Sperm selection for assisted reproduction by prior hyaluronan binding: the HABSelect RCT

Jackson Kirkman-Brown,^{1,2} Sue Pavitt,³ Yacoub Khalaf,⁴ Sheena Lewis,⁵ Richard Hooper,⁶ Siladitya Bhattacharya,⁷ Arri Coomarasamy,² Vinay Sharma,⁸ Daniel Brison,⁹ Gordon Forbes,⁶ Robert West,¹⁰ Allan Pacey,¹¹ Kate Brian,¹² Rachel Cutting,¹³ Virginia Bolton¹⁴ and David Miller¹⁴*

- ¹Birmingham Women's Fertility Centre, Birmingham Women's and Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK
- ²Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham Women's Hospital, Birmingham, UK
- ³Dental Translational and Clinical Research Unit (DenTCRU), Leeds National Institute for Health Research Clinical Research Facility, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- ⁴Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
- ⁵Examen Ltd, Belfast, UK
- ⁶Pragmatic Clinical Trials Unit, Centre for Primary Care and Public Health, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- ⁷College of Biomedical and Life Sciences, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Cardiff, UK
- ⁸Leeds Fertility, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Seacroft Hospital, Leeds, UK
 ⁹Department of Reproductive Medicine, Old St Mary's Hospital, Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic
- Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK
- ¹⁰Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- ¹¹Department of Oncology and Metabolism, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK ¹²Fertility Network UK, London, UK
- ¹³Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Sheffield, UK
- ¹⁴Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

*Corresponding author D.Miller@leeds.ac.uk

Declared competing interests of authors: Sue Pavitt was a member of the National Institute for Health Research Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation Board in 2012–18. Robert West holds membership of the Health Services and Delivery Research Researcher-led Panel and Public Health Research Research Funding Board. Sheena Lewis is chief executive officer of the University of Belfast spinout company, Examen Ltd (Belfast, UK) outside the submitted work. David Miller received a grant from Biocoat Inc. (Horsham, PA, USA) outside the submitted work. Jackson Kirkman Brown received support from Origio Inc. (Reigate, UK) to attend a meeting outside the submitted work.

Published February 2019 DOI: 10.3310/eme06010

Scientific summary

The HABSelect RCT

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation 2019; Vol. 6: No. 1 DOI: 10.3310/eme06010

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Scientific summary

Introduction and background

The male contribution to human infertility is not fully understood and estimates of its prevalence vary. However, concern over the recent decline in sperm counts at least in the developed world, alongside the increasing age at which couples come forward for treatment, has led to calls for improvements in the care of the male partner. Such improvements include a better understanding of the causes of male infertility and how best to ameliorate the condition sufficiently to boost treatment success rates (hitherto focused mainly on boosting female fertility). With the advent, rapid uptake and expansion of interventional intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) treatment, the practitioner has only one chance per egg to pick the right sperm with the greatest potential for live birth for injection and methods aimed at increasing the likelihood of doing so are in development. One such method involves the selection of sperm based on their innate ability to bind hyaluronan (HA), which occurs naturally in the cumulus-oophorous complex. Such sperm appear to have better indicators of genomic integrity, including lower levels of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) fragmentation, chromosomal aneuploidy and cytoplasmic retention and, hence, increased maturity relating to these measures. A number of clinical trials have tested the claim that ICSI with HA-selected sperm improves clinical outcomes, but with the exception of a reduction in miscarriage following the use of selected sperm, results for other outcomes, including live births, have remained equivocal at best. Hyaluronic Acid Binding sperm selection (HABSelect) was designed to detect a minimum 5% difference (per cycle started) in full-term live birth outcomes, which was also sufficient to detect significant changes in other (secondary) outcomes including miscarriage rates.

Hypotheses

- 1. By selecting sperm able to bind to HA [physiological intracytoplasmic sperm injection (PICSI)] live birth rates (LBRs) would be increased.
- Any observed improvement in outcomes would be attributable to sperm DNA integrity and chromatin structure.

Objectives

The main clinical objectives of HABSelect were to determine if sperm selected for ICSI by HA binding could increase full-term LBRs per fresh treatment cycle. Secondary objectives were to detect a reduction in miscarriage rates and associated improvements in clinical pregnancy (CP) and preterm LBRs. The main mechanistic objective was to relate clinical outcomes to aspects of sperm DNA integrity, including DNA fragmentation and compaction.

Methods

HABSelect was a parallel-arm, randomised clinical trial with associated laboratory-based studies investigating sperm DNA integrity (fragmentation and compaction). The intervention was based on sperm binding to the HA substrate in the Conformité Européenne (CE) and UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency-approved PICSITM dish (Origio, Måløv, Denmark). This substrate binds and immobilises sperm for ICSI. The study was as inclusive as possible with regard to both partners' eligibility to participate. Approximately 6700 couples were assessed for eligibility and 2772 were randomised into either the selection (PICSI, n = 1387) or the control (standard ICSI, n = 1385) arm of the trial, although six couples were excluded post randomisation

[©] Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2019. This work was produced by Kirkman-Brown *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

as they were subsequently found not to have met eligibility criteria. Following post-randomisation withdrawals, the number of couples included in the primary analysis was 2752. Following treatment, residual sperm samples were frozen and stored for retrospective analysis of DNA integrity using a number of complementary assays measuring variables for DNA fragmentation [acridine orange, comet and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labelling (TUNEL)] and compaction [aniline blue (AB)] with halo assays linking both variables. Participants, clinical care providers in in vitro fertilisation (IVF) licensed units, maternity and neonatal wards, and research nurses responsible for participants' follow-up were blinded to treatment allocation. The only unblinded group at study sites were the embryologists who performed the PICSI/standard ICSI procedure, HA binding scoring and randomisation. The study data manager and independent statistician, both residing within the trials' unit, were also unblinded and helped prepare reports for the Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee. When it became clear part-way through that the laboratory effort would be unable to process all samples, the mechanistic statistician was unblinded to provide a sample set enriched for miscarriage.

Results

Approximately 6700 couples were assessed for eligibility and 2772 were finally randomised into either the selection (PICSI) or control (standard ICSI) arms of the trial. Outcome data were available for 2752 couples. For the primary outcome, 379 out of 1381 (PICSI 27.4%) and 346 out of 1371 (ICSI 25.2%) eligible couples randomised achieved a full-term live birth (\geq 37 weeks). This corresponds to an odds ratio for all treatment cycles of 1.12 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.95 to 1.34], which was not statistically significant (p = 0.18). Of the secondary outcomes, miscarriage rates per couple treated were significantly reduced in the PICSI arm, with 60 out of 1381 (4.3%) clinical pregnancies lost per couple treated, compared with ICSI at 96 out of 1371 (7.0%), corresponding to an odds ratio for all treatment cycles of 0.61 (95% CI 0.43 to 0.84; p = 0.003). Clinical pregnancy rates (CPRs) per couple treated were not significantly different and subgroup analyses of both primary and miscarriage outcomes across hyaluronan binding score (HBS), female age, anti-Müllerian hormone or follicle stimulating hormone subgroups did not find a difference in treatment effect. DNA fragmentation in the sperm prepared for ICSI/PICSI was not discriminatory of clinical outcomes, although DNA compaction may have influenced establishment of CP. The mechanistic analysis, which explored the relationship between mechanistic and clinical data for the purposes of hypothesis generation, found statistically significant relationships between HBS, sperm motility, sperm concentration and sperm DNA integrity. However, with the exception of establishment of CP, which was related to sperm DNA compaction (AB staining), no other measure of sperm DNA integrity predicted or was associated with a clinical outcome, including miscarriage. Assays of DNA integrity also correlated poorly with each other. Classification tree and linear regression highlighted female age and male HBS as most predictive of clinical outcome, with PICSI showing some benefit for older women. The PICSI intervention led to a drop in fertilisation rates, although this did not affect subsequent CPRs.

Limitations

Use of processed sperm samples rather than original semen for DNA integrity assays was unavoidable but also uninformative, and mechanistic analysis depended on the randomness of missing data.

Conclusions

The PICSI-based sperm selection showed no advantage for raising CP or LBRs in couples undergoing ICSI. The intervention, however, afforded some protection against miscarriage. The mechanistic analysis suggested that this effect was more related to female age than to sperm DNA integrity, although the processing and quality of the sperm sampled for the mechanistic work might have reduced the sensitivity of our analysis, which is still ongoing. Data from existing and future trials of PICSI should be combined with HABSelect to confirm and

provide a more precise assessment of the efficacy of PICSI at reducing miscarriage risk and determine whether or not reductions in the number of miscarriages can lead to a corresponding increase in LBRs.

Trial registration

This trial is registered as ISRCTN99214271.

Funding

This project was funded by the Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation programme, a Medical Research Council and NIHR partnership. The research is also supported by the NIHR Infrastructure at Leeds and the NIHR Clinical Research Network.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2019. This work was produced by Kirkman-Brown *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation

ISSN 2050-4365 (Print)

ISSN 2050-4373 (Online)

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full EME archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/eme. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation journal

Reports are published in *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation* (EME) if (1) they have resulted from work for the EME programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

EME programme

The Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) programme was set up in 2008 as part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) and the Medical Research Council (MRC) coordinated strategy for clinical trials. The EME programme is broadly aimed at supporting 'science driven' studies with an expectation of substantial health gain and aims to support excellent clinical science with an ultimate view to improving health or patient care.

Its remit includes evaluations of new treatments, including therapeutics (small molecule and biologic), psychological interventions, public health, diagnostics and medical devices. Treatments or interventions intended to prevent disease are also included.

The EME programme supports laboratory based or similar studies that are embedded within the main study if relevant to the remit of the EME programme. Studies that use validated surrogate markers as indicators of health outcome are also considered.

For more information about the EME programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/eme

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the EME programme as project number 11/14/34. The contractual start date was in July 2013. The final report began editorial review in January 2018 and was accepted for publication in May 2018. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The EME editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the final report document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research. The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the MRC, NETSCC, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2019. This work was produced by Kirkman-Brown *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Ken Stein Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals)

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Scientific Advisor, NETSCC, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor John Powell Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk