A bespoke smoking cessation service compared with treatment as usual for people with severe mental ill health: the SCIMITAR+ RCT

Emily Peckham, 1* Catherine Arundel, 1 Della Bailey, 1 Suzanne Crosland, ¹ Caroline Fairhurst, ¹ Paul Heron, ¹ Catherine Hewitt, ¹ Jinshuo Li, ¹ Steve Parrott, ¹ Tim Bradshaw,² Michelle Horspool,³ Elizabeth Hughes,⁴ Tom Hughes,⁵ Suzy Ker,⁶ Moira Leahy,³ Tayla McCloud,⁷ David Osborn,⁷ Joseph Reilly,⁶ Thomas Steare,⁷ Emma Ballantyne,⁸ Polly Bidwell,⁹ Susan Bonner,¹⁰ Diane Brennan,¹¹ Tracy Callen, 12 Alex Carey, 3 Charlotte Colbeck, 3 Debbie Coton, 13 Emma Donaldson, 14 Kimberley Evans, 15 Hannah Herlihy, 16 Wajid Khan, 17 Lizwi Nyathi, 11 Elizabeth Nyamadzawo, 15 Helen Oldknow,⁸ Peter Phiri,¹⁸ Shanaya Rathod,¹⁸ Jamie Rea, 19 Crystal-Bella Romain-Hooper, 5 Kaye Smith, 12 Alison Stribling, 20 Carinna Vickers 21 and Simon Gilbody¹

¹Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, UK

²Centre for Primary Care, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

³Research and Development, Sheffield Health and Social Care NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield, UK

⁴School of Healthcare, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

⁵Research and Development, Leeds and York Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Leeds, UK

⁶North East York Community Mental Health Team, Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust, York, UK

⁷Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK

⁸Research and Development, Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust, Doncaster, UK

⁹Research and Development, Lancashire Care NHS Foundation Trust, Preston, UK

¹⁰Research and Development, Tees, Esk and Wear Valleys NHS Foundation Trust, Stockton on Tees, UK

- ¹¹Research and Development, Lincolnshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Sleaford, UK
- ¹²Research and Development, Solent NHS Trust, Portsmouth, UK
- ¹³Research and Development, Sussex Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Hove, UK
- ¹⁴Research and Development, Berkshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Reading, UK
- ¹⁵Research and Development, Bradford District Care NHS Foundation Trust, Bradford, UK
- ¹⁶Research and Development, Kent and Medway NHS and Social Care Partnership Trust, Maidstone, UK
- ¹⁷Research and Development, South West Yorkshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Wakefield, UK
- ¹⁸Research and Development, Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK
- ¹⁹Research and Development, Northumberland, Tyne and Wear NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
- ²⁰Research and Development, Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK
- ²¹Research and Development, Somerset Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, South Petherton, UK
- *Corresponding author emily.peckham@york.ac.uk

Declared competing interests of authors: Catherine Hewitt is a member of the Health Technology Assessment Commissioning Board. Suzy Ker reports personal fees from the NHS outside the submitted work.

Published September 2019

DOI: 10.3310/hta23500

Plain English summary

The SCIMITAR+ RCT

Health Technology Assessment 2019; Vol. 23: No. 50

DOI: 10.3310/hta23500

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Smoking is an important health issue, especially among people who have experienced mental ill health such as schizophrenia or bipolar disorder. This is because people with severe mental ill health (SMI) are more likely to smoke than the general population. Despite this, they are less likely to get help to stop smoking, and it may be that people with mental ill health problems need greater support to help them stop smoking.

To address this problem, we developed and tested a 'bespoke smoking cessation' (BSC) service tailored to people with SMI. People aged \geq 18 years who said that they would like to stop smoking were randomly allocated to either a BSC service or the usual stop smoking services. Those in the BSC service were allocated a mental health professional who had been trained to deliver smoking cessation interventions. The mental health professional worked with the participant and their care team to advise on stop smoking medication and provide information, support and motivation. Usual-care participants were signposted to local smoking services, but their subsequent care was not directly provided or supervised by trial smoking cessation advisors.

Between October 2015 and December 2016, 526 people with SMI were recruited into the study: 265 of these people were randomly assigned to the BSC service and 261 were randomly assigned to usual care. At 6 and 12 months after randomisation, participants completed questionnaires that asked about their smoking status and health. Participants had their smoking status tested by measuring the amount of carbon monoxide in their breath.

After 6 months, more people who received the BSC intervention had stopped smoking than those who had received usual care. At 12 months, the results were less clear in terms of the difference in the number of people who had stopped smoking.

The BSC service cost less than or similar to usual care, when considering the overall health-care services. The improvement in health of people who received the BSC service remains uncertain. In addition, we do not know whether or not this was related to people stopping smoking.

HTA/HTA TAR

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.819

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 11/136/52. The contractual start date was in March 2015. The draft report began editorial review in October 2018 and was accepted for publication in May 2019. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2019. This work was produced by Peckham et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Honorary Professor, University of Manchester, and Senior Clinical Researcher and Associate Professor, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Director, NIHR Dissemination Centre, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk