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Plain English summary

Cervical cancer is the 12th most common cancer among women in the UK. In order to prevent this cancer, women in England and Wales used to receive a cervical smear test, typically every 3–5 years, although this will be replaced with a human papillomavirus (HPV) test in the future. If a smear test suggests that there may be abnormal cells or if HPV infection is detected, a woman will be offered a colposcopy examination. In this test a physician visually examines the cervix using a special device called a colposcope to identify areas that may be affected by changes called cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN). If this is suspected, further tests may be performed or the affected area may be removed.

Dynamic Spectral Imaging System (DySiS)map (DySiS Medical Ltd, Edinburgh, UK) and ZedScan (Zilico Limited, Manchester, UK) are two new methods designed to improve colposcopy. DySiSmap provides a colour-coded map to make it easier to identify areas affected by CIN; ZedScan uses a small current applied to the cervix to detect CIN. This report assesses whether or not DySiSmap and ZedScan are improvements on standard colposcopy, in terms of the ability to detect CIN and cancer and in reducing costs. This assessment was achieved by a thorough review of all studies examining the potential benefits of the DySiSmap and ZedScan technologies, and a new model to assess the economic value of using the technologies.

The review found that both DySiSmap and ZedScan successfully detect more women with CIN or cancer, but more women who do not have CIN or cancer will undergo unnecessary further testing or treatment. However, the data reported for ZedScan are limited and further studies are needed to confirm the added value provided by this method. Although both methods are more expensive to use than standard colposcopy, the additional instances of CIN and cancer detected means that both DySiSmap and ZedScan are likely to represent good value for money for the NHS.
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Reports are published in Health Technology Assessment (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in Health Technology Assessment are termed ‘systematic’ when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. ‘Health technologies’ are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was commissioned and funded by the HTA programme on behalf of NICE as project number 16/30/01. The protocol was agreed in January 2017. The assessment report began editorial review in August 2017 and was accepted for publication in March 2018. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors’ report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.
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