Low-dose oral theophylline combined with inhaled corticosteroids for people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and high risk of exacerbations: a RCT

Graham Devereux,^{1*} Seonaidh Cotton,² Shona Fielding,³ Nicola McMeekin,⁴ Peter J Barnes,⁵ Andy Briggs,⁴ Graham Burns,⁶ Rekha Chaudhuri,⁷ Henry Chrystyn,⁸ Lisa Davies,⁹ Anthony De Soyza,¹⁰ Simon Gompertz,¹¹ John Haughney,⁷ Karen Innes,² Joanna Kaniewska,² Amanda Lee,³ Alyn Morice,¹² John Norrie,¹³ Anita Sullivan,¹¹ Andrew Wilson¹⁴ and David Price^{1,15}

- ¹Respiratory Medicine, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK
- ²Centre for Healthcare Randomised Trials (CHaRT), University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK
- ³Medical Statistics Team, Division of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK
- ⁴Institute of Health & Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
- ⁵National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK ⁶Department of Respiratory Medicine, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
- ⁷Gartnavel General Hospital, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK ⁸Inhalation Consultancy Ltd, Leeds, UK
- ⁹Aintree Chest Centre, University Hospital Aintree, Liverpool, UK
- ¹⁰Medical School, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
- ¹¹Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- ¹²Cardiovascular and Respiratory Studies, Castle Hill Hospital, Cottingham, UK ¹³Edinburgh Clinical Trials Unit, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- ¹⁴Department of Medicine, Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
- ¹⁵Academic Primary Care, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK

*Corresponding author g.devereux@abdn.ac.uk

Declared competing interests of authors: Graham Burns reports personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH (Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany), Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd (Petah Tikva, Israel), Chiesi Farmaceutici SpA (Parma, Italy), Pfizer Inc. (New York City, NY, USA) and AstraZeneca plc (Cambridge, UK), and non-financial support from Chiesi and Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work. Rekha Chaudhuri reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) plc (London, UK), Teva and Novartis International AG (Basel, Switzerland) for advisory board meetings, outside the submitted work. Anthony De Soyza reports grants and non-financial support from AstraZeneca and Chiesi, non-financial support from Boehringer Ingelheim, grants and personal fees from GSK, Bayer AG (Leverkusen, Germany) and Pfizer, and grants from Forest Laboratories (New York City, NY, USA)/Teva, outside the submitted work. He is also a member of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Elective and Emergency Specialist Care (EESC) Panel. Simon Gompertz reports personal fees from Pfizer and GSK, outside the submitted work. John Norrie reports grants from the NIHR HTA programme during the conduct of the study, was a member of the NIHR HTA Commissioning Board (2010–16) and is currently Deputy Chairperson of the NIHR HTA General Board (2016–present) and a NIHR Journals Library Editor (2014–present). Andrew Wilson reports grants from F. Hoffmann-La Roche (Basel, Switzerland), outside the submitted work. David Price reports grants and personal fees from Aerocrine AB (Stockholm, Sweden), AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, Mylan NV (Canonsburg, PA, USA), Mundipharma International Ltd (Cambridge, UK), Napp Pharmaceuticals Ltd (Cambridge, UK), Novartis, Pfizer, Teva, Theravance Biopharma (San Francisco, CA, USA) and Zentiva Group a.s. (Prague, Czech Republic); personal fees from Almirall SA (Barcelona, Spain), Amgen Inc. (Newbury Park, CA, USA), Cipla Ltd (Mumbai, India), GSK, Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd (Tokyo, Japan), Merck Sharp & Dohme (Kenilworth, NJ, USA), Skyepharma Production SAS (Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France); grants from AKL Research and Development Ltd (Stevenage, UK), the British Lung Foundation, the Respiratory Effectiveness Group and the UK NHS; and non-financial support from the NIHR Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation and HTA programmes, outside the submitted work. He also reports stock/stock options from AKL Research and Development Ltd, which produces phytopharmaceuticals and owns 74% of the social enterprise Optimum Patient Care Ltd (Cambridge, UK, and Australia and Singapore) and 74% of Observational and Pragmatic Research Institute Pte Ltd (Singapore).

Published July 2019 DOI: 10.3310/hta23370

Plain English summary

Low dose oral theophylline combined with inhaled corticosteroids Health Technology Assessment 2019; Vol. 23: No. 37 DOI: 10.3310/hta23370

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a long-term lung disease that cannot be cured. The main symptom is shortness of breath on exertion. In the UK, about 1.2 million people have COPD. It is a major cause of death and costs the NHS > \pm 1B a year. Sudden 'flare-ups' of symptoms often need emergency treatment, shorten life expectancy and reduce people's ability to get on with their lives.

Theophylline is a drug that has been around for decades. In the past, it was used in high doses to treat COPD by opening up airways. However, its benefits were limited and it often caused unpleasant side effects. High-dose theophylline has been replaced by drugs administered by inhalers, such as inhaled corticosteroids (ICSs). Recent work in the laboratory and in animal models suggests that, at low dose, theophylline could make ICSs work better in COPD with none of the side effects of high-dose theophylline.

The Theophylline With Inhaled CorticoSteroid (TWICS) trial tested whether or not adding low-dose theophylline reduces flare-ups in people with COPD taking ICSs. A total of 1578 people with COPD from 121 centres all over the UK took part. Participants were randomly divided into two groups: one group took low-dose theophylline and the other took dummy placebo pills. Participants were asked to attend visits at 6 and 12 months.

A total of 791 participants were prescribed low-dose theophylline and 787 were prescribed dummy placebo pills. Although not everyone took the tablets for a whole year, it was possible to count the number of flare-ups in 98% of those taking part. In total, there were 3430 flare-ups. On average, the people taking low-dose theophylline had 2.24 flare-ups and the people taking placebo had 2.23 flare-ups.

Overall, the trial showed that, for people with COPD, taking low-dose theophylline on top of steroid inhalers makes no real difference.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2019. This work was produced by Devereux *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.819

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

The HTA programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was set up in 1993. It produces high-quality research information on the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health technologies for those who use, manage and provide care in the NHS. 'Health technologies' are broadly defined as all interventions used to promote health, prevent and treat disease, and improve rehabilitation and long-term care.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

For more information about the HTA programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/hta

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 11/58/15. The contractual start date was in July 2013. The draft report began editorial review in April 2018 and was accepted for publication in November 2018. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2019. This work was produced by Devereux *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Honorary Professor, University of Manchester, and Senior Clinical Researcher and Associate Professor, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Director, NIHR Dissemination Centre, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk