# Pembrolizumab for previously treated advanced or metastatic urothelial cancer [ID1019] – Addendum 1

Produced by:	Warwick Evidence
Authors:	Xavier Armoiry, Senior Research Fellow, Warwick Evidence Theodoros Mantopoulos, Research Associate, Warwick Evidence Daniel Gallacher, Research Associate, Warwick Clinical Trials Unit Peter Auguste, Research Fellow, Warwick Evidence Jacoby Patterson, Independent Research Consultant Rachel Court, Information Specialist, Warwick Evidence Karoline Munro, Research Project Administrator, Warwick Evidence Maria De Santis, Associate Clinical Professor, Warwick Clinical Trials Unit Joanne Cresswell, Consultant Urological Surgeon, South Tees NHS Trust Hospital
	Hema Mistry, Assistant Professor, Warwick Evidence
Correspondence to:	Dr Hema Mistry Warwick Evidence Warwick Medical School University of Warwick Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK Tel: +44 (0)2476 151183 Email: <u>Hema.Mistry@warwick.ac.uk</u>
Date completed:	24 <sup>th</sup> May 2017
Source of funding:	This report was commissioned by the NIHR HTA Programme as project

**Source of funding**: This report was commissioned by the NIHR HTA Programme as project number 16/108/19.

## Declared competing interests of the authors

Dr Maria De Santis received consultancy fees within the last 5 years from MSD, Merck, Pfizer, Roche, AstraZeneca, Pierre Fabre, Sanofi, BMS, Amgen, Astellas, Bayer, Celgene, Eisai, ESSA,

Ferring, GSK, Ipsen, Janssen, Novartis, Dendreon, Seattle Genetics, Shionogi, Synthon, Teva and OncoGenex. She also received reimbursement for attending a symposium and/or speaker fees from Bayer, MSD, Janssen, Astellas, Sanofi, Pierre Fabre, GSK and funds for research from Pierre Fabre.

Dr Jo Cresswell is employed by South Tees NHS Trust Hospital. South Tees is part of the Imvigor clinical trial which is a commercially funded RCT. The research costs are met by Roche. Dr Cresswell has not received any personal funding from Roche.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr Emma Loveman for providing advice and comments during the two week clarification period and Dr Martin Connock for providing advice and comments on the survival analysis. Professor Aileen Clarke read the report and provided advice.

#### **Rider on responsibility for report**

The views expressed in this report are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR HTA Programme. Any errors are the responsibility of the authors.

#### This report should be referenced as follows

Pembrolizumab for previously treated advanced or metastatic urothelial cancer: A Single Technology Appraisal. Warwick Evidence, 2017.

### **Contributions of authors**

Xavier Armoiry (Senior Research Fellow) helped co-ordinate the project and the report, and conducted, reviewed and critiqued the clinical effectiveness evidence; Theodoros Mantopoulos (Research Associate) conducted, reviewed and critiqued the cost-effectiveness evidence and undertook additional analyses; Daniel Gallacher (Research Associate) conducted, reviewed and critiqued the survival analysis and cost-effectiveness evidence; Peter Auguste (Research Fellow) conducted, reviewed and critiqued the survival analysis and undertook additional analyses; Jacoby Patterson (Independent Research Consultant) conducted, reviewed and critiqued the clinical effectiveness evidence; Rachel Court (Information Specialist) critiqued the company searches and undertook additional analyses; Karoline Munro (Research Project Administrator) conducted, reviewed and critiqued the background section; Maria De Santis (Associate Clinical Professor) provided expert clinical advice; Joanne Cresswell (Consultant Urological Surgeon) provided expert clinical advice; Hema Mistry (Assistant Professor) co-ordinated the project and

the report, and reviewed and critiqued the cost-effectiveness evidence and undertook additional analyses.

Word count: 41,506

**Please note that:** Sections highlighted in yellow and underlined are <u>'academic in confidence'</u> (<u>AIC</u>). Sections highlighted in aqua and underlined are <u>'commercial in confidence' (CIC</u>). Figures that are CIC have been bordered with blue. In Tables 1 and 2 we include the overall survival estimates based on the fully-fitted parametric curves for UK SOC and pembrolizumab, respectively.

Overall survival	Exponential	Weibull	Log-normal	Log-logistic	Gompertz	Generalised gamma			
Using 0-week cut-off (fully-fitted parametric curves)									
5-year	0.003	0.000	0.019	0.024	0.000	0.01			

 Table 1: UK SOC overall survival estimates by parametric distribution

## Table 2: Pembrolizumab overall survival estimates by parametric distribution

Overall survival	Exponential	Weibull	Log-normal	Log-logistic	Gompertz	Generalised gamma			
Using 0-wee	Using 0-week cut-off (fully-fitted parametric curves)								
5-year	0.02	0.033	0.119	0.116	0.183	0.146			

In tables 3 to 5, we have presented results, based on analyses undertaken at a 2-year, 10-year and 35 year time horizon, using the 0-week cut-off (fully-fitted curves to the overall survival Kaplan-Meier data) for each parametric curve.

ruble er ebing e		51 0101 1 0	a sube cub	e, 111111111111		<b>J • ••</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	18011
Technologies	Total costs (£)	Total LYG	Total QALYs	Incremental costs (£)	Incremental LYGs	Incremental QALYs	ICER (£) versus baseline (QALYs)
Exponential					•	•	•
UK SOC	£14,641	0.80	0.55	-	-	-	-
Pembrolizumab	£46,845	1.01	0.70	£32,203	0.21	0.15	£209,945
Weibull				- -			•
UK SOC	£14,871	0.77	0.53	-	-	-	-
Pembrolizumab	£46,707	1.02	0.70	£31,835	0.24	0.17	£184,485
Gompertz						•	•
UK SOC	£14,788	0.78	0.54	-	-	-	-
Pembrolizumab	£46,349	1.03	0.71	£31,560	0.25	0.18	£179,062
Log-logistic				- -			•
UK SOC	£14,587	0.79	0.54	-	-	-	-
Pembrolizumab	£46,433	1.02	0.71	£31,846	0.23	0.16	£193,465
Log-normal						•	•
UK SOC	£14,642	0.81	0.56	-	-	-	-
Pembrolizumab	£46,391	1.03	0.71	£31,748	0.22	0.16	£204,404
Generalised gam	ima	•	•				
UK SOC	£14,690	0.80	0.55	-	-	-	-
Pembrolizumab	£46,310	1.03	0.71	£31,620	0.23	0.16	£190,486

Table 3: Using the ERG's preferred base-case, with results based on a 2-year time-horizon

 Table 4: Using the ERG's preferred base-case, with results at a 10-year time horizon

Technologies	Total costs (£)	Total LYG	Total QALYs	Incremental costs (£)	Incremental LYGs	Incremental QALYs	ICER (£) versus baseline (QALYs)	
Exponential								
UK SOC	£15,932	0.88	0.60	-	-	-	-	
Pembrolizumab	£50,069	1.26	0.86	£34,137	0.37	0.26	£131,104	
Weibull								
UK SOC	£15,382	0.80	0.55	-	-	-	-	
Pembrolizumab	£50,575	1.33	0.91	£35,193	0.54	0.36	£96,576	
Gompertz	Gompertz							
UK SOC	£15,456	0.81	0.56	-	-	-	-	
Pembrolizumab	£56,331	2.30	1.51	£40,875	1.48	0.95	£42,924	
Log-logistic								

Technologies	Total costs (£)	Total LYG	Total QALYs	Incremental costs (£)	Incremental LYGs	Incremental QALYs	ICER (£) versus baseline (QALYs)		
UK SOC	£16,577	0.98	0.66	-	-	-	-		
Pembrolizumab	£53,561	1.81	1.21	£36,985	0.83	0.55	£67,401		
Log-normal	Log-normal								
UK SOC	£16,594	0.98	0.66	-	-	-	-		
Pembrolizumab	£53,711	1.83	1.22	£37,117	0.85	0.56	£66,343		
Generalised gamma									
UK SOC	£16,147	0.91	0.62	-	-	-	-		
Pembrolizumab	£54,775	2.01	1.33	£38,629	1.10	0.71	£54,285		

Table 5: Using the ERG's preferred base-case, with results at a 35-year time horizon

Technologies	Total costs (£)	Total LYG	Total QALYs	Incremental costs (£)	Incremental LYGs	Incremental QALYs	ICER (£) versus baseline (QALYs)
Exponential							
UK SOC	£15,932	0.88	0.60	-	-	-	-
Pembrolizumab	£50,074	1.26	0.86	£34,142	0.37	0.26	£131,018
Weibull		•		•	•		
UK SOC	£15,382	0.80	0.55	-	-	-	-
Pembrolizumab	£50,595	1.33	0.91	£35,213	0.54	0.37	£96,353
Gompertz	•	•		•			
UK SOC	£15,456	0.81	0.56	-	-	-	-
Pembrolizumab	£64,669	3.39	2.13	£49,213	2.58	1.57	£31,360
Log-logistic				·			
UK SOC	£16,829	1.01	0.68	-	-	-	-
Pembrolizumab	£55,971	2.12	1.38	£39,142	1.11	0.70	£55,486
Log-normal				·			
UK SOC	£16,661	0.99	0.67	-	-	-	-
Pembrolizumab	£55,617	2.07	1.36	£38,956	1.08	0.69	£56,366
Generalised gam	ma					·	
UK SOC	£16,159	0.91	0.62	-	-	-	-
Pembrolizumab	£58,062	2.43	1.57	£41,903	1.52	0.95	£44,147