## Tumour profiling tests to guide adjuvant chemotherapy decisions in early breast cancer: a systematic review and economic analysis

Sue Harnan,<sup>1</sup>\* Paul Tappenden,<sup>1</sup> Katy Cooper,<sup>1</sup> John Stevens,<sup>1</sup> Alice Bessey,<sup>1</sup> Rachid Rafia,<sup>1</sup> Sue Ward,<sup>1</sup> Ruth Wong,<sup>1</sup> Robert C Stein<sup>2,3</sup> and Janet Brown<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Health Economics and Decision Science, School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

<sup>2</sup>University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre, London, UK <sup>3</sup>Research Department of Oncology, University College London, London, UK <sup>4</sup>Department of Oncology and Metabolism, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

\*Corresponding author s.harnan@sheffield.ac.uk

**Declared competing interests of authors:** Robert C Stein is the chief investigator of the OPTIMA (Optimal Personalised Treatment of breast cancer using Multi-parameter Analysis) trial and has published results from the OPTIMA Prelim study.

Published June 2019 DOI: 10.3310/hta23300

## **Plain English summary**

Adjuvant chemotherapy decisions in early-stage breast cancer Health Technology Assessment 2019; Vol. 23: No. 30 DOI: 10.3310/hta23300

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

# **Plain English summary**

**B** reast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in women in England and Wales. Breast cancer, and its treatment, can have an impact on a person's health-related quality of life and survival. Tumour profiling tests are used before chemotherapy. They test small samples of a patient's tumour (removed during surgery) to find out whether the genes in it mean that a person has a high or low risk of the disease returning (relapse). If the risk is low, the patient may be able to avoid having chemotherapy and, therefore, avoid its side effects. Some tests might also be able to identify which patients will respond to chemotherapy.

This study looked at the evidence for five tumour profiling tests. A total of 153 studies were identified. This study considered the results and the quality of the studies to find out if the tests are helpful. Most studies had design flaws (e.g. some patients had already had chemotherapy) that meant that the studies were of low quality overall. The results suggest that all of the tests can give information on the risk of relapse; however, some tests may be less useful in patients whose disease has spread to the lymph nodes (lymph node-positive disease). There was limited and varying evidence about whether or not two of these tests can also predict which patients will respond to chemotherapy.

This study also looked at whether or not these tests represent good value for money for the NHS through cost-effectiveness analyses. The analyses showed that some of the tests may represent a good use of NHS resources for some patient groups; however, there was still a lot of uncertainty about this.

### **Health Technology Assessment**

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 4.513

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

#### Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

#### **HTA programme**

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

#### This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was commissioned and funded by the HTA programme on behalf of NICE as project number 16/30/03. The protocol was agreed in March 2017. The assessment report began editorial review in November 2017 and was accepted for publication in August 2018. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2019. This work was produced by Harnan *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

### **NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief**

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

### **NIHR Journals Library Editors**

**Professor John Powell** Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Honorary Professor, University of Manchester, and Senior Clinical Researcher and Associate Professor, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

**Professor Andrée Le May** Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

**Professor Matthias Beck** Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Director, NIHR Dissemination Centre, UK

**Dr Catriona McDaid** Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

**Professor James Raftery** Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

**Professor Helen Snooks** Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

**Professor Jim Thornton** Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk