# The effects of the London 2012 Olympics and related urban regeneration on physical and mental health: the ORiEL mixed-methods evaluation of a natural experiment

Steven Cummins, 1\* Charlotte Clark, 2 Daniel Lewis, 1 Neil Smith, 2 Claire Thompson, 1 Melanie Smuk, 2 Stephen Stansfeld, 2 Stephanie Taylor, 2 Amanda Fahy, 2 Trish Greenhalgh 3 and Sandra Eldridge 2

**Declared competing interests of authors:** At the time of the study, Steven Cummins and Charlotte Clark were both members of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Public Health Research Programme Funding Board. Steven Cummins was also funded by a NIHR Senior Research Fellowship.

**Disclaimer:** This report contains transcripts of interviews conducted in the course of the research and contains language that may offend some readers.

Published November 2018

DOI: 10.3310/phr06120

# **Plain English summary**

Impact of Olympic-related urban regeneration on physical activity: ORiEL

Public Health Research 2018; Vol. 6: No. 12

DOI: 10.3310/phr06120

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Social and Environmental Health Research, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Centre for Psychiatry, Barts & The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Primary Care, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author steven.cummins@lshtm.ac.uk

# **Plain English summary**

# What was the problem?

Little is known about the health effects of urban regeneration and the utility of sporting events such as the Olympic Games in promoting health. Given that large sums of public money are spent on these programmes, decision-makers need to know whether or not they improve population health. However, limited good evidence exists to support decisions on whether or not to invest in such programmes and where to focus resources.

#### What did we do?

The London 2012 Olympic and Paralympic Games gave us the opportunity to study the impacts of the Games and its legacy. We investigated whether or not the event inspired people to become physically active and whether or not the physical legacy of a regenerated Olympic Park improved health.

## What did we find?

In the quantitative study we unfortunately found limited evidence that either the spectacle of the Olympic Games or the Olympic Park in legacy mode had an impact on health. Although access to sporting facilities and high-quality green space improved, we found that, over time, people did not become more physically active and their mental health or well-being did not improve. In the qualitative study we found that residents generally welcomed the chance to live in a cleaner, safer and more unified environment. The Olympic Games served to reduce and alleviate stressors in the social and physical environment; however, this was viewed by respondents as temporary. Overall, it served to lessen participants' sense of social exclusion and seemed to generate a sense of inclusion and respite, but did not address the most dominant and emphatically articulated local need: housing.

## What does this mean?

We need more evidence for the long-term health impacts of investment in urban regeneration and large-scale sporting events such as the Olympic Games. However, our study provides new evidence to suggest that these programmes may play only a limited role in improving people's health.

# **Public Health Research**

ISSN 2050-4381 (Print)

ISSN 2050-439X (Online)

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full PHR archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/phr. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

#### Criteria for inclusion in the Public Health Research journal

Reports are published in *Public Health Research* (PHR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the PHR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Public Health Research* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search, appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

### PHR programme

The Public Health Research (PHR) programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), evaluates public health interventions, providing new knowledge on the benefits, costs, acceptability and wider impacts of non-NHS interventions intended to improve the health of the public and reduce inequalities in health. The scope of the programme is multi-disciplinary and broad, covering a range of interventions that improve public health. The Public Health Research programme also complements the NIHR Health Technology Assessment programme which has a growing portfolio evaluating NHS public health interventions.

For more information about the PHR programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/phr

#### This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the PHR programme as project number 09/3005/09. The contractual start date was in April 2011. The final report began editorial review in November 2016 and was accepted for publication in June 2017. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The PHR editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the final report document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the PHR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the PHR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2018. This work was produced by Cummins et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

# **NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief**

Professor Tom Walley Director, NIHR Evaluation, Trials and Studies and Director of the EME Programme, UK

# **NIHR Journals Library Editors**

**Professor Ken Stein** Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

**Professor Matthias Beck** Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

**Dr Catriona McDaid** Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

**Professor James Raftery** Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

**Professor Helen Snooks** Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

**Professor Jim Thornton** Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

**Professor Martin Underwood** Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk