15 Quantitative data tabulated by participating centre (B1, B4 and B5).

Table 15.1: Demographic characteristics and past history of randomised participants by centre

Cl 4		NBTG	(n=64)	NBTO	(n=77)	QEHB	(n=112)	UHBR	(n=114)	WORG	C (n=21)	Overall	(n=388)
Characte	ristic	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
DEMOGI	RAPHY												
Age	Median (IQR)	62	(47.5,	33	(30.1,	55	(43.9,	60	(47.9,	52	(45.5,	52	(34.7,
(years)	wedian (IQIV)	02	70.7)	33	35.4)	33	68.5)	00	68.7)	32	66.2)	32	66.9)
Male		31/64	48.4%	0/77	0.0%	56/112	50.0%	58/114	50.9%	16/21	76.2%	161/388	41.5%
BMI* Me	Madian (IOD)	27	(23.1,	28	(24.1,	28	(25.0,	27	(24.0,	27	(24.0,	28	(24.3,
DIVIT.	Median (IQR)	21	30.4)	28	33.2)	28	32.5)	21	30.9)	21	31.8)	28	31.6)
Ethnicity	White	61/63	96.8%	54/68	79.4%	100/110	90.9%	107/114	93.9%	19/19	100.0%	341/374	91.2%
	Mixed	1/63	1.6%	4/68	5.9%	0/110	0.0%	4/114	3.5%	0/19	0.0%	9/374	2.4%
	Asian	0/63	0.0%	5/68	7.4%	5/110	4.5%	2/114	1.8%	0/19	0.0%	12/374	3.2%
	Black	1/63	1.6%	4/68	5.9%	5/110	4.5%	1/114	0.9%	0/19	0.0%	11/374	2.9%
	Chinese	0/63	0.0%	1/68	1.5%	0/110	0.0%	0/114	0.0%	0/19	0.0%	1/374	0.3%
MEDICA	L HISTORY												
Smoking	Yes	13/62	21.0%	7/77	9.1%	19/112	17.0%	19/114	16.7%	2/21	9.5%	60/386	15.5%
	Ex > 1 month	18/62	29.0%	22/77	28.6%	46/112	41.1%	44/114	38.6%	6/21	28.6%	136/386	35.2%
	No	31/62	50.0%	48/77	62.3%	47/112	42.0%	51/114	44.7%	13/21	61.9%	190/386	49.2%

Cl4	.º -4.º -	NBTG	(n=64)	NBTO	(n=77)	QEHB	(n=112)	UHBR	(n=114)	WORG	C (n=21)	Overall	(n=388)
Character	ASUC	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Current the steroids	erapeutic oral/IV/IM	2/64	3.1%	7/77	9.1%	8/112	7.1%	6/114	5.3%	2/21	9.5%	25/388	6.4%
Diabetes (a	any type)	5/62	8.1%	2/77	2.6%	8/112	7.1%	14/114	12.3%	0/21	0.0%	29/386	7.5%
ASA class	I: Healthy, no medical problems	16/62	25.8%	62/77	80.5%	28/111	25.2%	24/113	21.2%	4/21	19.0%	134/384	34.9%
	II: Mild systemic disease	35/62	56.5%	14/77	18.2%	64/111	57.7%	76/113	67.3%	14/21	66.7%	203/384	52.9%
	III: Severe systemic disease, not incapacitating	11/62	17.7%	1/77	1.3%	17/111	15.3%	13/113	11.5%	3/21	14.3%	45/384	11.7%
	IV: severe systemic disease, constant threat to life	0/62	0.0%	0/77	0.0%	2/111	1.8%	0/113	0.0%	0/21	0.0%	2/384	0.5%

NBTG: North Bristol NHS Trust (General surgery), NBTO: North Bristol NHS Trust (Obstetric surgery), QEHB: Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, UHBR: University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, WORC: Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust, BMI: body mass index

Missing data (NBTG, NBTO, QEHB, UHBR, WORC): * 4 patients with missing data (2, 1, 0, 0, 1)

Note that when cell denominator is different to number in column header, the difference arises because of missing data for that variable.

 Table 15.2: Intraoperative characteristics by centre

Chamatanistia		NBT	G (n=64)	NBT	O (n=77)	QEHE	3 (n=112)	UHBR	k (n=114)	WOI	RC (n=21)	Overal	l (n=388)
Characteristic		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Operation duration (hours)*	Median (IQR)	1	(0.8, 2.4)	1	(0.4, 0.7)	2	(1.0, 2.9)	2	(1.0, 3.0)	2	(0.9, 3.0)	1	(0.7, 2.5)
Grade of surgeon	Foundation Dr	1/63	1.6%	0/76	0.0%	1/111	0.9%	0/107	0.0%	1/21	4.8%	3/378	0.8%
performing skin closure	Core trainee	8/63	12.7%	4/76	5.3%	14/111	12.6%	25/107	23.4%	2/21	9.5%	53/378	14.0%
	Speciality trainee	34/63	54.0%	42/76	55.3%	68/111	61.3%	64/107	59.8%	8/21	38.1%	216/378	57.1%
	Consultant	20/63	31.7%	30/76	39.5%	28/111	25.2%	18/107	16.8%	10/21	47.6%	106/378	28.0%
Number of wounds (excluding drain sites) ^	Median (IQR)	3	(1.0, 4.0)	1	(1.0, 1.0)	3	(1.0, 4.0)	3	(1.0, 4.0)	3	(2.0, 5.0)	2	(1.0, 4.0)
Stoma formed		10/61	16.4%	-	-	14/112	12.5%	18/111	16.2%	4/21	19.0%	46/305	15.1%
Time from randomisation to wound closure (hours)×	Median (IQR)	2	(0.9, 3.5)	1	(0.8, 1.2)	2	(1.6, 3.6)	3	(0.8, 5.2)	3	(1.5, 4.4)	2	(1.0, 3.6)
Delay between wound closure and logging wound closure in randomisation database (minutes)	Median (IQR)	0	(-2.0, 2.0)	0	(0.0, 1.0)	0	(0.0, 1.0)	0	(0.0, 1.0)	1	(0.0, 2.0)	0	(0.0, 1.0)
Day case		21/64	32.8%	2/76	2.6%	20/111	18.0%	38/114	33.3%	1/20	5.0%	82/385	21.3%

NBTG: North Bristol NHS Trust (General surgery), NBTO: North Bristol NHS Trust (Obstetric surgery), QEHB: Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, UHBR: University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, WORC: Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust Missing data (NBTG, NBTO, QEHB, UHBR, WORC):

Note that when cell denominator is different to number in column header, the difference arises because of missing data for that variable.

^{* 9} patients with missing data (3, 0, 0, 6, 0), ^ 8 patients with missing data (1, 0, 0, 7, 0), \times 7 patients with missing data (2, 0, 0, 5, 0).

Table 15.3: Adherence to timing of disclosure of the allocation and the dressing group allocation by centre

Adherence	NBTG	G (n=64)	NBTC) (n=77)	QEHB	(n=112)	UHBR (n=114)	WOR	C (n=21)	Overall (n=388)
Adnerence	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%	n	%
Adherence to disclosure of dressing category allocation at appropriate time												
Allocated to disclosure before wound closure	30/30	100.0%	38/38	100.0%	57/57	100.0%	50/52	96.2%	11/11	100.0%	186/188	98.9%
Allocated to disclosure after wound closure	23/32	71.9%	32/39	82.1%	49/55	89.1%	51/57	89.5%	10/10	100.0%	165/193	85.5%
Patient adherence to dressing allocation												
Adherence to initial dressing	61/61	100.0%	74/76	97.4%	109/112	97.3%	106/109	97.2%	19/21	90.5%	369/379	97.4%
Adherence to re-dressings (if applicable)	23/23	100.0%	25/26	96.2%	37/47	78.7%	42/52	80.8%	7/7	100.0%	134/155	86.5%
Overall adherence ×	61/61	100.0%	73/76	96.1%	99/112	88.4%	96/109	88.1%	19/21	90.5%	348/379	91.8%
Wound adherence to dressing allocation (wounds/patients)												
Adherence to initial dressing ¹	146/61	100.0%	74/74	97.4%	247/109	97.3%	234/107	98.2%	45/20	95.2%	746/371	97.9%

Adherence to re-dressings (if applicable) ²	67/23	100.0%	77/26	100.0%	113/44	93.6%	122/49	92.5%	21/7	100.0%	400/149	95.5%
Overall adherence \times	213/61	100.0%	151/77	100.0%	360/111	99.1%	356/109	99.1%	66/21	100.0%	1146/379	99.5%
Observer completing face-to-face SSI assessment at 4-8 weeks unblinded	14/39	35.9%	15/61	24.6%	10/89	11.2%	19/98	19.4%	0/15	0.0%	58/302	19.2%
Observer completing 4-8 week WHQ unblinded	7/15	46.7%	1/14	7.1%	9/56	16.1%	11/34	32.4%	0/8	0.0%	28/127	22.0%

NBTG: North Bristol NHS Trust (General surgery), NBTO: North Bristol NHS Trust (Obstetric surgery), QEHB: Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, UHBR: University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, WORC: Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust, SSI: surgical site infection, WHQ: wound healing questionnaire

Wound problem (0, 0, 1, 0, 0), standard dressing applied (0, 1, 1, 0, 0), surgeon preference (1, 0, 5, 6, 1), allocated dressing not available (0, 0, 0, 1, 0), other (0, 1, 4, 1, 0)

Problem with wound (0, 0, 12, 15, 0), surgeon preference (0, 0, 3, 1, 0), participant/family request (0, 1, 0, 0, 0), nurse preference (0, 0, 0, 3, 0), other (0, 0, 1, 6, 0)

× Note that numbers in the denominator may differ in these two rows as patients can only appear in the patient adherence to dressing allocation row if their dressing allocation was adhered to for all wounds at all times, however they can appear in the wound adherence to dressing allocation row if their dressing allocation was adhered to for at least one wound at all times.

¹ Reasons for non-adherence of initial dressing (NBTG, NBTO, QEHB, UHBR, WORC):

² Reasons for non-adherence of re-dressings (NBTG, NBTO, QEHB, UHBR, WORC):

Note that when cell denominator is different to number in column header, the difference arises because of missing data for that variable. This is only the case for tabulated summaries and not those presented as (wounds/patients).

Table 15.4: Co-interventions by centre

Outcome	NBTG	(n=64)	NBTO) (n=77)	QEHB	(n=112)	UHBR (n=114)	WOR	C (n=21)	Overall (n=388)
Outcome	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	N	%	n	%
Type of wound closure methods												
Sutures (wounds/patients)	144/61	100.0%	77/77	100.0%	217/93	83.0%	226/105	97.2%	45/19	90.5%	709/355	93.7%
Clips (wounds/patients)	0/0	0.0%	-	-	34/23	20.5%	5/3	2.8%	4/2	9.5%	43/28	9.2%
Steri-strips (wounds/patients)	4/2	3.3%	1/1	1.3%	13/8	7.1%	7/3	2.8%	3/1	4.8%	28/15	4.0%
Glue (unplanned)	0/0	0.00/			2/2	2.70/	7/2	2.00/	0/0	0.00/	10/6	2.00/
(wounds/patients)	0/0	0.0%	-	-	3/3	2.7%	7/3	2.8%	0/0	0.0%	10/6	2.0%
Prescription of prophylactic	15/62	71 40/	77/77	100.00/	60/112	61.60/	04/112	75.00/	21/21	100.00/	206/295	76.00/
antibiotics	45/63	71.4%	77/77	100.0%	69/112	61.6%	84/112	75.0%	21/21	100.0%	296/385	76.9%
Classification of surgery^												
Clean	9/64	14.1%	77/77	100.0%	23/112	20.5%	21/114	18.4%	9/21	42.9%	139/388	35.8%
Clean-contaminated	43/64	67.2%	0/77	0.0%	89/112	79.5%	90/114	78.9%	12/21	57.1%	234/388	60.3%
Contaminated	11/64	17.2%	0/77	0.0%	0/112	0.0%	2/114	1.8%	0/21	0.0%	13/388	3.4%
Dirty-infected	1/64	1.6%	0/77	0.0%	0/112	0.0%	1/114	0.9%	0/21	0.0%	2/388	0.5%

NBTG: North Bristol NHS Trust (General surgery), NBTO: North Bristol NHS Trust (Obstetric surgery), QEHB: Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, UHBR: University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, WORC: Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust, SSI: surgical site infection, WHQ: wound healing questionnaire

^ Note that this was not collected on the CRFs but was classified by a surgeon based on the type of surgery and whether it was elective or unplanned.

Note that when cell denominator is different to number in column header, the difference arises because of missing data for that variable. This is only the case for tabulated summaries and not those presented as (wounds/patients).

Table 15.5: Completeness of data for outcomes anticipated to be measured in the main trial by centre

	NBTG	(n=64)	NBTO	(n=77)	QEHB	(n=112)	UHBR	(n=114)	WOR	C (n=21)
Event type	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)
	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete
OCCURRENCE OF AN SSI 4-	8 WEEKS A	AFTER RAI	NDOMISA	ΓΙΟΝ						
Reference SSI assessment at 4-	64	39	75	62	111	89	109	98	18	15 (83.3%)
8 weeks	04	(60.9%)	13	(82.7%)	111	(80.2%)	109	(89.9%)	10	13 (63.3%)
Abscess or other evidence of		38		62		89		98		15
infection found during re-	39	(97.4%)	62	(100.0%)	89	(100.0%)	98	(100.0%)	15	(100.0%)
operation		(97.4%)		(100.0%)		(100.0%)		(100.0%)		(100.0%)
Aspirated fluid/swab of		39		62		89		98		15
surgical site yields organisms	39		62		89		98		15	
and pus cells present		(100.0%)		(100.0%)		(100.0%)		(100.0%)		(100.0%)
Clinician's diagnosis	39	39	62	61	89	89	98	98	15	15
Clinician's diagnosis	39	(100.0%)	02	(98.4%)	89	(100.0%)	98	(100.0%)	13	(100.0%)
T.	20	39	62	62	00	89	00	98	1.5	15
Fever	39	(100.0%)	62	(100.0%)	89	(100.0%)	98	(100.0%)	15	(100.0%)
TT .	20	39	60	61	00	89	00	98	1.5	15
Heat	39	(100.0%)	62	(98.4%)	89	(100.0%)	98	(100.0%)	15	(100.0%)

	NBTG	f (n=64)	NBTO	(n=77)	QEHB	(n=112)	UHBR	(n=114)	WOR	C (n=21)
Event type	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)
	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete
Incision spontaneously		39		61		89		98		15
dehisces or opened by	39		62	-	89		98		15	
surgeon		(100.0%)		(98.4%)		(100.0%)		(100.0%)		(100.0%)
T 1' 1 ' 14 1	20	39	<i>(</i> 2	61	00	89	00	98	1.5	15
Localised pain and tenderness	39	(100.0%)	62	(98.4%)	89	(100.0%)	98	(100.0%)	15	(100.0%
T 11 1 11	20	39		61	0.0	89	0.0	98	1.7	15
Localised swelling	39	(100.0%)	62	(98.4%)	89	(100.0%)	98	(100.0%)	15	(100.0%
	•	39		61		89		98		15
Purulent drainage	39	(100.0%)	62	(98.4%)	89	(100.0%)	98	(100.0%)	15	(100.0%
		39		61		89		97		15
Redness	39	(100.0%)	62	(98.4%)	89	(100.0%)	98	(99.0%)	15	(100.0%
	•	39		61	0.0	89		98		15
Type of SSI	39	(100.0%)	62	(98.4%)	89	(100.0%)	98	(100.0%)	15	(100.0%
WOUND COMPLICATIONS A	ARISING IN	N-HOSPITA	L AND UP	TO 4-8 WE	EEKS POST	Γ-RANDOM	IISATION			
Post-operative wound	61	48	77	76	110	101	114	82	21	10 (00 50
mplications (CRF D2)	64	(75.0%)	77	(98.7%)	112	(90.2%)	114	(71.9%)	21	19 (90.5%
ound complications [4-8 eeks] (CRF F7)	64	42	75	62	111	92	110	104	18	15 (83.3%
	04	(65.6%)	13	(82.7%)	111	(82.9%)	110	(94.5%)	10	13 (83.3%

	NBTG	f (n=64)	NBTO	(n=77)	QEHB	(n=112)	UHBR	(n=114)	WOR	C (n=21)
Event type	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)
	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete
MEASURES OF RESOURCE	USE									
Surgery date	64	64 (100.0%)	77	77 (100.0%)	112	112 (100.0%)	114	114 (100.0%)	21	21 (100.0%)
Time of knife-to-skin	64	61 (95.3%)	77	77 (100.0%)	112	112 (100.0%)	114	111 (97.4%)	21	21 (100.0%)
Time of skin closure	64	62 (96.9%)	77	77 (100.0%)	112	112 (100.0%)	114	109 (95.6%)	21	21 (100.0%)
Level 3 – patient admitted to area?	64	47 (73.4%)	77	71 (92.2%)	111	111 (100.0%)	114	114 (100.0%)	21	19 (90.5%)
Date of initial admission	1	1 (100.0%)	0	-	2	2 (100.0%)	2	2 (100.0%)	0	-
Time of initial admission	1	1 (100.0%)	0	-	2	2 (100.0%)	2	1 (50.0%)	0	-
Readmitted to this area?	1	1 (100.0%)	0	-	2	2 (100.0%)	2	2 (100.0%)	0	-
Date of re-admission	0	-	0	-	1	0 (0.0%)	1	1 (100.0%)	0	-
Time of re-admission	0	-	0	-	1	0 (0.0%)	1	0 (0.0%)	0	-

	NBTG	(n=64)	NBTO	(n=77)	QEHB	(n=112)	UHBR	(n=114)	WOR	C (n=21)
Event type	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)
	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete
Level 2 – patient admitted to area?	64	47 (73.4%)	77	71 (92.2%)	111	111 (100.0%)	114	114 (100.0%)	21	19 (90.5%)
Date of initial admission	2	2 (100.0%)	0	-	17	17 (100.0%)	14	14 (100.0%)	3	3 (100.0%)
Time of initial admission	2	2 (100.0%)	0	-	17	17 (100.0%)	14	5 (35.7%)	3	3 (100.0%)
Readmitted to this area?	2	1 (50.0%)	0	-	17	17 (100.0%)	14	14 (100.0%)	3	3 (100.0%)
Date of re-admission	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	2 (100.0%)	0	-
Time of re-admission	0	-	0	-	0	-	2	1 (50.0%)	0	-
Level 1 – patient admitted to area?	64	51 (79.7%)	77	76 (98.7%)	111	111 (100.0%)	114	114 (100.0%)	21	19 (90.5%)
Date of initial admission	35	34 (97.1%)	74	74 (100.0%)	111	111 (100.0%)	76	76 (100.0%)	11	11 (100.0%)
Time of initial admission	35	15 (42.9%)	74	71 (95.9%)	111	111 (100.0%)	76	18 (23.7%)	11	11 (100.0%)

	NBTG	(n=64)	NBTO	(n=77)	QEHB	(n=112)	UHBR	(n=114)	WOR	C (n=21)
Event type	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)	n	n (%)
	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete	expected	complete
Readmitted to this area?	35	29	74	74	111	111	76	76	11	11
Readmitted to this area?	33	(82.9%)	/4	(100.0%)	111	(100.0%)	70	(100.0%)	11	(100.0%)
Date of re-admission	0	-	0	-	5	4 (80.0%)	7	4 (57.1%)	0	-
Time of re-admission	0	-	0	-	5	4 (80.0%)	7	2 (28.6%)	0	-
D	.	64	77	76	111	111	111	114	2.1	20 (05 20()
Date of discharge/death	64	(100.0%)	77	(98.7%)	111	(100.0%)	114	(100.0%)	21	20 (95.2%)

NBTG: North Bristol NHS Trust (General surgery), NBTO: North Bristol NHS Trust (Obstetric surgery), QEHB: Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, UHBR: University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, WORC: Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust Note that the number of patients with fields expected will differ due to patients withdrawing at different times during the study.

Table 15.6: Potential trial outcomes by centre

C	NBT	ΓG (n=64)	NB'	TO (n=77)	QEH	IB (n=112)	UHB	BR (n=114)	WO	RC (n=21)	Overa	ll (n=388)
Summary	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
EQ-5D score - media	n (IQR)											
Baseline*	0.89	(0.75, 1.00)	0.94	(0.87, 1.00)	0.93	(0.83, 1.00)	0.92	(0.84, 0.95)	0.94	(0.87, 1.00)	0.92	(0.83, 1.00)
15 days^	0.82	(0.69, 1.00)	0.84	(0.79, 0.94)	0.82	(0.75, 0.89)	0.86	(0.75, 0.89)	0.89	(0.79, 0.92)	0.84	(0.75, 0.90)
4-8 weeks \times	0.84	(0.77, 1.00)	0.94	(0.89, 1.00)	0.89	(0.80, 0.95)	0.91	(0.84, 1.00)	0.89	(0.87, 1.00)	0.89	(0.82, 1.00)
SSI in-hospital	1/49	2.0%	0/76	0.0%	0/102	0.0%	3/101	3.0%	0/19	0.0%	4/347	1.2%
SSI at 4-8 week refer	ence asse	essment										
None	33/39	84.6%	49/61	80.3%	68/89	76.4%	89/98	90.8%	14/15	93.3%	253/302	83.8%
Superficial	6/39	15.4%	11/61	18.0%	20/89	22.5%	7/98	7.1%	1/15	6.7%	45/302	14.9%
Deep	0/39	0.0%	1/61	1.6%	1/89	1.1%	1/98	1.0%	0/15	0.0%	3/302	1.0%
Organ/space	0/39	0.0%	0/61	0.0%	0/89	0.0%	1/98	1.0%	0/15	0.0%	1/302	0.3%
Any SSI (overall)	6/31	19.4%	12/61	19.7%	21/85	24.7%	11/89	12.4%	1/15	6.7%	51/281	18.1%

NBTG: North Bristol NHS Trust (General surgery), NBTO: North Bristol NHS Trust (Obstetric surgery), QEHB: Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, UHBR: University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, WORC: Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust, SSI: surgical site infection

Missing data (NBTG, NBTO, QEHB, UHBR, WORC):

* 3 patients with missing data (1, 0, 0, 2, 0), ^ 120 patients with missing data (23, 30, 32, 26, 9), × 149 patients with missing data (24, 34, 41, 44, 6).

Note that when cell denominator is different to number in column header, the difference arises because of missing data for that variable.