A peer-led physical activity intervention in schools for adolescent girls: a feasibility RCT

Simon J Sebire,¹* Kathryn Banfield,¹ Rona Campbell,^{2,3} Mark J Edwards,¹ Ruth Kipping,² Bryar Kadir,^{2,4} Kirsty Garfield,^{2,4} Joe Matthews,¹ Peter S Blair,^{2,4} Ronan A Lyons,⁵ William Hollingworth² and Russell Jago^{1,6}

- ¹Centre for Exercise, Nutrition and Health Sciences, School for Policy Studies, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- ²Department of Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- ³Centre for the Development and Evaluation of Complex Interventions for Public Health Improvement, Cardiff University, Cardiff, Wales
- ⁴Bristol Randomised Trials Collaboration, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK ⁵Farr Institute, Swansea University Medical School, Swansea, UK
- ⁶National Institute for Health Research Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care West at University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK

*Corresponding author simon.sebire@bristol.ac.uk

Declared competing interests of authors: Rona Campbell is a member of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Public Health Research Funding Board and is a NIHR Senior Investigator. Russell Jago is a member of the NIHR Public Health Research Board. William Hollingworth is a member of the NIHR Health Technology Assessment Clinical Evaluation and Trials Board.

Published September 2019 DOI: 10.3310/phr07160

Plain English summary

A peer-led physical activity intervention in schools for adolescent girls Public Health Research 2019; Vol. 7: No. 16

DOI: 10.3310/phr07160

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

F ew adolescent girls are active enough to keep healthy and many face barriers to being active. The Peer-Led physical Activity iNtervention for Adolescent girls (PLAN-A) is a programme to increase girls' activity levels through their friendship groups. Influential Year 8 girls (aged 12–13 years), nominated by other girls in their year, are trained to be peer supporters (PSs) and to encourage their friends to be active. The study wanted to test whether or not PLAN-A was acceptable to Year 8 girls, whether or not it had the potential to increase their physical activity, how much it cost and whether or not the research to test if it works was possible. The study developed the intervention with the help of Year 8 girls and tested it in one school with 70 girls. The study recruited six secondary schools in south-west England: four schools (with 269 girls) ran PLAN-A and two (comparison) schools (with 158 girls) did not. All Year 8 girls wore an activity monitor and answered survey questions about their attitudes to being active. The study calculated the cost of running PLAN-A and talked with the PSs, other Year 8s, parents and PS trainers to identify what worked and what needed improvement.

Ninety-five per cent of all eligible Year 8 girls took part. In total, 55 girls were nominated and agreed to be PSs and attendance at the training was high (attendance rate 90–100%). Five female PS trainers with experience in youth work, drama and/or health promotion were trained. PSs felt privileged to be nominated, enjoyed the training, learnt about girls' activities, how to support their friends and formed a strong rapport with the trainers. The majority of participants completed the questionnaires and wore the activity monitor. Analysis showed that PLAN-A could increase girls' moderate to vigorous activity by 6 minutes per day compared with the comparison schools. The average cost of running PLAN-A was £2685 per school or £37 per Year 8 girl.

Public Health Research

ISSN 2050-4381 (Print)

ISSN 2050-439X (Online)

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full PHR archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/phr. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Public Health Research journal

Reports are published in *Public Health Research* (PHR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the PHR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Public Health Research* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

PHR programme

The Public Health Research (PHR) programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), evaluates public health interventions, providing new knowledge on the benefits, costs, acceptability and wider impacts of non-NHS interventions intended to improve the health of the public and reduce inequalities in health. The scope of the programme is multi-disciplinary and broad, covering a range of interventions that improve public health. The Public Health Research programme also complements the NIHR Health Technology Assessment programme which has a growing portfolio evaluating NHS public health interventions.

For more information about the PHR programme please visit the website: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/programmes/phr

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the PHR programme as project number 13/90/16. The contractual start date was in April 2015. The final report began editorial review in December 2017 and was accepted for publication in April 2018. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The PHR editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the final report document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the PHR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the PHR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2019. This work was produced by Sebire *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Honorary Professor, University of Manchester, and Senior Clinical Researcher and Associate Professor, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Director, NIHR Dissemination Centre, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk