Comparing alternating pressure mattresses and high-specification foam mattresses to prevent pressure ulcers in high-risk patients: the PRESSURE 2 RCT

Jane Nixon,¹* Sarah Brown,¹ Isabelle L Smith,¹ Elizabeth McGinnis,^{1,2} Armando Vargas-Palacios,³ E Andrea Nelson,^{4,5} Julia Brown,¹ Susanne Coleman,¹ Howard Collier,¹ Catherine Fernandez,¹ Rachael Gilberts,¹ Valerie Henderson,⁶ Christopher McCabe,⁷ Delia Muir,¹ Claudia Rutherford,⁸ Nikki Stubbs,⁹ Benjamin Thorpe,¹ Klemens Wallner,¹⁰ Kay Walker,¹¹ Lyn Wilson^{1,12} and Claire Hulme^{3,13}

- ¹Clinical Trials Research Unit, Leeds Institute of Clinical Trials Research, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
 ²Research and Innovation, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK
 ³Academic Unit of Health Economics, Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
 ⁴School of Healthcare, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
 ⁵School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, UK
 ⁶Independent Tissue Viability Consultant, UK
 ⁷Institute of Health Economics, Edmonton, AB, Canada
 ⁸Quality of Life Office, Psycho-oncology Co-operative Research Group, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia
- ⁹Neighbourhood Team North 1, Leeds Community Healthcare NHS Trust, Leeds, UK
- ¹⁰Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada
- ¹¹Pressure Ulcer Research Service User Network, Leeds, UK
- ¹²Research and Innovation, Mid Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust, Wakefield, UK
- ¹³Health Economics Group, Institute of Health Research, University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, UK
- *Corresponding author J.E.Nixon@leeds.ac.uk

Declared competing interests of authors: Christopher McCabe has received grant funding from the University of Alberta and Alberta Innovates Health Solutions (Edmonton, AB, Canada). Julia Brown is Deputy Director of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Clinical Evaluation and Trials Board and has received grant funding for the following studies: the NIHR eRAPID Programme Grants for Applied Research (PGfAR) trial, the NIHR Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) GLiSten trial, the NIHR HTA LAVA trial (liver resection surgery versus thermal ablation for colorectal liver metastases), the NIHR EME IntAct (Intraoperative flourescence angiography to prevent Anastomotic leak in rectal cancer surgery), NIHR Research for Patient Benefit LACE (Life After Cancer Epidemiology) trial, the NIHR EME ROLARR (RObotic vs. LAparoscopic Resection for Rectal cancer) trial, the NIHR HTA SaFarl (Sacral nerve stimulation versus the FENIX TM magnetic sphincter augmentation for faecal incontinence: a Randomised Investigation and the NIHR StamINA Programme Development Grant trial. Claire Hulme and E Andrea Nelson have been members of the NIHR HTA Commissioning Board and E Andrea Nelson has received funding for the NIHR HTA CODIFI (Concordance in Diabetic Foot Ulcer Infection) study. Elizabeth McGinnis has received funding for NIHR Health Service and Delivery Research (HSDR) Information Systems, Monitoring and Managing from Ward to Board and the NIHR PGfAR SWHSI (Surgical Wounds Healing by Secondary Intention) trial. Isabelle L Smith and Susanne Coleman have received a NIHR personal fellowship. Benjamin Thorpe has received a NIHR research methods fellowship. Delia Muir has received a Wellcome Trust Engagement Fellowship. Rachael Gilberts has received funding for the NIHR HTA ALPHA (ALitretinoin versus PUVA in severe chronic HAnd eczema) and MIDFUT (Multiple Interventions for Diabetic Foot Ulcer Treatment) trials. Nikki Stubbs has received funding for NIHR PGfAR SWHSI and NIHR HTA AMBER (Abdominal Massage for Bowel Dysfunction Effectiveness Research) trial. Jane Nixon has received funding for NIHR HTA MIDFUT, CODIFI and ALPHA. Sarah Brown has received funding for NIHR HTA ALPHA, MIDFUT, FORVAD (Clinical and cost-effectiveness of posterior cervical FORaminotomy Versus Anterior cervical Discectomy in the treatment of cervical brachialgia: a multicentre, Phase III, randomised controlled trial), the NIHR PGFAR PROMPT (early detection to improve outcome in patients with undiagnosed psoriatic arthritis), ARUK (Arthritis Research UK) SALRISE (SALivary electro-stimulation for the treatment of dry mouth in patients with Sjögren's syndrome: a multicentRe randomISEd sham-controlled double-blind study).

Published September 2019 DOI: 10.3310/hta23520

Plain English summary

The PRESSURE 2 RCT

Health Technology Assessment 2019; Vol. 23: No. 52 DOI: 10.3310/hta23520

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Pressure ulcers (PUs) are patches of damaged skin, mainly caused by sitting/lying in one position. PUs are graded based on how serious they are, ranging from red patches (category 1) through small skin breaks/ blisters (category 2) to serious wounds (category 4).

Special mattresses are used to help prevent PUs. This study compared alternating pressure mattresses (APMs) with high-specification foam mattresses (HSFMs), to see which is better at preventing PUs.

The study included adults admitted to hospital for acute illness who were at a high risk of developing PUs. Patients were randomly allocated to HSFM or APM. Nurses checked patients' skin and recorded changes.

A total of 132 patients developed at least one new PU of category \geq 2 before the end of treatment (60 days maximum). Of these, 53 patients were allocated to the APM arm and 79 to the HSFM arm, a difference of 2.6%. This is a small but significant difference.

Nurses looked at patients' skin again 30 days after the patient had stopped using a trial mattress. At this point, 160 patients had at least one new PU (of category \geq 2). Of these, 70 patients were allocated to the APM arm and 90 to the HSFM arm, a very small difference of 2.0%. Some patients asked to change mattresses; this happened more in the APM group.

This study focused on high-risk patients; however, only a small number of people developed PUs, suggesting that prevention is possible with either mattress. Results also suggest that certain groups of patients may benefit more from APMs, for example people who cannot give consent or who have skin redness.

When planning prevention and choosing mattresses, professionals and patients need to consider a number of factors, such as comfort, existing PUs and people's ability to self-care. Further research is recommended to understand what sort of prevention works, for whom and in what circumstances.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2019. This work was produced by Nixon *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.819

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 11/36/33. The contractual start date was in March 2013. The draft report began editorial review in December 2017 and was accepted for publication in July 2018. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2019. This work was produced by Nixon *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Honorary Professor, University of Manchester, and Senior Clinical Researcher and Associate Professor, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Director, NIHR Dissemination Centre, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk