct of the study. Sue Pavitt is a member of the Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation Board and NIHR Clinical Trials Unit Board and has been a recipient of NIHR Clinical Trials Unit Support funding. Linda Sharples, Sarah Brown and Claire Davies report grants from NIHR HTA programme during the conduct of the study. Christopher McCabe reports that historically he worked as a paid consultant for a number of pharmaceutical companies. He has also done paid extensive work for the NHS.

Published June 2018 DOI: 10.3310/hta22340

Plain English summary

The SWITCH RCT: alternative TNF-blocking drugs or abatacept or rituximab

Health Technology Assessment 2018; Vol. 22: No. 34 DOI: 10.3310/hta22340

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a long-term problem that causes pain and swelling (inflammation) in the joints. Many patients need treatment with drugs known as biologics, usually starting with a group known as TNFi. If patients do not respond to a TNFi, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence currently recommends another biologic, rituximab, but again not all will respond.

The aim of the SWITCH trial was to find out whether or not two alternative biologics (alternative TNFi or abatacept) were as good as rituximab at improving disease activity, quality of life, safety and cost-effectiveness in patients who did not respond to their initial TNFi treatment.

Between July 2012 and December 2014, 122 patients from 35 hospitals were recruited into the trial and randomly put into three treatment groups (1) rituximab, (2) alternative TNFi or (3) abatacept. We planned to recruit 477 patients into the SWITCH trial. The trial was stopped early because of slow recruitment (largely attributable to operational challenges throughout the study period), achieving only 122 patients enrolled, and as a result was too small to test if either drug works as well as rituximab in reducing disease activity. A similar general improvement in patients' physical functioning, quality of life relating to their RA, general health and safety over the 12-month period was apparent for all three treatments.

Switching to alternative TNFi may be cost-effective compared with the current treatment; however, the use of abatacept is unlikely to be cost-effective.

Alternative options to rituximab may work in patients who do not respond to their first biologic therapy, but uncertainty remains about which treatments to choose following an initial TNFi treatment failure.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2018. This work was produced by Brown et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 4.236

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 08/116/75. The contractual start date was in April 2011. The draft report began editorial review in September 2016 and was accepted for publication in February 2017. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2018. This work was produced by Brown *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the EME Programme, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Dr Martin Ashton-Key Consultant in Public Health Medicine/Consultant Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Director of the NIHR Dissemination Centre, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Director, Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk