# Minocycline for negative symptoms of schizophrenia and possible mechanistic actions: the BeneMin RCT

Bill Deakin, <sup>1,2,3</sup>\* John Suckling, <sup>4,5</sup> Paola Dazzan, <sup>6</sup> Eileen Joyce, <sup>7</sup> Stephen M Lawrie, <sup>8</sup> Rachel Upthegrove, <sup>9</sup> Nusrat Husain, <sup>10,11</sup> Imran B Chaudhry, <sup>11,12</sup> Graham Dunn, <sup>13†</sup> Peter B Jones, <sup>4,5</sup> Danuta Lisiecka-Ford, <sup>4</sup> Shôn Lewis, <sup>1,2,3</sup> Thomas RE Barnes, <sup>14</sup> Steven CR Williams, <sup>15</sup> Carmine M Pariante, <sup>16</sup> Emma Knox, <sup>1</sup> Richard J Drake, <sup>2,10</sup> Richard Smallman <sup>1</sup> and Nicholas M Barnes <sup>17</sup> on behalf of the BeneMin study team

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Neuroscience and Psychiatry Unit, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Greater Manchester Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Core Technology Facility, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Brain Mapping Unit, Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Cambridge and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Sobell Department of Motor Neuroscience and Movement Disorders, Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Division of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Institute for Mental Health, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Division of Psychology and Mental Health, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Lancashire Care Early Intervention Service, Accrington, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Division of Neuroscience and Experimental Psychology, School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Division of Population Health, Health Services Research and Primary Care, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Centre for Psychiatry, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Department of Neuroimaging, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Stress, Psychiatry and Immunology Lab & Perinatal Psychiatry, Maurice Wohl Clinical Neuroscience Institute, King's College London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Institute of Clinical Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author bill.deakin@manchester.ac.uk †In memoriam

**Declared competing interests of authors:** Bill Deakin reports grants from P1vital Ltd (Wallingford, UK) and grants and personal fees from Autifony Therapeutics Ltd (Stevenage, UK) outside the submitted work; he contributed financial support to the study from his National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Senior Investigator award, and he became a member of the NIHR Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation Panel after completion of the study. John Suckling reports non-financial support from Cambridge NIHR Biomedical Research Centre; non-financial support from Behavioural and Clinical Neuroscience Institute, University of Cambridge; and grants from Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Trust Research Strategy Committee during the conduct of the study. Stephen M Lawrie reports that he has received personal fees from Otsuka Pharmaceuticals (UK) Ltd (Wexham, UK) and Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc. (Marlborough, MA, USA), and personal fees and research support from Janssen-Cilag Ltd (High Wycombe, UK). Rachel Upthegrove reports personal fees from Sunovion Pharmaceuticals, Inc., outside the submitted work. Nusrat Husain is chairperson of the board of trustees of Manchester Global Foundation, a not-for-profit organisation to address inequalities and promote health and well-being within the UK and globally. Peter Jones reports personal fees from Member Scientific Advisory Board – Janssen-Cilag Ltd and personal fees from Member Scientific Advisory Board – Ricordati Pharmaceuticals Ltd (Reading, UK) outside the submitted work. Danuta Lisiecka-Ford reports grants from NIHR during the conduct of the study. Shôn Lewis reports personal fees from Affigo.io (Manchester, UK) and Xenzone (Manchester, UK) outside the submitted work. Thomas Barnes reports personal fees from Sunovion Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Lundbeck Ltd (St Albans, UK), Newron Pharmaceuticals SpA (Bresso, Italy) and Janssen-Cilag Ltd outside the submitted work. Steven CR Williams reports research funding from Bionomics Ltd (Thebarton, SA, Australia), Eli Lilly and Company (Indianapolis, IN, USA), the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, GlaxoSmithKline plc (Middlesex, UK), Johnson & Johnson (New Brunswick, NJ, USA), Lundbeck Ltd, NIHR, Pfizer Inc. (New York, NY, USA), Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Ltd (Tokyo, Japan) and the Wellcome Trust. Carmine M Pariante reports that, in the last 5 years, he has received research funding from Johnson & Johnson, a pharmaceutical company interested in the development of anti-inflammatory medications for use in psychiatry, and from Medical Research Council- and Wellcome Trust-funded research consortia that also include GlaxoSmithKline plc, Johnson & Johnson and Lundbeck Ltd; however, the work in this publication is completely independent from this funding. Richard Drake reports honoraria for presentations at meetings and advisory board membership sponsored by Lundbeck Ltd, Janssen-Cilag Ltd (High Wycombe, UK), Sunovion Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Otsuka Pharmaceuticals (UK) Ltd that have been paid to the University of Manchester, and the subjects of these meetings do not represent a conflict of interest.

Published August 2019 DOI: 10.3310/eme06070

## **Scientific summary**

#### The BeneMin RCT

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation 2019; Vol. 6: No. 7

DOI: 10.3310/eme06070

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

# **Scientific summary**

#### **Background**

Even when treatment is adequate, people with established schizophrenia often have an impaired quality of life, experiencing social isolation, self-neglect, unemployment and reduced activities of daily living. Three factors fairly consistently relate to impaired social functioning: cognitive impairment [intelligence quotient (including lower IQ)], the duration of untreated psychosis (DUP) and negative symptoms. A prolonged DUP is associated with the development of marked negative symptoms. Such findings suggest that active psychosis may reflect a neuropathic process that results in negative symptoms and, thus, in impaired quality of life. This hypothesis is reinforced by evidence of progressive loss of grey matter volume (GMV) in the early 1–5 years of psychosis. This has led to interest in directly targeting neuroprotection in the development of early treatments to prevent negative symptoms and cognitive decline and thus to improve social function and quality of life.

Preclinical reports of the neuroprotective effects of the antibiotic minocycline led us to conduct a clinical trial in early schizophrenia comparing minocycline and matching placebo, added to treatment as usual (TAU). After 1 year, improvement in negative symptoms, but not in positive symptoms, was significantly greater after taking minocycline than after taking placebo. The Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation programme BeneMin study aimed to replicate the initial clinical benefit and to determine whether or not any benefit could be attributed to neuroprotective, anti-inflammatory or cognitive-enhancing effects of the drug.

#### **Objectives**

The study strategy was to use the recently developed PsyGrid infrastructure for UK-wide clinical and imaging research in first-episode psychosis to achieve the following objectives: (1) to determine whether negative symptoms can be lessened or prevented by minocycline treatment initiated early in the course of schizophrenia and (2) to collect biomarker data to test hypotheses about how minocycline improves negative symptoms. To meet these objectives, we designed the BeneMin study, a 1-year multicentre, double-blind randomised placebo-controlled trial of minocycline versus placebo, added to standard antipsychotic drug (APD), for patients within 5 years of a diagnosis of a schizophrenia-related psychosis. The clinical trial treatment was oral minocycline, 300 mg daily for 12 months, or matching placebo capsules. Based on power calculations, we aimed to recruit 225 participants to achieve 85 completers for each treatment group.

The primary efficacy prediction and the mechanistic hypotheses were:

- Minocycline minimises later negative symptoms when administered during the acute phase of early psychosis.
- Minocycline reduces or prevents the negative symptoms of schizophrenia by:
  - reducing the loss of grey matter associated with early psychosis
  - interfering with inflammatory cytokine production
  - acting on glutamate systems to improve negative symptoms and cognitive function.

The primary clinical outcome was the negative symptom subscale score of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS). The mechanistic biomarker variables were (1) change in medial prefrontal GMV from magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans, (2) circulating cytokine concentrations and (3) working memory performance and functional MRI (fMRI) activations in the frontal cortex. We aimed to relate these measures to changes in negative symptom severity and quality of life. Other clinical ratings were made at all

visits, at months 0 (randomisation), 2, 6, 9 and 12, to establish the time course of any benefit. Mechanistic imaging and cognitive measures were made at the first and last visits, and cytokines were measured in blood samples taken at 0, 2, 6 and 12 months. Participants were followed up 3 months after completing the trial phase with all efficacy assessments to determine whether or not any treatment effects had been sustained.

#### **Methods**

The study population was people aged 16–35 years who met the *Diagnostics and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV), criteria for schizophrenia or schizophreniform or schizo-affective psychosis, as assessed by the clinical team and confirmed by the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview, which was administered by a member of the research team. Other inclusion criteria included being within 5 years of the onset of psychotic symptoms; the current presence of at least one of four items from the PANSS positive symptom subscale, indicating a continuing episode; being under the care of an early intervention, community or inpatient adult service; and having the ability to provide informed consent, including being fluent in English and having an IQ of > 70. Patients could not take part if, in the investigators' opinion, substance misuse could interfere with the study or if their behaviour involved risk of violence or of self-harm, or if they had coexisting medical disorders revealed at clinical examination or by laboratory blood screening tests.

The primary clinical outcome variable was the negative symptom subscale score on the PANSS. Raters were trained and tested on the Standardised Clinical Interview for PANSS ratings (SCI-PANSS). The PANSS total and positive symptom subscales scores were secondary symptomatic efficacy variables. Functional outcome was assessed by the Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) scale from the DSM-IV and the self-rated Social Functioning Scale (SFS). Cognitive outcomes included digit-symbol processing speed task, list learning, verbal fluency and IQ measures. Standard clinical measures of APD side effects covered extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS) such as parkinsonism, akathisia and tardive dyskinesias as well as non-neurological APD side effects. Spontaneously reported side effects were recorded. Treatment-emergent depressive symptoms and body mass index (BMI) were monitored.

The three primary biomarker outcome variables tested the three mechanistic hypotheses: (1) preservation of prefrontal GMV, (2) decreased circulating cytokine interleukin (IL) 6 and high-sensitive C-reactive protein (hsCRP) concentrations, and (3) increased dorsolateral prefrontal cortex blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) response and performance during the N-back task.

Patients were randomised by the automated Open Clinical Data Management System to receive minocycline increasing from 200 mg to 300 mg/day or matching placebo capsules after they had completed safety and all research assessments. Efficacy measures were repeated at 2, 6 and 9 months, and all safety and research assessments were repeated at 12 months, the end of the trial medication phase. All assessments were repeated at 15 months, which was 3 months after stopping trial medication, to determine whether or not any treatment effects required continuing treatment with minocycline.

#### **Results**

A total of 207 patients were randomised in the trial: 104 to placebo and 103 to minocycline. A total of 75% of the participants remained in the study at 6 months and 60% remained at 12 months. There were no statistically significant treatment effects on any of the primary or secondary clinical or mechanistic outcomes (*Table a*). There was no significant tendency to loss of grey matter or increasing negative symptoms. Patients were not selected for the presence of negative symptoms and their initial ratings corresponded to a mild to moderate degree of severity, which improved minimally over the 12-month treatment phase by < 3 points. Circulating hsCRP and IL-6 cytokine concentrations did not change from

TABLE a Summary of best estimates of treatment effect

Primary outcome	Estimate	Standard error	<i>p</i> -value	95% confidence interval
Negative symptoms <sup>a</sup>	-0.19	0.53	0.73	–1.23 to 0.85
Clinical outcomes				
Positive symptoms <sup>a</sup>	-0.19	0.47	0.68	-1.12 to 0.73
Total symptoms (PANSS) <sup>a</sup>	-0.58	1.62	0.72	-3.75 to 2.59
CDSS <sup>a</sup>	-0.06	0.40	0.88	-0.84 to 0.72
GAF score <sup>a</sup>	2.71	2.15	0.21	-1.57 to 6.98
SFS withdrawal <sup>b</sup>	-0.24	0.40	0.55	-1.33 to 0.55
SFS relations <sup>b</sup>	-0.02	0.27	0.94	-0.55 to 0.51
SFS independence-performance <sup>b</sup>	-0.78	0.89	0.38	-2.53 to 0.97
SFS recreation <sup>b</sup>	-0.91	0.89	0.30	-2.65 to 0.82
SFS prosocial <sup>b</sup>	0.19	1.24	0.88	-2.25 to 2.62
SFS independence-competent <sup>b</sup>	-0.49	0.67	0.46	-1.79 to 0.81
SFS employment <sup>b</sup>	-0.12	0.43	0.78	-0.95 to 0.71
Processing speed <sup>c</sup>	-2.14	2.26	0.35	-6.63 to 2.35
Current IQ <sup>c</sup>	-0.56	1.53	0.72	-3.59 to 2.47
Weight <sup>c</sup>	2.71	2.15	0.21	-1.57 to 6.98
Biomarker outcomes				
GMV (left) <sup>c</sup>	-0.09	0.11	0.40	-0.30 to 0.12
GMV (right) <sup>c</sup>	-0.07	0.07	0.34	-0.21 to 0.08
N-back $1 + 2 > 0$ -back (%BOLD) <sup>c</sup>	-0.66	0.43	0.13	-1.53 to 0.20
IL-6 <sup>b</sup>	0.07	0.10	0.46	-0.12 to 0.26
hsCRP <sup>b</sup>	1.72	1.60	0.28	-1.42 to 4.85

CDSS, Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia.

baseline to 6 or 12 months and were unaffected by allocation to minocycline. Treatment effects were not modified in participants with baseline hsCRP and IL-6 concentrations above or below the median.

The pattern of usual drug treatment was unaffected by treatment allocation, and there were no effects on the low rates of EPS or other antipsychotic side effects including the small increase in BMI.

Adherence to trial medication was assessed using self-ratings of attitude to trial medication at every visit. The number reporting a high level of medication adherence (defined by a score of 6 or 7 on the 7-point treatment adherence scale) declined from approximately 85% at 2 months to 65% at 6 months and onwards. This was broadly in line with the rate of non-detectable minocycline measured in an ad hoc assay of minocycline in blood samples taken for cytokines at 6 and 12 months. An exploratory analysis did not reveal significant effects of minocycline in those patients reporting high medication adherence or in those with detectable plasma minocycline.

a 2-, 6-, 9- and 12-month follow-up.

b 6- and 12-month follow-up.

c 12-month follow-up.

#### **Conclusions**

The evidence from this study suggests that the administration of minocycline treatment for 1 year, in addition to standard treatment, early in the course of schizophrenia has neither a beneficial nor an adverse effect on clinical outcome. There were no differences in any of the primary or secondary efficacy or mechanistic outcome measures after 2, 6, 9 or 12 months between the minocycline- and placebo- treated groups. There was no evidence of an active process of grey matter loss, cognitive impairment or systemic inflammation that could have been engaged by minocycline. The findings were consistent across centres and unrelated to measures of treatment adherence. The results indicate that minocycline is not an effective adjunct to standard treatment of recent-onset schizophrenia. They also suggest that persistent inflammation and changes in grey matter are not a general or persistent feature in the first 5 years of psychosis.

#### **Trial registration**

This trial is registered as ISRCTN49141214.

#### **Funding**

This project was funded by the Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) programme, a Medical Research Council and National Institute for Health Research partnership. The study was sponsored by Manchester Mental Health and Social Care Trust and supported by the UK Clinical Research Network.

### **Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation**

ISSN 2050-4365 (Print)

ISSN 2050-4373 (Online)

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full EME archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/eme. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

#### Criteria for inclusion in the Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation journal

Reports are published in *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation* (EME) if (1) they have resulted from work for the EME programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

#### **EME** programme

The Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) programme was set up in 2008 as part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) and the Medical Research Council (MRC) coordinated strategy for clinical trials. The EME programme is broadly aimed at supporting 'science driven' studies with an expectation of substantial health gain and aims to support excellent clinical science with an ultimate view to improving health or patient care.

Its remit includes evaluations of new treatments, including therapeutics (small molecule and biologic), psychological interventions, public health, diagnostics and medical devices. Treatments or interventions intended to prevent disease are also included.

The EME programme supports laboratory based or similar studies that are embedded within the main study if relevant to the remit of the EME programme. Studies that use validated surrogate markers as indicators of health outcome are also considered.

For more information about the EME programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/eme

#### This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the EME programme as project number 09/100/23. The contractual start date was in July 2011. The final report began editorial review in July 2017 and was accepted for publication in March 2019. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The EME editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the final report document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research. The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the MRC, NETSCC, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2019. This work was produced by Deakin et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

#### **NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief**

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

#### **NIHR Journals Library Editors**

**Professor John Powell** Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Honorary Professor, University of Manchester, and Senior Clinical Researcher and Associate Professor, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

**Professor Andrée Le May** Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

**Professor Matthias Beck** Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Director, NIHR Dissemination Centre, UK

**Dr Catriona McDaid** Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

**Professor James Raftery** Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

**Professor Helen Snooks** Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

**Professor Jim Thornton** Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk