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Fifty health-care economies in England have received funding and support to provide better ways of joining up or ‘integrating’ health-care services. These new models of care aim to provide better quality, experience and value for patients and staff in the health-care ‘system’. Collectively, the models of care are called vanguards. Although these are new, the underlying efforts to deliver a more integrated model of care are not. Our project focused on the fourteen multispecialty community provider (MCP) vanguards, designed to provide comprehensive integrated care outside a hospital setting.

The aim of this project was to support the people making decisions in MCPs, by combining or ‘synthesising’ lessons from similar models that had reported findings. As the knowledge base was vast, we worked with MCP leads and public representatives to prioritise three aspects of the MCP model: (1) patient access to integrated care in the community, (2) pooled investment and clearer accountability and (3) a collaborative approach to address issues, such as inequality and growing demand. For each, we used an established approach that asks ‘What works, for whom, in what respects, to what extent, in what contexts and how?’

We found that integrated care models that work well are tailored to local needs and constantly evolving. They are also dependent on good connections between local people, communities and health-care staff, especially those that allow learning from one another. In a MCP, there should be:

1. opportunities for all the different staff and service users to be involved
2. a shared view of the benefits of working together, with trust for one another and the organisations that they represent
3. training to support integrated working.

Our findings suggest that, when these three aspects come together, staff and service users can change their behaviours to benefit both themselves and the system.
Criteria for inclusion in the Health Services and Delivery Research journal

Reports are published in Health Services and Delivery Research (HS&DR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HS&DR programme or programmes which preceded the HS&DR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

HS&DR programme
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