

## Report Supplementary Material 2 (Chapter 4)

1.1. Search strategies for phase 2 systematic review.	3
1.2. Characteristics of the 65 included studies (phase 2 review).	16
1.3. Excluded studies (phase 2 review).	27
1.4. Quality assessment (phase 2 review).	30
1.5. Themes/sub themes within each of the 14 domains from the Theoretical Domains Frameworks.	34
1.6. Coding of themes/sub-themes into Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research constructs.	65
1.7. Expressed importance.	68
1.8. References	77

## List of abbreviations

AA: African Americans

BRFSS: Behavioural Risk Factors Surveillance System

CADEES: Compliance with Annual Diabetic Eye Exams Survey

CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

DIRECT: Diabetes Interventions Reaching & Educating Communities Together

DFE: Dilated fundus examination

DQIP: Diabetes Quality Improvement Project

DR: Diabetic retinopathy

DRS: Diabetic retinopathy screening

EC&R: Environmental context & resources

GP(s): General Practitioner(s)

HCP: Healthcare professional

HbA1c: Glycated haemoglobin

HIRD: HealthCore Integrated Research Database

KNHANES: Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

MADP: Memory, attention & decision processes

OPD: Outpatient department

PCPs: Primary Care Physicians

PDPs: Primary Diabetic Physicians

PHC: Primary Health Care

~~QI: Quality improvement~~

~~Qual: Qualitative~~

~~Quant: Quantitative~~

~~RCT: Randomised controlled trial~~

RR: Response Rate

~~SES: Socioeconomic Status~~

SLCDC-DM: Survey on Living with Chronic Disease in Canada-Diabetes Component 2011

TDF: Theoretical Domains Framework

TRIAD: Translating Research into Action for Diabetes

## 1.1. Search strategies for phase 2 systematic review (reproduced from Graham-Rowe 2016<sup>1</sup>).

### MEDLINE (Ovid)

- 1 exp Diabetic Retinopathy/
- 2 ((diabet\$ or proliferative or non-proliferative) adj4 retinopath\$.tw.
- 3 diabetic retinopathy.kw.
- 4 (diabet\$ adj3 (eye\$ or vision or visual\$ or sight\$)).tw.
- 5 (retinopath\$ adj3 (eye\$ or vision or visual\$ or sight\$)).tw.
- 6 (DR adj3 (eye\$ or vision or visual\$ or sight\$)).tw.
- 7 or/1-6)
- 8 exp Mass Screening/
- 9 exp Vision Tests/
- 10 exp Telemedicine/
- 11 exp Photography/
- 12 exp Ophthalmoscopes/
- 13 exp Ophthalmoscopy/
- 14 (ophthalmoscop\$ or fundoscop\$ or funduscop\$.ti.
- 15 ((exam\$ or photo\$ or imag\$) adj3 fundus).tw.
- 16 (photography or retinography).tw.
- 17 ((mydriatic or digital or retina\$ or fundus or stereoscopic) adj3 camera).tw.
- 18 ((mydriatic or digital or retina\$ or fundus or stereoscopic) adj3 imag\$.tw.
- 19 screen\$.tw.
- 20 ((eye\$ or retina\$ or ophthalm\$) adj4 exam\$.tw.
- 21 ((eye or vision or retinopathy or ophthalmic) adj4 test\$.tw.
- 22 ((eye\$ or retina\$ or ophthalm\$) adj4 visit\$.tw.
- 23 Office Visits/
- 24 (telemedicine\$ or telemonitor\$ or telescreen\$ or telehealth or teleophthalmology).tw.
- 25 or/8-24
- 26 exp Patient Acceptance of health Care/
- 27 exp Attitude to Health/

28 exp Health Behavior/  
29 Motivation/  
30 Fear/  
31 exp Self Concept/  
32 Personal Autonomy/  
33 Self Care/  
34 Behavior Therapy/  
35 (barrier\$ or obstacle\$ or facilitat\$ or enable\$).tw.  
36 (knowledge or skill\$ or role\$ or identity or capabilit\$ or optimis\$ or consequence\$ or reinforcement or intention\$ or goal\$ or memory or attention or context\$ or resources or emotion\$).tw.  
37 (decision adj2 process\$).tw.  
38 (social adj2 influence\$).tw.  
39 (behavioural adj2 regulation).tw.  
40 (competence or self-efficac\$ or self-confidence or incentiv\$ or reward\$ or anxiety fear\$ or self-monitor\$ or habits).tw.  
41 (outcome adj2 expectanc\$).tw.  
42 (action adj2 plan\$).tw.  
43 (decision adj2 mak\$).tw.  
44 (social adj2 (support\$ or norm)).tw.  
45 ((behaviour\$ or behavior\$) adj3 (change\$ or modif\$ or activat\$ or control\$ or amend\$)).tw.  
46 (uptake or takeup or attend\$ or accept\$ or adhere\$ or attitude\$ or participat\$ or facilitat\$ or utilisat\$ or utilizat\$).tw.  
47 (motivat\$ or satisf\$ or promot\$ or consent\$ or self select\$ or self referr\$).tw.  
48 (comply\$ or comply or compliance\$ or noncompliance\$ or non compliance\$).tw.  
49 (encourag\$ or discourag\$ or reluctan\$ or nonrespon\$ or non respon\$ or refuse\$).tw.  
50 (non-attend\$ or non attend\$ or dropout or drop out or apath\$).tw.  
51 Health Education/  
52 exp Patient Education as Topic/  
53 exp Health Promotion/  
54 exp Counseling/  
55 "Attitude of Health Personnel"/  
56 (health adj2 (promotion\$ or knowledge or belief\$)).tw.

57 (educat\$ adj2 (intervention\$ or information or material or leaflet)).tw.  
58 Focus groups/  
59 Interviews as Topic/  
60 (focus adj3 group\$).tw.  
61 Socioeconomic Factors/  
62 exp Poverty/  
63 Social Class/  
64 Educational Status/  
65 ((school or education\$) adj3 (status or level\$ or attain\$ or achieve\$)).tw.  
66 Employment/  
67 Uncompensated Care/  
68 Reimbursement Mechanisms/  
69 Reimbursement, Incentive/  
70 (insurance adj3 (health\$ or scheme\$)).tw.  
71 (financial or pay or payment or copayment or paid or fee or fees or monetary or incentiv\$ or disincentiv\$).tw.  
72 Healthcare Disparities/  
73 Health Status Disparities/  
74 exp Medically Underserved Area/  
75 Rural Population/  
76 Urban Population/  
77 exp Ethnic Groups/  
78 Minority Groups/  
79 Vulnerable Populations/  
80 ((health\$ or social\$ or racial\$ or ethnic\$) adj5 (inequalit\$ or inequit\$ or disparit\$ or equit\$ or disadvantage\$ or depriv\$)).tw.  
81 (disadvant\$ or marginali\$ or underserved or under served or impoverish\$ or minorit\$ or racial\$ or ethnic\$).tw.  
82 or/26-80  
83 7 and 25 and 82)  
84 limit 83 to yr="1990 -Current"  
85 (ranibizumab or bevacizumab or avastin or aflibercept).ti.  
86 (cataract\$ or intraocular or glaucoma\$ or phaco\$ or photocoagulat\$ or photodynamic or laser\$ or vitrectom\$).ti.

- 87 (macula\$ adj2 (degener\$ or oedema or edema)).ti.
- 88 nerve fiber layer.ti.
- 89 (coronary or cardiac or cardio\$ or heart or myocardia\$ or artery or aneurysm or atrial or echocardiography or hypertension or hypotension or stroke or pulmonary or COPD or lung\$ or organ\$ or smoking).ti.
- 90 (pregnan\$ or gestational or neonat\$ or perinatal or maternal or trimester or congenital or ovary or breast\$).ti.
- 91 (kidney or liver or cirrhosis or renal or hepatitis or dialysis or pancrea\$ or gastric or gastrectom\$ or surg\$ or duoden\$).ti.
- 92 (blood glucose or blood pressure or ketoacidosis or hypoglycemi\$ or rosiglitazone).ti.
- 93 (lipid\$ or lipase\$ or statin\$ or hypercholesterolemia or microalbumin\$ or albumin\$ or platlet\$ or plasma\$ or hemoglobin\$ or haemochromat\$ or arterial).ti.
- 94 (cancer\$ or carcinoma\$ or neoplas\$ or adenoma\$ or metformin\$).ti.
- 95 (urin\$ or incontinence or bladder or constipat\$ or bowel\$ or faecal or colorectal or colon\$).ti.
- 96 (gene\$ or genotype\$ or genome\$ or genomic or phenotyp\$ or biomarker\$ or polymorphism\$ or interleukin\$).ti.
- 97 (cell\$ or molecular or assay).ti.
- 98 (cystic or fibrosis or CF or tuberculosis or TB or lupus).ti.
- 99 (neuropath\$ or nephropath\$ or prematurity).ti.
- 100 (\$arthritis or steroid\$ or osteoporosis or atherosclerosis or sclerosis).ti.
- 101 (apnea or sleep or limb or oral\$ or celiac or coeliac or skin or MRSA or anesthesia or vitamin or HIV or testosterone or erectile or schizophren\$ or bipolar or antipsychotic\$ or psychotic\$).ti.
- 102 prevalence.ti.
- 103 or/85-102
- 104 84 not 103

## **Embase**

1. exp Diabetic Retinopathy/
2. ((diabet\$ or proliferative or non-proliferative) adj4 retinopath\$).tw.
3. diabetic retinopathy.kw.
4. (diabet\$ adj3 (eye\$ or vision or visual\$ or sight\$)).tw.
5. (retinopath\$ adj3 (eye\$ or vision or visual\$ or sight\$)).tw.
6. (DR adj3 (eye\$ or vision or visual\$ or sight\$)).tw.
7. or/1-6
8. exp Screening/
9. exp Vision Test/
10. Eye Examination/

11. Telemedicine/
12. Photography/
13. Eye Photography/
14. Ophthalmoscopy/
15. (ophthalmoscop\$ or fundoscop\$ or funduscop\$).ti.
16. ((exam\$ or photo\$ or imag\$) adj3 fundus).tw.
17. (photography or retinography).tw.
18. ((mydriatic or digital or retina\$ or fundus or stereoscopic) adj3 camera).tw.
19. ((mydriatic or digital or retina\$ or fundus or stereoscopic) adj3 imag\$).tw.
20. screen\$.tw.
21. ((eye\$ or retina\$ or ophthalm\$) adj4 exam\$).tw.
22. ((eye or vision or retinopathy or ophthalmic) adj4 test\$).tw.
23. ((eye\$ or retina\$ or ophthalm\$) adj4 visit\$).tw.
24. (telemedicine\$ or telemonitor\$ or telescreen\$ or telehealth or teleophthalmology).tw.
25. or/8-24
26. exp Patient Attitude/
27. exp Health Behaviour/
28. Motivation/
29. Psychological Well Being/
30. Personal Experience/
31. Psychological Aspect/
32. Social Aspect/
33. Fear/
34. Guilt/
35. Awareness/
36. Responsibility/
37. Emotionality/
38. Self Concept/
39. Personal Autonomy/
40. Self Care/
41. Behavior Therapy/
42. Behavior Change/
43. Thematic Analysis/

44. (barrier\$ or obstacle\$ or facilitat\$ or enable\$).tw.
45. (knowledge or skill\$ or role\$ or identity or capabilit\$ or optimis\$ or consequence\$ or reinforcement or intention\$ or goal\$ or memory or attention or context\$ or resources or emotion\$).tw.
46. (decision adj2 process\$).tw.
47. (social adj2 influence\$).tw.
48. (behavioural adj2 regulation).tw.
49. (competence or self-efficac\$ or self-confidence or incentiv\$ or reward\$ or anxiety fear\$ or self-monitor\$ or habits).tw.
50. (outcome adj2 expectanc\$).tw.
51. (action adj2 plan\$).tw.
52. (decision adj2 mak\$).tw.
53. (social adj2 (support\$ or norm)).tw.
54. ((behaviour\$ or behavior\$) adj3 (change\$ or modif\$ or activat\$ or control\$ or amend\$)).tw.
55. (uptake or takeup or attend\$ or accept\$ or adhere\$ or attitude\$ or participat\$ or facilitat\$ or utilisat\$ or utilizat\$).tw.
56. (motivat\$ or satisf\$ or promot\$ or consent\$ or self select\$ or self referr\$).tw.
57. (complie\$ or comply or compliance\$ or noncompliance\$ or non compliance\$).tw.
58. (encourag\$ or discourag\$ or reluctan\$ or nonrespon\$ or non respon\$ or refuse\$).tw.
59. (non-attend\$ or non attend\$ or dropout or drop out or apath\$).tw.
60. Health Education/
61. exp Patient Education/
62. Diabetes Education/
63. Help Seeking Behavior/
64. Patient Participation/
65. Patient Decision Making/
66. exp Health Promotion/
67. exp Counseling/
68. Health Personnel Attitude/
69. (health adj2 (promotion\$ or knowledge or belief\$)).tw.
70. (educat\$ adj2 (intervention\$ or information or material or leaflet)).tw.
71. exp Interview/
72. Qualitative Research/
73. Qualitative Analysis/
74. (focus adj3 group\$).tw.



75. exp Socioeconomics/
76. Income/
77. Social Class/
78. Social Status/
79. Educational Status/
80. ((school or education\$) adj3 (status or level\$ or attain\$ or achieve\$)).tw.
81. Employment/
82. exp Reimbursement/
83. Health Insurance/
84. (insurance adj3 (health\$ or scheme\$)).tw.
85. (financial or pay or payment or copayment or paid or fee or fees or monetary or incentiv\$ or disincentiv\$).tw.
86. Health Care Disparity/
87. Health Disparity/
88. Rural Population/
89. Rural Area/
90. Urban Population/
91. Urban Area/
92. exp Ethnic Group/
93. Ethnicity/
94. Race Difference/
95. Minority Groups/
96. Vulnerable Populations/
97. ((health\$ or social\$ or racial\$ or ethnic\$) adj5 (inequalit\$ or inequit\$ or disparit\$ or equit\$ or disadvantage\$ or depriv\$)).tw.
98. (disadvant\$ or marginali\$ or underserved or under served or impoverish\$ or minorit\$ or racial\$ or ethnic\$).tw.
99. or/26-98
100. 7 and 25 and 99
101. limit 100 to yr="1990 -Current"
102. (ranibizumab or bevacizumab or avastin or aflibercept).ti.
103. (cataract\$ or intraocular or glaucoma\$ or phaco\$ or photocoagulat\$ or photodynamic or laser\$ or vitrectom\$).ti.
104. (macula\$ adj2 (degener\$ or oedema or edema)).ti.
105. nerve fiber layer.ti.

106. (coronary or cardiac or cardio\$ or heart or myocardia\$ or artery or aneurysm or atrial or echocardiography or hypertension or hypotension or stroke or pulmonary or COPD or lung\$ or organ\$ or smoking).ti.
107. (pregnan\$ or gestational or neonat\$ or perinatal or maternal or trimester or congenital or ovary or breast\$).ti.
108. (kidney or liver or cirrhosis or renal or hepatitis or dialysis or pancrea\$ or gastric or gastrectom\$ or surg\$ or duoden\$).ti.
109. (blood glucose or blood pressure or ketoacidosis or hypoglycemi\$ or rosiglitazone).ti.
110. (lipid\$ or lipase\$ or statin\$ or hypercholesterolemia or microalbumin\$ or albumin\$ or platlet\$ or plasma\$ or hemoglobin\$ or haemochromat\$ or arterial).ti.
111. (cancer\$ or carcinoma\$ or neoplas\$ or adenoma\$ or metformin\$).ti.
112. (urin\$ or incontinence or bladder or constipat\$ or bowel\$ or faecal or colorectal or colon\$).ti.
113. (gene\$ or genotype\$ or genome\$ or genomic or phenotyp\$ or biomarker\$ or polymorphism\$ or interleukin\$).ti.
114. (cell\$ or molecular or assay).ti.
115. (cystic or fibrosis or CF or tuberculosis or TB or lupus).ti.
116. (neuropath\$ or nephropath\$ or prematurity).ti.
117. (\$arthriti\$ or steroid\$ or osteoporosis or atherosclerosis or sclerosis).ti.
118. (apnea or sleep or limb or oral\$ or celiac or coeliac or skin or MRSA or anesthesia or vitamin or HIV or testosterone or erectile or schizophren\$ or bipolar or antipsychotic\$ or psychotic\$).ti.
119. prevalence.ti.
120. or/102-119
121. 101 not 120

## PsychINFO

1. ((diabet\$ or proliferative or non-proliferative) adj4 retinopath\$).tw.
2. (diabet\$ adj3 (eye\$ or vision or visual\$ or sight\$)).tw.
3. (retinopath\$ adj3 (eye\$ or vision or visual\$ or sight\$)).tw.
4. (DR adj3 (eye\$ or vision or visual\$ or sight\$)).tw.
5. or/1-4
6. exp Screening/
7. ophthalmologic examination/
8. telemedicine/
9. (ophthalmoscop\$ or fundoscop\$ or funduscop\$).ti.
10. ((exam\$ or photo\$ or imag\$) adj3 fundus).tw.
11. (photography or retinography).tw.
12. ((mydriatic or digital or retina\$ or fundus or stereoscopic) adj3 camera).tw.
13. ((mydriatic or digital or retina\$ or fundus or stereoscopic) adj3 imag\$).tw.

14. screen\$.tw.
15. ((eye\$ or retina\$ or ophthalm\$) adj4 exam\$).tw.
16. ((eye or vision or retinopathy or ophthalmic) adj4 test\$).tw.
17. ((eye\$ or retina\$ or ophthalm\$) adj4 visit\$).tw.
18. (telemedicine\$ or telemonitor\$ or telescreen\$ or telehealth or teleophthalmology).tw.
19. or/6-18
20. 5 and 19

## **Web of Science Conference Proceedings Citation Index-Science and Emerging Sources Citation Index**

# 10 #1 AND #9

# 9 #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6 OR #7 OR #8

Indexes=CPCI-SSH, ESCI Timespan=2016-2017

# 8 TS = (photography OR retinography OR telemedicine\* OR telemonitor\* OR telescreen\* OR telehealth OR teleophthalmology)

# 7 TS = (fundus NEAR/3 exam\* OR fundus NEAR/3 photo\* OR fundus NEAR/3 imag\*)

# 6 TS = (imag\* NEAR/3 mydriatic OR imag\* NEAR/3 digital OR imag\* NEAR/3 retina\* OR imag\* NEAR/3 fundus OR imag\* NEAR/3 stereoscopic OR camera NEAR/3 mydriatic OR camera NEAR/3 digital OR camera NEAR/3 retina\* OR camera NEAR/3 fundus OR camera NEAR/3 stereoscopic)

# 5 TI = (ophthalmoscop\* OR fundoscop\* OR funduscop\*)

# 4 TS = (visit NEAR/4 eye\* OR visit NEAR/4 retina\* OR visit NEAR/4 ophthalmic)

# 3 TS = (exam\* NEAR/4 eye\* OR exam\* NEAR/4 retina\* OR exam\* NEAR/4 ophthalmic)

# 2 TS = (screen\* OR test\* NEAR/4 eye OR test\* NEAR/4 vision OR test\* NEAR/4 retinopathy OR test\* NEAR/4 ophthalmic)

# 1 TS = (diabetic NEAR/3 retinopath\* OR diabetic NEAR/3 eye\* OR diabetic NEAR/3 vision OR diabetic NEAR/3 visual\* OR diabetic NEAR/3 sight\* OR diabetic NEAR/3 proliferative OR diabetic NEAR/3 "non proliferative")

## **The Cochrane Library**

- #1 [mh "Diabetic Retinopathy"]
- #2 (diabet\* or proliferative or non-proliferative) near/4 retinopath\*
- #3 diabet\* near/3 (eye\* or vision or visual\* or sight\*)
- #4 retinopath\* near/3 (eye\* or vision or visual\* or sight\*)
- #5 DR near/3 (eye\* or vision or visual\* or sight\*)
- #6 #1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5
- #7 [mh "Mass Screening"]
- #8 [mh "Vision Tests"]
- #9 [mh Telemedicine]
- #10 [mh Photography]

- #11 [mh Ophthalmoscopes]
- #12 [mh Ophthalmoscopy]
- #13 (ophthalmoscop\* or fundoscop\* or funduscop\*):ti
- #14 (exam\* or photo\* or imag\*) near/3 fundus
- #15 photography or retinography
- #16 (mydriatic or digital or retina\* or fundus or stereoscopic) near/3 camera
- #17 (mydriatic or digital or retina\* or fundus or stereoscopic) near/3 imag\*
- #18 screen\*
- #19 (eye\* or retina\* or ophthalm\*) near/4 exam\*
- #20 (eye or vision or retinopathy or ophthalmic) near/4 test\*
- #21 (eye\* or retina\* or ophthalm\*) near/4 visit\*
- #22 [mh "Office Visits"]
- #23 telemedicine\* or telemonitor\* or telescreen\* or telehealth or teleophthalmology
- #24 #7 or #8 or #9 or #10 or #11 or #12 or #13 or #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20 or #21 or #22 or #23
- #25 [mh "Patient Acceptance of health Care"]
- #26 [mh "Attitude to Health"]
- #27 [mh "Health Behavior"]
- #28 [mh Motivation]
- #29 [mh Fear]
- #30 [mh "Self Concept"]
- #31 [mh "Personal Autonomy"]
- #32 [mh "Self Care"]
- #33 [mh "Behavior Therapy"]
- #34 barrier\* or obstacle\* or facilitat\* or enable\*
- #35 knowledge or skill\* or role\* or identity or capabilit\* or optimis\* or consequence\* or reinforcement or intention\* or goal\* or memory or attention or context\* or resources or emotion\*
- #36 decision near/2 process\*
- #37 social near/2 influence\*
- #38 behavioural near/2 regulation\*
- #39 competence or self-efficac\* or self-confidence or incentiv\* or reward\* or anxiety or fear\* or self-monitor\* or habits
- #40 outcome near/2 expectanc\*
- #41 action near/2 plan\*

- #42 decision near/2 mak\*
- #43 social near/2 (support\* or norm\*)
- #44 (behaviour\* or behavior\*) near/3 (change\* or modif\* or activat\* or control\* or amend\*)
- #45 uptake or takeup or attend\* or accept\* or adhere\* or attitude\* or participat\* or facilitat\* or utilisat\* or utilizat\*
- #46 motivat\* or satisf\* or promot\* or consent\* or self select\* or self referr\*
- #47 complie\* or comply or compliance\* or noncompliance\* or non compliance\*
- #48 encourag\* or discourage\* or reluctan\* or nonrespon\* or non respon\* or refuse\*
- #49 non-attend\* or non attend\* or dropout or drop out or apath\*
- #50 [mh "Health Education"]
- #51 [mh "Patient Education as Topic"]
- #52 [mh "Health Promotion"] [mh "Health Promotion"]
- #53 [mh Counseling]
- #54 [mh "Attitude of Health Personnel"]
- #55 health near/2 (promotion\* or knowledge or belief\*)
- #56 educat\* near/2 (intervention\* or information or material or leaflet)
- #57 [mh "Focus groups"]
- #58 [mh "Interviews as Topic"]
- #59 focus near/3 group\*
- #60 [mh "Socioeconomic Factors"]
- #61 [mh Poverty]
- #62 [mh ^"Social Class"]
- #63 [mh ^"Educational Status"]
- #64 (school or education\*) near/3 (status or level\* or attain\* or achieve\*)
- #65 [mh ^Employment]
- #66 [mh ^"Uncompensated Care"]
- #67 [mh ^"Reimbursement Mechanisms"]
- #68 [mh ^"Reimbursement, Incentive"]
- #69 insurance near/3 (health\* or scheme\*)
- #70 financial or pay or payment or copayment or paid or fee or fees or monetary or incentiv\* or disincentiv\*
- #71 [mh ^"Healthcare Disparities"]
- #72 [mh ^"Health Status Disparities"]
- #73 [mh "Medically Underserved Area"]

- #74 [mh ^"Rural Population"]
- #75 [mh ^"Urban Population"]
- #76 [mh "Ethnic Groups"]
- #77 [mh ^" Minority Groups"]
- #78 [mh ^"Vulnerable Populations"]
- #79 (health\* or social\* or racial\* or ethnic\*) near/5 (inequalit\* or inequit\* or disparit\* or equit\* or disadvantage\* or depriv\*)
- #80 disadvant\* or marginali\* or underserved or under served or impoverish\* or minorit\* or racial\* or ethnic\*
- #81 #25 or #26 or #27 or #28 or #29 or #30 or #31 or #32 or #33 or #34 or #35 or #36 or #37 or #38 or #39 or #40 or #41 or #42 or #43 or #44 or #45 or #46 or #47 or #48 or #49 or #50 or #51 or #52 or #53 or #54 or #55 or #56 or #57 or #58 or #59 or #60 or #61 or #62 or #63 or #64 or #65 or #66 or #67 or #68 or #69 or #70 or #71 or #72 or #73 or #74 or #75 or #76 or #77 or #78 or #79 or #80
- #82 #6 and #24 and #81 Publication Year from 1990 to 2016
- #83 (ranibizumab or bevacizumab or avastin or aflibercept):ti
- #84 (cataract\* or intraocular or glaucoma\* or phaco\* or photocoagulat\* or photodynamic or laser\* or vitrectom\*):ti
- #85 (macula\* near/2 (degener\* or oedema or edema)):ti
- #86 (nerve fiber layer):ti
- #87 (coronary or cardiac or cardio\* or heart or myocardia\* or artery or aneurysm or atrial or echocardiography or hypertension or hypotension or stroke or pulmonary or COPD or lung\* or organ\* or smoking):ti
- #88 (pregnan\* or gestational or neonat\* or perinatal or maternal or trimester or congenital or ovary or breast\*):ti
- #89 (kidney\* or liver or cirrhosis or renal or hepatitis or dialysis or pancrea\* or gastric or gastrectom\* or surg\* or duoden\*):ti
- #90 (blood glucose or blood pressure or ketoacidosis or hypoglycemi\* or rosiglitazone):ti
- #91 (lipid\* or lipase\* or statin\* or hypercholesterolemia or albumin or platlet\* or hemoglobin\* or arterial):ti
- #92 (cancer\* or carcinoma\* or neoplas\* or adenoma\* or metformin\*):ti
- #93 (urin\* or incontinence or bladder or constipat\* or bowel\* or faecal or colorectal or colon\*):ti
- #94 (gene\* or genotype\* or genome or genomic or phenotyp\* or biomarker\* or polymorphism\* or interleukin\*):ti
- #95 (cell\* or molecular or assay):ti
- #96 (cystic or fibrosis or CF or tuberculosis or TB or lupus):ti
- #97 (neuropath\* or nephropath\* or prematurity):ti
- #98 (\*arthritis or steroid\* or osteoporosis or atherosclerosis or sclerosis):ti
- #99 (apnea or sleep or limb or oral\* or celiac or coeliac or skin or MRSA or anesthesia or vitamin or HIV or testosterone or erectile or schizophren\* or bipolar antipsychotic\* or psychotic\*):ti
- #100 #83 or #84 or #85 or #86 or #87 or #88 or #89 or #90 or #91 or #92 or #93 or #94 or #95 or #96 or #97 or #98 or #99
- #101 #82 not #100

## **Proquest**

Ab ((diabetic AND (retinopathy OR eye OR vision OR visual OR sight))) AND ab ((screen OR screening OR test OR exam OR examination OR telemedicine OR telemonitor OR telescreen OR telehealth OR teleophthalmology)) AND ab ((barrier OR barriers OR obstacle OR obstacles OR facilitate OR facilitate OR facilitation OR enable OR enabling OR knowledge OR skill OR skills OR role OR roles OR identity OR capability OR capabilities OR optimism OR optimistic OR consequence OR consequences OR reinforcement OR intention OR intentionally OR goal OR goals OR memory OR memories OR attention OR context OR resources OR emotion OR emotions OR emotionally OR competence OR competent OR competencies OR self-efficacy OR self-confidence OR incentive OR incentives OR reward OR rewarding OR anxiety OR anxieties OR anxious OR fear OR fearful OR self-monitor OR self-monitoring OR habit OR habits OR decision OR decisions OR action OR actions OR social OR socially OR behaviour OR behavior OR behavioural OR behavioral OR expectancy))

## 1.2. Characteristics of the 65 included studies (phase 2 review).

Reference, date, identification	Country/Setting	Research Objectives	Topic(s) or factor(s) of investigation (relevant to our review)	Methodological /theoretical approach (relevant to our review)	Data collection (relevant to our review)	Data analysis (relevant to our review)	Participants (Patient/HCP/Both)	Sample Size
Adriono (2011) <sup>2</sup> (Published)  (Database search)	Indonesia  A tertiary facility and two community health clinics in urban area	(1) To assess the frequency of eye care  (2) To assess factors associated with attendance/non-attendance	(1) Knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, awareness, concerns & practice regarding DR  (2) Prompted reasons for non-attendance	Quantitative - Cross sectional	Questionnaire - unclear format & record review	(1) Logistic Regression  (2) Percentages	Patients 18 + years with diagnosed diabetes (attenders and non-attenders)	Total N = 196 (99% RR)  N = 160 (96.4% RR of subset of non-attenders)
Al-Alawi (2016) <sup>3</sup> (Published)  (Database search)	Saudi Arabia  Tertiary eye Hospital	To evaluate level of knowledge, attitudes, & barriers to DRS	Perceptions of barriers to DRS	Quantitative - Cross sectional	Questionnaire – closed format (Face-to-face)	Percentages	Healthcare staff who have diabetes	N = 45 (30% RR)
Al-Malki (2009) <sup>4</sup> (Unpublished - MSc dissertation)  (Google search)	Qatar  All diabetes clinics in PHC	To understand knowledge/attitudes of DRS among people with diabetes and HCPs  To explore patients' understanding of their health seeking behaviour and barriers to their compliance with DRS	Reported barriers/enablers to compliance with DRS	Mixed methods - Cross sectional Descriptive	Questionnaire-mixed format	(1) Frequencies  (2) Pre-specified themes	Patients attending primary healthcare diabetic clinics (included attenders and non-attenders at DRS)	N = 289 -barrier data (RR = not reported)  N = 186 - enabling data (RR = 64.4%)
Applebee (2012) <sup>5</sup> (Unpublished report)  (Google search)	UK  No specific setting	To identify barriers/enablers that affect access to eye care services in Bradford	Identified motivations and barriers to screening and ideas for improving DRS	Qualitative – Descriptive  Multiperspective	Focus groups (topic guide)  Semi-structured interviews	Themes	Adults - Pakistani decent with diabetes (attenders/non-attenders) who had been invited to access Bradford DRS service  Service Providers and managers in primary and secondary eye care services	Interviews: N = 7 (Patients) N = 10 (service providers)  Focus Groups: N = 5 (patients only)
Arora (2013) <sup>6</sup> (Published)  (Database search)	Canada  Community-based clinic	To determine whether eye care, provided in a culturally-sensitive community-based clinic, could overcome social/cultural barriers	Economic, geographic, societal & cultural barriers	Qualitative – Descriptive Satisfaction review  Multiperspective	Interviews – unclear structure	Thematic Analysis	(1) Patients - Aboriginal Canadians diagnosed with diabetes  (2) HCP (nurses)  (3) Stakeholders-program administrators, spiritual liaison of the community	(1) N= 5  (2) N = 2  (3) N = 3



Bell (2011) <sup>7</sup> (Published Abstract only)	USA Rural South-Eastern North Carolina Unclear setting	To examine the association of medical scepticism and diabetes management among rural older adults	The association between medical scepticism and dilated eye exams	Quantitative-Cross sectional	Questionnaire – closed format (face to face)	Multivariate Analysis	African American, American Indian and white older 60 + years diagnosed with diabetes	N = 594
(Database search)								
Byun (2013) <sup>8</sup> (Published)	Korea No specific setting	To identify factors associated with DRS & nephropathy	The association between diabetic care education & DRS	Quantitative - Cross sectional National population data	Interview data from KNHANES IV Interviews – structured (face-to face)	Logistic Regression	Patients 30 + years diagnosed with diabetes	N = 1,288 (Selected)
(Known to authors)								
Buonaccorso (1999) <sup>9</sup> (Published)	USA	To report the process of a quality improvement project. To report the Identification of barriers to DRS attendance	Reported barrier	Qualitative - Evaluation of a QI intervention Multiperspective	Focus groups – open format Interviews – open format	Narrative description	Physicians from internal medicine, family practice and ophthalmology specialties. Patients with diabetes	N = 9 Physicians – focus group N = 50 patients (telephone interviews)
(Database search)								
Cano (2007) <sup>10</sup> (Published Abstract only)	Paraguay	To estimate the prevalence of DR and to explore the health-seeking behaviour of diabetes patients	Reported barriers/enablers to compliance with DRS	Mixed Methods – Cross sectional Descriptive	Focus groups	A thematic framework was developed for the qualitative analysis	Patients with diabetes (with visual impairment due to retinopathy and without visual impairment)	Unclear N for two focus groups
(Known to authors)								
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2010) <sup>11</sup> (Published)	USA No specific setting	(1) To assess the use of professional eye care (2) To report reasons for not receiving recommended follow-up care for DR	Reported reasons for not receiving recommended follow-up care for diabetic retinopathy	Quantitative – Follow-up State population data	Questionnaire data from BRFSS – 19 US states (2006-2008) – unclear s Interview – structured (telephone)	Percentages	Patients - women 40+ years with self-reported diabetes (attenders and non-attenders)	N = 7,377 (Total selected) N = 322 (sub-set of selected non-attenders)
(Database search)								
Chou (2014) <sup>12</sup> (Published)	USA No specific setting	To examine barriers to receiving recommended eye care	Reported main reasons for non-attendance	Quantitative – Cross sectional State population data	Questionnaire data from BRFSS-22 US States (2006-2010) – unclear format Interview – structured (telephone)	Categories	Patients 40+ years with self-reported diagnosed diabetes who had not visited eye provider in preceding 12 months.	N = 6,640 (Selected)
(Database search)								

Dervan (2008) <sup>13</sup> (Published)  (Database search)	Ireland  General diabetesclinics in two hospitals	(1) To assess whether patients were receiving regular DRS  (2) To examine factors influencing screening uptake	(1) Knowledge of & attitudes to diabetic retinopathy  (2) Physician recommendation	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Questionnaire – mixed format	Odds Ratio & Percentages	Adult patients due to attend a diabetes clinic	N = 209 (77% RR)  N = 22 (sub-set of non-attenders)
Fisher (2015) <sup>14</sup> (Published - Abstract only)  (Database search)	USA  New York & Los Angles	To gain understanding about the perspective of patients with diabetes & their HCPs with respect to compliance with dilated eye examinations	Reported common barriers to compliance to eye exams	Qualitative – Descriptive  Multiperspective	Focus Groups - unclear structure	Qualitative Content Analysis	Patients with diabetes  HCP identified from HIRD.	N = 29 patients; N = 18 HCP (9 Physicians & 9 ophthalmologists)
Gala (2013) <sup>15</sup> (Published - Abstract only)  (Database search)	USA  No specific setting	To examine the impact of diabetes self-management education (DSME) on preventive care practices	Association between DSME and obtaining annual dilated eye examinations	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Questionnaire - unclear format (data taken from BRFSS – 2010)	Odds Ratio	Adult patients with type 2 diabetes	N = 1,183,476 (Selected from BRFSS)
Griffin-Shirley (2004) <sup>16</sup> (Published)  (Database search)	USA  Ophthalmology clinic affiliated with a medical school	(1) To describe the demographic & clinical characteristics of those who sought eye care at an ophthalmology clinic  (2) Identify barriers to ophthalmic care	Reported barriers to obtaining dilated fundus examinations	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Interviews - unclear structure (face-to-face)	Percentages	Hispanic adults with diabetes (attenders and non-attenders)	N = 94 (21.66% RR) both attenders & non-attenders
Hartnett (2005) <sup>17</sup> (Published)  (Database search)	USA  Eye clinic in medical Centre	(1) To assess inadequate DRS at a large indigent clinic  (2) Explore perceived barriers	(1) Barriers/incentives (2) Understanding of diabetic eye recommendations; (3) methods used for education & communications & (4) recommendations for improving care	Qualitative – Descriptive  Multiperspective	Focus groups - structured (patients)  Interviews - structured (key informants)	Narrative (Codes)	Patients diagnosed with diabetes & PDPs (including PCPs, internists, & endocrinologist) & ophthalmologists	N = 17 patients  N = 22 physicians (9 ophthalmologist & 13 PDPs)
Hatef (2015) <sup>18</sup> (Published)  (Database search)	USA  No specific setting	(1) To assess how well a managed care organization performed annual DRS in a Medicaid population  (2) Identify barriers to completion	Modifiable factors assessed to increased likelihood of completion (e.g. financial incentives)	Quantitative - Cross sectional	Healthcare claims data	Logistic Regression	Medicaid patients with diabetes	N = 8902 (selected from Healthcare Claims Data)  (N = 3838 in 2010 & N = 5064 in 2012)
Heisler (2003) <sup>19</sup> (Published)  (Database search)	USA  No specific setting	To explore associations between patients' assessments of their diabetes self-management & glycaemic control/receipt of recommended diabetes services	Association between patients' assessment of their diabetes self-management & receiving an eye examination	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Questionnaire – unclear format (DQIP data)	Logistic Regression	Veteran diabetes patients	N = 1032 (data from medical records, RR = 88%)

Hipwell (2014) <sup>20</sup> (Published)	UK  Three screening programme regions	To examine the experiences of patients, HCP & screeners; their interactions with & understanding of DRS & how these influence uptake	Perceived antecedents to attendance & non-attendance at DRS	Qualitative – Descriptive  Multiperspective	Interview - semi-structured	Thematic Analysis	Patients (Regular & non-regular attenders)  HCP (Primary care [PC] & screening professionals)	N= 38 patients (22 regular-screeners, 16 non-regular)  N = 24 HCP (15 PC & 9 screeners)
(Database search)								
Hossen (2015) <sup>21</sup> (Unpublished - Abstract only)	Bangladesh  No specific setting - Rural district	(1) To estimate the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy  (2) Identify the barriers for screening for DR in rural areas	Reported barriers to DRS - reasons for refusal	Quantitative Prospective – cohort	Unclear for barriers data	Narrative	Adults 30 + years with diabetes	N = Unclear for non-attenders
(Google Search)								
Hurrell & Donohoe (2012) <sup>22</sup> (Unpublished report)	UK  No specific setting	To identify barriers/enablers that affect access to eye care services in Glasgow	Identified motivations and barriers to screening and ideas for improving DRS	Qualitative – Descriptive  Multiperspective	Focus groups (topic guide)  Semi-structured interviews	Themes	Adults - Pakistani decent with diabetes (attenders/non-attenders)  Other = Service Providers and managers in primary and secondary eye care services	N = 35 (patients)  N = 13 (Other)
(Known to authors)								
Hwang (2015) <sup>23</sup> (Published)	Canada  No specific setting	To examine associations between socioeconomic factors & eye screening	Association between eye screening & reports of discussion of diabetic complications with HCPs & private insurance	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Questionnaire – unclear format (SLCDC-diabetesdata)	Logistic Regression	Adults aged 20 + years with self-reported diabetes	N = 2323 (selected from survey data)
(Database search)								
Jingi (2014) <sup>24</sup> (Published-abstract only)	Cameroon  Hospital	Provide baseline data on the factors influencing eye-care services provision and utilisation	Associations between modifiable factors and eye care utilization	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Interview – unclear structure	Percentages & Correlations	Patients with diabetes	N = 52 (Unclear RR)
(Database search)								
John (2014) <sup>25</sup> (Published)	UK  Unclear setting	To explore and describe the barriers & incentives to accessing DRS	(1) Understanding about DRS; (2) encountered barriers; (3) social network conversations regarding DRS; (4) recommendations of how to increase DRS	Qualitative - Descriptive	Focus groups – topic guide	Thematic Analysis	Patients of South Asian origin diagnosed with diabetes & diabetic retinopathy screeners	N = 68 (total)
(Goggle search)								
Jones (2011) <sup>26</sup> (Published - abstract only)	UK  General practices in one screening programme	To understand factors at general practice level affecting uptake of retinal screening	Associations between practice-related factors & uptake of DRS	Quantitative Cross sectional	Interviews - unclear structure (telephone)	Logistic Regression	General practices in one screening programme	N = 77 (Unclear RR)
(Database search)								
Karter (2003) <sup>27</sup> (Published)	USA  No specific setting	To investigate the effect of out-of-pocket expenditures on the utilization of recommended diabetes prevention services	The associations between higher out-of-pocket costs and lower use of annual dilated eye exams	Quantitative - Prospective cohort	Various administrative data sources (part of a wider study -TRIAD)	Logistic Regression	Patients 18 + years with diabetes	N = 11,922 (selected from wider study)
(Database search)								

Khandekar (2011) <sup>28</sup> (Published)	Oman  No specific setting	(1) To present outcomes of a defaulter retrieval system  (2) Report reasons for 'no shows'	Reported reasons from patients with diabetic retinopathy for their non-attendance – reported to eye care staff	Qualitative - Descriptive	Interviews – unclear structure (telephone)	Narrative overview	Eye care staff reporting on defaulting patients	N = 328(66.4% RR of defaulter)
(Database search)								
Kiran (2013) <sup>29</sup> (Published)	Canada  No specific setting	To assess whether the delisting of routine eye examinations has the unintended consequence of decreasing DRS attendance	The association between delisting of routine eye examinations and DRS attendance	Quantitative - Interrupted – times series  (Change in trends)	Various administrative data sources	Segmented Linear Regression	Adults 40+ years with diabetes	N = 331026 (1998) - selected  N = 851 193 (2010) - selected
(Database search)								
Kovarik (2016) <sup>30</sup> (Published)	USA  An inner city community teaching hospital	(1) To determine the prevalence & risk factors of diabetic retinopathy in the inpatient diabetic population  (2) Determine barriers to eye examinations & treatment	Reported barriers to eye examinations	Quantitative - Cross sectional	Interview - unclear structure	Frequencies	Inpatients with diabetes	N = unclear number interviewed
(Google search)								
Lake (No date) <sup>31</sup> (Unpublished - Poster abstract only)	Australia  Unclear Setting	To explore barriers and enablers to DRS uptake using the TDF	Reported themes relating to barriers and enabler to DRS uptake	Qualitative – Based on the Theoretical Domain Framework	Interview – semi-structure	Framework Analysis (TDF)	Young adults (18-34 years) with type II DM	N = 10
(Known to authors)								
Laver (2013) <sup>32</sup> (Published - abstract only)	UK	(1) To understand the experiences of young adult who do not attend DRS  (2) Identify factors that influence their non-attendance	Reported factors that influence non-attendance	Qualitative – A modified Grounded Theory approach	Interviews – semi-structured	Modified Grounded Theory techniques	Young adults (20-25 years) diagnosed with Type I diabetes	N = 9
(Database search)								
Lee (2014) <sup>33</sup> (Published)	USA  No specific setting	To estimate the prevalence of & factors associated with dilated eye examination compliance	The association between distance to eye screening facility & quality of access to public transport with compliance with dilated eye examination	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Various administrative data sources	Logistic Regression	Patients diagnosed with diabetes	N = 200 -Selected
(Database search)								
Lee (2000) <sup>34</sup> (Published)	Australia  No specific setting	To examine eye care practices of people with diabetes who had not previously accessed eye care services on a regular basis	Reported reasons for non-compliance among people diagnosed with diabetic retinopathy	Quantitative - Follow-up	Questionnaire – unclear format	Narrative - list of reasons	Patients with DR who did not return for follow-up screening	N = 11 (unclear RR)
(Database search)								

Lewis (2007) <sup>35</sup> (Published)	UK  One rural district general hospital & one urban tertiary teaching hospital	To determine what factors may influence diabetic patients' attendance at eye clinics	Knowledge, beliefs, attitudes, social norms and reported enabling factors that may influence attendance at eye clinics	Qualitative – Descriptive  Multiperspective	Interviews – semi-structured  Focus Groups – topic guide  Observations	Thematic Analysis  (Results presented using the BASNEF model)	Patients with diabetes (Attendees no diabetic retinopathy & Non-attendees with retinopathy)  HCPs: two GPs; four nurses; four ophthalmologist; one retinal photographer; two reception staff; one medical social worker	N = 24 (Patients interviewed)  N = 35 (Patients in focus groups)  N = 14 (HCPs interviewed)
(Database search)								
Lindenmeyer (2014) <sup>36</sup> (Published)	UK  9 purposively selected GP practices in 3 screening programme areas	To identify factors contributing to high or low patient uptake of DRS	Reported factors relating to screening uptake	Qualitative – Case base  Multiperspective	Interviews – Semi-Structured	Case-based analysis	Patients with diabetes - low attenders, Medical Practice Staff (GPs, nurses), Administrative Practice Staff Screener	N = 38 (Patients); N = 8 (Medical staff); N = 9 (Admin staff); N = 9 (Screener)
(Database search)								
Livingstone (1998) <sup>37</sup> (Published)	Australia  Two rural areas	To identify potential health promotion strategies to encourage people with diabetes to take preventive eye health care measures	Recommendations of types of strategies needed to promote the eye screening service	Qualitative – Descriptive  Multiperspective	Focus Groups – unclear structure	Themes	Patients with diabetes; Ophthalmologist, optometrist, GPs, DM educators/ nurses, epidemiologists, representatives of diabetes Service Organisations	N = 50 (total) including N = 21 (Patients)
(Database search)								
Lu (2016) <sup>38</sup> (Published)	USA  A large city safety-net clinic	To examine disparities in perceived barriers to DRS between two vulnerable populations	Reported barriers	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Questionnaire unclear format	Percentages	Hispanic & African American (AA) patients with diabetes	N = 98 (Total) (RR not reported)  N = 71 Hispanics N = 27 AA
(Database search)								
Mackenzie (2015) <sup>39</sup> (Unpublished - Poster abstract only)	UK  Two large primary care (GP) surgeries in different areas	To investigate screening from the patients' & HCP perspective to:  (1) determine motivations to attend & reasons for non-attendance  (2) to investigate knowledge of diabetic retinopathy & management issues for HCPs	Reported barriers & motivations	Mixed Methods – Cross sectional Descriptive  Multiperspective	Interviews - semi-structured (patients) (telephone)  Questionnaire - unclear format (HCPs)  Group meeting - unclear format (HCPs)	Percentages  Thematic Analysis	Patients with diabetes (attenders & non-attenders – absent two or more years)  HCPs – members of GP staff	N = 24 patients  N = Unreported HCPs
(Database search)								
Massaro (2010) <sup>40</sup> (Published)	USA  Ophthalmology department in Medical Centre	To determine the acceptability of digital retinal photography among patients with DM who were not getting annual eye exams	Reported barriers to annual ophthalmic examinations, patients' perspectives of DM & digital scans	Quantitative – Cross sectional  Acceptability study	Questionnaire - unclear format	Percentages	Patients aged 18 + years with diabetes who were overdue for eye screening	N = 87 (RR not reported)
(Database search)								

Moss (1995) <sup>41</sup> (Published)	USA	Follow-up study:  (1) To estimate compliance with guidelines on ocular examinations for people with diabetes & examine differences between those who have and have not complied  (2) To examine factors that affect compliance & reasons for non-compliance	Reported barriers and enablers to compliance	Quantitative – Follow-up  Population study	Unclear data collection methods  (non-attenders in last two years were asked questions at follow-up examination)	Frequencies	Patients with diabetes who participated in baseline examination (older & younger onset)	N =765 (younger onset group)  N = 533 (older onset group)  These are probability samples selected from baseline sample: N = 1210
(Database search)								
Mumba (2007) <sup>42</sup> (Published)	Africa	Measure current use of the eye department & the increase in eye examinations following education about diabetic eye disease	Reported modifiable factors associated with having had a dilated fundus exam (i.e. Knowledge,	Quantitative – Prospective cohort	Questionnaire - mixed format	Logistic Regression	Patients with diabetes, aged 18 + years	N = 316 (RR 100%)
(Database Search)	Diabetic clinic							
Njambi (2012) <sup>43</sup> (Published)	Kenya	(1) To determine the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy  (2) To describe the relationship between diabetic retinopathy and risk factors  (3) To identify barriers to uptake	Reported barriers to uptake	Quantitative Cross sectional	Questionnaire – closed format	Frequencies	Patients with diabetes (type I & II), 12+ years attending the diabetic clinic	N = 253 (RR not reported)
(Google Search)	Provincial Hospital							
Onakpoya (2010) <sup>44</sup> (Published)	Nigeria	(1) To determine the prevalence of dilated eye examinations  (2) To determine factors affecting dilated eye examinations	Reported reasons for no previous dilated eye exams	Quantitative Cross sectional	Questionnaire – unclear format  (Reasons for not having had a dilated eye exam were noted)	Percentages	Patients with type I DM receiving treatment in tertiary hospital	N = 83 (includes all diabetes patients who attended clinic during study period)
(Database search)	Tertiary Hospital							
Orton (2013) <sup>45</sup> (Published)	UK	(1) To assess equity of access to DRS  (2) To determine predictors for poor uptake	Reported barriers to uptake	Mixed Methods - Cross sectional Descriptive  Health Equity Audit	Questionnaire – unclear format (Postal)  Interviews – semi- structured (telephone)	Questionnaire used as a guide for interviews  Themes	Geographically & ethnically diverse population of patients from one county  Patients with diabetes who were invited for DRS	N = 435 (RR = 43%) questionnaire  N = 32 (RR = 64%) interviews
(Database search)	No specific setting							

Paksin-Hall (2013) <sup>46</sup> (Published)	USA  No specific setting	To examine what variables contribute to diabetes patients not receiving annual dilated eye examinations	Modifiable variables: The relationship between a history of attendance at diabetic management class and attendance at annual dilated eye exam	Quantitative – Cross sectional  National survey data	Questionnaire - unclear format  (data taken from BRFSS: 2009)	Logistic regression	Patients aged 18 + years diagnosed with diabetes (Type I & II)	N =52,386 (total)  N = 24,198 (sub-section reported to have had a DRS in last year)
(Database search)								
Pasagian-Macaulay (1997) <sup>47</sup> (Published)	USA  Recruited from large county-funded tertiary care centre	(1) Assess ophthalmic knowledge  (2)Identify beliefs regarding (a) barriers, (b) benefits, (c) concerns and (d) self-efficacy related to receiving recommended ophthalmic screening	Reported barriers to receiving recommended ophthalmic screening	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Questionnaire – unclear format (telephone)	Percentages	Low income suburban women with diabetes	N = 150 (sample RR = 61.7% & contact RR = 94.3%)
(Database search)								
Peek (2010) <sup>48</sup> (Published - abstract only)	USA	To investigate the impact of perceived discrimination (PD) and various diabetes health outcomes	The association between PD and prior eye exam interval	Quantitative – Cross sectional  Nation survey data	Questionnaire – unclear format  (data taken from 8 states from BRFSS: 2004-2008)	Logistic regression	Patients aged 18 + years with diabetes	N = not provided
(Database search)								
Peng (1994) <sup>49</sup> (Unpublished PhD thesis)	Taiwan  Eye clinic in memorial hospital	To explore possible factors associated with the receipt of a DRS exam	(1) The association between reported modifiable factors & receipt of DRS exam  (2) Reported reasons given for non-attendance &	Quantitative – Cross sectional  Guided by Health Belief Model	Questionnaire – closed format	Logistic regression  Percentages	Patients 18 + years with diabetes (type I & II) who visited the out-patient eye clinic	N = 275 total (RR = 88%)  N = 110 (non-attenders only)
(Database search)								
Puent (2004) <sup>50</sup> (Published)	USA  No specific setting	To determine reasons some diabetes patients do not receive a dilated eye examination each year	Reported reasons (barriers) for non-compliance	Quantitative – Cross sectional  Chart Review	Questionnaire – mixed format (telephone)	Percentages	Patients with diabetes identified from chart review	N = 43 non-attenders (RR = 43%)
(Database search)								
Rajput (2015) <sup>51</sup> (Published - abstract only)	USA  No specific setting	To estimate the proportion of insured persons with diabetes who do/do not receive annual dilated eye exams  Identify barriers to dilated eye exams and interventions to improve compliance	Reported barriers to exams and suggested interventions to improve compliance	Qualitative - Descriptive  Multiperspective	Focus groups – unclear format	Rank ordered themes	Patients with diabetes (type II) HCPs = PCPs & Ophthalmologists	N = 29 - patients  N = 18 HCPs (9 PCPs & 9 Ophthalmologists)
(Goggle search)								

Roy (2004) <sup>52</sup> (Published)	USA	(1) Determine the frequency of annual dilated eye examinations, health insurance, use of an ophthalmologist	Reported reasons for not having an eye exam by an Ophthalmologist during previous year	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Interview – Structured	Percentages  Odds Ratio	African American patient with diabetes (type I) identified from 31 hospitals located in 7 counties	N = 722 (RR 82.5%)
	No specific setting	(2) Determine factors associated with having a dilated eye exam by an ophthalmologist	The association between reported modifiable factors and having a dilated eye exam					
(Database search)								
Sachdeva (2012) <sup>53</sup> (Published)	UK	Determine the factors that influenced attendance for routine DRS	Reported reasons for non-attendance at DRS	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Questionnaire – mixed format (telephone)	Percentages	Patients with diabetes who failed to attend DRS	N = 198 –non attenders (RR= 86.45%)
	Two surgeries within one large GP practice	Compare demographic/socio-economic data of attenders and non-attenders						
(Known to authors)								
Schoenfeld (2001) <sup>54</sup> (Published)	USA	(1) To describe baseline patterns of adherence to vision care guidelines for diabetes in the diabetic retinopathy awareness programme	The association between reported modifiable factors and non-adherence	Quantitative – cross sectional	Interview – unclear structure (Phone)	Logistic Regression	Patients with diabetes, 18 + years, resident in one county in New York Recruited through multimedia community-wide campaign	N = 2308 total  N = 813 non-adherent sub-set
	No specific setting	(2) To evaluate factors associated with non-adherence						
(Database search)								
Shepler (2014) <sup>55</sup> (Published)	USA	To identify variables that predict adherence with annual eye examinations	The association between reported modifiable factors and non-adherence	Quantitative – questionnaire development	Questionnaire – Mixed format (CADEES)	Logistic Regression	Adult patients with diabetes already enrolled on an ongoing clinical trial	N = 316 (RR not reported)
	Two primary care clinics			Based on a review of the literature, and the Health Belief Model				
(Database search)								
Shukla (2016) <sup>56</sup> (Published)	India	(1) Assess perception of care	Reported barriers in accessing care for diabetic retinopathy	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Interview – semi open-ended	Percentages	Patients with diabetes attending an eye clinic	N = 376 (RR not reported)
	Hospital based (11 Cities in nine states)	(2) Assess challenges faced in availing care among people with diabetes (Barriers)		Cross state hospital survey				
(Google search)								



Silver (2006) <sup>57</sup> (Published)	USA	Learn about & measure: (a) current awareness & understanding of diabetes management; (b) benefits of early detection for eye disease; (c) barriers to receiving/accessing diabetes related eye healthcare; (d) motivators for behaviour change & (e) preferred communication channels	Barriers to receiving or accessing diabetes-related eye healthcare & motivators for behaviour change	Qualitative – Descriptive  Formative research for an outreach strategy  Multiperspective	Focus groups - unclear format  Interviews – In-depth	Themes	Focus Groups = American Indians & Alaska Natives with diabetes (mixed age groups) Interviews = Key Informants (e.g. diabetes educators, eye care professionals, nurses, health educators, tribal council leaders etc.)	N = 70 (Patients)  N = 58 (Key Informants)
(Known to authors)	Focus Groups - Five locations in Indian country  Interviews – at the Alaska Native Medical Center & at a national conference							
Strutton (2016) <sup>58</sup> (Published)	UK	To identify explanations for why patients had never attended a screening appointment	Explanations for why patients had never attended a screening appointment	Qualitative - Service evaluation	Interview - unclear format (Phone)	Thematic framework analysis	Patients registered on screening programme who had never attended	N = 146 (RR = 57%)
(Google search)	On diabetic eye screening programme							
Tapp (2004) <sup>59</sup> (Published)	Australia	(1) To study the frequency of examining for DM eye and foot complications  (2) To study factors associated with regular screening	The association between reported modifiable factors and regular screening	Quantitative - Cross sectional  Complications study	Interview – unclear format	Logistic regression	Participants with diabetes  Identified via a wider population-based study	N = 396 (RR = 83.37)
(Database search)	No specific setting							
Van Eijk (2012) <sup>60</sup> (Published)	The Netherlands	To examine incentives and barriers to attend DRS	The association between barriers/incentives and attendance	Mixed methods - Cross sectional Descriptive	Questionnaire – closed format  Focus groups -	Odds ratios  Themes	Patients with diabetes 18+ years (type I & II)	N = 1891 (RR = 58.4%) for questionnaire  N = 30 (across four focus groups)
(Database search)	20 Dutch general practices							
Walker et al (1997) <sup>61</sup> (Published)	USA	To assess knowledge and health beliefs related to preventing diabetic eye complications among a sample of African-Americans to guide the development of a health promotion intervention	Reported Incentives and barriers	Mixed methods – Cross sectional Descriptive	Questionnaire – Mixed format - closed and open-ended questions  (Via telephone)	Percentages  Themes	African American patients with diabetes.  Random sample from county hospital	N = 67 (RR 64%)
(Database search)	New York metropolitan county medical centre serving a low income population							
Wang (2010) <sup>62</sup> (Published)	China	To assess the use of eye care.  To assess predictors of eye care	The association between reported modifiable factors & having an eye exam	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Questionnaire – closed format	Logistic Regression	Patients with diabetes, 18+ years	N = 824 (RR92.7%)
(Database search)	One urban tertiary hospital, one urban community hospital & one rural hospital							

Will (1994) <sup>63</sup> (Published)	USA	To follow-up on people with DM participating in blindness prevention programs from 1985-1987	The association between reported modifiable factors and having an eye exam	Quantitative – Prospective cohort	Questionnaire – unclear format (telephone)	Logistic Regression	Patients with DM who had participated in one of four blindness prevention programmes (N = 569).	N = 414 (RR = 73%) out of original sample
(Database search)								
Yuan (2007) <sup>64</sup> Unpublished MSc dissertation	China  One retinal OPD of Shanxi Eye Hospital	To explore the socio-economic risk factors and barriers to access eye care services of late presenting diabetic retinopathy (patients in Shanxi province.	The barriers to access eye care services.	Qualitative – Descriptive  Multiperspective	Interviews – (open-ended questions)  Focus groups (open-ended questions)	Thematic analysis	Patients with late presenting diabetic retinopathy  Eye care providers	N = 15 patients  N = 6 eye care providers
(Reference list)								
Yuen (2012) <sup>65</sup> (Published)	USA  No specific setting	Factors associated with preventive care practice among adults with diabetes	The association between reported modifiable factors and having an eye exam	Quantitative – Cross sectional	Questionnaire – unclear format	Logistic Regression	Patients with diabetes, residing in the UK Recruited from: a) pool of Gullah speaking African-Americans in South Carolina & b) thirteen other US states	N = 253 (convenience sample –RR not reported)
(Database search)								
Zhang (2009) <sup>66</sup> (Published)	USA	To examine (a) diabetic retinopathy, (b) dilated eye exams and (c) eye care education among African Americans before & after a community-level public health intervention	The association between receiving eye care education and receipt of dilated eye exam	Quantitative – prospective cohort  Population	Questionnaire- unclear format  (data taken from project DIRECT)	Logistic Regression	Patients with diabetes in 1996-1997 and 2003-2004 in two communities in North Carolina	N = 1289 – total (RR not reported)  N = 617 from 1997  N = 672 from 2004
(Database search)								

### 1.3. Excluded studies (phase 2 review).

Study	Reason for exclusion
Anon (2013) <sup>67</sup>	Review/overview (checked references)
Anon (2014) <sup>68</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Anon (2015) <sup>69</sup>	Duplicate results
Anon (2008) <sup>70</sup>	Duplicate results
Anon (2010) <sup>71</sup>	Review/overview (checked references)
Anon (2008) <sup>72</sup>	Not linked to attendance - MSc thesis:
Aguilera et al (2011) <sup>73</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Al-Athamneh et al (2014) <sup>74</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Aurangzeb (2006) <sup>75</sup>	No access
Bachmann (1996) <sup>76</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Bae et al (2008) <sup>77</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Bamashmus et al (2009) <sup>78</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Baumeister et al (2015) <sup>79</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Bek (1998) <sup>80</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Behamou et al (2013) <sup>81</sup>	Not reported in English
Bischoff (1993) <sup>82</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Bundesmann & Kaplowitz (2011) <sup>83</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Byrne (2015) <sup>84</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Cetin et al (2013) <sup>85</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Cheng et al (2015) <sup>86</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Chin et al (2001) <sup>87</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Choe et al (2012) <sup>88</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Cumba (2010) <sup>89</sup>	No results presented
Cupples (1993) <sup>90</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Dan et al (2015) <sup>91</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Dandona et al (2001) <sup>92</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
D'Lugoff & McCarter (2005) <sup>93</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Eiser et al (2001) <sup>94</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
El Hajj (2013) <sup>95</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Elish et al (2007) <sup>96</sup>	Mixed with other patient groups
Facey (2002) <sup>97</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Ferraro et al (2006) <sup>98</sup>	No results presented
Foster et al (1996) <sup>99</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Funatsu (2002) <sup>100</sup>	Not reported in English
Funatsu (2004) <sup>101</sup>	Not reported in English
George et al (2013) <sup>102</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Gillibrand (2000) <sup>103</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Gillibrand & Holdich (2010) <sup>104</sup>	Review/overview (checked references)
Gower et al (2013) <sup>105</sup>	Mixed with other patient groups
Grimshaw et al (2014) <sup>106</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Gulliford et al (2010) <sup>107</sup>	Non-modifiable barriers only
Hall (2016) <sup>108</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Hark et al (2012) <sup>109</sup>	No results provided
Harvey et al (2006) <sup>110</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Haw et al (2015) <sup>111</sup>	Review/overview (checked references)
Hazin et al (2011) <sup>112</sup>	Review/overview (checked references)
Hayden (2012) <sup>113</sup>	Review/overview (checked references)

Hipwell et al (2013) <sup>114</sup>	Duplicate results
Hiroshima et al (2002) <sup>115</sup>	Not reported in English
Hiss (1996) <sup>116</sup>	Can't separate out DRS from other diabetic care
Hung et al (2015) <sup>117</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Hwang (2012) <sup>118</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Inada et al (2001) <sup>119</sup>	Not reported in English
Inoue et al (2002) <sup>120</sup>	Not reported in English
Jones & Nichols (2007) <sup>121</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Keeffe (2003) <sup>122</sup>	No results reported
Khandekar (2012) <sup>123</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Kitaoka et al (1996) <sup>124</sup>	Not reported in English
Kliner et al (2012) <sup>125</sup>	Non-modifiable barriers only
Kobayashi (2002) <sup>126</sup>	Not reported in English
Krein et al 2008) <sup>127</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Kupitz et al (2014) <sup>128</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Kurji et al (2013) <sup>129</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Lamoureux et al (2012) <sup>130</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Lawton et al (2005) <sup>131</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Leamon et al (2014) <sup>132</sup>	Duplicate results
Lee et al (2001) <sup>133</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Lee et al (2013) <sup>134</sup>	Duplicate results
Leese et al (2008) <sup>135</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Lewis (2011) <sup>136</sup>	Review/overview (checked references)
Li et al <sup>137</sup> (2009)	Mixed with other patient groups
Lindenmeyer et al (2013) <sup>138</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Liu & Chen (2014) <sup>139</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Maberley et al (2002) <sup>140</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
MacLennan et al (2014) <sup>141</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Massin & Kaloustian (2007) <sup>142</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
McCarty et al (1999) <sup>143</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
McGhee et al (2012) <sup>144</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Mehta (2004) <sup>145</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Memon et al (2015) <sup>146</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Mirkiewicz-Sieradzka (2000) <sup>147</sup>	Not reported in English
Mistry et al (2015) <sup>148</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Memon et al (2015) <sup>146</sup>	Mixed with other patient groups
Mukamel et al (1999) <sup>149</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Muller et al (2006) <sup>150</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Munoz et al (2008) <sup>151</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Murgatroyd et al (2006) <sup>152</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Nagi et al (2009) <sup>153</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Navuluri (2000) <sup>154</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Newcomb et al (1992) <sup>155</sup>	Review/overview (checked references)
Nsiah-Kumi et al (2009) <sup>157</sup>	Review/overview (checked references)
Ohno et al (1996) <sup>156</sup>	Not written in English
Onakpoya et al (2015) <sup>144</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Orton et al (2011) <sup>158</sup>	Duplicate results
Ovenseri-Ogbomo et al (2013) <sup>159</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Paz et al (2006) <sup>160</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Philis-Tsimilas et al (2009) <sup>161</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Pilling (2015) <sup>162</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Preti et al (2007) <sup>163</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS

Quigley et al (2002) <sup>164</sup>	Results mixed with other screening or eye disease
Raman et al (2006) <sup>165</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Reid et al (2013) <sup>166</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Reno et al (2013) <sup>167</sup>	Not linked to attendance
Richardson (2012) <sup>168</sup>	Did not cover DRS
Saadine et al (2008) <sup>169</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Sachdeva et al (2010) <sup>170</sup>	Duplicate results
Scanlon et al (2012) <sup>171</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Scanlon et al (2013) <sup>172</sup>	Not perspective of patient or HCP or predictive
Sikivou (2000) <sup>173</sup>	No access
Sloan et al (2004) <sup>174</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Sloan et al (2014) <sup>175</sup>	Mixed with other patient groups
Smith et al (2006) <sup>176</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Stanga et al (1999) <sup>177</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Streja & Rabkin (1999) <sup>178</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Sculpher (1993) <sup>179</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Takahashi & Takahashi (2001) <sup>180</sup>	Not reported in English
Thapa et al (2012) <sup>181</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Ting et al (2011a) <sup>182</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Ting et al (2011b) <sup>183</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Ting et al (2011c) <sup>184</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Trento et al (2002) <sup>185</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Trivedi et al (2005) <sup>186</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Tsui et al (2015) <sup>187</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Trudinger & Niblett (2012) <sup>188</sup>	Did not cover diabetic retinopathy screening
Varma et al (2008) <sup>189</sup>	Non-modifiable factors only
Wadge et al (2015) <sup>190</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Wakae et al (2000) <sup>191</sup>	Not reported in English
Wakae et al (2003) <sup>192</sup>	Not reported in English
Waked et al (2006) <sup>193</sup>	Not reported in English
Wallace (2013) <sup>194</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Wang et al (1999) <sup>195</sup>	Non-modifiable factors
Waqar et al (2012) <sup>196</sup>	Non-modifiable factors
Weiss et al (2015) <sup>197</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Wiggins et al (2015) <sup>198</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Williamson & O'Connor (2013) <sup>199</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Wilson & Eezuduemhoi (2005) <sup>200</sup>	Barriers/enablers not investigated
Woodward et al (2015) <sup>201</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Wright et al (2001) <sup>202</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Xiong et al (2015) <sup>203</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Yan et al (2012) <sup>204</sup>	Results mixed with other screening or eye disease
Yeo et al (2012a) <sup>205</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Yeo et al (2012b) <sup>206</sup>	Not linked to attendance at DRS
Yoshimeto et al (2004) <sup>207</sup>	Not reported in English
Yuen et al (2010) <sup>208</sup>	Results mixed with other screening or eye disease

## 1.4. Quality assessment (phase 2 review).

### Quality assessment of qualitative studies and the qualitative components of the mixed methods studies

Author/Date	Q1: Was the research design appropriate to address the aims of the research?	Q2: Was the recruitment strategy appropriate to the aims of the research?	Q3: Was the data collected in a way that addressed the research issue?	Q4: Was the data analysis sufficiently rigorous?	Q.5: Has the relationship between researcher and participants been adequately considered?	Risk of bias (Low/medium/high)
Al-Malki (2009) <sup>4</sup>	Low	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Unclear</b>
Applebee (2012) <sup>5</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	<b>Low</b>
Arora (2013) <sup>6</sup>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Low	Unclear	<b>Unclear</b>
Buonaccorso (1999) <sup>9</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Low</b>
Cano (2007) <sup>10</sup>						Abstract only
Fisher (2015) <sup>14</sup>						Abstract only
Hartnett (2005) <sup>17</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Low</b>
Hipwell (2014) <sup>20</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	<b>Low</b>
Hossen (2015) <sup>21</sup>						Abstract only
Hurrell & Donohoe (2012) <sup>22</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	<b>Low</b>
John (2014) <sup>25</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Low</b>
Khandekar (2011) <sup>28</sup>	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Unclear</b>
Lake (No date) <sup>31</sup>						Abstract only
Laver (2013) <sup>32</sup>						Abstract only
Lewis (2007) <sup>35</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	<b>Low</b>
Lindenmeyer (2014) <sup>36</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	<b>Low</b>
Livingstone (1998) <sup>37</sup>	Low	Low	Low	High	Unclear	<b>Medium</b>
Mackenzie (2015) <sup>39</sup>						Abstract only
Orton (2013) <sup>45</sup>	Low	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Unclear</b>
Rajput (2015) - <sup>51</sup>						Abstract only
Silver (2006) <sup>57</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Low</b>
Strutton (2016) <sup>58</sup>	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	Low	<b>Low</b>

<b>van Eijk (2012)<sup>60</sup></b>	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Low</b>
<b>Walker (1997)<sup>61</sup></b>	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	<b>Low</b>
<b>Yuan (2007)<sup>64</sup></b>	Low	Unclear	Low	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Unclear</b>

**Quality assessment of qualitative studies and the quantitative components of the mixed methods studies**

Author/Date	Q1: Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the quantitative research question?	Q2: Is the sample representative of the population under study?	Q3: Are measurements appropriate? (clear origin, or validity known, or standard instrument)	Q4: Is there an acceptable response rate? (60% or above)	Risk of bias (Low/medium/high /unclear)
Adriono (2011) <sup>2</sup>	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Low	<b>Unclear</b>
Al-Alawi (2016) <sup>3</sup>	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	High	<b>Unclear/Medium</b>
Al-Malki (2009) <sup>4</sup>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Low/Unclear</b>
Byun (2013) <sup>8</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Low	<b>Low</b>
Cano (2007) <sup>10</sup>					Abstract only
CDC (2010) <sup>11</sup>	Low	Low	Unclear	High	<b>Medium</b>
Chou (2014) <sup>12</sup>	Low	Low	Unclear	High	<b>Medium</b>
Dervan (2008) <sup>13</sup>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Low	<b>Low/unclear</b>
Gala (2013) <sup>15</sup>					Abstract only
Griffin-Shirley (2004) <sup>16</sup>	Low	Unclear	Low	High	<b>Unclear</b>
Hatef (2015) <sup>18</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Low	<b>Low</b>
Heisler (2003) <sup>19</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Low	<b>Low</b>
Hwang (2015) <sup>23</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Low	<b>Low</b>
Jingi (2014) <sup>24</sup>					Abstract only
Jones (2011) <sup>26</sup>					Abstract only
Karter (2003) <sup>27</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Low	<b>Low</b>
Kiran (2013) <sup>29</sup>	Low	Low	Low	Low	<b>Low</b>
Kovarik (2016) <sup>30</sup>	Low	Low	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Low/unclear</b>
Lee (2000) <sup>34</sup>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Low	<b>Low/unclear</b>
Lee (2014) <sup>33</sup>	Low	Unclear	Low	High	<b>Medium</b>
Lu (2016) <sup>38</sup>	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Unclear</b>
Mackenzie (2015) <sup>39</sup>					Abstract only
Massaro (2010) <sup>40</sup>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Unclear</b>
Moss (1995) <sup>41</sup>	Unclear	Low	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Unclear</b>



<b>Mumba (2007)<sup>42</sup></b>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Low	<b>Low/unclear</b>
<b>Njambi (2012)<sup>43</sup></b>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Unclear</b>
<b>Onakpoya (2010)<sup>44</sup></b>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Low	<b>Low/unclear</b>
<b>Orton (2013)<sup>45</sup></b>	Low	Low	Unclear	High	<b>Medium</b>
<b>Paskin-Hall (2013)<sup>46</sup></b>	Low	Unclear	Low	Unclear	<b>Low/unclear</b>
<b>Pasagian-Macaulay (1997)<sup>47</sup></b>	Low	Low	Low	Low	<b>Low</b>
<b>Peek (2010)<sup>48</sup></b>					Abstract only
<b>Peng (1994)<sup>49</sup></b>	Low	Unclear	Low	Unclear	<b>Low/unclear</b>
<b>Puent (2004)<sup>50</sup></b>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	High	<b>Medium/unclear</b>
<b>Roy (2004)<sup>52</sup></b>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Low	<b>Low/unclear</b>
<b>Sachdeva (2012)<sup>53</sup></b>	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Low	<b>Unclear</b>
<b>Schoenfeld (2001)<sup>54</sup></b>	Low	Low	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Low/unclear</b>
<b>Sheppler (2014)<sup>55</sup></b>	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	<b>Low</b>
<b>Shukla (2016)<sup>56</sup></b>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Unclear</b>
<b>Tapp (2004)<sup>59</sup></b>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	Low	<b>Low/unclear</b>
<b>van Eijk (2012)<sup>60</sup></b>	Low	Low	Low	Low	<b>Low</b>
<b>Walker (1997)<sup>61</sup></b>	Low	Low	Low	Low	<b>Low</b>
<b>Wang (2010)<sup>62</sup></b>	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	<b>Low</b>
<b>Will (1994)<sup>63</sup></b>	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	<b>Low</b>
<b>Yuen (2012)<sup>65</sup></b>	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	<b>Unclear</b>
<b>Zhang (2009)<sup>66</sup></b>	Low	Unclear	Unclear	low	<b>Low/unclear</b>

## 1.5. Themes/sub themes within each of the 14 domains from the Theoretical Domains Frameworks.

### Domain: Environmental Context and Resources (N = 50 studies)

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
Accessibility of screening clinic (N = 36)	<b>Transportation</b>  <b>N = 20 studies</b> (N = 6 from UK)  Studies: Lindenmeyer <sup>36</sup> ; Hartnett <sup>17</sup> ; Peng <sup>49</sup> ; Sachdeva <sup>53</sup> ; Strutton <sup>58</sup> ; Hipwell <sup>20</sup> ; Chou <sup>12</sup> ; Pasagian-Macaulay <sup>47</sup> ; Kovarik <sup>30</sup> ; Khandekar <sup>28</sup> ; Njambi <sup>43</sup> ; Applebee <sup>5</sup> ; Mackenzie <sup>39</sup> ; Fisher <sup>14</sup> ; Griffen-Shirley <sup>16</sup> ; CDC <sup>11</sup> ; Chou; Al-Malki <sup>4</sup> ; Yuan <sup>64</sup> ; Lee <sup>33</sup> ; Walker <sup>61</sup>	20	0	<p><i>Defaulting patient stated that the main barriers to presenting for an appointment were lack of transport...[Author interpreted summary (Khandekar 2011<sup>28</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>The quality of public transportation access was strongly associated with compliance. Odds Ratio = 1.34 (CI 1.07 – 1.68); P &lt; 0.05). [Statistical data (Lee 2014<sup>33</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
	<b>Distance to clinic</b>  <b>N = 12 studies</b> (N = 2 from UK)  Studies: Shukla <sup>56</sup> ; Lee <sup>33</sup> ; Moss <sup>41</sup> ; Peng <sup>49</sup> ; Al-Malki <sup>4</sup> ; Al-Alawi <sup>3</sup> ; Lindenmeyer <sup>36</sup> ; Jingsi <sup>24</sup> ; Hipwell <sup>20</sup> ; Chou <sup>12</sup> ; Griffen-Shirley <sup>16</sup> ; CDC <sup>11</sup> .	12	0	<p><i>Among those reporting barriers, the distance was the most important barrier (65.1%). [Statistical data (Shulka 2016<sup>56</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>Those living eight or more miles from the screening facility were significantly less likely to be compliant relative to those living within eight miles. [Predictive - Author analysis (Lee 2014<sup>33</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
	<b>Improving accessibility</b>  <b>N = 4 studies</b> (N = 3 from UK)	0	4	<p><i>Tele-ophthalmology helps to overcome financial and geographic barriers for patients, as they only travel to the urban tertiary care centre if treatment is needed. [HCP quote (Arora 2013<sup>6</sup>)]- Enabler</i></p>

	Studies: Applebee <sup>5</sup> ; Arora <sup>6</sup> ; John <sup>25</sup> ; Jones <sup>26</sup>			<i>People told us that it helps them when services are provided locally.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Applebee 2012 <sup>5</sup> )] - Enabler
<b>Time (Competing demands)</b> (N = 36)	<b>Work (Career)</b>  N = 15 studies (N = 7 from UK)  Studies: Mackenzie <sup>39</sup> ; Strutton <sup>58</sup> ; Rajput <sup>51</sup> ; Lewis <sup>35</sup> ; Hartnett <sup>17</sup> ; Al-Alawi <sup>3</sup> ; Griffen-Shirley <sup>16</sup> ; Arora <sup>6</sup> ; Hipwell <sup>20</sup> ; Laver <sup>32</sup> ; Pasagian-Macaulay <sup>47</sup> ; Sachdeva <sup>53</sup> ; Hurrell & Donohoe <sup>22</sup> ; Yuan <sup>64</sup> ; Walker <sup>61</sup>	15	0	<i>It is hard to get time off from work to go to an eye exam (8.7%).</i> [Statistical data (Pasagian-Macaulay <sup>47</sup> )]- Barrier  <i>My family have a mining factor, I have been working there as a counter, it was very busy, I put the diabetes beside me, never care about it</i> [Patient quote (Yuan 2007 <sup>64</sup> )] - Barrier  <i>... Can't take a day off from work and you need a whole day to go to the clinic</i> [Patient quote (Walker 1997 <sup>61</sup> )]- Barrier
	<b>Generally, busy</b>  N = 12 studies (N = 1 from UK)  Studies: Sachdeva <sup>53</sup> ; Moss <sup>41</sup> ; Peng <sup>49</sup> ; van Eijk <sup>60</sup> ; Pasagian-Macaulay <sup>47</sup> ; Massaro <sup>40</sup> ; Roy <sup>52</sup> ; Kovarik <sup>30</sup> ; Lake <sup>31</sup> ; Al-Malki <sup>4</sup> ; Hossen <sup>21</sup> ; Walker <sup>61</sup>	12	0	<i>I had a whole list. I wrote down all the things that I needed to do and I basically crossed off the ones that could wait...I suppose, I thought another month wouldn't make too much of a difference and that there were so many other things that needed to be done right away.</i> [Patient quote (Lake Unknown date <sup>31</sup> )] - Barrier  <i>Having no time to attend was more frequent in non-attenders than attenders. Odds ratio +0.5 (CI 0.3-0.7).</i> [Predictors - Author analysis (van Eijk <sup>60</sup> )] - Barrier
	<b>Family (e.g. childcare)</b>  N = 5 studies (N = 2 from UK)  Studies: Griffen-Shirley <sup>16</sup> ; Massaro <sup>40</sup> ; Strutton <sup>58</sup> ; Hurrell & Donohoe <sup>22</sup> ; Walker <sup>61</sup>	5	0	<i>Patient...said It's difficult to attend because her daughter as had a break down and she is looking after her children.</i> [HCP quote (Strutton 2016 <sup>58</sup> )] - Barrier  <i>It's not as if going in (only concerns) yourself...you've got family worries... to be there, for your children.</i> [Patient quote (Hurrell & Donohoe 2012 <sup>22</sup> )]- Barrier

	<p><b>Clashes with specific occasions</b>  <b>N = 4 studies</b>  (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Arora<sup>6</sup>; Lindenmeyer<sup>36</sup>; Sachdeva<sup>53</sup>; Adriono<sup>2</sup>.</p>	4	0	<p><i>People go away...to the Caribbean, Africa, Asia, Pakistan India...You find out in retrospect where they've been, and because they're away they're not going to get their screening done [ HCP quote (Lindenmeyer 2014<sup>36</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>The nurse administrators explained that 'appointments were almost unanimously missed if conflicting with the time of a pow-wow or other major cultural activity' [Service provider (Arora 2013<sup>6</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
<b>Financial Concerns</b> (N = 28)	<p><b>Costs of eye care/exam</b>  <b>N = 22 studies</b>  (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Rajput<sup>51</sup>; Griffen-Shirley<sup>16</sup>; Pasagian-Macaulay<sup>47</sup>; Hartnett<sup>17</sup>; Kovarik<sup>30</sup>; Njambi<sup>43</sup>; Chou<sup>12</sup>; Jingi<sup>24</sup>; Moss<sup>41</sup>; Adriono<sup>2</sup>; Applebee<sup>5</sup>; Al-Alawi<sup>3</sup>; Arora<sup>6</sup>; Karter<sup>27</sup>; Yuan<sup>64</sup>; Will<sup>63</sup>; Peng<sup>49</sup>; Roy<sup>52</sup>; Shukla<sup>56</sup>; Lake<sup>31</sup>; Massaro<sup>40</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	22	0	<p><i>Money. Insurance does not always cover the exam. Covers the visit. But not the test. I have to see so many doctors, including specialists. So there's a lot of co-pays. [Patient quote (Rajput 2015<sup>51</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>The two most common reasons given by approximately 60% of the respondents were that they count not pay for an examination and... [Statistical data (Will 1994<sup>63</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
	<p><b>Lack of insurance/cost of insurance</b>  <b>N = 4 studies</b>  (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Puent<sup>50</sup>; Chou<sup>12</sup>; Massaro<sup>40</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	4	0	<p><i>Barriers to getting an annual eye examination: ...Insurance coverage of office visits/testing (37%). [Statistical data (Massaro 2010<sup>40</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>Cost or lack of insurance was most often the main reason given by women (40.1%), persons aged 40-64 years old (38.4%), those with incomes... [Statistical data (Chou 2014<sup>12</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
	<p><b>Loss of earnings (Self-employed)</b>  <b>N = 2 studies</b>  (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Arora<sup>6</sup>; Hurrell &amp; Donohoe<sup>22</sup></p>	2	0	<p><i>I am self-employed, it (attending appointments) costs me money. [Patient quote (Hurrell &amp; Donohoe 2012<sup>22</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>...all the time spent traveling results in a loss of my wages, so sometimes it's easier not to see the doctor in the city. [Patient quote (Arora 2013<sup>6</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
<b>Scheduling appointment</b>	<b>Long wait times to receive an</b>	6	0	<i>All sources agreed that poor access to care, particularly the 1-year wait for an</i>

<b>issues</b> <b>(N = 24)</b>	<b>appointment</b> <b>N = 6 studies</b> (N = 4 from UK) Studies: Lindenmeyer <sup>36</sup> ; Hartnett <sup>17</sup> ; Hipwell <sup>20</sup> ; Hurrell & Donohoe <sup>22</sup> ; Pasagian-Macauly <sup>47</sup> ; Applebee <sup>5</sup> .			<i>appointment, was a barrier.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Hartnett 2005 <sup>17</sup> )] - Barrier  <i>Well before the appointment I phoned and they said no, they'd got no appointments for the next three months... The following year again the same thing, I phoned when I had the letter, they said three months' waiting.</i> [Patient quote (Hipwell 2014 <sup>20</sup> )] - Barrier
	<b>(In)Flexibility /choice of times/dates</b> <b>N = 7 studies</b> (N = 6 from UK) Studies: Applebee <sup>5</sup> ; Al-Malki <sup>4</sup> ; John <sup>25</sup> ; Orton <sup>45</sup> ; Hurrell & Donohoe <sup>22</sup> ; Lindenmeyer <sup>36</sup> ; Hipwell <sup>20</sup>	2	5	<i>If eye clinic was opened in the evening I would attend.</i> [Patient quote (Al-Malki 2009 <sup>4</sup> )] - Enabler  <i>We like it where you can ring once you have had reminder letter. You can then have an appointment to suit you no too far ahead</i> [Patient quote (Applebee 2012 <sup>5</sup> )] - Enabler  <i>Professional felt that expecting patients to make their own DRS appointment downgraded its perceived importance to patients, or was not patients' responsibility. This was exacerbated by the perceived rigidity of the appointment-booking system in another region.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Hipwell 2014 <sup>20</sup> )] - Barrier
	<b>Inability to get an appointment</b> <b>N = 6 studies</b> (N = 0 from UK) Studies: CDC <sup>11</sup> ; Chou <sup>12</sup> ; Dervan <sup>13</sup> ; Moss <sup>41</sup> ; Peng <sup>49</sup> ; Walker <sup>61</sup>	6	0	<i>Reservation is troublesome (6.5%).</i> [Statistical data (Peng 2010 <sup>49</sup> )]- Barrier  <i>The most commonly reported reasons for not receiving eye care in the preceding 12 months were...could not get appointment (6.4%).</i> [Statistical data (Chou 2014 <sup>12</sup> )] - Barrier
	<b>Receiving insufficient notice of appointment</b> <b>N = 3 studies</b> (N = 3 from UK) Studies: Hurrell & Donohoe <sup>22</sup> ; Strutton <sup>58</sup> ; Sachdeva <sup>53</sup> .	3	0	<i>Reasons for no-attendance included...(18%) said they did not receive the invitation.</i> [Statistical data (Sachdeva 2012 <sup>53</sup> )] - Barrier  <i>...Some also stated practical difficulties in relation to being about when the appointment came and also problems with the reliability of the post and /or post reaching them (especially when the individual lived in a flat).</i> [Author interpreted summary (Hurrell & Donohoe 2012 <sup>22</sup> )] - Barrier

	<p><b>Centralised systems</b> N = 2 studies</p> <p>(N = 2 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Lindenmeyer<sup>36</sup>; Applebee<sup>5</sup>.</p>	2	1	<p><i>Some of the practices...just hadn't got any sort of system at all. So a centralised system is a good thing.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Lindenmeyer 2014<sup>36</sup>)] - Enabler</p> <p><i>Some service providers identified outpatient's appointments systems as problematic.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Applebee 2012<sup>5</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
<p><b>Time (Service issues)</b> (N = 11)</p>	<p><b>Length of wait time (e.g. Delays)</b></p> <p>N = 6 studies</p> <p>(N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies; van Eijk<sup>60</sup>; Pasagian-Macaulay<sup>47</sup>; Hartnett<sup>17</sup>; Lewis<sup>35</sup>; Al-Malki<sup>4</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	6	0	<p><i>Responses indicated that a long wait in the doctor's office/clinic was the greatest barrier.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Pasagian-Macaulay (1997<sup>47</sup>))] - Barrier</p> <p>Having to wait over 30 mins more likely in non-attenders than attenders. <i>Odds Ratio=0.5 (CI: 0.4-0.7).</i> [Predictive- Author analysis (van Eijk 2012<sup>60</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
	<p><b>Lengthy appointments</b></p> <p>N = 5 studies</p> <p>(N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Peng<sup>49</sup>; Hipwell<sup>20</sup>; Fisher<sup>14</sup>; Hartnett<sup>17</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	5	0	<p><i>In one region, appointments lasting for several hour at optometry practices were potentially a deterrent. One patient recognised that lengthy food abstinence was particular inappropriate for diabetes patients.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Hipwell 2014<sup>20</sup>)] - Barrier</p> <p><i>Participants were concerned that multiple appointments scheduled at one time caused some patients to wait all day to see a physician.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Hartnett 2005<sup>17</sup>)]- Barrier</p>
<p><b>Referral Issues</b> (N=8)</p>	<p><b>Lack of referral (including no access to doctor)</b></p> <p>N = 6 studies</p> <p>(N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Al-Malki<sup>4</sup>; Onakpoya<sup>44</sup>; Hipwell<sup>20</sup>; Al-Alawi<sup>3</sup>; CDC<sup>11</sup>; Chou<sup>12</sup></p>	6	0	<p><i>...Lack of referral by attending physician [45.8%] were the main barriers to having previous dilated eye exams.</i> [Statistical data (Onakpoya 2010<sup>44</sup>)] - Barrier</p> <p><i>I tried to get a new appointment at the eye clinic but the doctor refused to see me without a new referral.</i> [Patient quote (Al-Malki 2009<sup>4</sup>)] - Barrier</p>

	<p><b>Inaccurate register</b></p> <p><b>N = 2 studies</b> (N = 2 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Lindenmeyer<sup>36</sup>; Applebee<sup>5</sup>.</p>	2	0	<p><i>Practice administrators aimed to add their patients to the DESP lists as soon as possible by letter or fax. Systematic checks of the lists before the annual screening appointment or at the annual QOF audit time were used as a backstop. However, patients could fall through the net. [Author interpreted summary (Lindenmeyer 2014<sup>36</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>We did not receive any letters from the BRI apart from the one stating that she had been discharge. [Patient quote (Applebee 2012<sup>5</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
<p><b>Specialist diabetes services</b> (N = 7)</p>	<p><b>Integration of services</b></p> <p><b>N= 5 studies</b> (N = 3 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Livingstone<sup>37</sup>; Hipwell<sup>20</sup>; Al-Malki<sup>4</sup>; Lindenmeyer<sup>36</sup>; Jones<sup>26</sup></p>	1	4	<p><i>The GPs also believed that the local diabetes educators and local diabetes clinics were vital for the dissemination of information. [Author interpreted summary (Livingstone 1998<sup>37</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p> <p><i>The greatest barriers were inflexible or incompatible administrative systems...[Author interpreted summary (Lindenmeyer 2014<sup>36</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
	<p><b>Specialist staff</b></p> <p><b>N = 2 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies; Jones<sup>26</sup>; Tapp<sup>59</sup></p>	0	2	<p>Presence of a nurse with special responsibility for diabetes associated with increased uptake. <i>Odds Ratio = 1.13(CI: 1.00-1.29)</i>. [Predictive - Author analysis (Jones 2011<sup>26</sup>)] - Enabler</p> <p>Visiting a diabetes nurse in the previous 12 months was an independent predictor of having had an eye examination. <i>Odds Ratio = 1.89 (1.20-2.95); P = 0.012</i>. [Predictive - Author analysis (Tapp 2004<sup>59</sup>)] - Enabler</p>
<p><b>Consequences of private insurance</b> (N = 5)</p>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 5 studies</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Rajput<sup>51</sup>; Hwang<sup>23</sup>; Paksin-Hall<sup>46</sup>; Sheppler<sup>55</sup>; Kiran<sup>29</sup></p>	2	3	<p>Those with health insurance had increased odds of undergoing a dilated eye examination within the past year. <i>Adjusted Odds Ratio = 1.75 (CI: 1.42-2.16)</i>. [Predictive - Author analysis (Paskin-Hall 2013<sup>46</sup>)] - Enabler</p> <p><i>The delisting of routine eye examinations for health adults in Ontario had the unintended consequence of reducing publicly funded retinopathy screening for people with diabetes [Author interpreted summary (Kiran 2013<sup>29</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
<p><b>Availability of dedicated screening resources</b> (N = 2)</p>	<p><b>No sub-themes</b></p> <p><b>N = 2 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK)</p>	1	1	<p>Attending a clinic with access to a nonmydriatic camera increased the likelihood of the completion of annual diabetic eye exam compared to patients attending clinics with no access. <i>Odds ratio =1.51 (CI: 1.05-2.15); P = 0.02</i>. [Predictive - Author analysis (Hatef 2015<sup>18</sup>)] - Enabler</p>

	Studies: Lindenmeyer <sup>36</sup> ; Hatel <sup>18</sup>			<i>Another difficulty was the practices needed to allocate a room for screeners and their mobile equipment. This arrangement was seen as superior to a van in the practice care part, but led to other practice staff feeling crowded out and screeners working in isolation if practice staff were not involved, for example, by preparing patients for screening. [Author interpreted summary (Lindenmeyer 2014<sup>36</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
<b>Screening perceived as too resource intensive (N=2)</b>	<b>No sub-themes</b> <b>N = 2 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK) Studies: Lindenmeyer <sup>36</sup> ; Hatel <sup>18</sup>	2	0	<i>However, integrating screening and routine care became problematic in one practice as the nurse felt that involvement in screening took too much of their resources [Author interpreted summary (Lindenmeyer 2014<sup>36</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
<b>Perceptions of the hospital environment (N=2)</b>	<b>Feeling (un)comfortable</b> <b>N=1 study</b> (N = 0 from UK) Study: Arora <sup>6</sup>	1	1	<i>Attendance to the clinics increased largely due to familiarity, comfort, more time spent with a patient. [HCP quote (Arora 2013<sup>6</sup>)] - Enabler</i>
	<b>Seriousness</b> <b>N = 1 study</b> (N = 1 from UK) Study: John <sup>25</sup>	0	1	<i>While participants agreed that it was good to have screening locally, some said that, if it were hospital appointment, people would take it more seriously. [Author interpreted summary (John 2014<sup>25</sup>)] - Enabler</i>



**Domain: Social Influences (N = 33 studies)**

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
<b>Doctor-Patient communication</b> (N = 29)	<b>Absence or presence of a HCP recommendation to attend screening</b>  <b>N = 14 studies</b>  (N = 2 from UK)  Studies: Al-Malki <sup>4</sup> ; Schoenfeld <sup>54</sup> ; Orton <sup>45</sup> ; Griffen-Shirley <sup>16</sup> ; Moss <sup>41</sup> ; Roy <sup>52</sup> ; van Eijk <sup>60</sup> ; Dervan <sup>13</sup> ; John <sup>25</sup> ; Adriono <sup>2</sup> ; Hwang <sup>23</sup> ; Yuan <sup>64</sup> ; Walker <sup>61</sup> ; Buonaccorso <sup>9</sup>	10	4	<p><i>Among the appropriately screened participants, the main positive incentives identified were...physician recommendation of the need for regular ocular examination (17%). [Statistical data (Dervan 2008<sup>13</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p> <p><i>The doctor said it was important to go... ' was a particularly potent incentive being positively endorsed by 98% of the sample [Statistical data (Walker 1997<sup>61</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p> <p><i>My doctor did not mention anything about the importance of being seen at the eye clinic. If he mentions it, I will attend...I am sure. [Patient quote (Al- Maki 2009<sup>4</sup>)]- Barrier</i></p> <p><i>[Barrier]...Doctor didn't say to go (27%) [Statistical data (Walker 1997<sup>61</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>No eye screening recommendation occurred more often in no-attenders than attenders. Odds ratio = 0.002 (CI: 0.0001-0.006). [Predictive - Author analysis (van Eijk 2012<sup>60</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
	<b>Language</b>  <b>N = 10 studies</b> (N = 4 from UK)  Studies: Massaro <sup>40</sup> ; Applebee <sup>5</sup> ; John <sup>25</sup> ; Arora <sup>6</sup> ; Griffen-Shirley <sup>16</sup> ; Sachdeva <sup>53</sup> ; Rajput <sup>51</sup> ; Silver <sup>57</sup> ; Lindenmeyer <sup>36</sup> ; Lu <sup>203</sup>	9	0	<p><i>...2% said that they did not attend because of language difficulties. [Statistical data (Sachdeva 2012<sup>53</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>While participants agreed that language could be an issue, most said they had systems in place to overcome this problem, such as use of interpreters and family members. In contrast, screeners focused on the language barrier as an issue they face in everyday practice. [Author interpreted summary (John 2014<sup>25</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>

	<p><b>General lack of information provision</b></p> <p><b>N = 4 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: John<sup>25</sup>; Hartnett<sup>17</sup>; Lake<sup>31</sup>; Buonaccorso<sup>9</sup></p>	4	0	<p><i>GPs, nurses, opticians and ophthalmologist came in for severe criticism by some participants and screeners with regard to communication and information sharing. Most expressed disappointment at the lack of information provided on diagnosis... [Author interpreted summary (John 2014<sup>25</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>...if he (GP) had told me that sometimes even before the (DR) diagnosis there could be retinopathy that would definitely have had an influence. [Patient quote (Lake Unknown date<sup>31</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
	<p><b>Unable to contact patients</b></p> <p><b>N = 1 study</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Study: Hartnett<sup>17</sup></p>	1	0	<p><i>...whereas ophthalmologist listed...patients not having telephones or mechanisms for communications... [Author interpreted summary (Hartnett 2005<sup>17</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
<p><b>Absence or presence of support from family members</b> (N = 12 )</p>	<p><b>Instrumental/pragmatic support</b></p> <p><b>N =7 studies</b> (N = 3 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Massaro<sup>40</sup>; Strutton<sup>58</sup>, Peng<sup>49</sup>; Yuan<sup>64</sup>; John<sup>25</sup>; Lindenmeyer<sup>36</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	6	1	<p><i>Patients who lived within easy walking/bus distance from the practice and those driving by a spouse or relative were generally satisfied, whereas others found getting home difficult...[Author interpreted summary (Lindenmeyer 2014<sup>36</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p> <p><i>...and it was their family member's unavailability that had prevented them from attending. [Author interpreted summary (Strutton 2016<sup>58</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
	<p><b>Social/emotional support</b></p> <p><b>N = 5 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Lake<sup>31</sup>; Lewis<sup>35</sup>; Khandekar<sup>28</sup>; Lee<sup>34</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	3	2	<p><i>My wife (is) always encouraging me, she always reminds me. She's always asking "are you due for an eye check. Have you done your eye check?" [Patient quote (Lake Unknown date<sup>31</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p> <p><i>By contrast non-attendees reported their families did not understand the necessity for repeated clinic attendances. [Author interpreted summary (Lewis 2007<sup>35</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
<p><b>Trust in the doctor</b> (N = 4)</p>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 4 studies</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p>	3	1	<p><i>Discussion with both the program administrator and patient s reinforced the concept that community-based clinics foster feelings of trust and support amongst attendees. [Author interpreted summary (Arora 2013<sup>6</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p> <p><i>[Barrier]...Don't trust doctors (9%)...[Statistical data (Walker 1997<sup>61</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>

	Studies: Arora <sup>6</sup> ; Peek <sup>48</sup> ; van Eijk <sup>60</sup> ; Walker <sup>61</sup>			
<b>Stigma (N = 3)</b>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 3 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Silver<sup>57</sup>; Lake<sup>31</sup>; John<sup>25</sup></p>	3	0	<p><i>Other barriers encountered by health professionals include...confronting social stigma relating to having the disease. [Author interpreted summary (Silver 2006<sup>57</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>Participants in our women-only focus group interviews talked about being 'ashamed' about other people knowing their health problems. [Author interpreted summary (John 2014<sup>25</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
<b>Support from local community groups/networks (N = 3)</b>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 3 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Livingstone<sup>37</sup>; Arora<sup>6</sup>; John<sup>25</sup></p>	0	3	<p><i>Others believed that one should not rely solely on GPs to disseminate this information and one should use all available community networks to promote the service. [Author interpreted summary (Livingstone 1998<sup>37</sup>)]- Enabler</i></p> <p><i>Discussion with both the program administrator and patients reinforced the concept that community-based clinics foster feeling of trust and support amongst attendees. [Author interpreted summary (Arora (2013<sup>6</sup>))] - Enabler</i></p>
<b>Media attention (N = 3)</b>	<p><b>Lack of media attention</b></p> <p><b>N = 1 study</b> (N=1 from UK)</p> <p>Study: Hipwell<sup>20</sup></p>	1	0	<i>I don't think screening is something that's pushed as much as other screening. I mean retinal screening is...I'd say it's important ... but things like breast cancer, there's a lot more press about it. [HCP quote (Hipwell 2014<sup>20</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
	<p><b>Using media to promote attendance</b></p> <p><b>N = 2 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Livingstone<sup>37</sup>; John<sup>25</sup></p>	0	2	<p><i>Local television and radio channels were highlighted as excellent forums to raise health promotion and improve awareness. [Author interpreted summary (John 2014<sup>25</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p> <p><i>The members recommended the use of the local media to promote the service. [Author interpreted summary (Livingstone 1998<sup>37</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p>
<b>Cultural/Social compatibility between patient/HCP (N = 2)</b>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 2 studies</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p>	1	1	<i>Patients felt nurses of Aboriginal descent could better empathize about this than doctors could, and thereby provide culturally-relevant recommendations...[Author interpreted summary (Arora 2013<sup>6</sup>)] - Enabler</i>

	Studies: Al-Alawi <sup>3</sup> ; Arora <sup>6</sup>			<i>[Perception of barriers for eyecare}. Lack of gender specific eyecare professionals (60%). [Statistical data (Al-Alawi 2016<sup>3</sup>)]- Barrier</i>
<b>Communication issues within or between services (N = 2)</b>	<b>No sub-theme N = 2 studies</b> (N = 2 from UK)  Studies: Strutton <sup>58</sup> ; Lindenmeyer <sup>36</sup>	2	0	<i>In all but the three highest performing practice, practice staff and screeners identified communication issues between practices and screening series. [Author interpreted summary (Lindenmeyer 2014<sup>36</sup>)] = Barrier</i>  <i>Some patients were reported by general practice staff to be known to be out of the area/country, some permanently. A small number of patients were known by general practice staff to have no fixed abode [Author interpreted summary (Strutton 2016<sup>58</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
<b>Obligation to attend (N = 1)</b>	<b>No sub-theme N = 1 study</b> (N = 0 from UK)  Study: van Eijk <sup>60</sup>	0	1	<i>Feeling obliged to attend screening occurred less often among non-attenders than attenders. Odds ratio = 7.7 (4.2-14.3). [Predictive - Author analysis (van Eijk 2012<sup>60</sup>)] - Enabler</i>
<b>Having a screening team leader (N = 1)</b>	<b>No sub-theme N = 1 study</b> (N = 0 from UK)  Study: Lindenmeyer <sup>36</sup>	0	1	<i>...study participants also stresses the importance of an identified team leader. [Author interpreted summary (Lindenmeyer 2014<sup>36</sup>)] - Enabler</i>

**Domain: Knowledge (N = 33 studies)**

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
<b>Awareness of illness (diabetes/DR and the link between them)</b>  <b>(N = 21)</b>	<b>(Lack of) Awareness of diabetic retinopathy</b>  <b>N = 10 studies</b> (N = 3 from UK)  Studies: Applebee <sup>5</sup> ; Adriono <sup>2</sup> ; Hipwell <sup>20</sup> ; Mumba <sup>42</sup> ; van Eijk <sup>60</sup> ; Shepler <sup>55</sup> ; Lake <sup>31</sup> , Lewis <sup>35</sup> ; Khandekar <sup>28</sup> ; Kovarik <sup>30</sup>	5	7	<i>The almost 100 per cent motivating factor for people under 65 who regularly attend for DR screening was their knowledge that bleeding in their eyes can lead to blindness. [Author interpreted summary (Applebee 2012<sup>5</sup>)] - Enabler</i>  <i>If I had realised the possibility that it would suddenly go, that I wouldn't realise that it was coming on, I think I would have taken more care. It's all great in hindsight but if I knew then what I know now, then this problem wouldn't have happened. [Patient quote (Lewis 2007<sup>35</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
	<b>(Lack of) Awareness of the link between diabetes and retinopathy</b> <b>N = 7 studies</b> (N = 5 from UK)  Studies: Applebee <sup>5</sup> ; Rajput <sup>51</sup> ; Peng <sup>49</sup> ; Lake <sup>31</sup> ; John <sup>25</sup> ; Lewis <sup>35</sup> ; Hurrell & Donohoe <sup>22</sup>	3	4	The belief that diabetes could affect vision was found to be significantly associated with reported receipt of DR exam. <i>Beta weight = -1.407; P = 0.030.</i> [Predictor - Author analysis (Peng 2010 <sup>49</sup> )] - Enabler  <i>...a number of the professionals consulted stated that members of the community don't always have a clear understanding of the connection between diabetes and eye health [Author interpreted summary (Hurrell &amp; Donohoe 2012<sup>22</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
	<b>(Lack of) Awareness/knowledge of diabetes</b>  <b>N = 4 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK)  Studies: Cano <sup>10</sup> ; Hartnett <sup>17</sup> ; Schoenfeld <sup>54</sup> ; Hurrell & Donohoe <sup>22</sup>	4	0	<i>The most cited barrier to diabetic eye care was lack of patient education and knowledge about diabetes mellitus. [Author interpreted summary (Hartnett 2005<sup>17</sup>)] - Barrier</i>  Less self-reported practical knowledge of diabetes were predictive of nonadherence. <i>Odds Ratio = 1.57 (1.18-2.08)</i> [Predictors - Author analysis (Schoenfeld 2001 <sup>54</sup> )] - Barrier

<b>(Lack of ) Awareness of screening</b>  <b>(N = 16)</b>	<b>(Lack of) Awareness of importance of screening</b>  <b>N = 9 studies</b> (N = 3 from UK)  Studies: Yuan <sup>64</sup> ; Al-Malki <sup>4</sup> ; Applebee <sup>5</sup> ; John <sup>25</sup> ; Mumba <sup>42</sup> ; Lee <sup>34</sup> ; Peng <sup>49</sup> ; Orton <sup>45</sup> ; Livingstone <sup>37</sup>	6	3	<i>They know it is important that they go, and so they keep the appointment...[Author interpreted summary (Applebee 2012<sup>5</sup>)]- Enabler</i>  <i>Last year my daughter told me to check my eye, I did not believe her, I said that my eye no problem, I don't think it can go to blind immediately. Now it's too late, my left eye cannot see. [Patient quote (Yuan 2007)<sup>64</sup>] - Barrier</i>  <i>Reasons given by patients for non-attendance in last two years: I don't know it is necessary (43.2%) [Statistical data (Peng 2010<sup>49</sup>)]- Barrier</i>
	<b>(Lack of )Awareness of recommended frequency of screening</b>  <b>N = 4 studies</b> (N = 0 from UK)  Studies: Schoenfeld <sup>54</sup> ; Adriono <sup>2</sup> ; Dervan <sup>13</sup> ; Buonaccorso <sup>9</sup>	4	1	<i>In our study...the knowledge of the requirement for a yearly-dilated eye exam are predisposing factors that positively influence the uptake of screening. [Author interpreted summary (Dervan 2008<sup>13</sup>)] - Enabler</i>  <i>The most common reasons [for not having sought eye examinations] were...did not know the eyes should be examined regularly (25 of 160 [15.6%]). [Statistical data (Adriono 2011<sup>2</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
	<b>General lack of awareness (of screening)</b>  <b>N = 3 studies</b> (N = 1 study from UK)  Studies: Njambi <sup>43</sup> ; Al-Malki <sup>4</sup> ; Hipwell <sup>20</sup>	2	1	<i>Antecedents to attendance included knowledge about diabetic retinopathy and screening. [Author interpreted summary (Hipwell 2014<sup>20</sup>)] - Enabler</i>  <i>Lack of awareness among non-attending patient was confirmed in this study; 22% explained that they do not know anything about DR screening [Author interpreted summary (Al-Malki 2009<sup>4</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
<b>Confusion between screening and routine eye tests</b>  <b>(N = 8)</b>	<b>No sub-themes</b>  <b>N = 8 studies</b> (N = 6 from UK)	8	0	<i>The most common reason why patients did not have a dilated examination by an ophthalmologist during the previous year was...being unaware of the difference between an ophthalmologist and an optometrist (20.8%). [Statistical data (Roy 2004<sup>52</sup>)] - Barrier</i>  <i>A number of patients and a few general practice staff provided reasons for</i>

	Studies: Sachdeva <sup>53</sup> ; Peng <sup>49</sup> ; Roy <sup>52</sup> ; Hipwell <sup>20</sup> ; Hurrell & Donohoe <sup>22</sup> ; Applebee <sup>5</sup> ; Strutton <sup>58</sup> ; John <sup>25</sup>			<i>their/their patients' non-attendance that demonstrated them being misinformed. These included: not understanding that diabetic retinopathy screening is not performed as part of a standard optician eye test...</i> [Author interpreted summary (Strutton 2016 <sup>58</sup> )]- Barrier
<b>Education and training</b> (N = 7)	<b>(Lack of) Education on importance of screening</b>  N = 4 Studies (N = 0 in UK)  Studies: Fisher <sup>14</sup> ; Will <sup>63</sup> ; Byun <sup>8</sup> ; Buonaccorso <sup>9</sup>	4	1	The more recently patients participated in a blindness prevention program, the more likely they were to have annual examinations ( $P = 0.002$ ). [Predictions - Author analysis (Will 1994 <sup>63</sup> )]- Enabler  <i>Lack of targeted patient education about the importance of dilated eye examination was cited as a common barrier to compliance with exams by both patients (12/290 and providers (13/18)).</i> [Statistical data (Fisher 2015 <sup>14</sup> )]-Barrier
	<b>General self-management education/training</b>  N = 2 studies (N = 0 in UK)  Studies: Gala <sup>15</sup> ; Paksin-Hall <sup>46</sup>	0	2	Receiving diabetes self-management education significantly increased the likelihood of obtaining annual dilated examinations. <i>Odds Ratio = 1.56, (CI: 1.27-1.92); <math>P &lt; 0.001</math>.</i> [Predictions - Author analysis (Paksin-Hall 2013 <sup>46</sup> )]- Enabler  Participants in diabetes management classes had increased odds of undergoing a dilated eye examination within the past year. <i>Adjusted Odds Ratio = 1.40 (CI: 1.24-1.57).</i> [Predictions - Author analysis (Gala 2013 <sup>15</sup> )]-Enabler
	<b>Biofeedback as educational resource</b>  (N = 1) (1 in UK)  Study: Lewis <sup>35</sup>	0	1	<i>Patients believed that the images produced by retinopathy screening could be a valuable educational device. Although some patients could not understand the images presented, others were able to see the progression of their condition, and found this helpful in motivating them to come to the clinic</i> [Author interpreted summary Lewis 2007 <sup>35</sup> ]- Enabler
<b>Misunderstanding of treatment options for DR</b>  (N = 2)	<b>No sub-themes</b>  N = 2 studies (1 from UK)  Studies: Schoenfeld <sup>54</sup> ; John <sup>25</sup>	2	0	<i>...nonadherent participants were more likely than adherent participants to believe that no treatment for diabetic retinopathy is currently available (48% vs. 35%; <math>P &lt; 0.001</math>.</i> [Prediction – Author analysis (Schoenfeld 2001 <sup>54</sup> )] - Barrier  <i>...a patient who came to the hospital and had an occlusion in their eye...had gone completely blind, but left it a week, as they had a screening appointment and thought we will be able to do something about it.</i> [Screeener quote (John 2014 <sup>25</sup> )] - Barrier

**Domain: Memory, Attention and Decision Processes (N = 31)**

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
<b>Symptoms</b> (N = 24)	<b>Absence of symptoms</b>  <b>N = 21 studies</b> (N = 6 from UK)  Studies: Griffen-Shirley <sup>16</sup> ; Moss <sup>41</sup> ; Massaro <sup>40</sup> ; Njambi <sup>43</sup> ; Rajput <sup>51</sup> ; John <sup>25</sup> ; Kovarik <sup>30</sup> ; Al-Malki <sup>4</sup> ; Onakpoya <sup>44</sup> ; Roy <sup>52</sup> ; Peng <sup>49</sup> ; Adriono <sup>2</sup> ; Lee <sup>34</sup> ; Will <sup>63</sup> ; Hipwell <sup>20</sup> ; Hurrell & Donohoe <sup>22</sup> ; Lindenmeyer <sup>36</sup> ; Applebee <sup>5</sup> ; Yuan <sup>64</sup> ; Laver <sup>32</sup> ; Walker <sup>61</sup>	21	0	<i>The most common reasons [for not having sought eye examinations] were 'felt vision was good, no need to examine the eyes' (72 of 160 [45%]). [Statistical data (Adriono 2011<sup>2</sup>)] - Barrier</i>  <i>...absence of eye symptoms [50.8%] ...was a main barrier to having previous dilated eye examination. [Statistical data (Onakpoya 2010<sup>44</sup>)] - Barrier</i>  <i>...you don't recognise that the vision is going until it is too late [Patient quote (Hipwell 2014<sup>20</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
	<b>Presence of symptoms</b>  <b>N = 2 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK)  Studies: Hurrell & Donohoe <sup>22</sup> ; Yuan <sup>64</sup>	0	2	<i>I am keen to attend as I have noticed diabetes affecting my eye sight. [Patient quote (Hurrell &amp; Donohoe 2012<sup>22</sup>)] - Enabler</i>  <i>I came here because my feel vision loss [Patient quote (Yuan 2007<sup>64</sup>)] - Enabler</i>
	<b>Symptoms attributed to old age</b>  <b>N = 1 study</b> (N = 0 from UK)  Study: Yuan <sup>64</sup>	1	0	<i>When I feel my vision loss I think may be because I am old, so I did not pay attention to it, only bought a pair of glasses [Patient quote (Yuan 2007<sup>64</sup>)] - Enabler</i>
<b>Competing health problems</b> (N=12)	<b>Burden of disease and its treatment (e.g. multiple appointments)</b>  <b>N = 5 studies</b>	5	0	<i>Lower priority compared to all the other things a DM patient has to deal with (12/18). [Statistical data (Rajput 2015<sup>51</sup>)] - Barrier</i>  <i>Patients stated that the burden of diabetes and its treatment, especially insulin</i>



	(N = 2 from UK) Studies: Lindenmeyer <sup>36</sup> ; Hartnett <sup>17</sup> ; Rajput <sup>51</sup> ; Kovarik <sup>30</sup> ; John <sup>25</sup>			<i>use, overshadowed concern about eye disease and the need to have yearly eye examinations. [Author interpreted summary (Hartnett 2005<sup>17</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
	<b>Comorbidities</b> <b>N = 4 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK) Studies: Puent <sup>50</sup> ; Lee <sup>34</sup> ; Orton <sup>45</sup> ; Peng <sup>49</sup>	4	0	<i>Reported reasons for noncompliance by participants with diabetic retinopathy included: other medical condition(s) that took precedence over an eye examination. [Statistical data (Lee 2000<sup>34</sup>)] - Barrier</i>  <i>Reasons given by patients for non-attendance in last two years; ...I have other health problems to take care of (5.2%). [Statistical data (Peng 2010<sup>49</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
	<b>Feeling unwell</b> <b>N = 3 studies</b> (N = 3 from UK) Studies: Hipwell <sup>20</sup> ; Strutton <sup>58</sup> ; Sachdeva <sup>53</sup>	3	0	<i>Reasons for non-attendance included: ...24 (12%) were unwell at the time of the screening. [Statistical data (Sachdeva 2012<sup>53</sup>)]- Barrier</i>  <i>I [screening staff] called patient and his mother said that he is very ill at the moment...She said that he vomits a lot so it is difficult to get to appointments. [HCP quote (Strutton 2016<sup>58</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
<b>Forgetting</b> (N = 10)	<b>Forgetting to attend a screening appointment</b> <b>N = 6 studies</b> (N = 3 from UK) Studies: Puent <sup>50</sup> ; Strutton <sup>58</sup> ; Njambi <sup>43</sup> ; Hartnett <sup>17</sup> ; Applebee <sup>5</sup> ; Mackenzie <sup>39</sup>	6	0	<i>The main barriers were: ...Memory (forgot appointment) (8%). [Statistical data (Mackenzie 2015<sup>39</sup>)] - Barrier</i>  <i>Often people lose track about the various tests they have had – or even whether they have had them. [Service provider quote (Applebee 2012<sup>5</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
	<b>HCPs prompts and cues for patients</b> <b>N = 3 studies</b> (N = 2 from UK) Studies: Applebee <sup>5</sup> ; Livingstone <sup>37</sup> ; Lindenmeyer <sup>36</sup>	0	3	<i>Those who regularly attended a local eye service believed this was because they received a reminder letter...or telephone call. [Author interpreted summary (Livingstone 1998<sup>37</sup>)]- Enabler</i>  <i>Practice staff often described phoning patients, either in advance to remind them of their screening appointment or after they did not attend; they would then attempt to slot them in later the same week or at a central catch-up clinic. [Author interpreted summary (Lindenmeyer 2014<sup>36</sup>)] - Enabler</i>

	<p><b>Forgetting to make a screening appointment</b></p> <p><b>N = 1 study</b> (N =1 from UK)</p> <p>Study: Orton<sup>45</sup></p>	1	0	<p><i>Rather than making a deliberate decision not to be screened, patients said that they often simply forgot to make their screening appointment...</i>[Author interpreted summary (Orton 2013<sup>45</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
<p><b>Have been checked elsewhere</b> (N = 4)</p>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 4 studies</b> N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Puent<sup>50</sup>; Hipwell<sup>20</sup>; Moss<sup>41</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	4	0	<p><i>Transferring of eye care, either to a retinal specialist (18.6%) or another optometrist (11.6%).</i>[Statistical data (Puent 2004<sup>50</sup>)] - Barrier</p> <p><i>Reasons for not having examination by Ophthalmologist or Optometrist in previous year: ...13% (younger onset) and 9% (older onset) said they had their eyes examined by family physician</i> [Statistical data (Moss 1995<sup>41</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
<p><b>Knowing it's a routine test</b> (N = 3)</p>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>(N = 3 study)</b> N = 1 UK studies</p> <p>Study: Dervan<sup>13</sup>; Mackenzie<sup>39</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	0	3	<p>[Enabler] 'It's a routine test...' (87%) [Statistical data [Walker 1997<sup>61</sup>]]- Enbler</p> <p>[Main expressed motivating factor factors were: ...just part of routine diabetes care [Statistical data (Mackenzie 2015<sup>39</sup>)] - Enabler</p>
<p><b>Lack of attention given to screening</b> (N = 2)</p>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 2 studies</b></p> <p>(N= 2 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Chou<sup>12</sup>; CDC<sup>11</sup></p>	2	0	<p><i>Reasons given by women diagnosed with diabetic retinopathy for not receiving recommended follow-up eye examination: .... have not thought of it (5%).</i> [Statistical data (CDCP 2010<sup>11</sup>)] - Barrier</p>

**Domain: Beliefs about consequences (N = 25 studies)**

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
Short-term effects of screening (N= 13)	<p><b>Discomfort</b></p> <p>N = 10 studies (N = 3 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Strutton<sup>58</sup>; Pasagian-Macaulay<sup>47</sup>; Moss<sup>41</sup>; Mackenzie<sup>39</sup>; Yuan<sup>64</sup>; Peng<sup>49</sup>; Dervan<sup>13</sup>; Massaro<sup>40</sup>; Hipwell<sup>20</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	10	0	<p><i>We also found that the requirement for mydriasis may be a negatively influencing enabling factor [Author interpreted summary (Dervan 2008<sup>13</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>I don't want doctor to enlarge my pupil because I think it will hurt my eye, so I did not to see eye doctor [Patient quote, (Yuan 2007<sup>64</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
	<p><b>Inconvenience</b></p> <p>N= 3 studies (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Hipwell<sup>20</sup>; Dervan<sup>13</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	3	0	<p><i>Among the unscreened participants the barriers mentioned were the prohibition of driving after mydriasis (17%)... [Statistical data (Dervan 2008<sup>13</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>However, alternative travel arrangements also emerged as impractical because blurred vision caused an inability to navigate efficiently. [Author interpreted summary (Hipwell 2014<sup>20</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
Perceived necessity of screening (N = 13)	<p><b>General perception that screening is not necessary</b></p> <p>N = 6 studies (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Peng<sup>49</sup>; Roy<sup>52</sup>; Schoenfeld<sup>54</sup>; Chou<sup>12</sup>; Hipwell<sup>20</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	6	0	<p><i>Reasons given by patients for non-attendance in last two years: ...I feel it is unnecessary (23.9%). [Statistical data (Peng 2010<sup>49</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>Of those who reported not seeking eye care in the preceding 12 months, 39.7% reported 'no need' [Statistical data (Chou 2014<sup>12</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
	<p><b>Perception screening not necessary if diabetes is under control</b></p>	3	0	<p><i>...I think if I can control my blood sugar well, I don't need to see eye doctor every year, but now I know it is wrong... [Patient quote (Yuan 2007<sup>64</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>

	<p><b>N = 3 studies</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Yuan<sup>64</sup>; Rajput<sup>51</sup>; Al-Malki<sup>4</sup></p>			<p>...we can see that 48.2% of both genders and 48.1% of both nationalities (Arab/non-Arab) say that their blood sugar is under control and there is no need to attend DR screening. [Statistical data (Al-Malki 2009<sup>4</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
	<p><b>Perception screening not necessary in certain patient groups</b></p> <p><b>N =1 study</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Study: van Eijk<sup>60</sup></p>	1	0	<p>The belief that screening is not useful at their age (under 70) was more likely among non-attenders than attenders. <i>Odds ratio = 0.11 (CI 0.04-0.29)</i>. [Prediction - Author analysis (van Eijk 2012<sup>60</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
	<p><b>Perception screening not necessary if previous results were clear</b></p> <p><b>N = 3 studies</b> (N = 2 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Applebee<sup>5</sup>; Orton<sup>45</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	3	0	<p><i>Interviewees felt that people would be less inclined to go for screening again if previous screening results had repeatedly been clear ...as they would assume everything was fine.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Orton 2013<sup>45</sup>)] - Barrier</p> <p>[Barrier] <i>Already had test before; doctor said eyes fine (27%)</i> [Statistical data (Walker 1998<sup>61</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
<p><b>Screening provides valuable info on the health status of your eyes/vision</b></p> <p>(N = 9)</p>	<p><b>Early detection</b></p> <p><b>N = 5 studies</b> (N = 2 in UK)</p> <p>Studies: Pasagian-Macaulay<sup>47</sup>; Hipwell<sup>20</sup>; Lake<sup>31</sup>; Mackenzie<sup>39</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	0	5	<p><i>Early detection of eye problems was the most frequently agreed upon benefit (96% responded with definitely or probably.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Pasagian-Macaulay 1997<sup>47</sup>)] - Enabler</p> <p><i>I always think 'if I don't go, I won't know'; but then I want to know because it could be worse next.</i> [Patient quote (Lake Undated<sup>31</sup>)] - Enabler</p>
	<p><b>Reassurance</b></p> <p><b>N = 4 Studies</b> (N = 3 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Hipwell<sup>20</sup>; Hurrell &amp; Donohoe<sup>22</sup>; Laver<sup>32</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	0	4	<p><i>Participants' desire to obtain reassurance that their eyes were healthy was the only identified incentive to attend</i> [Author interpreted summary (Laver 2013<sup>32</sup>)] - Enabler</p> <p><i>I like the fact that you instantly see and can get a decent steer on if there is anything negative; it's complete peace of mind – well my results anyway</i> [Patient quote (Hipwell 2014<sup>20</sup>)] - Enabler</p>

<p><b>Salience of the consequences</b> (N = 4)</p>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 4 studies</b> (N - 3 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Hipwell<sup>20</sup>, Mackenzie<sup>39</sup>; Lewis<sup>35</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	<p>0</p>	<p>4</p>	<p><i>So what is it that encourages you to come [to the screening] then?...My brother-in-law he was a very bad diabetic...He actually died from it. He went blind first.</i> [Patient quote (Hipwell 2014<sup>20</sup>)] - Enabler</p> <p><i>The main expressed motivating factors were: ...family history of diabetes (8 %).</i> [Statistical data (Mackenzie 2015<sup>39</sup>)] - Enabler</p>
<p><b>Concerns about harmful effects of screening procedure</b> (N = 4)</p>	<p><b>No sub-themes</b></p> <p><b>N = 4 studies</b> (N = 2 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Sachdeva<sup>53</sup>; Hipwell<sup>20</sup>; Hossen<sup>21</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	<p>4</p>	<p>0</p>	<p><i>The main reason for refusal [to screen] was that retinal photos taken might worsen sight...</i> [Patient perspective (Hossen 2015<sup>21</sup>)] - Barrier</p> <p><i>Reasons for non-attendance included: ...had registered concerns about the procedure (4%).</i> [Statistical data (Sachdeva 2012<sup>53</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
<p><b>Lack of understanding of benefits of screening</b> (N = 1)</p>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 1 study</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Study: Rajput<sup>51</sup></p>	<p>1</p>	<p>0</p>	<p><i>Lack of understanding of the benefits (8/18).</i> [Statistical data (Rajput 2015<sup>51</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
<p><b>General doubt about the ability of conventional health care to change health status</b> (N = 1)</p>	<p>No sub-theme</p> <p>N = 1 study (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Study: Bell<sup>7</sup></p>	<p>0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>No association found between medical skepticism and dilated eye exams. [Statistical data (Bell 2011<sup>7</sup>)] – Non-finding</p>

**Domain: Emotion (N = 22 studies)**

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
<b>Fear/anxiety</b> (N = 22)	<b>Fear/anxiety of vision loss</b>  <b>N = 12 studies</b> (N = 3 from UK)  Studies: Mackenzie <sup>39</sup> ; Applebee <sup>5</sup> ; Dervan <sup>13</sup> ; Hartnett <sup>17</sup> ; Peng <sup>49</sup> ; van Eijk <sup>60</sup> ; Rajput <sup>51</sup> Njambi <sup>43</sup> ; Pasagian-Macaulay <sup>47</sup> ; Lewis <sup>35</sup> ; Cano <sup>10</sup> ; Walker <sup>61</sup>	6	7	<p><i>When asked why they attend regularly people gave answers such as “(I) don’t want to go blind”... “I am worried about going blind.”</i> [Patient quote (Applebee 2012<sup>5</sup>)] Enabler</p> <p>Worrying about eyes was found to be significantly associated with reported receipt of DR exam. <math>P = 0.017</math>. [Predictors - Author analysis (Peng 2010<sup>49</sup>)] - Enabler</p> <p><i>[Barrier] ...afraid doctor will find something wrong (11%)</i> [Statistical data (Walker 1997<sup>61</sup>)] - Barrier</p> <p><i>Although fear of losing vision acted as an incentive to attend the eye clinic. Fear of being given a diagnosis of impending blindness was a power disincentive.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Lewis 2007<sup>35</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
	<b>Fear/anxiety about DRS procedure</b>  <b>N = 6 studies</b> (N = 3 from UK)  Studies: Lake <sup>31</sup> ; Lu <sup>38</sup> ; Strutton <sup>58</sup> ; Hipwell <sup>20</sup> ; Lewis <sup>35</sup> ; Walker <sup>61</sup>	6	0	<p><i>The most common anxiety expressed was that of patients disliking the eye drops used during screening.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Strutton 2016<sup>58</sup>)] - Barrier</p> <p><i>Dilation leads to operations</i> [Patient quote (Walker 1997<sup>61</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
	<b>Fear/anxiety of treatment implications</b>  <b>N = 3 studies</b> (N = 0 from UK)  Studies: Al-Alawi <sup>3</sup> ; Khandekar <sup>28</sup> ;	3	0	<p><i>Defaulting patients stated that the main barriers to presenting for an appointment were: ...fear of laser treatment...[Author interpreted summary (Khandekar 2011<sup>28</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>I was scared of what damage was done, I was scare of what would have to be done or if anything could be done, if there was damage. I was scared of losing me (driving) license, I mean that would really leave me in a pickle. Just scared I</i></p>

	Lake <sup>31</sup>			<i>suppose of confronting the fact that my eyesight could be permanently damaged...being confronted with what's there. [Patient quote (Lake Unknown date<sup>31</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
	<p><b>Absence of fear or worry about vision loss</b></p> <p><b>N = 1 study</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Study: Schoenfeld<sup>54</sup></p>	1	0	<i>...nonadherent participants expressed less concern about losing their vision from diabetes than adherent participants (46% vs. 40% seldom or never worried about it; P&lt;0.001. [Statistical data (Schoenfeld 2001<sup>54</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
<b>Defensive responses (N = 4)</b>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 4 studies</b> (N = 2 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Rajput<sup>51</sup>; Laver<sup>32</sup>; Al-Malki<sup>4</sup>; Strutton<sup>58</sup>.</p>	4	0	<p><i>Nothing will force me to attend...I cannot suggest anything. [Patient quote (Al-Malki 2009<sup>4</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>The young adults who participated wanted to attend screening but actively engaged in strategies that prevented them from prioritising it. [Author interpreted summary (Laver 2013<sup>32</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
<b>Emotional burden of diabetes in general (N = 3)</b>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 3 studies</b> (N = 2 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Applebee<sup>5</sup>; Hartnett<sup>17</sup>; Lewis<sup>35</sup></p>	3	0	<i>I couldn't help getting my diabetes, but going to eye clinics is about my having failed with my looking after my diabetes. So it has a very different feel to it. Every time I go, it re-enforces my sense of failure about not looking after my diabetes properly.[Patient quote (Lewis 2007<sup>35</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
<b>The need for HCPs to express compassion (N = 2)</b>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 2 studies</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Hartnett<sup>17</sup>; Arora<sup>6</sup></p>	0	2	<p><i>Both groups cited the importance of physician compassion to patients. [Author interpreted summary (Hartnett 2005<sup>17</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p> <p><i>When the nurses speak with the patients, they would commonly ask "How do you feel emotions? How do you feel spiritually?" This is a method of communication about health that the Aboriginal people better understand. [Author interpreted summary (Arora 2013<sup>6</sup>)].</i></p>

<p><b>Depression</b> (N =1)</p>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 1 study</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Study: Lu<sup>38</sup></p>	<p>1</p>	<p>0</p>	<p><i>...more Hispanic patients [compared to African Americans] felt that being “upset” or “depressed” was a barrier (32 vs. 11% P= 0.03).[Statistical data (Lu 2016<sup>38</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p>
<p><b>Screening is enjoyable</b> (N = 1)</p>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 1 study</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Study: Lake<sup>31</sup></p>	<p>0</p>	<p>1</p>	<p><i>It was actually quite fun. I don't know why I put it off. I was really scared going in but definitely not now. I'm not fazed by it at all. The thought that I can control this is quite reassuring. [Patient quote (Lake Unknown date<sup>31</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p>



**Domain: Social professional role and identity (N = 10 studies)**

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
<b>Illness identity</b> (N = 6)	<b>Not identifying as having diabetes or feeling uncomfortable with identifying as a person with diabetes</b>  N = 5 studies (N = 2 in UK)  Studies: Lake <sup>31</sup> ; Strutton <sup>58</sup> ; Puent <sup>50</sup> ; Cano <sup>10</sup> ; Hipwell <sup>20</sup> .	5	0	<i>In response to being asked why people might not attend DRS, professionals and patients both acknowledged that denial of having diabetes could contribute [Author interpreted summary (Hipwell 2014<sup>20</sup>)] - Barrier</i>  <i>Not yet accepted the diagnosis of diabetes and its associated indications for periodic care (denial) (7%). [Statistical data (Puent 2004<sup>50</sup>)] - Barrier</i>  <i>I've been to a Living Well with Diabetes event...the one thing I found is that probably 95% of the room comprised of 60+ year olds. So there was only a handful, literally 10 people out of 300 that were my age group. Being in that kind of environment felt a bit strange to me.[Patient quote (Lake unknown date<sup>31</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
	<b>Disliking having diabetes</b>  N = 1 study (N = 0 in UK)  Study: Lewis <sup>35</sup>	1	0	<i>I can't help it. I don't like being diabetic...I am as normal as anybody else, I can do what anybody else can do [Patient quote (Lewis 2007<sup>35</sup>)] - Barrier</i>
<b>HCPs role in diabetic retinopathy screening</b> (N = 5)	<b>HCPs responsibility to make an appointment for patient</b>  N = 3 study (N = 0 in UK)  Study: Hipwell <sup>20</sup> ; Buonaccorso <sup>9</sup> ; Peng <sup>49</sup>	0	3	<i>They believe [Practitioners] that once the patient sees an eye-care practitioner annual follow-up would best be scheduled by that eye-care practitioner [Author interpreted summary (Buonaccorso 1999<sup>9</sup>)] - Enabler</i>  <i>I used to need doctor to make an appointment for me (1.3%). [Statistical data (Peng 2010<sup>49</sup>)] - Enabler</i>
	<b>Professionals responsibility to explain the implications of diagnoses to their patients</b>	1	0	<i>As soon as I had diabetes diagnosed somebody should have explained to me more fully what the implications are. Because it's alright them giving you a leaflet and sending you home...but even though you read it, there's this kind of</i>

	<p><b>N = 1 study</b> (N = 0 in UK)</p> <p>Study: Hipwell<sup>20</sup></p>			<p><i>silly thing, 'oh it won't happen to me'.</i> [Author interpreted summary (Hipwell 2014<sup>20</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
	<p><b>HCPs responsibility to tell the patient to attend screening</b></p> <p><b>N = 1 study</b> (N = 0 in UK)</p> <p>Study: Buonaccorso<sup>9</sup></p>	1	0	<p><i>The eye-care practitioners acknowledge that in many instances they do not tell patients to return for a follow-up visit unless there is a problem</i> [Author interpreted summary (Buonaccorso 1999<sup>9</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
<p><b>Compatibility with social/cultural identity (N = 2)</b></p>	<p><b>No sub-themes</b></p> <p><b>N = 2 studies</b> (N = 2 in UK)</p> <p>Studies: Arora<sup>6</sup>; Silver<sup>57</sup></p>	2	0	<p><i>Interviews with a cultural liaison revealed that hospitals were also avoided due to the belief that 'when in the hospital, one is disconnected from Mother Earth'. A common belief in some Aboriginal communities is that in order to feed the spirit, one must stay connected to nature</i> [Author interpreted summary (Arora 2013<sup>6</sup>)] - Barrier</p> <p><i>Specific to the patient and healthcare professional relationship, the research revealed that diabetes health professionals are frustrated both with American Indian and Alaska Natives long phases of denial and with their own inability to encourage self-empowerment among their patients</i> [Author interpreted summary (Silver 2006<sup>57</sup>)] - Barrier</p>

**Domain: Goals (N = 11 studies)**

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
<b>Goal priority (N =11)</b>	<p><b>Protecting vision, a priority</b></p> <p><b>N = 8 studies</b> (N = 2 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Schoenfeld<sup>54</sup>; Sheppler<sup>55</sup>; Kovarik<sup>30</sup>; Njambi<sup>43</sup>; Roy<sup>52</sup>; Hipwell<sup>20</sup>; Mackenzie<sup>39</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	5	3	<p><i>I want my vision so I can see my grandchildren [Patient quote (Walker 1997<sup>61</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p> <p><i>Barriers to diabetic retinopathy screening examinations as reported by those patients who did not have dilated fundus examinations in the previous year: Not a priority (19%). [Statistical data (Kovarik 2016<sup>30</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
	<p><b>Goal priority of DRS relative to other diabetes self-management requirements</b></p> <p><b>N = 2 studies</b> (N = 2 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Applebee<sup>5</sup>; John<sup>25</sup></p>	2	0	<p><i>Multiple appointments and comorbidities were frequently cited as barriers to screening, with patients required to visit the hospital or FP practice on several occasions, sometimes related to the same problem. One participant expressed concern when she had to visit the hospital four times in one week [Author interpreted summary (John 2014<sup>25</sup>)] = Barrier</i></p> <p><i>Having diabetes is very hard work and the patient has to have a lot of incentives to actively comply with everything that is expected of them. [Service provider quote (Applebee 2012<sup>5</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
	<p><b>Goal priority of HCP relative to competing professional demands</b></p> <p><b>N = 1 study</b> (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Study: Lindenmeyer<sup>36</sup></p>	1	0	<p><i>Screening takes up virtually an entire week of my clinical time, which is difficult because I do have other things to be doing than reading people's eye charts...[HCP quote (Lindenmeyer 2014<sup>36</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
<b>To feel in control of health (N = 1)</b>	<p>No sub-theme</p> <p><b>N = 1 study</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Study: Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	0	1	<p><i>[Enabler]...For a feeling of control over your health (82%) [Statistical data (Walker 1997<sup>61</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p>

**Domain: Beliefs about Capabilities (N = 9 studies)**

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
<b>Physical capability to attend (N = 10)</b>	<b>Physical disability</b> <b>N = 5 studies</b> N = 1 from UK Studies: van Eijk <sup>60</sup> ; Kovarik <sup>30</sup> ; Adriono <sup>2</sup> ; Strutton <sup>58</sup> ; Puent <sup>50</sup>	5	0	Limited personal mobility due to poor overall health (14%) [Statistical data (Puent 2004 <sup>50</sup> )] - Barrier  Having a physical disability was more likely in non-attenders than attenders. <i>Odds Ratio = 0.7 (CI: 0.6-1.0)</i> . [Predictive - Author analysis (van Eijk 2012 <sup>60</sup> )] - Barrier
	<b>(Lack of) Availability of assistance</b> <b>N = 5 studies</b> (N = 0 from UK) Studies: Al-Alawi <sup>3</sup> ; Al-Malki <sup>4</sup> ; Jingi <sup>24</sup> ; van Eijk <sup>60</sup> ; Lee <sup>34</sup>	5	0	<i>It's too difficult to find someone to take me to that eye clinic...</i> [Patient quote (Al-Malki 2009 <sup>4</sup> )] - Barrier  <i>Requiring an accompanying person was more likely in non-attenders than attenders. Odds Ratio = 0.6 (CI: 0.5-1.0)</i> . [Predictive - Author analysis (van Eijk 2012 <sup>60</sup> )] - Barrier

**Domain: Behavioural Regulation (N = 7 studies)**

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
<b>(Lack of) Engagement with self-management (N = 4)</b>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 4 studies</b> (N = 2 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Lindenmeyer<sup>36</sup>; Strutton<sup>58</sup>; Heisler<sup>19</sup>; Lake<sup>31</sup></p>	3	1	<p>For every 10-point rating of diabetes patients' reported self-management with HbA1C the odds of receiving an eye examination increase by 16%. [Prediction - Author analysis (Heisler 2003<sup>19</sup>)] - Enabler</p> <p><i>Some relatives of patients and general practice staff reported that patients had disengaged with their diabetes care in general. [Author interpreted summary (Strutton 2016<sup>58</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
<b>Patient setting own prompts and cues (N = 2)</b>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 2 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Applebee<sup>5</sup>; Livingstone<sup>37</sup></p>	0	2	<p><i>People are ingenious in the strategies they develop for remembering – they said they keep appointment in 'a special draw', 'pinned to a notice board' 'written on a calendar', 'slotted into a mirror', 'as a note on my mobile phone'. Some do have, and use, a diary. [Author interpreted summary (Applebee 2012<sup>5</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p> <p><i>They believed a diabetes kit provided to patients once diagnosed with diabetes that addressed the issues of complications of diabetes, would be advantageous. The GPs agreed that a diabetes kit with a list of recommendations and a checklist for patients visiting their specialist for diabetes-related condition would be valuable. [Author interpreted summary (Livingstone 1998<sup>37</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p>

**Domain: Intention (N = 7 studies)**

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
<p><b>Don't want to go</b></p> <p><b>(N = 6)</b></p>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 6 studies</b> (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Rajput<sup>51</sup>; van Eijk<sup>60</sup>; Massaro<sup>40</sup>; Mackenzie<sup>39</sup>; Pasagian-Macaulay<sup>47</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	<p>6</p>	<p>0</p>	<p><i>Barriers to getting an annual eye examination: ...Not interested in seeing an eye specialist (10%). [Statistical data (Massaro 2010<sup>40</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>One endocrinologist I went to just said 'do this, do that' and I did not want to. I did not understand why I needed to. [Patient quote (Rajput 2015<sup>51</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>Having no interest in attending was more frequent in non-attenders than attenders. Odds Ratio 0.5 (CI: 0.4-0.7). [Predictors - Author analysis (van Eijk 2012<sup>60</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p> <p><i>[Barrier] ...don't feel like going (21%) [Statistical data (Walker 1997<sup>61</sup>)] - Barrier</i></p>
<p><b>Nothing would stop me from</b></p> <p><b>(N = 2)</b></p>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 2 study</b> (N = 1 from UK)</p> <p>Study: Hurrell &amp; Donohoe<sup>22</sup>; Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	<p>0</p>	<p>2</p>	<p><i>I would go no mater what, except if insurance doesn't cover it, but the Lord would provide a way [Patient quote (Walker 1997<sup>61</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p> <p><i>[I]...make sure I attend all appointments – eyes, feet...[Patient quote (Hurrell &amp; Donohoe 2012<sup>22</sup>)] - Enabler</i></p>

**Domain: Optimism (N = 3 studies)**

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
<b>Denial that they are at risk (N = 2)</b>	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 2 studies</b> (N = 0 in UK)</p> <p>Studies: Rajput<sup>51</sup>; Al-Alawi<sup>3</sup></p>	2	0	<p><i>Some people think that if they don't go, it won't happen. Head in the sand.</i> [HCP quote (Rajput 2015<sup>51</sup>)] - Barrier</p> <p><i>Complacency for eyecare (37%).</i> [Statistical data (Al-Alawi 2016<sup>3</sup>)] - Barrier</p>
<b>Have faith they are safe (N = 1)</b>	<p><b>No sub-themes</b></p> <p><b>N = 1 study</b> (N = 0 in UK)</p> <p>Study: Walker<sup>61</sup></p>	0	1	<p><i>My grandmother died after an eye operation, but I'll do whatever it takes to care for my health. You have to believe God is helping and thank God for everything.</i> [Patient quote (Walker 1997<sup>61</sup>)] - Enabler</p>

**Domain: Reinforcement (N = 1 study)**

Global Theme	Sub-theme	Barrier	Enabler	Sample Quotes
Incentives (N = 1)	<p><b>No sub-theme</b></p> <p><b>N = 1 studies</b> (N = 0 from UK)</p> <p>Studies: Hatef<sup>18</sup></p>	1	1	<p>When an incentive was offered to primary care physicians to complete annual diabetic eye exam for their eligible patients, this increased the likelihood of completion. <i>Odds Ratio = 1.82 (CI: 1.55-2.14); P&lt;0.001.</i> [Prediction - Author analysis (Hatef 2015<sup>18</sup>)] - Enabler</p> <p>When an incentive was offered to patients to complete annual diabetic eye exams, this lowered the likelihood of completing. <i>Odds Ratio = 0.27 (CI 0.91-0.37); P&lt;0.001.</i> [Prediction - Author analysis (Hatef 2015<sup>18</sup>)] - Barrier</p>



## 1.6. Coding of themes/sub-themes into Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research constructs.

### Environmental Context & Resources

Theme	CFIR Constructs	CFIR - Level of organisation
Time competing demands <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work (Career)</li> <li>• Family (e.g. childcare)</li> <li>• Generally busy</li> </ul>	Needs & resources of those served by the organisation	Outer Setting
Time (Service issues) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Length of wait time (e.g. Delays)</li> <li>• Lengthy appointments</li> </ul>	Available resources	Inner Setting
Financial concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cost of eye care/exam</li> <li>• Lack (or cost) of insurance</li> <li>• Loss of earning (e.g. self-employed)</li> </ul>	Needs & resources of those served by the organisation	Outer Setting
Consequences of private insurance	Needs & resources of those served by the organisation	Outer Setting
Accessibility of screening clinic <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transportation</li> <li>• Distance to clinic</li> <li>• Improving accessibility</li> </ul>	Needs & resources of those served by the organisation) & Adaptability	Outer Setting & Innovation Characteristic
Referral issues <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inaccurate register</li> <li>• Lack of referral (including no access to doctor)</li> </ul>	Networks & Communication & Available resources	Inner Setting
Scheduling appointment issues <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flexibility/rigidity</li> <li>• Long wait times to receive an appointment</li> <li>• Inability to get an appointment</li> <li>• Receiving insufficient notice of appointment</li> <li>• Centralised systems</li> </ul>	Adaptability & Available resources & Networking & communication	Innovation Characteristics & Inner Setting
Specialist diabetes services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Integration of services</li> <li>• Specialist staff</li> </ul>	Adaptability	Innovation Characteristics
Availability of dedicated screening resources	Available resource	Inner Setting
Screening is too resource intensive for HCPs	Available resource	Inner Setting
Patients perceptions of the hospital environment	Structural characteristics	Inner Setting

## Social Influences

Theme	CFIR Constructs	CFIR - Level of organisation
Doctor-patient communication <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Language</li> <li>• General lack of information provision</li> <li>• Unable to contact patients</li> <li>• Absence or presence of a HCP recommendation to attend screening</li> </ul>	Networks & communication & Available resources	Inner Setting
HCP assistance to schedule screening	Needs & resources of those served by the organisation	Outer Setting
Trust in doctors	Tension for change	Inner Setting
Cultural/social compatibility between patient & HCP	Tension for change	Inner Setting
Having a screening team leader	Adaptability	Innovation Characteristics
Communication issues within or between services	Networks & communication	Inner Setting
Absence or presence of support from family members <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Instrumental/pragmatic</li> <li>• Social/emotional</li> </ul>	Needs & resources of those served by the organisation	Outer Setting
Stigma relating to health condition	Needs & resources of those served by the organisation	Outer Setting
Obligation to attend	Needs & resources of those served by the organisation	Outer Setting
Encouragement/support from local community groups/networks	Needs & resources of those served by the organisation	Outer setting
Media attention/coverage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of media attention</li> <li>• Using media to promote attendance</li> </ul>	Needs & resources of those served by the organisation	Outer setting

## Social Professional Role and Identity

Theme	CFIR Constructs	CFIR - Level of organisation
<p>HCPs role in diabetic retinopathy screening</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HCPs responsibility to make an appointment for patients</li> <li>• Professionals responsibility to explain the implication of diagnoses to their patients</li> </ul>	<p>Networks &amp; communication</p>	<p>Inner Setting</p>
<p>Illness identify</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Disliking having diabetes</li> <li>• Not identifying as having diabetes or feeling uncomfortable with identifying as a person with diabetes</li> </ul>	<p>Needs &amp; resources of those served by the organisation</p>	<p>Outer Setting</p>
<p>Compatibility with social/cultural identity</p>	<p>Needs &amp; resources of those served by the organisation</p>	<p>Outer Setting</p>

## 1.7. Expressed importance.

Reference, date, identification	Country/ Setting	Relevant topic(s) or factor(s) of investigation	Quotations indicating Expressed importance	TDF domain
<b>Adriono (2011)<sup>2</sup> (Published)</b>	Indonesia	(1) Knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, awareness, concerns & practice regarding DR (2) Prompted reasons for non-attendance	<i>“The most common reasons given by subjects for not having had eye examinations concerned lack of knowledge about the need for care (97 of 160 subjects [60.6%])”.</i>	Knowledge
<b>Al-Alawi (2016)<sup>3</sup> (Published)</b>	Saudi Arabia	Perceptions of barriers to DRS	<i>“Travel distance to an eyecare unit, no referral from family physicians for annual eye checkups and the lack of availability of gender-specific eyecare professionals were the main perceived barriers.”</i>	EC&R Social influences
<b>Al-Malki (2009)<sup>4</sup> (Unpublished -MSc dissertation)</b>	Qatar	Reported barriers/enablers to compliance with DRS	<i>“...It also established that lack of awareness about DR and problems accessing the service were important factors that limited the ability of patients to attend.”</i>	Knowledge EC&R
<b>Applebee (2012)<sup>5</sup> (Unpublished report)</b>	UK	Identified motivations and barriers to screening and ideas for improving DRS	<i>“Interviews revealed that there is a genuine confusion in some people’s minds about the difference between the annual eye examination and the screening test. This appears to reveal a lack of understanding about diabetes, its possible multiple effects on their eyes and the range of tests that need to be undertaken to maintain eye health”</i>	Knowledge
<b>Arora (2013)<sup>6</sup> (Published)</b>	Canada	Economic, geographic, societal & cultural barriers	<i>“The importance of cultural rituals and ceremonies within the home community was a recurring theme of the interviews. The nurse administrators explained that “appointments were almost unanimously missed if conflicting with the time of a pow-wow or other major or other major cultural activity”. cultural activity”.</i>	EC&R Social influences
<b>Byun (2013)<sup>8</sup> (Published)</b>	Korea	The association between diabetic care education & diabetic retinopathy screening	N/a	N/a

<b>Buonaccorso (1999)<sup>9</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Reported barrier	<i>“A multidisciplinary team examined the process and discovered both member (patient) and provider (physical) barriers to annual screening. Members did not understand the clinical importance and were uncertain as to how frequently diabetic eye examinations were covered by insurance. Providers identified a role for BCBSRA to reinforce patient education on eye examinations and to assist with tracking of services.”</i>	Knowledge EC&R
<b>Cano (2007)<sup>10</sup> (Published Abstract only)</b>	Paraguay	Reported barriers/enablers to compliance with DRS	<i>“The most important issues raised by the qualitative study included a general lack of awareness about diabetes and its possible complications, denial of the disease, and fear of going blind once DR had become established.”</i>	Knowledge MADP Emotions
<b>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2010)<sup>11</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Reported reasons for not receiving recommended follow-up care for DR	Taken from a table: Top barrier was reported as:  Cost/insurance (43%) (women only)	EC&R
<b>Chou (2014)<sup>12</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Reported main reasons for non-attendance	<i>“The most commonly reported reasons for not receiving eye care in the preceding 12 months were ‘no need’ and ‘cost or lack of insurance’” (39.7 and 32.3% respectively).”</i>	MADP EC&R
<b>Dervan (2008)<sup>13</sup> (Published)</b>	Ireland	(1) Knowledge of & attitudes to DR  (2) Physician recommendation	<i>“The most significant predictor for receiving screening was a previous physician recommendation about the necessity of a regular eye examination. The main barriers to receiving adequate screening were lack of knowledge regarding the need for ocular examination and the effect of mydriasis in prohibiting driving.”</i>	Social influences Knowledge Beliefs about consequences
<b>Fisher (2015)<sup>14</sup> (Published - Abstract only)</b>	USA	Reported common barriers to compliance to eye exams	<i>“Lack of targeted patient education about the importance of dilated eye examination was cited as a common barrier to compliance with exams by both patients (12/29) and providers (13/18).”</i>	Knowledge
<b>Gala (2013)<sup>15</sup> (Published - Abstract only)</b>	USA	Association between DSME and obtaining annual dilated eye examinations	N/A	N/A

<b>Griffen-Shirley (2004)<sup>16</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Reported barriers to obtaining dilated fundus examinations	<i>“Lack of money was the most common reason cited, although more than half of the participants felt that they had no eye problems.”</i>	EC&R MADP
<b>Hartnett (2005)<sup>17</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	(1) Barriers/incentives (2) Understanding of diabetic eye recommendations; (3) methods used for education & communications & (4) recommendations for improving care	<i>“In our study, without prompting the participant with preconceived questions, financial burdens emerged as major barriers.”</i>  <i>“Physicians cited patient knowledge about diabetes as the most important barrier to eye care. Most patients believed that they had adequate education about diabetes, but focus group data indicated a gap between the perceived communication from physician to patient and what the patient understood.”</i>	EC&R Knowledge
<b>Hatef (2015)<sup>18</sup> (Published)</b>	USA  No specific setting	Modifiable factors assessed to increased likelihood of completion (e.g. financial incentives)	N/a	N/a
<b>Heisler (2003)<sup>19</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Association between patients’ assessment of their DM self-management & receiving an eye examination	N/a	N/a
<b>Hipwell (2014)<sup>20</sup> (Published)</b>	UK	Perceived antecedents to attendance & non-attendance at DRS	<i>“Getting to and from screening appointments was important pragmatically for many patients, who had to overcome a range of issues”.</i>  <i>“However, in another important finding, regular and non-regular patients experienced severe pain, blurred vision and debilitating photosensitivity for several hours. Interestingly, none of the health professionals except the optometrist raised this, suggesting they were unaware of this issue.”</i>  <i>“In an important new finding, we uncovered confusion between routine retinal photography at optometry practices during eye</i>	EC&R Belief about consequences Knowledge

			<i>examinations and DRS</i> ".	
<b>Hossen (2015)<sup>21</sup> (Unpublished - Abstract only)</b>	Bangladesh	Reported barriers to DRS - reasons for refusal	<i>"The main reason for refusal was that retinal photos taken might worsen sight or they were too busy to attend the clinic"</i> .	Beliefs about consequences EC&R
<b>Hurrell &amp; Donohoe (2012)<sup>22</sup> (Unpublished report)</b>	UK	Identified motivations and barriers to screening and ideas for improving DRS	<i>"A preference for more local delivery of services is evident, especially from those who are required to attend multiple appointments. This was raised specifically with regard to both secondary care and DRS screening non-attendance."</i>	EC&R
<b>Hwang (2015)<sup>23</sup> (Published)</b>	Canada	Association between eye screening & reports of discussion of diabetic complications with HCPs & private insurance	N/a	N/a
<b>Jingi (2014)<sup>24</sup> (Published-abstract only)</b>	Cameroon	Associations between modifiable factors and eye care utilization	N/a	N/a
<b>John (2014)<sup>25</sup> (Published)</b>	UK	(1) Understanding about DRS; (2) encountered barriers; (3) social network conversations regarding DRS; (4) recommendations of how to increase DRS	<i>"The findings suggest that lack of understanding is a significant factor in low attendance rates."</i>	Knowledge
<b>Jones (2011)<sup>26</sup> (Published - abstract only)</b>	UK	Associations between practice-related factors & uptake of DRS	N/a	N/a
<b>Karter (2003)<sup>27</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	The associations between higher out-of-pocket costs and lower use of annual dilated eye exams	N/a	N/a
<b>Khandekar (2011)<sup>28</sup> (Published)</b>	Oman	Reported reasons from patients with DR for their non-attendance – reported to eye care staff	<i>"Defaulting patients stated that the main barriers to presenting for an appointment were lack of transport, lack of awareness regarding the risk of blindness, fear of laser treatment and absence/reluctance of the decision maker in the family for the proposed management"</i> .	EC&R Knowledge Emotions Social influences

<b>Kiran (2013)<sup>29</sup> (Published)</b>	Canada	The association between delisting of routine eye examinations and DRS attendance	N/a	N/a
<b>Kovarik (2016)<sup>30</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Reported barriers to eye examinations	<i>“Frequently reported barriers to ophthalmic examinations included lack of transportation and physical disability.”</i>	EC&R Beliefs about capabilities
<b>Lake (No date)<sup>31</sup> (Unpublished -Poster abstract only)</b>	Australia	Reported themes relating to barriers and enabler to DRS uptake	Authors provide a list of identified TDF domains. No expression of importance	N/a
<b>Laver (2013)<sup>32</sup> (Published - abstract only)</b>	UK	Reported factors that influence non-attendance	<i>“Participants’ desire to obtain reassurance that their eyes were healthy was the only identified incentive to attend screening.”</i>	Beliefs about consequences MADP EC&R
<b>Lee (2014)<sup>33</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	The association between distance to eye screening facility & quality of access to public transport with compliance with dilated eye examination	N/a	N/a
<b>Lee (2000)<sup>34</sup> (Published)</b>	Australia	Reported reasons for non-compliance among people diagnosed with DR	Authors provide a list of reported reasons. No expression of importance provided.	N/a
<b>Lewis (2007)<sup>35</sup> (Published)</b>	UK	Knowledge, beliefs, attitudes, social norms and reported enabling factors that may influence attendance at eye clinics	<i>“Family attitudes were important to patients. In some families with a strong family history of diabetes, there was considerable understanding and support. By contrast non-attendees reported their families did not understand the necessity for repeated clinic attendances.”</i>  <i>“Both patients and providers identified lack of awareness as the greatest barrier to attendance. However, the deficits in knowledge were quite specific. Patients knew diabetes could affect the eyes, but were not aware that it could lead to blindness, and that even severe disease could be asymptomatic.”</i>	Social influences Knowledge



<b>Lindenmeyer (2014)<sup>36</sup> (Published)</b>	UK	Reported factors relating to screening uptake	Authors provided factors associated with screening attendance. No expression of importance given	N/a
<b>Livingstone (1998)<sup>37</sup> (Published)</b>	Australia	Recommendations of types of strategies needed to promote the eye screening service	<i>“Five focus groups were conducted. The discussions highlighted that a great deal could be achieved by using local community networks to promote the benefits of early detection of diabetic retinopathy and local screening program.”</i>	Social influences
<b>Lu (2016)<sup>38</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Reported barriers	<i>“However, our survey data do suggest that Hispanic and African American patients may be affected by different psychological barriers (upset/depression in Hispanics vs. fear/discomfort in AAs). The latter might contribute to the discrepancy in screening rates.”</i>	Emotions Beliefs about consequences
<b>Mackenzie (2015)<sup>39</sup> (Unpublished - Poster abstract only)</b>	UK	Reported barriers & motivations	<i>“The main expressed motivating factors was: “Identify problems early”</i>  <i>The main expressed barriers was: “No barriers to attendance”</i>	Beliefs about consequences
<b>Massaro (2010)<sup>40</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Reported barriers to annual ophthalmic examinations, patients’ perspectives of DM & digital scans	<i>“There was no one factor that the majority of the patients perceived as the greatest limiting factor to getting an annual eye examination.”</i>	N/a
<b>Moss (1995)<sup>41</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Reported barriers and enablers to compliance	<i>“In those not having an eye examination, 79% and 71% of the younger- and older-onset groups, respectively, reported not having had one because they had no problems with their eyes”.</i>	MADP
<b>Mumba (2007)<sup>42</sup> (Published)</b>	Africa	Reported modifiable factors associated with having had a dilated fundus exam (i.e. Knowledge).	Taken from a table: Top barrier was reported as:  (76.6%) reported that they didn’t realize that annual examination was important	Knowledge
<b>Njambi (2012)<sup>43</sup> (Published)</b>	Kenya	Reported barriers to uptake	<i>“Only 29% of the patients had prior eye examination, with majority (84%) citing lack of awareness as the main hindrance.”</i>	Knowledge
<b>Onakpoya (2010)<sup>44</sup> (Published)</b>	Nigeria	Reported reasons for no previous dilated eye exams	<i>“Lack of eye problems and lack of referral for eye screening were the leading reasons given by patients who had not had previous dilated eye examination in this study.”</i>	MADP EC&R

<b>Orton (2013)<sup>45</sup> (Published)</b>	UK	Reported barriers to uptake	No expression of importance	N/a
<b>Paksin-Hall (2013)<sup>46</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Modifiable variables: The relationship between a history of attendance at diabetic management class and attendance at annual dilated eye exam	N/a	N/a
<b>Pasagian-Macaulay (1997)<sup>47</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Reported barriers to receiving recommended ophthalmic screening	<i>“The respondents indicated that there were many barriers to obtaining a dilated eye exam. The long waiting time in the clinic or doctor’s office was cited as a common problem.”</i>	EC&R
<b>Peek (2010)<sup>48</sup> (Published - abstract only)</b>	USA	The association between PD and prior eye exam interval	N/a	N/a
<b>Peng (1994)<sup>49</sup> (Unpublished PhD thesis)</b>	Taiwan	(1) The association between reported modifiable factors & receipt of DR exam  (2) Reported reasons given for non-attendance &	<i>“Regarding why participants did not have fundus checkup during the past year (Table 4.7), having no idea of that it was necessary was the major reason (43.2%)”</i>	Knowledge
<b>Puent (2004)<sup>50</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Reported reasons (barriers) for non-compliance	Taken from a table: Top reasons given.  <i>“Transfer of care to another eye doctor”.</i>	MADP
<b>Rajput (2015)<sup>51</sup> (Published - abstract only)</b>	USA	Reported barriers to exams and suggested interventions to improve compliance	Top reason taken from two bar charts: Top reasons given  <i>“Lack of understanding of insurance benefits” (Patient)</i>  <i>“Lower priority to other things a patient with diabetes has to deal with.” (HCP)</i>	Knowledge MADP
<b>Roy (2004)<sup>52</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Reported reasons for not having an eye exam by an Ophthalmologist during previous year  The association between reported modifiable factors and having a dilated eye exam	<i>“The 2 most common reasons given for not seeing an ophthalmologist during the previous year were not having any eye problem (57.6%) and cost (23%).”</i>	MADP EC&R

<b>Sachdeva (2012)<sup>53</sup> (Published)</b>	UK	Reported reasons for non-attendance at DRS	<i>“The most common reason given was that individuals thought that DR screening appointments were unnecessary if they were already attending appointments with an optometrist or ophthalmologist (22%).”</i>	MADP
<b>Schoenfeld (2001)<sup>54</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	The association between reported modifiable factors and non-adherence	N/a	N/a
<b>Shepler (2014)<sup>55</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	The association between reported modifiable factors and non-adherence	N/a	N/a
<b>Shukla (2016)<sup>56</sup> (Published)</b>	India	Reported barriers in accessing care for DR	<i>Among those reporting barriers, the distance was the most important barrier (n = 114,65.1%)</i>	EC&R
<b>Silver (2006)<sup>57</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Barriers to receiving or accessing diabetes-related eye healthcare & motivators for behaviour change	<i>“Most focus group participants in this study, in particular the younger ones, did not understand the connection between their diabetes and eye related problems (a long-term consequence of diabetes). They therefore agreed on the importance of a health campaign communicating the connection between diabetes and DED and the need for regular eye exams.”</i>	Knowledge
<b>Strutton (2015)<sup>58</sup> (Published)</b>	UK	Explanations for why patients had never attended a screening appointment	Importance not expressed.	N/a
<b>Tapp (2004)<sup>59</sup> (Published)</b>	Australia  No specific setting	The association between reported modifiable factors and regular screening	N/a	N/a
<b>Van Eijk (2012)<sup>60</sup> (Published)</b>	The Netherlands	The association between barriers/incentives and attendance	<i>“Patients reported ‘knowledge of detrimental effects of DR on visual acuity’, ‘sense of duty’ and ‘fear of impaired vision’ as main incentives. The main barrier was the absence of a recommendation by the health-care provider.”</i>	Knowledge Social influences Emotions
<b>Walker et al (1997)<sup>61</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	Reported Incentives and barriers	<i>“The incentives “having eye problems” and “doctor said it was important to go” each had 91% responding it was an incentive to go for a DFE.” Only</i>	Social influences MADP EC&R

			<i>about one-third agreed that any particular item was a barriers to receiving a DFE (e.g. economic factors).</i>	
<b>Wang (2010)<sup>62</sup> (Published)</b>	China	The association between reported modifiable factors & having an eye exam	N/a	N/a
<b>Will (1994)<sup>63</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	The association between reported modifiable factors and having an eye exam	N/a	N/a
<b>Yuan (2007)<sup>64</sup> (Unpublished MSc dissertation)</b>	China	The barriers to access eye care services.	<p><i>“Lack of awareness as the main barriers to eye care services among diabetic patients was declared by this study”.</i></p> <p><i>“No recommendation to patients to see eye doctor by physician also was other important barriers in this study”.</i></p>	Knowledge Social influences
<b>Yuen (2012)<sup>65</sup> (Published)</b>	USA  No specific setting	The association between reported modifiable factors and having an eye exam	N/a	N/a
<b>Zhang (2009)<sup>66</sup> (Published)</b>	USA	The association between receiving eye care education and receipt of dilated eye exam	N/a	N/a

*EC&R = Environmental Context & Resources; MADP = Memory, Attention & Decision Processes.; DR = Diabetic Retinopathy; DRS = Diabetic Retinopathy Screening*

## 1.8. References.

1. Graham-Rowe E, Lorencatto F, Lawrenson JG, Burr J, Grimshaw JM, Ivers NM, *et al.* Barriers and enablers to diabetic retinopathy screening attendance: Protocol for a systematic review. *Systematic Reviews* 2016;**5**:134.
2. Adriono G, Wang D, Octavianus C, Congdon N. Use of eye care services among diabetic patients in urban Indonesia. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2011;**129**:930-5.
3. Al-Alawi A, Al-Hassan A, Chauhan D, Al-Futais M, Khandekar R. Knowledge, Attitude, and Perception of Barriers for Eye Care among Diabetic Persons Registered at Employee Health Department of a Tertiary Eye Hospital of Central Saudi Arabia. *Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol* 2016;**23**:71-4.
4. Al-Malki. *Barriers prevent diabetic patients from attending diabetic retinopathy screening at primary eye care clinics at Primary Health Care in the state of Qatar* [MSc]. London: London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine; 2009.
5. Applebee E. *The barriers and enables that affect access to primary and secondary eye care services - Bradford site report.* A report to RNIB by Shared: RNIB; 2012.
6. Arora S, Kurji AK, Tennant MT. Dismantling sociocultural barriers to eye care with tele-ophthalmology: lessons from an Alberta Cree community. *Clin Invest Med* 2013;**36**:E57-63.
7. Bell R, Arcury T, Grzywacz J, Edward IP, Kirk J, Saldana S, *et al.* Medical skepticism and diabetes self-management in rural older adults. *Diabetes* 2011;**60**:A374.
8. Byun SH, Ma SH, Jun JK, Jung KW, Park B. Screening for diabetic retinopathy and nephropathy in patients with diabetes: a nationwide survey in Korea. *PLoS One* 2013;**8**:e62991-e.
9. Buonaccorso KM. Diabetic retinopathy screening: a clinical quality improvement project. *J Healthc Qual* 1999;**21**:35-8, 46.
10. Cano MR. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy and barriers to uptake of eye care services by diabetic patients at the Social Security Institute Central Hospital in Asuncion, Paraguay. *Community Eye Health Journal* 2007;**20**.
11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Eye-care utilization among women aged > or =40 years with eye diseases--19 states, 2006-2008. *MMWR - Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report* 2010;**59**:588-91.
12. Chou CF, Sherrod CE, Zhang X, Barker LE, Bullard KM, Crews JE, *et al.* Barriers to eye care among people aged 40 years and older with diagnosed diabetes, 2006-2010. *Diabetes Care* 2014;**37**:180-8.
13. Dervan E, Lillis D, Flynn L, Staines A, O'Shea D. Factors that influence the patient uptake of diabetic retinopathy screening. *Ir J Med Sci* 2008;**177**:303-8.
14. Fisher M, Rajput Y, Gu T, Singer J, Marshall A, Ryu S, *et al.* Understanding barriers to dilated eye examinations in patients with diabetes. *Diabetes* 2015;**64**:A192.
15. Gala SD, Wu WK. The impact of receiving diabetes self-management education (DSME) on preventive care practices among type-2 diabetes adults. *Value Health* 2013;**16** (3):A193-A4.
16. Griffin-Shirley N, Trusty S, Kelley P, Siew-Jin LK, Macias EP. Barriers to Eye Care Faced by Adult Hispanics With Diabetes. *RE:view: Rehabilitation and Education for Blindness and Visual Impairment* 2004;**36**:53-61.
17. Hartnett ME, Key IJ, Loyacano NM, Horswell RL, Desalvo KB. Perceived barriers to diabetic eye care: qualitative study of patients and physicians. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2005;**123**:387-91.
18. Hatf E, Vanderver BG, Fagan P, Albert M, Alexander M. Annual diabetic eye examinations in a managed care Medicaid population. *Am J Manag Care* 2015;**21**:e297-302.
19. Heisler M, Smith DM, Hayward RA, Krein SL, Kerr EA. How well do patients' assessments of their diabetes self-management correlate with actual glycemic control and receipt of recommended diabetes services? *Diabetes Care* 2003;**26**:738-43.
20. Hipwell AE, Sturt J, Lindenmeyer A, Stratton I, Gadsby R, O'Hare P, *et al.* Attitudes, access and anguish: a qualitative interview study of staff and patients' experiences of diabetic retinopathy screening. *BMJ Open* 2014;**4**:e005498.
21. Hossen AZ, M; Chakrabarti, R; Kawaski, R; Critchley C; Shaw, J; Finger, R; Islam, F. *Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy and the barrier in screening in a rural district in Bangladesh (abstract of unpublished work)*. URL: <https://researchbank.swinburne.edu.au/items/fee628f8-972f-499a-8c0a-843377e8fb96/1/> (Accessed 18/07/17).

22. Hurrell D-L, & Donohoe, S. *The barriers and enablers that affect access to primary and secondary eye care services - Glasgow site report*. Glasgow: RNIB; 2012.
23. Hwang J, Rudnisky C, Bowen S, Johnson JA. Socioeconomic factors associated with visual impairment and ophthalmic care utilization in patients with type II diabetes. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2015;**50**:119-26.
24. Jingi A, Ebana-Mvogo C, Ellong A. Primary care physicians and patients factors influencing eye care provision and utilisation in a group of diabetic patients. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2014;**103**:S50-S1.
25. John A. Barriers to diabetic retinopathy screening in South Asian groups. *Primary Health Care* 2014;**24**:25-30.
26. Jones BJ, Mitra S, Price HC, Stratton IM. Factors affecting uptake of retinal screening in primary care. *Diabet Med* 2011;**28**:190.
27. Karter AJ, Stevens MR, Herman WH, Ettner S, Marrero DG, Safford MM, *et al*. Out-of-pocket costs and diabetes preventive services: the Translating Research Into Action for Diabetes (TRIAD) study. *Diabetes Care* 2003;**26**:2294-9.
28. Khandekar R, Al Lawati J, Barakat N. A Retrieval System for Patients with Avoidable Blindness Due to Diabetic Retinopathy who do not Present for Ophthalmic Assessment in Oman. *Middle East African Journal of Ophthalmology* 2011;**18**:93-7.
29. Kiran T, Kopp A, Moineddin R, Victor JC, Campbell RJ, Shah BR, *et al*. Unintended consequences of delisting routine eye exams on retinopathy screening for people with diabetes in Ontario, Canada. *Can Med Assoc J* 2013;**185**:E167-73.
30. Kovarik JJ, Eller AW, Willard LA, Ding J, Johnston JM, Waxman EL. Prevalence of undiagnosed diabetic retinopathy among inpatients with diabetes: the diabetic retinopathy inpatient study (DRIPS). *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2016;**4**:e000164.
31. Lake AJ, Browne JL, Rees G, Speight J. Adults with young-onset type 2 diabetes : Exploring factors affecting retinal screening uptake for diabetic retinopathy (poster supplied by the author).
32. Laver FJ, Kennedy P, Scanlon PH. A grounded theory exploration of young adults' non-attendance at diabetic retinopathy screening appointments. *Diabet Med* 2013;**30**:176.
33. Lee DJ, Kumar N, Feuer WJ, Chou CF, Rosa PR, Schiffman JC, *et al*. Dilated eye examination screening guideline compliance among patients with diabetes without a diabetic retinopathy diagnosis: the role of geographic access. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2014;**2**:e000031.
34. Lee SJ, Sicari C, Harper CA, Livingston PM, McCarty CA, Taylor HR, *et al*. Examination compliance and screening for diabetic retinopathy: a 2-year follow-up study. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2000;**28**:149-52.
35. Lewis K, Patel D, Yorston D, Charteris D. A qualitative study in the United Kingdom of factors influencing attendance by patients with diabetes at ophthalmic outpatient clinics. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2007;**14**:375-80.
36. Lindenmeyer A, Sturt JA, Hipwell A, Stratton IM, Al-Athamneh N, Gadsby R, *et al*. Influence of primary care practices on patients' uptake of diabetic retinopathy screening: a qualitative case study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2014;**64**:e484-92.
37. Livingston PM, McCarty CA, Wood CA, Harper AC, Keeffe JE, Taylor HR. Use of focus groups to identify health promotion strategies for the early detection of diabetic retinopathy. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 1998;**22**:220-2.
38. Lu Y, Serpas L, Genter P, Mehranbod C, Campa D, Ipp E. Disparities in Diabetic Retinopathy Screening Rates Within Minority Populations: Differences in Reported Screening Rates Among African American and Hispanic Patients. *Diabetes Care* 2016;**39**:e31-2.
39. Mackenzie J, Aldington SJ, Scanlon PH. Barriers and motivators for attendance at diabetic retinopathy screening. *Eur J Ophthalmol* 2015;**25** (3):e21.
40. Massaro L, Curry WJ, Quillen D. Screening for diabetic retinopathy: Perceived barriers and patient acceptability of digital scans. *J Clin Outcomes Manag* 2010;**17**:17-22.
41. Moss SE, Klein R, Klein BE. Factors associated with having eye examinations in persons with diabetes. *Arch Fam Med* 1995;**4**:529-34.
42. Mumba M, Hall A, Lewallen S. Compliance with eye screening examinations among diabetic patients at a Tanzanian referral hospital. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2007;**14**:306-10.

43. Njambi L. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy and barriers to uptake of diabetic retinopathy screening at Embu Provincial General Hospital, Central Kenya. *East African Journal of Ophthalmology* 2012;**16**:5-11.
44. Onakpoya OH, Adeoye AO, Kolawole BA. Determinants of previous dilated eye examination among type II diabetics in Southwestern Nigeria. *Eur J Intern Med* 2010;**21**:176-9.
45. Orton E, Forbes-Haley A, Tunbridge L, Cohen S. Equity of uptake of a diabetic retinopathy screening programme in a geographically and socio-economically diverse population. *Public Health* 2013;**127**:814-21.
46. Paksin-Hall A, Dent ML, Dong F, Ablah E. Factors contributing to diabetes patients not receiving annual dilated eye examinations. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2013;**20**:281-7.
47. Pasagian-Macaulay A, Basch CE, Zybert P, Wylie-Rosett J. Ophthalmic knowledge and beliefs among women with diabetes. *Diabetes Educ* 1997;**23**:433-7.
48. Peek M, Chin M, Tang H, Baker D, Wagner J. Perceived discrimination in healthcare and diabetes health outcomes. *J Gen Intern Med* 2010;**25**:S347.
49. Peng P-H. Assessment the factors associated with the acceptance of retinal screening among patients with diabetes in Taiwan. *Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering* 2010;**71**:2947.
50. Puent BD, Nichols KK. Patients' perspectives on noncompliance with diabetic retinopathy standard of care guidelines. *Optometry* 2004;**75**:709-16.
51. Rajput YF, M; Gu T; Singer, J; Marshall A; Ryu, S; Barron, J; Turpcu, A; MackLean, C. Patient and provider perspectives: Why are patients with diabetes mellitus noncompliant with dilated eye exams? *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2015;**56**:1440.
52. Roy MS. Eye care in African Americans with type 1 diabetes: the New Jersey 725. *Ophthalmology* 2004;**111**:914-20.
53. Sachdeva A, Stratton IM, Unwin J, Moreton R, Scanlon PH. Diabetic retinopathy screening; Study to determine risk factors for non-attendance. *Diabetes and Primary Care* 2012;**14**:308-16.
54. Schoenfeld ER, Greene JM, Wu SY, Leske MC. Patterns of adherence to diabetes vision care guidelines: baseline findings from the Diabetic Retinopathy Awareness Program. *Ophthalmology* 2001;**108**:563-71.
55. Sheppler CR, Lambert WE, Gardiner SK, Becker TM, Mansberger SL. Predicting adherence to diabetic eye examinations: development of the compliance with Annual Diabetic Eye Exams Survey. *Ophthalmology* 2014;**121**:1212-9.
56. Shukla R, Gudlavalleti MV, Bandyopadhyay S, Anchala R, Gudlavalleti AS, Jotheeswaran AT, *et al*. Perception of care and barriers to treatment in individuals with diabetic retinopathy in India: 11-city 9-state study. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab* 2016;**20**:S33-41.
57. Silver K, Williams M, Macario E. The National Eye Health Education Program: increasing awareness of diabetic eye disease among American Indians and Alaska Natives. *Ethn Dis* 2006;**16**:920-5.
58. Strutton R, Du Chemin A, Stratton IM, Forster AS. System-level and patient-level explanations for non-attendance at diabetic retinopathy screening in Sutton and Merton (London, UK): a qualitative analysis of a service evaluation. *BMJ Open* 2016;**6**:e010952.
59. Tapp RJ, Zimmet PZ, Harper CA, de Courten MP, Balkau B, McCarty DJ, *et al*. Diabetes care in an Australian population: frequency of screening examinations for eye and foot complications of diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004;**27**:688-93.
60. van Eijk KN, Blom JW, Gussekloo J, Polak BC, Groeneveld Y. Diabetic retinopathy screening in patients with diabetes mellitus in primary care: Incentives and barriers to screening attendance. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2012;**96**:10-6.
61. Walker EA, Basch CE, Howard CJ, Zybert PA, Kromholz WN, Shamooh H. Incentives and barriers to retinopathy screening among African-Americans with diabetes. *J Diabetes Complications* 1997;**11**:298-306.
62. Wang D, Ding X, He M, Yan L, Kuang J, Geng Q, *et al*. Use of eye care services among diabetic patients in urban and rural China. *Ophthalmology* 2010;**117**:1755-62.
63. Will JC, German RR, Schuman E, Michael S, Kurth DM, Deeb L. Patient adherence to guidelines for diabetes eye care: results from the diabetic eye disease follow-up study. *Am J Public Health* 1994;**84**:1669-71.

64. Yuan Z. *Risk factors and barriers to eye care services among presenting late diabetic retinopathy patients in Shanxi province in China*. London: London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine; 2007.
65. Yuen HK. Factors associated with preventive care practice among adults with diabetes. *Prim Care Diabetes* 2012;**6**:75-8.
66. Zhang X, Williams DE, Beckles GL, Gregg EW, Barker L, Luo H, *et al*. Diabetic retinopathy, dilated eye examination, and eye care education among African Americans, 1997 and 2004. *J Natl Med Assoc* 2009;**101**:1015-21.
67. Diabetes; Reports on Diabetes Findings from University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences Provide New Insights. *Diabetes Week* 2013:104.
68. Diabetes; Telemedicine screening for diabetic retinopathy finds condition in 1 of 5 patients. *Medical Devices & Surgical Technology Week* 2014:77.
69. Diabetes; Researchers from University of Warwick Discuss Findings in Diabetes (Attitudes, access and anguish: a qualitative interview study of staff and patients' experiences of diabetic retinopathy screening). *Health & Medicine Week* 2015:189.
70. Anonymous. Diabetes; New research on diabetes from H. Wagner and co-authors summarized. *Diabetes Week* 2008:87.
71. Diabetic Retinopathy Screening Health Equity Audit for Coventry PCT for 2008/9 and 2009/10. 2010.
72. *Screening for visual impairment and diabetic retinopathy amongst diabetes in the diabetes association in Petauke district in Zambia* [MSc]. London: London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine; 2008.
73. Aguilera ZP, Levine JP, Louis YS, Gurland JE. Children with diabetes mellitus: Compliance with screening for diabetic retinopathy. *J AAPOS* 2011;**15** (1):e11.
74. Al-Athamneh N, Aturt J, Dolan A, Lindenmeyer A, Stratton I, Scanlon P. Risk perception of diabetic retinopathy among people with type 2 diabetes. *Eur J Ophthalmol* 2014;**24** (3):456.
75. Aurangzeb S. Diabetic retinopathy: analysing the Pakistan survey and evaluating local resources. *Community eye health / International Centre for Eye Health* 2007;**20**:9-10.
76. Bachmann M. Screening for diabetic retinopathy: a quantitative overview of the evidence, applied to the populations of health authorities and boards.
77. Bae S, Rosenthal MB. Patients with multiple chronic conditions do not receive lower quality of preventive care. *J Gen Intern Med* 2008;**23**:1933-9.
78. Bamashmus MA, Gunaid AA, Khandekar R. Regular visits to a diabetes clinic were associated with lower magnitude of visual disability and diabetic retinopathy-a hospital-based historical cohort study in yemen. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2009;**11**:45-50.
79. Baumeister SE, Schomerus G, Andersen RM, Tost F, Markus MR, Volzke H, *et al*. Trends of barriers to eye care among adults with diagnosed diabetes in Germany, 1997-2012. *Nutrition Metabolism & Cardiovascular Diseases* 2015;**25**:906-15.
80. Bek T, Hedegaard H, Soeters E. [Patient satisfaction with screening for diabetic retinopathy in a hospital setting]. *Ugeskr Laeger* 1998;**160**:1942-6.
81. Benhamou PY, Muller M, Lablanche S, Debaty I. Telemedicine in the management of diabetic patients: Current developments and conditions for success. [French]  
La telemedecine au service de la prise en charge des patients diabetiques: developpements actuels et conditions du succes. *European Research in Telemedicine* 2013;**2**:23-8.
82. Bischoff P. Frequency of ophthalmological examination in diabetic retinopathy. [German]  
Ophthalmologische Verlaufskontrollen Bei Der Diabetischen Retinopathie. *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd* 1993;**202**:443-6.
83. Bundesmann R, Kaplowitz SA. Provider communication and patient participation in diabetes self-care. *Patient Educ Couns* 2011;**85**:143-7.
84. Byrne P, Thetford C, Gabbay M, Wang A, Broadbent D, Harding SP. Patient perspectives of acceptability of risk-based individualized diabetic retinopathy. *Eur J Ophthalmol* 2015;**25** (3):e7.
85. Cetin EN, Zencir M, Fenkci S, Akin F, Yildirim C. Assessment of awareness of diabetic retinopathy and utilization of eye care services among Turkish diabetic patients. *Prim Care Diabetes* 2013;**7**:297-302.



86. Cheng M-L, Henderson C, Sinclair A, Sanders R. Visual health awareness, the Scottish community optometry service and Eyecare Integration Project: Breaking barriers in preventing visual impairment. *British Journal of Visual Impairment* 2015;**33**:220-6.
87. Chin MH, Cook S, Jin L, Drum ML, Harrison JF, Koppert J, *et al.* Barriers to providing diabetes care in community health centers. *Diabetes Care* 2001;**24**:268-74.
88. Chou CF, Zhang X, Crews JE, Barker LE, Lee PP, Saaddine JB. Impact of geographic density of eye care professionals on eye care among adults with diabetes. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2012;**19**:340-9.
89. Cumba RJ, Al-Attar L. Barriers for early detection of diabetic retinopathy. *Clin Transl Sci* 2010;**3** (2):S24.
90. Cupples HP, Awh CC, Chong LP, Guzman GI, Street DA, Gehrs KM, *et al.* Outcomes of the Diabetic Retinopathy Education Study. *Journal* 1993.
91. Dan A, Raubvogel G, Chen T, Ye T, Jin L, Xiao B, *et al.* The Impact of Multimedia Education on Uptake of Comprehensive Eye Examinations in Rural China: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. *Journal* 2015.
92. Dandona R, Dandona L, John RK, McCarty CA, Rao GN. Awareness of eye diseases in an urban population in southern India. *Bull World Health Organ* 2001;**79**:96-102.
93. D'Lugoff MI, McCarter J. Learning from experience: three community health population-based outreach projects for graduate and undergraduate students. *Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh* 2005;**2**:Article 17.
94. Eiser JR, Eiser C, Riazi A, Taylor DJ, Hammersley S, Tooke JE. Screening for diabetic retinopathy is well received by patients and may improve self-management intentions. *Diabet Med* 2001;**18**:835-41.
95. El Hajj MS, Basri MA, Abu yousef SE. Diabetes mellitus care in Qatar: A survey of pharmacists' activities, attitudes and perceived barriers. *Int J Clin Pharm* 2013;**35** (6):1282-3.
96. Elish NJ, Royak-Schaler R, Passmore SR, Higginbotham EJ. Knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about dilated eye examinations among African-Americans. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2007;**48**:1989-94.
97. Facey K. Organisation of services for diabetic retinopathy screening.
98. Ferraro JG, Mazzoni LL, Keeffe JE, Vu HT, Constantinou M, Taylor HR. Evaluation of an eye health program: the vision initiative. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2006;**13**:127-35.
99. Foster DT, Wylie-Rosett J, Walker EA. Local survey of optometrists about dilated fundusoscopic examinations for patients with diabetes: making use of phone book yellow-page listings. *Diabetes Educ* 1996;**22**:605-8.
100. Funatsu H. Why patients fail to attend scheduled ophthalmological examinations and countermeasures. [Japanese]. *Folia Ophthalmologica Japonica* 2002;**53**:7-11.
101. Funatsu H. Measures to Decrease Diabetic Patients' Neglect or Discontinuation of Ophthalmologic Care. [Japanese]. *Folia Ophthalmologica Japonica* 2004;**55**:10-3.
102. George S, Moran E, Fish A, Ogunyemi L. Understanding the digital divide in the clinical setting: the technology knowledge gap experienced by US safety net patients during teleretinal screening. *Stud Health Technol Inform* 2013;**192**:946.
103. Gillibrand WP, Broadbent DM, Swain JY, Harding SP, Vora JP. Knowledge levels of diabetic eye disease in people with diabetes: Results of a descriptive survey. *International Journal of Health Promotion and Education* 2000;**38**:141-4.
104. Gillibrand WP HP. Assessment of retinopathy. *Practice Nursing* 2010;**21**:305-9.
105. Gower EW SE, Cassard SD, Williams SK, Baldonado K, Friedman DS. Barriers to attending an eye examination after vision screening referral within a vulnerable population. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2013;**24**:1042-52.
106. Grimshaw J M, Pesseau J, Tetroe J, Eccles M P, Francis J J, Godin G, *et al.* Looking inside the black box: results of a theory-based process evaluation exploring the results of a randomized controlled trial of printed educational messages to increase primary care physicians' diabetic retinopathy referrals [Trial registration number ISRCTN72772651]. *Implementation Science* 2014;**9**:86.
107. Gulliford MC, Dodhia H, Chamley M, McCormick K, Mohamed M, Naithani S, *et al.* Socio-economic and ethnic inequalities in diabetes retinal screening. *Diabetic Medicine : a journal of the British Diabetic Association* 2010;**27**:282-8.
108. Hall CE, Hall AB, Kok G, Mallya J, Courtright P. A needs assessment of people living with diabetes and diabetic retinopathy. *BMC Res Notes* 2016;**9**:56.

109. Hark LA, Casten RJ, Murchison AP, Weiss DM, Leiby BE, Henderer J, *et al.* A novel home-based, behavioral intervention to improve access to diabetes eye care. *Journal* 2012.
110. Harvey JN, Craney L, Nagendran S, Ng CS. Towards comprehensive population-based screening for diabetic retinopathy: operation of the North Wales diabetic retinopathy screening programme using a central patient register and various screening methods. *J Med Screen* 2006;**13**:87-92.
111. Haw JS, Tantry S, Vellanki P, Pasquel FJ. National Strategies to Decrease the Burden of Diabetes and Its Complications. *Curr Diab Rep* 2015;**15**):65.
112. Hazin R, Barazi MK, Summerfield M. Challenges to establishing nationwide diabetic retinopathy screening programs. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol* 2011;**22**:174-9.
113. Hayden C TD, Niblett V, Hurrell DL, Donohoe S, Richardson I, Applebee E. The barriers and enablers that affect access to primary and secondary eye care services - England, Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland. 2012.
114. Hipwell AE, Lindenmeyer A, Sturt J, Stratton IM, Whatmore M, Al-Athamneh N, *et al.* What affects diabetic retinopathy screening uptake? Attitudes, access and agony: The experiences of diabetic retinopathy screening from the perspectives of patients and professionals in three English screening programme areas. *Diabet Med* 2013;**30**:196-7.
115. Hiroyama K, Kishimoto A, Inada K, Ishimaru S, Miyauchi K, Ishikawa K, *et al.* Survey of diabetic retinopathy patients not visiting eye clinics. [Japanese]. *Folia Ophthalmologica Japonica* 2002;**53**:197-201.
116. Hiss RG. Barriers to care in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. The Michigan experience. *Ann Intern Med* 1996;**124**:146-8.
117. Hung SLL FS, Lau PS, Wong SYS. A qualitative study on why did the poorly-educated Chinese elderly fail to attend nurse-led case manager clinic and how to facilitate their attendance. *International Journal for Equity in Health* 2015.
118. Hwang J, Bowen S, Rudnisky C, Johnson J. Socioeconomic disparities in ophthalmology services among diabetic patients in Alberta. *Canadian Journal of Diabetes* 2012;**1**):S73.
119. Inada K, Miyauchi K, Wakae M, Hiroyama K, Ishimaru S, Ishikawa K, *et al.* Follow-up study of diabetic patients not visiting the clinic. [Japanese]. *Folia Ophthalmologica Japonica* 2001;**52**:160-3.
120. Inoue A. Cooperation between internists and ophthalmologists for optimal management of patients with diabetes. [Japanese]. *Folia Ophthalmologica Japonica* 2002;**53**:177-9.
121. Jones SL, Nichols KK. Diabetic eye examination report. *Optometry (St Louis, Mo)* 2007;**78**:588-95.
122. Keeffe J. Screening for Diabetic Retinopathy: A planning and Resource Guide. In: Centre for Eye Research Australia; 2003.
123. Khandekar R. Screening and public health strategies for diabetic retinopathy in the Eastern Mediterranean region. *Middle East African journal of ophthalmology* 2012;**19**:178-84.
124. Kitaoka T, Ogawa T, Miyamura N, Amemiya T. Background of patients with diabetic retinopathy who discontinued ophthalmological follow up. [Japanese]. *Japanese Journal of Clinical Ophthalmology* 1996;**50**:341-4.
125. Kliner M, Fell G, Gibbons C, Dhothar M, Mookhtiar M, Cassels-Brown A. Diabetic retinopathy equity profile in a multi-ethnic, deprived population in Northern England. *Eye* 2012;**26**:671-7.
126. Kobayashi C, Takaki M, Terada T, Okada M, Fukuda S, Fukuda T. Survey of factors discouraging diabetic patients from continuing to visit an eye clinic. [Japanese]. *Folia Ophthalmologica Japonica* 2002;**53**:192-6.
127. Krein SL, Bernstein SJ, Fletcher CE, Makki F, Goldzweig CL, Watts B, *et al.* Improving eye care for veterans with diabetes: an example of using the QUERI steps to move from evidence to implementation: QUERI Series. *Implementation Science* 2008;**3**:18.
128. Kupitz DG, Fenwick E, Kollmann KH, Holz FG, Finger RP. Diabetes and Diabetic Retinopathy Management in East Africa: Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices of Hospital Staff in Kenya. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Ophthalmology* 2014;**3**:271-6.
129. Kurji K, Kiage D, Rudnisky CJ, Damji KF. Improving diabetic retinopathy screening in Africa: patient satisfaction with teleophthalmology versus ophthalmologist-based screening. *Middle East African journal of ophthalmology* 2013;**20**:56-60.

130. Lamoureux EL, Fenwick E, Xie J, McAuley A, Nicolaou T, Larizza M, *et al.* Methodology and early findings of the Diabetes Management Project: a cohort study investigating the barriers to optimal diabetes care in diabetic patients with and without diabetic retinopathy. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2012;**40**:73-82.
131. Lawton J, Parry O, Peel E, Douglas M. Diabetes service provision: A qualitative study of newly diagnosed Type 2 diabetes patients' experiences and views. *Diabet Med* 2005;**22**:1246-51.
132. Leamon SH, C. Lee, H. Trudinger, D. Appelbee, E. Hurrell, D-L. Richardson, I. Improving access to optometry services for people at risk of preventable sight loss: a qualitative study in five UK locations. *Journal of Public Health Journal of Public Health*. 2014;**36**(4):667-73.
133. Lee SJ, McCarty CA, Taylor HR, Keeffe JE. Costs of mobile screening for diabetic retinopathy: a practical framework for rural populations. *Aust J Rural Health* 2001;**9**:186-92.
134. Lee H, Appelbee E, Hurrell DL, Leamon S. The barriers and enablers that affect access to diabetic retinopathy screening amongst Pakistani people in Bradford and Glasgow. *Diabet Med* 2013;**30**:138.
135. Leese GP, Boyle P, Feng Z, Emslie-Smith A, Ellis JD. Screening uptake in a well-established diabetic retinopathy screening program: the role of geographical access and deprivation. *Diabetes Care* 2008;**31**:2131-5.
136. Lewis K. I wish someone had told me .... *Community Eye Health Journal* 2011;**24**:10-1.
137. Li Y, Fan AZ, Balluz LS. Visual impairment and age-related eye diseases in Florida: Findings from 2006 Behavioral Risk Factors Surveillance System (BRFSS) in Nine states. *Risk Management & Healthcare Policy* 2009;**2**:65-71.
138. Lindenmeyer A, Sturt J, Stratton IM, Hipwell AH, Whatmore M, Al-Athamneh N, *et al.* Attendance at diabetic retinopathy screening services: What makes a difference for practices? *Diabet Med* 2013;**30**:195.
139. Liu L, Chen L. Awareness of diabetic retinopathy is the key step for early prevention, diagnosis and treatment of this disease in China. *Patient Educ Couns* 2014;**94**:284-5.
140. Maberley DA, Koushik A, Cruess AF. Factors associated with missed eye examinations in a cohort with diabetes. *Can J Public Health* 2002;**93**:229-32.
141. MacLennan PA, McGwin G, Jr., Heckemeyer C, Lolley VR, Hullett S, Saaddine J, *et al.* Eye care use among a high-risk diabetic population seen in a public hospital's clinics. *JAMA Ophthalmology* 2014;**132**:162-7.
142. Massin P, Kaloustian E. The elderly diabetic's eyes. *Diabetes Metab* 2007;**33 Suppl 1**:S4-9.
143. McCarty CA, McKay R, Keeffe JE. Management of diabetic retinopathy by Australian optometrists. Working Group on Evaluation of NHMRC Retinopathy Guideline Distribution. National Health and Medical Research Council. *Aust N Z J Ophthalmol* 1999;**27**:404-9.
144. McGhee S, Harding SP, Wong D. Individual risk assessment and information technology to optimise screening frequency for diabetic retinopathy by Aspelund *et al.* (2011) *Diabetologia* 54:2525-2532. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2012;**250**:477-8.
145. Mehta NB. The doctors' challenge: how can we follow guidelines better. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2004;**71**:81-2, 5.
146. Memon MS, Shaikh SA, Shaikh AR, Fahim MF, S NM, Ahmed N. An assessment of knowledge, attitude and practices (KAP) towards diabetes and diabetic retinopathy in a suburban town of Karachi. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences* 2015;**31**:183-8.
147. Mirkiewicz-Sieradzka B, Dudzinska E. Knowledge about eye complications in patients with diabetes type 1 and type 2. [Polish]  
Stan wiedzy o powiklaniach ocznych u chorych z cukrzyca typu 1 i typu 2. *Diabetologia Polska* 2000;**7**:108-15.
148. Mistry NF, Mote B, Garda L, Dope R, Bopardikar A. A community based model for care and control of type 2 diabetes in rural Western India. *Trop Med Int Health* 2015;**20**:61.
149. Mukamel DB, Bresnick GH, Wang Q, Dickey CF. Barriers to compliance with screening guidelines for diabetic retinopathy. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 1999;**6**:61-72.
150. Muller A, Lamoureux E, Bullen C, Keeffe JE. Factors associated with regular eye examinations in people with diabetes: results from the Victorian Population Health Survey. *Optom Vis Sci* 2006;**83**:96-101.
151. Munoz B, O'Leary M, Fonseca-Becker F, Rosario E, Burgess I, Aguilar M, *et al.* Knowledge of diabetic eye disease and vision care guidelines among Hispanic individuals in Baltimore with and without diabetes. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2008;**126**:968-74.

152. Murgatroyd H, MacEwen C, Leese GP. Patients' attitudes towards mydriasis for diabetic eye disease screening. *Scott Med J* 2006;**51**:35-7.
153. Nagi DK, Gosden C, Walton C, Winocour PH, Turner B, Williams R, *et al.* A national survey of the current state of screening services for diabetic retinopathy: ABCD-diabetes UK survey of specialist diabetes services 2006. *Diabet Med* 2009;**26**:1301-5.
154. Navuluri RB. Diabetic retinopathy screening among Hispanics in Lea County, New Mexico. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2000;**11**:430-43.
155. Newcomb PA, Klein R, Massoth KM. Education to increase ophthalmologic care in older onset diabetes patients: Indications from the Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy. *Journal* 1992.
156. Ohno A, Asahi N, Sato T, Ueki A, Yoshida T, Hayashi T. Questionnaire survey regarding ophthalmological follow-up after diagnosis of diabetes. [Japanese]. *Folia Ophthalmologica Japonica* 1996;**47**:1372-5.
157. Nsiah-Kumi P, Ortmeier SR, Brown AE. Disparities in diabetic retinopathy screening and disease for racial and ethnic minority populations--a literature review. *J Natl Med Assoc* 2009;**101**:430-7.
158. Orton E, Forbes-Haley A, Tunbridge L, Cohen S. Results of a health equity audit to increase uptake of diabetic retinopathy screening in Derbyshire. *Diabet Med* 2011;**28**:185.
159. Ovenseri-Ogbomo GO, Abokyi S, Koffuor GA, Abokyi E. Knowledge of diabetes and its associated ocular manifestations by diabetic patients: A study at Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital, Ghana. *Niger Med J* 2013;**54**:217-23.
160. Paz SH, Varma R, Klein R, Wu J, Azen SP. Noncompliance with vision care guidelines in Latinos with type 2 diabetes mellitus: the Los Angeles Latino Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 2006;**113**:1372-7.
161. Philis-Tsimikas A, Hayes G, Koziol JA, Walker C, Tornambe P. Telemedicine retinal screening utilizing a mobile medical unit with a trained technician accurately detects disease in high risk ethnically diverse populations: Results of the project dulceTMretinal screening study. *Diabetes Conference: 69th Annual Meeting of the American Diabetes Association New Orleans, LA United States Conference Start* 2009;**58**.
162. Pilling RF. Screening for diabetic retinopathy in adults with learning disability: Current uptake and adjustments to facilitate equality of access. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities* 2015;**43**:62-5.
163. Preti RC, Saraiva F, Junior JA, Takahashi WY, da Silva ME. How much information do medical practitioners and endocrinologists have about diabetic retinopathy? *Clinics (Sao Paulo, Brazil)* 2007;**62**:273-8.
164. Quigley HA, Kee Park C, Tracey P, Pollack IP, Pizzarello LD. Community screening for eye disease by laypersons: The Hoftberger program. *Evidence-Based Eye Care* 2002;**3**:220-1.
165. Raman R PP, Padmajakumari R, Sharma T. Knowledge and attitude of general practitioners towards diabetic retinopathy practice in South India. *Community Eye Health* 2006;**19**:13-4.
166. Reid A, Barridge A, Chamley M, Don R, Thomas S, Mann S. Making the most of 'waiting room time' to close the disconnect between diabetes control and eye disease. *Diabet Med* 2013;**30**:181-2.
167. Reno PL, Arfken CL, Heins JM, Fisher EB, Jr. Factors that influence the decision to receive treatment for proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes Educ* 1997;**23**:653-5.
168. Richardson I. The barriers and enablers that affect access to primary and secondary eye care services — Cwm Taf site report. In: A report to RNIB by Shared Intelligence 2012.
169. Saadine JB, Fong DS, Yao J. Factors associated with follow-up eye examinations among persons with diabetes. *Retina* 2008;**28**:195-200.
170. Sachdeva A, Stratton IM, Unwin J, Scanlon PH. Why do patients with diabetes not take up retinopathy screening appointments? *Diabet Med* 2010;**1**:81.
171. Scanlon PH. The preferences of people with diabetes for diabetic retinopathy screening. *Diabet Med* 2012;**29**:836-7.
172. Scanlon PH, Provins EK, Craske S, Chave SJ, Aldington SJ, Martin CN, *et al.* Updating diabetic retinopathy screening lists using automatic extraction from GP patient records. *J Med Screen* 2013;**20**:111-7.
173. Sikivou BTK. *Barriers to regular eye examinations for people with diabetes* [Masters Research thesis]: The University of Melbourne; 2000.

174. Sloan FA, Brown DS, Carlisle ES, Picone GA, Lee PP. Monitoring visual status: why patients do or do not comply with practice guidelines. *Health Serv Res* 2004;**39**:1429-48.
175. Sloan FA, Yashkin AP, Chen Y. Gaps in receipt of regular eye examinations among medicare beneficiaries diagnosed with diabetes or chronic eye diseases. *Ophthalmology* 2014;**121**:2452-60.
176. Smith BK, Frost J, Albayrak M, Sudhakar R. Facilitating narrative medical discussions of type 1 diabetes with computer visualizations and photography. *Patient Educ Couns* 2006;**64**:313-21.
177. Stanga PE, Boyd SR, Hamilton AM. Ocular manifestations of diabetes mellitus. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol* 1999;**10**:483-9.
178. Streja DA, Rabkin SW. Factors associated with implementation of preventive care measures in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Arch Intern Med* 1999;**159**:294-302.
179. Sculpher MJ. The private costs incurred when patients visit screening clinics: the cases of screening for breast cancer and for diabetic retinopathy.
180. Takahashi K, Takahashi E. Ophthalmic examinations in patients with diabetes mellitus. [Japanese]. *Folia Ophthalmologica Japonica* 2001;**52**:850-4.
181. Thapa R, Poudyal G, Maharjan N, Bernstein PS. Demographics and awareness of diabetic retinopathy among diabetic patients attending the vitreo-retinal service at a tertiary eye care center in Nepal. *Nepalese Journal of Ophthalmology : A Biannual Peer-reviewed Academic Journal of the Nepal Ophthalmic Society : NEPJOPH* 2012;**4**:10-6.
182. Ting D, Morlet N, Ng J, Clark A, Yuen J, Preen D. Australian national survey: Diabetic retinopathy screening by community optometrists. *Annals of the Academy of Medicine Singapore* 2011;**1**:S31-S2.
183. Ting D, Ng J, Morlet N, Yuen J, Clark A, Taylor H, *et al.* Diabetic retinopathy--screening and management by Australian GPs. *Aust Fam Physician* 2011;**40**:233-8.
184. Ting DS, Ng JQ, Morlet N, Yuen J, Clark A, Taylor HR, *et al.* Diabetic retinopathy management by Australian optometrists. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2011;**39**:230-5.
185. Trento M, Bajardi M, Borgo E, Passera P, Maurino M, Gibbins R, *et al.* Perceptions of diabetic retinopathy and screening procedures among diabetic people. *Diabet Med* 2002;**19**:810-3.
186. Trivedi AN, Ayanian JZ. Perceived discrimination and use of preventive health services. *J Gen Intern Med* 2006;**21**:553-8.
187. Tsui E, Siedlecki AN, Deng J, Pollard MC, Cha S, Pepin SM, *et al.* Implementation of a vision-screening program in rural northeastern United States. *Clinical Ophthalmology* 2015;**9**:1883-7.
188. Trudinger DN, V. The barriers and enablers that affect access to primary and secondary eye care services — Hackney site report. In: A report to RNIB by Shared Intelligence; 2012.
189. Varma R, Mohanty SA, Deneen J, Wu J, Azen SP, Group L. Burden and predictors of undetected eye disease in Mexican-Americans: the Los Angeles Latino Eye Study. *Med Care* 2008;**46**:497-506.
190. Wadge H, Bicknell C, Vlaev I. Perceived ethical acceptability of financial incentives to improve diabetic eye screening attendance. *BMJ Open Diabetes Research & Care* 2015;**3**:e000118.
191. Wakae M, Fukushima Y, Ohtsuka H, Ishimaru S, Miyauchi K, Abe K, *et al.* Underestimation by ophthalmic outpatients with diabetic retinopathy of the severity of their disease - Examination of those who tend to underestimate their disease. [Japanese]. *Folia Ophthalmologica Japonica* 2000;**51**:302-7.
192. Wakae M, Miyauchi K, Ishimaru S, Myourei N, Bessho T. Factors associated with lack of regular follow-up visits of patients with diabetic retinopathy. [Japanese]. *Folia Ophthalmologica Japonica* 2003;**54**:271-5.
193. Waked N, Nacouzi R, Haddad N, Zaini R. [Epidemiology of diabetic retinopathy in Lebanon]. *Journal Francais d Ophthalmologie* 2006;**29**:289-95.
194. Wallace D. Accessing Screening Services: A Review of the Literature and Local Practice in the context of the Equality Delivery System In: Public Health England; 2013.
195. Wang JJ, Mitchell P, Smith W. Use of eye care services by older Australians: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Aust N Z J Ophthalmol* 1999;**27**:294-300.
196. Waqar S, Bullen G, Chant S, Salman R, Vaidya B, Ling R. Cost implications, deprivation and geodemographic segmentation analysis of non-attenders (DNA) in an established diabetic retinopathy screening programme. *Diabetes Metab Syndr* 2012;**6**:199-202.

197. Weiss D M, Casten R J, Leiby B E, Hark L A, Murchison A P, Johnson D, *et al.* Effect of behavioral intervention on dilated fundus examination rates in older african American individuals with diabetes mellitus a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Ophthalmology* 2015;**133**:1005-12.
198. Wiggins MN, Landes RD, Bhaleeya SD, Uwaydat SH. Reprint of: Primary care physicians' knowledge of the ophthalmic effects of diabetes.[Reprint of *Can J Ophthalmol.* 2013 Aug;48(4):265-8; PMID: 23931464]. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2015;**50 Suppl 1**:S12-5.
199. Williamson POCR. Need to improve diabetic retinopathy screening. In: Forum; 2013.
200. Wilson MR, Eezzuduemhoi DR. Ophthalmologic disorders in minority populations. *Medical Clinics of North America* 2005;**89**:795-804.
201. Woodward MA, Ple-Plakon P, Blachley T, Musch DC, Newman-Casey PA, De Lott LB, *et al.* Eye care providers' attitudes towards tele-ophthalmology. *Telemed J E Health* 2015;**21**:271-3.
202. Wright SE, McKay R, Taylor KI, Keeffe JE, McCarty CA. Changes in attitudes and practices of optometrists in their management of diabetic retinopathy after the release of NHMRC guidelines. *Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology* 2001;**29**:121-4.
203. Xiong Y, Liu LP, Chen Y, Zhao J. Survey on the awareness of diabetic retinopathy among people with diabetes in the Songnan community of Shanghai. *International Eye Science* 2015;**15**:1117-22.
204. Yan X, Liu, T, Gruber, L, He, M, Congdon N. Attitudes of Physicians, Patients, and Village Health Workers Toward Glaucoma and Diabetic Retinopathy in Rural China. *Archives of Ophthalmology* 2012;**130**.
205. Yeo ST, Edwards RT, Fargher EA, Luzio SD, Thomas RL, Owens DR. Preferences of people with diabetes for diabetic retinopathy screening: a discrete choice experiment. *Diabet Med* 2012;**29**:869-77.
206. Yeo ST, Edwards RT, Luzio SD, Charles JM, Thomas RL, Peters JM, *et al.* Diabetic retinopathy screening: perspectives of people with diabetes, screening intervals and costs of attending screening. *Diabet Med* 2012;**29**:878-85.
207. Yoshimoto M, Kato S, Matsumoto S. Questionnaire survey regarding effectiveness of the "Diabetes Eye Record". [Japanese]. *Folia Ophthalmologica Japonica* 2004;**55**:275-80.
208. Yuen J, Clark A, Ng JQ, Morlet N, Keeffe J, Taylor HR, *et al.* Further survey of Australian ophthalmologist's diabetic retinopathy management: did practice adhere to National Health and Medical Research Council guidelines? *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2010;**38**:613-9.