

# What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of using a goaldirected allopurinol-based treat-to-target protocol in people with recurrent gout flares? Protocol for a randomised controlled trial and internal pilot study

Final Version 2.1 31th October 2019

**Short title:** Treat to target in gout

Acronym: T2T

ISRCTN: ISRCTN12059648

IRAS Project ID: 263669

**Trial Sponsor:** University of Nottingham

Sponsor reference: 19023

Funding Source: NIHR-Health Technology Appraisal

## TRIAL PERSONNEL AND CONTACT DETAILS

**Sponsor:** University of Nottingham

Contact name Ms Angela Shone

Research and Innovation University of Nottingham

East Atrium

Jubilee Conference Centre

Triumph Road Nottingham NG8 1DH

Email: <a href="mailto:sponsor@nottingham.ac.uk">sponsor@nottingham.ac.uk</a>

Chief investigator: Dr A Abhishek

Clinical Associate Professor of Rheumatology

Honorary Consultant Rheumatologist

Academic Rheumatology University of Nottingham Nottingham NG5 1PB Phone: 01158 23193

Email: Abhishek.abhishek@nottingham.ac.uk

**Co-investigators:** Dr Edward Roddy

Reader in Rheumatology

Honorary Consultant Rheumatologist Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre

**Keele University** 

Staffordshire, ST5 5BG Phone: 01782 734715 Email: e.roddy@keele.ac.uk

Dr Miriam Santer

Associate Professor in Primary Care Research

Primary Care & Population Sciences

University of Southampton Aldermoor Health Centre Southampton SO16 5ST Phone: 02380 591789

Email: m.santer@soton.ac.uk

Dr Sue Jowett

Reader in Health Economics Health Economics Unit

Institute of Applied Health Research

University of Birmingham Phone: 0121 414 7898

Email: s.jowett@bham.ac.uk

Professor Christian Mallen

Page 2 of 68

Treat to target in gout Protocol Final Version 2.1 date 31 Oct 2019

Director, Institute for Primary Care and Health

Sciences
NIHR Research Professor in General Practice

Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre

Keele University

Staffordshire, ST5 5BG Phone: 01782 734879

Email: c.d.mallen@keele.ac.uk

Professor Paul Little

Professor of Primary Care Research

University of Southampton Phone: 02380241062

Email: p.little@soton.ac.uk

Professor Weiya Zhang Professor of Epidemiology Academic Rheumatology University of Nottingham Nottingham NG5 1PB

Phone: 0115 823 1750

Email: weiya.zhang@nottingham.ac.uk

Professor Maarten Taal Professor of Medicine

Division of Medical Sciences and Graduate Entry

Medicine

Phone: 01332 724842

Email: m.taal@nottingham.ac.uk

Professor Michael Doherty Professor of Rheumatology Academic Rheumatology University of Nottingham Nottingham NG5 1PB

Phone: 0115 8231755

Email: michael.doherty@nottingham.ac.uk

Trial Statisticians: Professor Julius Sim

Professor of Health Care Research

Institute for Primary Care and Health Sciences Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre

**Keele University** 

Staffordshire, ST5 5BG Phone: 01782 734253 Email: j.sim@keele.ac.uk

Dr Ivonne Solis-Trapala Senior Lecturer in Medical Statistics

Page 3 of 68

Treat to target in gout Protocol Final Version 2.1 date 31 Oct 2019

Institute for Primary Care and Health Sciences Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre

Keele University

Staffordshire, ST5 5BG Phone: 01782 734711

Email: i.solis-trapala@keele.ac.uk

**Trial Coordinating Centre:** Keele Clinical Trials Unit (CTU),

David Weatherall Building,

Keele University,

Staffordshire, ST5 5BG Tel: 01782 732950

**Senior Trial coordinator:** Dr Nancy Fernandes da Silva,

Senior Trials Manager,

Email: n.fernandesdasilva@keele.ac.uk

**Trial Coordinator:** Mrs. Gemma Hughes,

Trials Manager

Email: g.hughes@keele.ac.uk

## 1. SYNOPSIS

What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of using a goal-directed allopurinol-based treat-to-target protocol in people with recurrent gout flares? Protocol for a randomised controlled trial and internal pilot study
T2T
Treat-to-target in gout
Dr A Abhishek
<u>Internal pilot:</u> To assess consent rate, attrition, quality of outcome data, delivery of treat-to-target urate-lowering treatment (ULT) and preliminary estimate of the effect of the intervention.
Full trial:  Primary objective: To evaluate the effectiveness of allopurinol-based treat-to-target ULT versus usual general practitioner (GP) care on number of gout flares over two years.
Secondary objectives: To evaluate the effects of allopurinol-based treat-to-target ULT versus usual GP care on • gout flare severity,
• serum urate level,
<ul><li>tophus count,</li><li>size of largest tophus,</li></ul>
• quality of life,
• treatment satisfaction,
drug adherence,
• renal function,
adverse events, and     adverse events, and
cost-effectiveness
<u>Long-term extension:</u> To evaluate the effects of allopurinol-based treat-to-target ULT versus usual GP care on
• number of gout flares,
healthcare utilization due to gout,
<ul><li>comorbidities, and</li><li>death.</li></ul>
Parallel group
Primary care
<u> </u>
Using 90% power and 5% two-tailed significance, and assuming a dispersion parameter of 1.50 to account for variability between participants (estimated from our recent trial, and inflated by 25%), in order to detect a rate ratio of 0.650 (based on mean numbers of flares over 24 months of 3.54 and 2.30 in standard and active arms respectively), 190 participants per group are required for this trial. Using 10,000 simulations conducted in R assuming a negative binomial model, assuming a correlation between baseline and follow-up counts of flares of 0.33, an adjusted sample size for the inclusion of baseline flares as a covariate is 186 per group. Allowing for up to 20% loss to follow-up based on our experience of conducting a two-year Nottingham gout

that makes receiving the study information and initial screening questionnaire from GP a stressful experience,  • unable to comply with study procedures, • life expectancy less than 12 months, • cancer treatment i.e. surgery, radiotherapy, or chemotherapy in the previous 12 months, • solid organ transplant, • cirrhosis of liver, • autoimmune rheumatic disease, • Inflammatory bowel disease, • Inflammatory bowel disease, • current long-term daily prednisolone defined as continuous use for ≥ days or current other immunosuppressive treatments, • stage 4/5 chronic kidney disease (CKD), • pregnant, breast feeding or planning to become pregnant.  Intervention: Allopurinol-based treat-to-target ULT.  Control: Usual GP care of gout, including ULT.  Duration of study  80 months  Each participant in the study for at least four years  Randomization and blinding  Randomization in a 1:1 ratio, stratified by region, prior intolerance to colchicine, using randomly permuted blocks of 2 to 6. The trial management group and the trial steering committee will be blind to group allocation. Study participants will not be blinded. The statistician will not be blind to group allocation, owing to differences in serum urate that will be apparent. Unblinding data will be made available to the data monitoring committee where appropriate. In such an event, the statistician will prepare the relevant data summaries and the identity of the treatment groups. Unblinding to the study team will only occur once the main trial analyses have been completed. Randomization will occur online via a web-based system based in Keele Clinical Trials Unit (CTI Internal pilot:  Primary outcome: consent rate, quality of outcome data, serum urate level as a continuous variable at 1 year, and proportion hitting the target serum urate level (<360 μmol/L) at 1 year, gout flares in months 12.	Number of participants	466
<ul> <li>• previous side-effects to allopurinol that contraindicate rechallenge</li> <li>• dementia, severe psychological disturbance i.e. mental health illness that makes receiving the study information and initial screening questionnaire from GP a stressful experience,</li> <li>• unable to comply with study procedures,</li> <li>• life expectancy less than 12 months,</li> <li>• cancer treatment i.e. surgery, radiotherapy, or chemotherapy in the previous 12 months,</li> <li>• solid organ transplant,</li> <li>• cirrhosis of liver,</li> <li>• autoimmune rheumatic disease,</li> <li>• Inflammatory bowel disease,</li> <li>• Inflammatory bowel disease,</li> <li>• Inflammatory bowel disease,</li> <li>• current long-term daily prednisolone defined as continuous use for ≥ days or current other immunosuppressive treatments,</li> <li>• stage 4/5 chronic kidney disease (CKD),</li> <li>• pregnant, breast feeding or planning to become pregnant.</li> <li>Intervention: Allopurinol-based treat-to-target ULT.</li> <li>Control: Usual GP care of gout, including ULT.</li> <li>Bo months</li> <li>Each participant in the study for at least four years</li> <li>Randomization and blinding</li> <li>Randomization in a 1:1 ratio, stratified by region, prior intolerance to colchicine, using randomly permuted blocks of 2 to 6. The trial management group and the trial steering committee will be blind to group allocation. Study participants will not be blinded. The statistician will not be blind to group allocation, owing to differences in serum urate that will be apparent. Unblinded data will be made available to the data monitoring committee where appropriate. In such an event, the statistician will prepare the relevant data summaries and the identity of the treatment groups. Unblinding to the study team will only occur once the main trial analyses have been completed. Randomization will occuonline via a web-based system based in Keele Clinical Trials Unit (CTI</li></ul>	Eligibility criteria	<ul> <li>age ≥18 years.</li> <li>ability to give informed consent</li> <li>meets the clinical American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism classification criteria for gout</li> <li>≥1 flare of gout in the previous 12 months</li> </ul>
Description of interventions		<ul> <li>previous side-effects to allopurinol that contraindicate rechallenge</li> <li>dementia, severe psychological disturbance i.e. mental health illness that makes receiving the study information and initial screening questionnaire from GP a stressful experience,</li> <li>unable to comply with study procedures,</li> <li>life expectancy less than 12 months,</li> <li>cancer treatment i.e. surgery, radiotherapy, or chemotherapy in the previous 12 months,</li> <li>solid organ transplant,</li> <li>cirrhosis of liver,</li> <li>autoimmune rheumatic disease,</li> <li>Inflammatory bowel disease,</li> <li>current long-term daily prednisolone defined as continuous use for ≥ 30 days or current other immunosuppressive treatments,</li> <li>stage 4/5 chronic kidney disease (CKD),</li> </ul>
Randomization and blinding  Randomization in a 1:1 ratio, stratified by region, prior intolerance to colchicine, using randomly permuted blocks of 2 to 6. The trial management group and the trial steering committee will be blind to group allocation. Study participants will not be blinded. The statistician will not be blind to group allocation, owing to differences in serum urate that will be apparent. Unblinded data will be made available to the data monitoring committee where appropriate. In such an event, the statistician will prepare the relevant data summaries and the identity of the treatment groups. Unblinding to the study team will only occur once the main trial analyses have been completed. Randomization will occur online via a web-based system based in Keele Clinical Trials Unit (CTI) Internal pilot:  Primary outcome: consent rate  Secondary outcomes: drop-out rate, quality of outcome data, serum urate level as a continuous variable at 1 year, and proportion hitting the target serum urate level (<360 μmol/L) at 1 year, gout flares in months 12.  Full trial:	Description of interventions	Intervention: Allopurinol-based treat-to-target ULT.
colchicine, using randomly permuted blocks of 2 to 6. The trial management group and the trial steering committee will be blind to group allocation. Study participants will not be blinded. The statistician will not be blind to group allocation, owing to differences in serum urate that will be apparent. Unblinded data will be made available to the data monitoring committee where appropriate. In such an event, the statistician will prepare the relevant data summaries and the identity of the treatment groups. Unblinding to the study team will only occur once the main trial analyses have been completed. Randomization will occur online via a web-based system based in Keele Clinical Trials Unit (CTI Internal pilot:  Primary outcome: consent rate  Secondary outcomes: drop-out rate, quality of outcome data, serum urate level as a continuous variable at 1 year, and proportion hitting the target serum urate level (<360 µmol/L) at 1 year, gout flares in months 12.  Full trial:	Duration of study	
Outcome measures  Internal pilot: Primary outcome: consent rate Secondary outcomes: drop-out rate, quality of outcome data, serum urate level as a continuous variable at 1 year, and proportion hitting the target serum urate level (<360 µmol/L) at 1 year, gout flares in months 12.  Full trial:	Randomization and blinding	colchicine, using randomly permuted blocks of 2 to 6. The trial management group and the trial steering committee will be blind to group allocation. Study participants will not be blinded. The statistician will not be blind to group allocation, owing to differences in serum urate that will be apparent. Unblinded data will be made available to the data
Secondary outcomes:	Outcome measures	Internal pilot: Primary outcome: consent rate Secondary outcomes: drop-out rate, quality of outcome data, serum urate level as a continuous variable at 1 year, and proportion hitting the target serum urate level (<360 µmol/L) at 1 year, gout flares in months 7-12.  Full trial: Primary outcome: Number of gout flares over 2 years.

- number of gout flares in months 1-12
- •number of gout flares in months 13-24
- tophus count,
- size of largest tophus,
- •pain due to gout flare, EQ-5D-5L during gout flares, and gout impact scale (GIS) after flare resolution.
- serum urate level: proportion hitting the target serum urate level (<360µmol/L) at year 1 and 2
- serum urate level: proportion hitting <300µmol/L at year 1 and 2
- serum urate level as continuous variable at year 1 and 2,
- EQ-5D-5L between gout flares,
- treatment satisfaction.
- returned pill count,
- Morisky medication adherence scale (MMAS)-8 scale,
- serum creatinine and estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR),
- urine albumin creatinine ratio,
- primary-care consultations for gout,
- hospitalizations due to gout,
- ULT prescriptions,
- other prescriptions for treating flares of gout,
- investigations for gout,
- incident cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, diabetes,
- incidence or progression of CKD,
- death.
- adverse events and
- cost-effectiveness.

#### Safety Outcomes

- New intervention-related AEs reported during the study,
- Discontinuation due to intervention-related AEs.

## Long-term extension:

- number of gout flares in years 3-4,
- primary-care consultations for gout,
- hospitalizations due to gout,
- ULT prescriptions,
- other prescriptions for treating flares of gout,
- investigations for gout,
- incident cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, diabetes,
- Serum creatinine and estimated GFR,
- Urine albumin creatinine ratio,
- incidence or progression of CKD, and
- death.

## Statistical methods

Analysis will be on an intention-to-treat (ITT) basis, according to a prespecified SAP. The primary outcome, number of gout flares over 24 months post-randomization, will be analysed between groups through negative binomial regression, adjusting for number of flares in the year prior to trial entry and including the stratification factors in the model. The fit of a negative binomial model to the data will be assessed through plots of residuals, and if poor, an alternative model (e.g. zero-inflated negative binomial or Poisson) will be used. As a secondary analysis on the primary outcome, flares occurring during months 1–12 and between months 13–24 will be analysed between groups.

	Generalised mixed-effects models will be fitted for other continuous or binary outcomes, at both 1- and 2-year follow-up. Assumptions of all analyses will be checked and significance will be set at p ≤ .05, with 95% CIs calculated for between-group estimates. Appropriate sensitivity analyses will be performed, as specified in the SAP.
--	--

## 2. ABBREVIATIONS

ΑE Adverse Event BNF **British National Formulary** CI Chief Investigator overall CRF Case Report Form CKD Chronic Kidney Disease CTU Clinical Trials Unit DMC Data Monitoring Committee GP General Practitioner GIS Gout Impact Scale GCP **Good Clinical Practice** GFR Glomerular Filtration Rate HRA Health Research Authority ICF Informed Consent Form ITT Intention to Treat MPR Medication Possession Ratio MMAS Morisky Medication Adherence Scale NHS National Health Service NRS Numeric Rating Scale Ы Principal Investigator at a local centre PIS Participant Information Sheet QALY Quality adjusted life year REC Research Ethics Committee R&D Research and Development department SmPC Summary of product characteristics SAE Serious Adverse Event SAP Statistical Analysis Plan

Short Message Service SMS

TMG Trial Management Group

Trial Steering Committee TSC

**Urate Lowering Treatment** ULT

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	SYNOPSIS	5
2.	ABBREVIATIONS	9
3.	TRIAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND RATIONALE	14
4.	TRIAL OBJECTIVES AND PURPOSE	15
4	.1 PURPOSE	15
4	.2 PRIMARY OBJECTIVE	15
4	.3 SECONDARY OBJECTIVES	15
4	.4 LONG TERM EXTENSION	16
5.	DETAILS OF PRODUCT(S)	16
5	.1 DESCRIPTION	16
5	.2 MANUFACTURE	16
	.3 PACKAGING AND LABELLING	16
	.4 STORAGE, DISPENSING AND RETURN	17
	.5 TREATMENT IN THE CONTROL ARM	17
5	.6 KNOWN SIDE EFFECTS	17
6.	TRIAL DESIGN	28
6	.1 TRIAL CONFIGURATION FULL RCT	28
	6.1.1 Primary outcome measure	28
	6.1.2 Secondary outcome measures	28
	6.1.3 Safety outcomes	28
	6.2 INTERNAL PILOT	29
_	6.2.1 Outcomes	29
6	.3 LONG-TERM EXTENSION	29
	6.3.1 Primary outcome measure	29
6	6.3.2 Secondary outcome measures .4 RANDOMIZATION AND BLINDING	29 29
U	6.4.1 Randomization and blinding	29 29
	6.4.2. Maintenance of randomization codes and procedures for breaking	_
	30	coac
6	.5 TRIAL/STUDY MANAGEMENT	30
	6.5.1 Trial Data Sharing	31
	.6 DURATION OF THE TRIAL / STUDY AND PARTICIPANT INVOLVEMENT	32
6	.7 SELECTION AND WITHDRAWAL OF PARTICIPANTS	32
	6.7.1 Recruitment	32
	6.7.2 Eligibility criteria	33
	Inclusion criteria	33
	Exclusion criteria	34
	6.7.3 Removal of participants from therapy or assessments/participant	0.5
	withdrawal	35
_	6.7.4 Informed consent	36
7.	TRIAL STUDY TREATMENT AND REGIMEN	36
	7.1 Treatment in intervention arm:	36
	7.2 Treatment in control arm:	40

13.	USER AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	60
12.	PUBLICATION AND DISSEMINATION POLICY	60
11 11 11	1.1 INSURANCE AND INDEMNITY 1.2 TRIAL CONDUCT 1.3 TRIAL DATA 1.4 RECORD RETENTION AND ARCHIVING 1.5 DISCONTINUATION OF THE TRIAL BY THE SPONSOR 1.6 STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY	58 58 58 59 59
11.	QUALITY ASSURANCE & AUDIT	
10 10 10	0.1 ETHICS COMMITTEE AND REGULATORY APPROVALS 0.2 INFORMED CONSENT AND PARTICIPANT INFORMATION 0.3 RECORDS 10.3.1 Case Report Forms (CRF) 10.3.2 Sample labelling 10.3.3 Source documents 10.3.4 Direct access to source data/documents 0.4 DATA PROTECTION	56 56 56 56 57 57 57
10.	<ul> <li>9.1 Definitions</li> <li>9.2 Causality</li> <li>9.3 Reporting of adverse events</li> <li>9.4 Trial Treatment / Intervention Related SAEs</li> <li>9.5 Participant removal from the study due to adverse events</li> <li>ETHICAL AND REGULATORY ASPECTS</li> </ul>	53 54 55 55 56
9.	ADVERSE EVENTS	
8.	8.1 Statistical analysis plan 8.2 Internal Pilot 8.3 Full RCT 8.4 Health economic analysis 8.5 Long term follow-up 8.6 Sample size and justification 8.7 Assessment of efficacy 8.8 Assessment of safety 8.9 Procedures for missing, unused and spurious data 8.10 Definition of populations analysed	47 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 53 53
	7.3 Concomitant treatments: 7.4 Internal pilot: 7.5 Study visits 7.6 Data collected at research assessments 7.7 Data collected from GP surgery: 7.8 Data collected by study participants: 7.9 Compliance 7.10 Data collection in long-term extension phase: 7.11 Criteria for terminating the trial 7.12 Laboratory analyses 7.13 Transport and storage of the tissues	41 41 42 44 44 45 46 46 46

14.	STUDY FINANCES	60
	14.1 Funding source 14.2 Participant stipends and payments	60 60
15.	SIGNATURE PAGES	61
RE	FERENCES	62
	PENDIX 1. DRUGS THAT INCREASE THE CONCENTRATION OF LCHICINE	65
	PENDIX 2. CONTRAINDICATIONS AND CAUTIONS TO FLARE OPHYLAXIS	66
	PENDIX 3. KEY POINTS TO COVER DURING EDUCATION FOR GOUT	

## 3. TRIAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND RATIONALE

Gout affects 2.5% adults in the UK, with higher prevalence reported in other countries, and poses a substantial healthcare burden [1–6]. It results from sustained hyperuricemia, which causes intra- and peri-articular monosodium urate crystal deposition. When these crystals are released, they induce intense inflammation, which manifests as a flare of gout [7]. Acute gout is one of the most painful conditions known, and resulted in 110,000 GP consultations and 7,916 hospital admissions, including 3,496 emergency admissions, in England alone in the year 2008–2009 [3, 4, 8]. Apart from this, 252,525 individuals consulted their GP about gout at least once in the year 2007 in England and Wales [3]. The healthcare burden of gout is likely to have increased in the last decade as the prevalence of gout has increased steadily by 4.2% per year in the UK [2]. Gout is now the most common cause of hospitalization for rheumatic diseases, having overtaken rheumatoid arthritis, with incremental direct costs between US\$3,165–5,515 per year, increasing to US\$10,222–21,467 per year when there are frequent flares and tophi [5, 6].

Gout is a direct consequence of hyperuricemia, and there is sufficient evidence that high serum urate is associated with gout, and with frequent gout flares [9–10]. It is also logical that lowering serum urate should provide freedom from future gout flares. Gout presents with self-limiting flares in its early stages, and there was an absence of high-quality RCT data on whether treat-to-target ULT prevents gout flares in a cost-effective manner compared to treating only when symptoms worsen.

A recent Randomised Controlled Trial (RCT) from Nottingham (n=517) with communitybased recruitment and intervention delivery compared Academic centre based research nurse-led of care to usual (GP-led) care of people with gout over a two year period (11). The nurses were trained about gout and delivered a package of care reflecting recommended best practice (12,13), specifically: individualised holistic assessment with enquiry and discussion of illness perceptions; full information on the nature, causes, possible consequences and treatment options for gout (appendix 3); a treat-to-target ULT strategy; and full patient engagement in management decisions. Compared to usual care, nurse-led care resulted in very high ULT uptake (96% v 56% at year 2); achievement of target serum urate <360µmol/L in more patients (95% v 30% at year 2); lower flare frequency during year 2 (mean 1.5 v 2.4); reduced presence of tophi (3% v 11% at year 2); and improved quality of life (SF-36 physical component) at year 2. The intervention was cost-effective in improving patient-centred outcomes and modelled to be cost-saving at year 5. Flare frequency during year 1 was comparable in both arms (mean 3.6 vs. 3.5) possibly because only 3 participants in the nurse-led arm elected to have flare prophylaxis, even though this option was considered and discussed. Whether such care is generalizable and could be equally well delivered by non-research practice-based nurses, or other healthcare professionals, is unknown. On post hoc analysis, the number of flares over the total two year treatment period were not statistically different between the two arms (MD unpublished data). Thus, it remains unknown if an allopurinol based treat-to-target ULT regime that included flare prophylaxis, reduces the number of gout flares from treatment initiation.

This lack of clarity has resulted in sub-optimal gout management and culminated in discordant recommendations from primary care and rheumatology gout treatment guidelines, exemplified by the recent American College of Physicians' recommendation to treat gout patients to avoid symptoms rather than treat to a target serum urate level, due to lack of high-quality RCT evidence [12–16]. Previous research has demonstrated that gout management in the UK is sub-optimal, with only 30–40% of eligible patients receiving ULT, mostly at a fixed low-dose, e.g. 300 mg allopurinol/day [2], which usually does not lower the serum urate sufficiently to eliminate the monosodium urate crystals in the majority of gout cases [17]. Thus, a study that demonstrates the effectiveness of treat-to-target ULT in gout

will provide the much-needed evidence to improve the management of gout in the UK and worldwide. The NIHR-HTA board have issued a call for research studies to answer this important question, and, after competitive bidding and peer review, this grant has been awarded to the research team.

Evidence explaining why this research is needed now: Most published RCTs of ULT in gout with the exception of one study [11], are one year or shorter in duration [18]. Most are industry-funded and compare allopurinol (300 mg/day) to new xanthine oxidase inhibitors or a combination of fixed dose allopurinol with new uricosuric drugs [18-22]. Moreover, the goal of managing gout (i.e. treat-to-target serum urate vs. treat-to-avoid-symptoms) differ between American College of Physicians and rheumatology guidelines due to absence of high-quality evidence [12-16, 18, 23]. Thus, a study comparing treat-to-target serum urate vs. treat-to-symptom is urgently needed.

We have recently completed a single-centre RCT comparing a research nurse-led package of care for gout that involved both pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions against usual GP care [11]. The experience of conducting this study provides us with a unique understanding of the obstacles to recruitment, reasons for non-participation, reasons for adhering to ULT [24], and other trial processes that places us ideally to deliver this commissioned multi-centre treat-to-target trial in primary care. The proposed study builds on our experience of delivering a nurse-led package of care for gout that included treat-to-target ULT in a single centre trial, to a multicentre primary care based study, with inclusion of flare prophylaxis, and flares in the first two years treated as primary outcome measure in the proposed study.

## 4. TRIAL OBJECTIVES AND PURPOSE

#### **4.1 PURPOSE**

The overall purpose of this study is to determine the clinical and cost-effectiveness of treatto-target ULT in people with recurrent gout flares compared to usual GP care.

#### 4.2 PRIMARY OBJECTIVE

To evaluate the effect of allopurinol-based treat-to-target ULT versus usual GP care on number of gout flares in the first two years of treat-to-target ULT.

#### 4.3 SECONDARY OBJECTIVES

To evaluate the effects of allopurinol-based treat-to-target ULT versus usual GP care on:

- Number of gout flares in months 1-12
- Number of gout flares in months 13-24
- tophus count,
- size of largest tophus,
- gout flare severity,
- serum urate level,
- quality of life.
- treatment satisfaction (assessed using treatment satisfaction questionnaire version 2 [25]),
- drug adherence,
- renal function.
- adverse events, and

cost-effectiveness.

#### Internal pilot:

We will assess consent rate, attrition, quality of outcome data, delivery of treat-to-target ULT and preliminary estimate of the effect size of the intervention in a 1-year internal pilot.

#### 4.4 LONG TERM EXTENSION

#### **Primary objectives:**

To evaluate the long-term effect of continued allopurinol-based treat-to-target ULT versus usual GP care on number of gout flares in years 3-4.

## Secondary objectives

To evaluate the long-term effect of continued allopurinol-based treat-to-target ULT versus usual GP care on healthcare utilisation for gout in years 3-4, incidence of comorbidities, and mortality.

## 5. DETAILS OF PRODUCT(S)

#### 5.1 DESCRIPTION

The study will involve the use of licenced urate lowering drugs, and medicines that are recommended to be used for gout flare prophylaxis. The description of each of the individual medicine is outlined below:

Table 1 Drug names and appearance

Drug	Appearance
Allopurinol	circular, biconvex, white, uncoated
Febuxostat	oblong, biconvex, yellow, film-coated
Sulfinpyrazone	disc, white, uncoated
Naproxen	yellow, capsule-shaped, biconvex uncoated tablets
Omeprazole	hard gelatin capsules of size 2, opaque yellow cap and body, containing white to off-white spherical pellets.
Colchicine	disc, white or yellow, uncoated or coated

#### **5.2 MANUFACTURE**

The names of manufacturer(s) and marketing authorization numbers are available from the summary of product characteristics of each of these medicines.

#### 5.3 PACKAGING AND LABELLING

Each product will be packaged and labelled as per the marketing authorization and usual National Health Service (NHS) community pharmacy policies. Drug manufacturers and community pharmacies respectively will perform these activities.

## 5.4 STORAGE, DISPENSING AND RETURN

The medicines will be stored in community pharmacies and dispensed on receiving a GP or a prescribing nurse practitioner prescription. Participants will be requested to return any unused medicines at the one- and two-year research assessment visits.

#### 5.5 TREATMENT IN THE CONTROL ARM

This trial does not involve the use of placebos. However, participants in the control arm will receive gout treatment from their GPs, or hospital rheumatologists, and can be prescribed any of the ULT, colchicine, naproxen or other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), corticosteroids and proton pump inhibitors outlined earlier, depending on their clinical need. However, they will not receive a structured nurse-led treat-to-target ULT protocol.

#### **5.6 KNOWN SIDE EFFECTS**

The known side effects of each product are outlined below. They are classified as:

- Very common (≥1/10 (≥10%)),
- Common (≥1/100 and <1/10 (≥1% and <10%)),
- Uncommon (≥1/1000 and <1/100 (≥0.1% and <1%)),
- Rare (≥1/10,000 and <1/1000 (≥0.01% and <0.1%)),
- Very rare (<1/10,000 (<0.01%))

## **Table 2 Side effects to Allopurinol**

#### Infections and infestations

Very rare: furunculosis,

## Blood and lymphatic system disorders

Very rare: thrombocytopenia, aplastic anaemia, agranulocytosis

Frequency not known: leucopenia, eosinophilia, haemolytic anaemia

Very rare reports have been received of thrombocytopenia, agranulocytosis and aplastic anaemia, particularly in individuals with impaired renal and/or hepatic function, reinforcing the need for particular care in this group of patients.

Reports of transient reduction in the number of circulating formed elements of the blood are usually in association with a renal and/or hepatic disorder, reinforcing the need for particular care in this group of patients.

## Immune system disorders

A delayed multi-organ hypersensitivity disorder (known as hypersensitivity syndrome or DRESS) with fever, rashes, vasculitis, lymphadenopathy, pseudo lymphoma, arthralgia, leucopenia, eosinophilia, hepato-splenomegaly, abnormal liver function tests and vanishing bile duct syndrome (destruction and disappearance of the intrahepatic bile ducts), occurring in various combinations. Other organs may also be affected (e.g. liver, lungs, kidneys, pancreas, myocardium, and colon). If such reactions do occur, it may be at any time during treatment, Allopurinol tablets should be withdrawn immediately and permanently.

When generalized hypersensitivity reactions have occurred, renal and/or hepatic disorder has usually been present particularly when the outcome has been fatal.

Uncommon: Hypersensitivity reactions

Very rare: Angioimmunoblastic lymphadenopathy, anaphylaxis

Frequency not known: arthralgia

Associated vasculitis and tissue response may be manifested in various ways including hepatitis, interstitial nephritis and, very rarely, epilepsy. Corticosteroids may be beneficial in overcoming them. When generalized hypersensitivity reactions have occurred, a renal and/or hepatic disorder has usually been present, particularly when the outcome has been fatal.

#### Metabolism and nutrition disorders

Very rare: diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia

Frequency not known: exacerbation of gout flares

## **Psychiatric disorders**

Very rare: depression,

## **Nervous system disorders**

*Very rare:* ataxia, coma, headache, neuropathy, paraesthesia, paralysis, somnolence, taste perversion, dysgeusia

Frequency not known: dizziness

## Eye disorders

Very rare: cataract, macular changes, visual disorders

## Ear and labyrinth disorders

Very rare: vertigo

#### Cardiac disorders

Very rare: angina, bradycardia

#### Vascular disorders

Very rare: hypertension

Frequency not known: vasculitis

#### **Gastrointestinal disorders**

Uncommon: nausea, vomiting

Very rare: changed bowel habit, stomatitis, steatorrhoea, haematemesis

Frequency not known: diarrhoea, abdominal pain,

## **Hepatobiliary disorders**

*Uncommon:* asymptomatic increases in liver function tests

Rare: Hepatitis (including hepatic necrosis and granulomatous hepatitis)

#### Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders

Common: rash

Rare: Severe cutaneous adverse reactions (SCARs): Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxicepidermal necrolysis (TEN) have been reported (see section 4.4). The highest risk for SJS and TEN, or other serious hypersensitivity reactions, is within the first weeks of treatment.

Very Rare: alopecia, angioedema, discoloured hair, fixed drug eruptions.

Frequency not known: skin reaction associated with eosinophilia, urticaria.

Drug Rash with Eosinophilia and Systemic Symptoms (DRESS) has been reported. Some cases have had a fatal outcome.

Skin reactions are the most common reactions and may occur at any time during treatment. They may be pruritic, maculopapular, sometimes scaly or purpuric, associated with exfoliation, fever, lymphadenopathy, arthralgia and/or eosinophilia resembling Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS), toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) and/or Lyell's. Allopurinol should be withdrawn immediately should such reactions occur.

If desired, after recovery from mild reactions, allopurinol may be reintroduced at a low dose (e.g. 50mg/day), which may be gradually increased. If the rash recurs, allopurinol should be permanently withdrawn.

The HLA-B\*5801 allele has been identified as a genetic risk factor for allopurinol associated SJS/TEN in retrospective, case-control, pharmacogenetic studies in patients of Han Chinese, Japanese and European descent. Up to 20–30% of some Han Chinese, African and Indian populations carry the HLA-B\*5801 allele whereas only 1–2% of Northern European, US European and Japanese patients are estimated to be HLA-B\*5801 carriers. However, the use of genotyping as a screening tool to make decisions about treatment with allopurinol has not been established.

The clinical diagnosis of SJS/TEN remains the basis for decision making. If such reactions occur at any time during treatment, allopurinol should be withdrawn immediately and permanently.

#### Renal and urinary disorders

Very rare: haematuria, uraemia, azotaemia

Frequency not known: nephrolithiasis

## Reproductive system and breast disorders

Very rare: gynaecomastia, impotence, infertility, erectile dysfunction

Frequency not known: nocturnal emissions

#### General disorders and administration site conditions

Very rare: asthenia, general malaise, oedema, pyrexia\*

## Investigations

Common: blood thyroid stimulating hormone increased\*\*

- \* Fever has been reported to occur with and without signs and symptoms of a more generalized allopurinol hypersensitivity reaction.
- \*\* The occurrence of increased thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) in the relevant studies did not report any impact on free T4 levels or had TSH levels indicative of subclinical hypothyroidism.

#### Table 3 Side effects to Febuxostat

Within each frequency grouping, adverse reactions are presented in order of decreasing seriousness.

## Blood and lymphatic system disorders

Rare: Pancytopenia, thrombocytopenia, agranulocytosis

## Immune system disorders

Rare: Anaphylactic reaction, drug hypersensitivity

#### **Endocrine disorders**

Uncommon: Blood thyroid stimulating hormone increased

#### Eye disorders

Rare: Blurred vision

#### Metabolism and nutrition disorders

Common: Gout flares

Uncommon: Diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, decrease appetite, weight increase

Rare: Weight decrease, increase appetite, anorexia

## **Psychiatric disorders**

Uncommon: Libido decreased, insomnia

Rare: Nervousness

## **Nervous system disorders**

Common: Headache

Uncommon: Dizziness, paraesthesia, hemiparesis, somnolence, altered taste,

hypoaesthesia, hyposmia

## Ear and labyrinth disorders

Rare: Tinnitus

#### Cardiac disorders

Uncommon: Atrial fibrillation, palpitations, ECG abnormal

#### Vascular disorders

Uncommon: Hypertension, flushing, hot flush

## Respiratory system disorders

*Uncommon:* Dyspnoea, bronchitis, upper respiratory tract infection, cough

#### Gastrointestinal disorders

Common: Diarrhoea, nausea

*Uncommon:* Abdominal pain, abdominal distension, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, vomiting, dry mouth, dyspepsia, constipation, frequent stools, flatulence, gastrointestinal discomfort

Rare: Pancreatitis, mouth ulceration

## **Hepato-biliary disorders**

Common: Liver function abnormalities

Uncommon: Cholelithiasis

Rare: Hepatitis, jaundice, liver injury

#### Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders

Common: Rash (including various types of rash reported with lower frequencies, see below)

Uncommon: Dermatitis, urticaria, pruritus, skin discolouration, skin lesion, petechiae, rash macular, rash maculopapular, rash papular

Rare: Toxic epidermal necrolysis, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, angioedema, drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms, generalized rash (serious), erythema, exfoliative rash, rash follicular, rash vesicular, rash pustular, rash pruritic, rash erythematous, rash morbilliform, alopecia, hyperhidrosis

#### Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders

Uncommon: Arthralgia, arthritis, myalgia, musculoskeletal pain, muscle weakness, muscle spasm, muscle tightness, bursitis

Rare: Rhabdomyolysis, joint stiffness, musculoskeletal stiffness

## Renal and urinary disorders

Uncommon: Renal failure, nephrolithiasis, haematuria, pollakiuria, proteinuria

Rare: Tubulointerstitial nephritis, micturition urgency

## Reproductive system and breast disorder

*Uncommon*: Erectile dysfunction

#### General disorders and administration site conditions

Common: Oedema

Uncommon: Fatigue, chest pain, chest discomfort

Rare: Thirst

## Investigations

*Uncommon:* Blood amylase increase, platelet count decrease, WBC decrease, lymphocyte count decrease, blood creatine increase, blood creatinine increase, haemoglobin decrease, blood urea increase, blood triglycerides increase, blood cholesterol increase, haematocritic decrease, blood lactate dehydrogenase increased, blood potassium increase

Rare: Blood glucose increase, activated partial thromboplastin time prolonged, red blood cell count decrease, blood alkaline phosphatase increase, blood creatine phosphokinase increase

## Table 4 Side effects to Sulfinpyrazone

Frequency not known for all adverse events.

Gastrointestinal: Abdominal distress, gastrointestinal haemorrhage, gastrointestinal ulcer.

Hepato-biliary disorders: Hepatic disorders.

Renal and urinary: Acute kidney injury.

Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorder: Allergic dermatitis.

Blood disorders: Agranulocytosis, aplastic anaemia, leucopenia, thrombocytopenia

Cardiovascular disorders: Fluid retention, sodium retention.

#### **Table 5 Side effects to Colchicine**

## Blood and lymphatic system disorders

*Not known:* bone marrow depression with agranulocytosis, aplastic anaemia and thrombocytopenia.

#### **Nervous system disorders**

Not known: peripheral neuritis, neuropathy.

## **Gastrointestinal system disorders**

Common: abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea.

Not known: gastrointestinal haemorrhage.

## **Hepatobiliary disorders**

Not known: hepatic damage.

#### Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders

Not known: alopecia, rash.

Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders

Not known: myopathy and rhabdomyolysis.

Renal and urinary disorders

Not known: renal damage.

Reproductive system and breast disorders

Not known: amenorrhoea, dysmenorrhoea, oligospermia, azoospermia.

## **Table 6 Side effects to Naproxen**

## Blood and lymphatic system disorders:

Rare: haemolytic anaemia

Very rare: granulocyctopenia, thrombocytopenia, agranulocytosis

Frequency not known: aplastic anaemia, neutropenia

Immune system disorders:

Rare: allergic and hypersensitivity reactions, anaphylaxis

Metabolism and nutrition disorders:

Rare: hyperkalaemia

**Psychiatric disorders:** 

Uncommon: depression, cognitive dysfunction, insomnia, loss of concentration, abnormal

dreams

Frequency not known: hallucinations

Nervous system disorders

Common: confusion, dizziness, drowsiness, headache

Very rare: convulsions, aseptic meningitis

Frequency not known: vertigo, paraesthesia, malaise, exacerbation of Parkinson's disease

Eye disorders

Common: visual disturbances

Frequency not known: optic neuritis, papilloedema

Ear and labyrinth disorders

Common: tinnitus

Frequency not known: hearing impairment

Cardiac disorders

*Uncommon:* palpitations

Frequency not known: cardiac failure

Vascular disorders

Rare: vasculitis

Very Rare: arterial thrombotic events e.g. myocardial infarction or stroke

Frequency not known: hypertension

Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders

Rare: aggravated asthma, eosinophilic pneumonitis

Frequency not known: bronchospasm, dyspnoea, rhinitis, pulmonary oedema

**Gastro-intestinal disorders** 

Very Rare: pancreatitis

Frequency not known: thirst, peptic ulcers, perforation or GI bleeding, nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, flatulence, constipation, dyspepsia, abdominal pain, melaena, haematemesis, ulcerative stomatitis, exacerbation of colitis and Crohn's disease, gastritis

## Hepatobiliary

Rare: hepatitis (sometimes fatal), jaundice

Frequency not known: abnormal liver function,

Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders

Common: rash, pruritis, purpura

Uncommon: urticaria, photosensitivity

Rare: alopecia, pseudo-porphyria

Very rare: erythema multiforme, Stevens Johnsons syndrome, toxic epidermal necrosis,

epidermolysis bullosa

Frequency not known: angio-oedema, epidermal necrosis, exfoliative and bullous

dermatoses, lichen planus

Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders

Rare: myalgia, muscle weakness

Renal and urinary disorders

Very rare: glomerular nephritis, haematuria, interstitial nephritis, nephritic syndrome, renal papillary necrosis

Frequency not known: renal failure, nephropathy, increase in serum creatinine

## Reproductive system and breast disorders

Frequency not known: impaired female fertility

## General disorders and administration site complications

Common: fatigue

Frequency not known: mild peripheral oedema, pyrexia

## **Table 7 Side effects to Omeprazole**

## Blood and lymphatic system disorders

Rare: Leukopenia, thrombocytopenia

Very rare: Agranulocytosis, pancytopenia

## Immune system disorders

Rare: Hypersensitivity reactions e.g. fever, angioedema and anaphylactic reaction/shock

#### Metabolism and nutrition disorders

Rare: Hyponatraemia

Not known: Hypomagnesaemia

## **Psychiatric disorders**

Uncommon: Insomnia

Rare: Agitation, confusion, depression

Very rare: Aggression, hallucinations

## **Nervous system disorders**

Common: Headache

Uncommon: Dizziness, paraesthesia, somnolence

Rare: Taste disturbance

## Eye disorders

Rare: Blurred vision

#### Ear and labyrinth disorders

Uncommon: Vertigo

## Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders

Rare: Bronchospasm

#### **Gastrointestinal disorders**

Common: Abdominal pain, constipation, diarrhoea, flatulence, nausea/vomiting, fundic gland

polyps (benign)

Rare: Dry mouth, stomatitis, gastrointestinal candidiasis

Not known: microscopic colitis

## **Hepatobiliary disorders**

Uncommon: Increased liver enzymes

Rare: Hepatitis with or without jaundice

Very rare: Hepatic failure, encephalopathy in patients with pre-existing liver disease, skin

and subcutaneous tissue disorders

Uncommon: Dermatitis, pruritus, rash, urticaria

Rare: Alopecia, photosensitivity

Very rare: Erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis

(TEN)

Not known: Subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus

#### Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders

*Uncommon:* Fracture of the hip, wrist or spine

Rare: Arthralgia, myalgia

Very rare: Muscular weakness

#### Renal and urinary disorders

Rare: Interstitial nephritis

## Reproductive system and breast disorders

Very rare: Gynaecomastia

#### General disorders and administration site conditions

Uncommon: Malaise, peripheral oedema

Rare: Increased sweating

Reference source for tables 2-7: Summary of product characteristics (SmPC) Tables 2-3, 5-6, and, British National Formulary (BNF) for Table 4.

## 6. TRIAL DESIGN

#### 6.1 TRIAL CONFIGURATION FULL RCT

Study design: Parallel-arm multicentre RCT with one-year internal pilot and two-year longterm extension phase.

**Setting:** primary care.

#### Main trial:

## 6.1.1 Primary outcome measure

Number of gout flares in the first 2 years

## **6.1.2 Secondary outcome measures**

- Number of gout flares in months 1-12
- Number of gout flares in months 13-24
- Tophus count,
- · Size of largest tophus,
- Daily pain score during gout flare,
- EQ-5D-5L during gout flares,
- GIS measured after flare resolution,
- Serum urate level: proportion hitting the target serum urate level (<360 µmol/L) at year 1 and 2,
- Serum urate level: proportion hitting <300µmol/L at year 1 and 2
- Serum urate level as a continuous variable at year 1 and 2,
- EQ-5D-5L between gout flares,
- ULT (name and dose),
- Treatment satisfaction,
- Returned pill count
- MMAS-8 scale,
- Serum creatinine and estimated GFR,
- Urine albumin creatinine ratio,
- Primary-care consultations for gout,
- Hospitalizations due to gout,
- Other prescriptions for treating flares of gout,
- Investigations for gout,
- Incident cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, diabetes,
- Incidence or progression of CKD,
- Death,
- · Adverse events (AE), and
- Cost-effectiveness

#### 6.1.3 Safety outcomes

- New intervention-related AEs reported during the study,
- Discontinuation due to intervention-related AEs.

#### **6.2 INTERNAL PILOT**

#### 6.2.1 Outcomes

- Consent rate
- Drop-out rate,
- Missingness of outcome data,
- Serum urate level: proportion hitting target and serum urate level (<360µmol/L) at year 1,
- Serum urate level as a continuous variable at year 1, and
- Gout flares in month 7-12.

#### **6.3 LONG-TERM EXTENSION**

## 6.3.1 Primary outcome measure

• Number of gout flares in years 3-4

## 6.3.2 Secondary outcome measures

- Primary-care consultations for gout,
- Hospitalizations due to gout,
- Urate lowering treatment prescriptions,
- Other prescriptions for treating flares of gout,
- Investigations for gout,
- Incident cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, diabetes,
- Serum creatinine and estimated GFR,
- Urine albumin creatinine ratio.
- Incidence or progression of CKD, and
- Death.

#### 6.4RANDOMIZATION AND BLINDING

## 6.4.1 Randomization and blinding

Randomization will be in a 1:1 ratio, stratified by region, contraindication to or intolerance of colchicine prescription intolerance, using random permuted blocks of 2 to 6. Ten randomization lists will be prepared by the study statistician and stored on a secure server in Keele CTU, to which no members of the trial team will have access. One of these lists will be randomly selected by the database manager for use in the trial.

The trial management group and the TSC will be blind to group allocation; none of these individuals will have contact with study participants. The statistician will not be blind to group allocation, owing to differences in serum urate that will be apparent. Unblinded data will be made available to the DMC where appropriate. In such an event, the statistician will prepare the relevant data summaries and the identity of the treatment groups. Unblinding to the study team will only occur once the main trial analyses have been completed.

Randomization will be during office hours using a secure centralised web-based, automated computer generated randomization system provided by the Keele University Clinical Trials Unit (CTU). Authorised personnel at the trial site will be allocated personalised log in details by Keele CTU, in order to access the randomization system. Alternatively, the research nurse can call Keele CTU and the CTU will perform the randomization on the site's behalf. Authorized staff at the CTU will access the randomization tool to perform randomization and inform the

nurse of the allocation. The participants' GP and usual care team will be informed of the participants' allocation verbally and in writing within two working days.

# 6.4.2. Maintenance of randomization codes and procedures for breaking code

Patients' GP and usual care team will be aware of their group allocation and treatments, and any medical emergency can be managed without the need to break any code. The investigators will be informed of the suspected unexpected serious adverse event (SAE) related to the study intervention. In this instance, the patient's GP or trained healthcare professional will inform the CI. The CI will inform the Sponsor within 7 days of becoming aware of this. Even if the trial treatments are discontinued, the study participants will be requested to attend for continued follow-up and assessment.

Unblinding will not be part of managing an SAE as participants in both arms can be commenced on ULT and flare prophylaxis. If accidental unblinding occurs as part of managing an SAE, it will be reported to the Sponsor with the SAE, however, in cases where accidental un-blinding was not associated with an SAE, such actions will also be reported to the Sponsor immediately as practicable by phone or fax, followed by a written narrative of the event within 48 hours.

Planned interim analysis will occur at the end of the internal pilot. The results of the interim analysis at this time-point will be available to the trial management group (TMG), TSC, and DMC. For monitoring purposes, the TMG and TSC will monitor patterns of SAEs across the blinded treatment groups. The DMC will review unblinded safety data and will report their assessment of whether there are any safety concerns to the TSC chair.

#### 6.5 TRIAL/STUDY MANAGEMENT

The study will be managed by the chief investigator, in collaboration with the co-investigators and the Keele CTU. An independent DMC and a TSC will be constituted. The DMC and TSC will have a named chair, and members with statistical, clinical trial, and clinical rheumatology and primary-care expertise.

#### TRIAL STEERING COMMITTEE

The role of the TSC will be to provide overall supervision for the project on behalf of the Sponsor and Funder and to ensure that the project is conducted to the rigorous standards set out in the Department of Health's Research Governance Framework for Health and Social Care and the Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice.

The main responsibilities of the TSC will be as follows:

- To provide advice, through its Chair, to the Funder, the Sponsor, the Chief Investigator, the Host Institution and the Contractor on all appropriate aspects of the trial
- To monitor progress of the trial, adherence to the protocol, patient safety (where appropriate) and the consideration of new information of relevance to the research question
- Safeguard the rights, safety and well-being of the participants
- To ensure appropriate ethical and other approvals are obtained in line with the project plan
- To agree proposals for substantial protocol amendments and provide advice to the Sponsor and Funder regarding approvals of such amendments
- To provide advice to the investigators on all aspects of the trial.

The TSC members will be contracted by the Sponsor using the Sponsor's standard TSC contract and charter which will detail the constitution and composition of the TSC.

The responsibility for calling and organizing TSC meetings lies with the Chief Investigator, in association with the Chair. There may be occasions when the Sponsor or the Funder will wish to organize and administer these meetings. This is unlikely, but the NIHR reserves the right to attend any meeting, and should therefore should be included in relevant invitations, and also reserves the right to convene a meeting of the TSC/SSC in exceptional circumstances.

The Role of the Chair of the TSC:

The Chair of the TSC is directly answerable to the relevant NIHR programme, as funder. The Chair's responsibilities are also detailed in the Sponsor's TSC contract and charter.

#### DATA MONITORING COMMITTEE

The role of the DMC is as follows:

- To monitor trial data and make recommendations to the TSC on whether there are any ethical or safety reasons why the trial should not continue
- The safety, rights and well-being of the trial participants are paramount
- The DMC considers the need for any interim analysis advising the TSC regarding the release of data and/or information
- The DMC may be asked by the TSC, Sponsor or Funder to consider data emerging from other related studies
- There are also rare occasions when the DMC chair might be asked by the Funder to
  provide a confidential interim or futility analysis if serious concerns are raised about
  the viability of the study or if the research team are requesting significant extensions.

The standard Sponsor DMC contract and Charter will be prepared containing details of membership, terms and conditions and full details of stopping guidelines. The DMC will report their assessment to the independent chair of the TSC.

The Chief Investigator has overall responsibility for the study and shall oversee all study management.

The data custodian will be the Chief Investigator. The Chief Investigator will delegate the data custodianship to the CTU Lead Statistician for the period of the trial, defined as the period up until the publication of the main trial results The CTU shall collect, hold and analyse the Data during the course of the Project in accordance with the Protocol.

Following the publication of the main results of the randomised controlled trial (RCT), the Data shall be transferred to Nottingham in encrypted format, along with any information needed to de-encrypt the Data, for final archiving.

## 6.5.1 Trial Data Sharing

During the trial any data access requests will be agreed with the Chief Investigator. No work based on the data shared under a data access request should be submitted for publication or included in presentations until the outcome of the main trial has been published in accordance with the terms of the funder and the collaboration agreement.

After the trial data transfer to the University of Nottingham, any future internal or external data requests will be handled by the University of Nottingham, who will keep the CTU informed about the number and outcomes of such requests. The Chief Investigator may be supported in handling these requests by the CTU Lead Statistician for the duration of their involvement with the trial.

## 6.6 DURATION OF THE TRIAL / STUDY AND PARTICIPANT INVOLVEMENT

Study Duration: 80 months. Enrolment begins: month 4

Last visit of last participant in trial: month 56

End of study: month 80

Participant Duration: 2 years, with further 2 years' follow up after the last study visit.

#### **End of the Trial**

The end of the trial will be the point at which all long-term follow-up data has been received and cleaned for analysis.

#### 6.7 SELECTION AND WITHDRAWAL OF PARTICIPANTS

#### 6.7.1 Recruitment

Trial setting: Primary care.

Recruitment: Primary care and community-based recruitment.

#### A. GP surgery lists

- Practice staff will search the GP records electronically to identify people with gout based on either any previous diagnosis, previous colchicine prescription, or current or previous ULT prescription.
- The GP will review the patient list to exclude potentially unsuitable participants. e.g. those with dementia, terminal cancer, and severe enduring mental illness.
- Potential study participants will be posted a covering letter, a participant information sheet (PIS), brief questionnaire to (a) assess eligibility, (b) enquire about willingness to participate in the study and for further contact by the research team to assess preliminary eligibility and arrange for a screening research visit,(c) enquire about contact details, and a freepost envelope addressed to Keele CTU, by the GP surgery staff.
- In case of non-response after 4 weeks, the GP surgery will send a reminder letter to the participant enclosing the PIS and brief questionnaire.
- B. Local community advertising:
  - In order to recruit participants who may not have consulted their local GP about gout, we will:
  - Put up study posters in participating GP surgeries or local pharmacies to inform people with gout who may not have been identified in GP electronic medical record search.
  - Put up study posters in up to ten local community centers in each region, and

Advertise the study in local newspapers.

The posters and advertisements will signpost people interested in participating in the study to contact Keele CTU. Keele CTU will then contact them as described above.

Initial screening for eligibility:

- Keele CTU will assess eligibility based on questionnaire responses.
- Details of potentially eligible participants will be passed to the regional trial staff in the East Midlands, West Midlands, and Wessex, based in Nottingham, Keele and Southampton Universities respectively with participant consent and the appropriate data transfer agreements.
- It will be explained to the study participants that their identifiable details will be passed by the Keele CTU to trial staff in Nottingham, Keele and Southampton Universities respectively.
- Trial staff will contact the patient by telephone to assess preliminary eligibility based upon the trial inclusion/exclusion criteria. Those that do not meet the inclusion criteria will be thanked for their time and interest. Those that do meet the inclusion criteria will be invited to attend a screening visit.
- Staff in the Keele CTU and regional trial staff will arrange for the potential participants to be invited for a screening visit at their GP surgery. Screening visits can also be arranged at the participants' own homes if they are unable to attend the GP surgery due to other commitments, e.g. due to work.

Screening visit: The screening visit will be conducted by the regional trial staff. At the screening visit written informed consent will be obtained, participants' eligibility for participation in the trial will be assessed further (see section below), and for those potentially eligible based on verbal enquiry, blood will be collected for measuring serum urate, urea and creatinine.

As serum urate can reduce during a gout flare, care will be taken to schedule screening visits at least 2 weeks after self-reported resolution of the gout flare.

Participants who do not meet the eligibility criteria at the screening visit will be informed and the reason(s) for this will be explained. Similarly, participants who give blood for serum urate and creatinine measurement, and have a serum urate level <  $360 \,\mu$ mol/L, or eGFR <  $30 \,\text{ml/min}$  will be explained that they do not meet the eligibility criteria for participating in this study. They will be thanked for their time and contribution and advised to consult their GP for gout flares as usual. It will be explained to them that their data collected so far will be used anonymously in the analysis. Screen failures will be replaced with other participants willing to take part in the study.

It will be explained to potential participants that entry into the trial is entirely voluntary and that their treatment and care will not be affected by their decision. It will also be explained that they can withdraw at any time without having to provide a reason. In the event of their withdrawal it will be explained that their data collected so far cannot be erased and we will seek consent to use the data in the final analyses where appropriate.

## 6.7.2 Eligibility criteria

#### Inclusion criteria

- Age ≥18 years,
- · Ability to give informed consent,

Meets the clinical American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) classification criteria for gout [26],

Table 8 ACR/EULAR classification criteria for gout

ACR/EULAR classification criteria for gout:	
A score of 7 or more is sufficient to classify the participant as having gout	
History of at least one episode of swelling, pain, or tenderness in a peripheral joint/bursa should be present before the classification criteria are applied	
Clinical classification criteria	
Pattern of joint/bursa involvement during episodes	
Joint/bursa other than ankle, midfoot or 1st MTPJ (or involvement in a polyarthritis)	0
Ankle OR midfoot (as part of monoarticular/oligoarticular episode without 1st MTPJ)	1
1st MTPJ (as part of monoarticular or oligoarticular episode)	2
How many characteristics during episode(s)? Erythema overlying joint (reported or observed); can't bear touch or pressure to joint; great difficulty with walking or inability to use joint.	
No characteristics	0
One characteristics	1
Two characteristics	2
Three characteristics	3
How many episodes with the following time-course? ≥2 time course symptoms, regardless of anti-inflammatory use: (1) Time to maximal pain < 24 hours; (2) Resolution of symptoms in ≤14 days; (3) Complete resolution (to baseline level) between symptomatic episodes.	
No typical episodes	0
One typical episode	1
Recurrent typical episodes	2
Evidence of tophus. Draining or chalk-like subcutaneous nodule, located in typical locations: joints, ears, olecranon bursae, finger pads, tendons (e.g., Achilles).	
Absent	0
Present	4
Serum urate	
< 240 μmol/L	-4
≥ 240 or < 360 µmol/L	0
≥ 360 or < 480 µmol/L	2
≥ 480 or < 600 µmol/L	3
≥ 600	4

- ≥1 flare of gout in the previous 12 months, and
- Serum urate ≥360 µmol/L regardless of current ULT

## **Exclusion criteria**

- Previous allopurinol side-effects that contraindicate its prescription,
- Dementia, severe enduring mental illness i.e. mental health illness that makes receiving the study information and initial screening questionnaire from GP a stressful experience,

- Unable to comply with study procedures,
- Life expectancy less than 12 months,
- Cancer treatment, i.e. surgery, radiotherapy, or chemotherapy in the previous 12 months.
- Solid organ transplant,
- Cirrhosis.
- Autoimmune rheumatic disease i.e. rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, connective tissue diseases, vasculitis, giant cell arteritis, polymyalgia rheumatica, inflammatory arthritis associated with inflammatory bowel disease, reactive arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis,
- Inflammatory bowel disease
- Current long-term daily oral corticosteroid treatment defined as continuous use for ≥30 days or current immunosuppressive treatments,
- Stage 4/5 CKD i.e. eGFR < 30 ml/min,</li>
- Pregnant, breast feeding or planning to become pregnant in the next 4 years.

Patients who consent to contact in the internal pilot and return the screening survey but are ineligible because they have not experienced a gout flare in the previous 12 months, will be sent a letter to ask them to contact Keele CTU if they experience a flare and are still interested in participating in the study, a reminder postcard will also be sent 12 months later, so that they have the opportunity to be included in the main trial. Patients who contact Keele CTU that have experienced a gout flare will be screened as per the process above.

# 6.7.3 Removal of participants from therapy or assessments/participant withdrawal

Study participants will be allowed to discontinue treat-to-target ULT if they:

- [1] experience side-effects from the treat-to-target ULT protocol and are unwilling to continue on it, ,
- [2] develop a temporary or permanent contra-indication to ULT, such as pregnancy, terminal illness, or
- [3] wish to discontinue treat-to-target ULT without specifying a reason.

However, every attempt will be made to keep them in the study. If they agree to continue in the study, they will be invited to attend research assessment visits and provide outcome data.

## Intent to withdraw from the study:

If a participant indicates a wish to withdraw, the participant will be requested to at least permit primary outcome data to be collected (ideally at the end of the participant's follow-up period), ensuring that enough data are recorded to support the planned analysis. Phone-calls, and letters to the participant will be used for re-contacting and reminding non-responders and non-attendees.

Study participants will be withdrawn from the study if:

- [1] consent is withdrawn,
- [2] at the participants' own discretion, or
- [3] at the discretion of the Chief Investigator.

- The participants will be made aware that withdrawing from the study will not affect their future care, and that abrupt termination of study treatment does not affect their safety.
- Participants who withdraw from the study will have data on the date of withdrawal and reason of withdrawal collected where possible.
- Participants will be made aware (via the PIS and consent form) that should they
  withdraw, the data collected to date cannot be erased or destroyed and will still be used
  in the final analysis.

•

Enrolled participants who are not yet randomized can be replaced (though keeping their trial ID), but participants who withdraw after randomization will not be replaced.

#### 6.7.4 Informed consent

All participants will provide written informed consent at the screening visit. The Informed Consent Form will be signed and dated by the participant before he or she enters the trial. The nurse will explain the details of the trial and provide a further copy of the PIS, ensuring that the participant has had sufficient time to consider participating or not. The nurse will answer any questions that the participant has concerning study participation.

Informed consent will be collected from each participant before he or she undergoes any interventions (including physical examination and history taking) related to the study. One copy of this will be kept by the participant and one will be kept by the Investigator at site. In addition, a copy of the signed consent form will be sent securely to Keele Clinical Trials Unit (CTU), separately to any study data or case report forms. The consent forms will be stored in a secure location at Keele CTU in a different location to study data.

Should there be any subsequent amendment to the final protocol that might affect a participant's participation in the trial, continuing consent will be obtained using an amended consent form, which will be signed by the participant.

## 7. TRIAL STUDY TREATMENT AND REGIMEN

#### 7.1 Treatment in intervention arm:

Allopurinol-based treat-to-target ULT is the healthcare technology being assessed. It will be delivered by allied healthcare professionals or GPs trained in delivering and managing a protocol driven allopurinol-based treat-to-target regimen. The study-trained healthcare professionals can be practice nurses, clinical research network nurses, physician associates, or GPs. If a practice uses pharmacist to deliver pharmacologic treatment for common conditions to their patients, they can also be trained in delivering the T2T intervention.

The steps of the treat-to-target ULT protocol are consistent with national and international recommendations and recent studies [12–14, 34–35]. These are:

 Allopurinol commenced at a dose of 100 mg/day at the baseline visit in the experimental arm. Participants already on sub-therapeutic dose of allopurinol will have its dose increased by 100 mg/day. Individualized education about gout and principles of management including treat-to-target-ULT discussed. Lifestyle risk-factors of gout discussed. Advice for improving them provided as adjuncts to treat-to-target-ULT. Arthritis Research UK (recently renamed Versus Arthritis) leaflet on gout provided.

- Participants of Han Chinese, Thai or Korean ethnicities will be commenced on Febuxostat 80 mg/day as first line ULT, as they are at a high risk of developing DRESS syndrome from Allopurinol treatment.
- A booklet containing study contact details, and a table for recording current ULT dose, serum urate level, date of next serum urate blood test, and date of next repeat ULT or flare prophylaxis prescription will be provided to participants in the intervention arm.
- Serum urate measured after 4 weeks, as serum urate is a negative acute phase reactant [13] and the urate level commonly reduces during a flare. If a participant is experiencing gout flare at this time, the visit will be postponed till 2 weeks after the flare has resolved completely. This is a treatment visit and will be conducted by general practice nurses, phlebotomists or other suitably trained staff.
- Allopurinol dose increased by 100mg/day if the serum urate is ≥360 µmol/L at week
   4.
- Process repeated approximately 4-weekly until serum urate is <360 µmol/L, or maximum licensed or tolerated dose of allopurinol reached.
- Allopurinol discontinued if unable to achieve serum urate <360 µmol/L due to side effects or intolerance of high doses, or failure to do so despite maximum licensed dose, and febuxostat 80 mg/day started as per the NICE Technology Appraisal 164.
- The dose of febuxostat increased 4 weeks later to 120 mg/day if the serum urate ≥360 µmol/L.
- If serum urate is ≥360 µmol/L despite maximum licensed dose of allopurinol or febuxostat, uricosuric drugs, e.g. sulfinpyrazone co-prescribed, with 4-weekly serum urate measurement and dose up-titration.
- Monotherapy with uricosuric drugs such as sulfinpyrazone will be utilized if both allopurinol and febuxostat are contraindicated or not-tolerated.
- Once the treatment target is reached (i.e. serum urate < 360 µmol/L), the practice-staff will confirm with the participant that this was at least two weeks clear of the previous gout flare. For participants experiencing a gout flare in this period, the serum urate will be measured at least 2 weeks from the date of self-reported resolution of the gout flare. If the serum urate is ≥360 µmol/L at this point, the dose of ULT will be increased as outlined above.
- Once serum urate is <360µmol/L, ULT prescription will occur 2-monthly without any face-to-face visits. Four-weekly serum urate measurements will stop once the serum urate level is <360µmol/L.</li>
- The dose of ULT ought to be reduced in people with CKD 4 or higher. As they are excluded from the trial, all study participants will be given the same ULT dose increase regardless of their renal function.
- Participants will have serum urate measured at year 1 and year 2 research
  assessment visits (see later page 42). The practice-staff of participants randomized
  to the intervention arm will be made aware of the results of these tests. They will
  inform the study participants, and those no longer achieving treatment target will be
  reviewed to discuss adherence with ULT. Participants adherent to ULT will have
  the dose of ULT increased where possible using treat to target strategy outlined
  above.
- Participants can request further clinical visits, or telephone advice from their studytrained healthcare professional or GP, for their gout, as needed.

- The lead applicant, and two of the clinical co-investigators (ER, MS with CDM and PL deputizing in case of leave) will advise the study-trained healthcare professional or GP and the GP signing the prescription if required.
- Each participating GP surgery will have a nominated GP for signing the ULT
  prescription, and the research trained healthcare professionals will not treat control arm
  gout patients for the study duration. The study-trained healthcare professionals will
  be required to not disseminate their experience with ULT to other staff members
  during the study.

*Prophylaxis:* As the initiation of ULT can increase the risk of gout flare occurrence, prophylaxis to prevent gout flares will be prescribed for six months as part of the treat-to-target ULT regimen, as recommended in national and international gout treatment guidelines [12–14].

- Colchicine (0.5 mg twice a day) will be used first line for this purpose. The dose of
  colchicine will be halved if the study participant is on any medication for which this
  is recommended by the British National Formulary (See Appendix 1), or is aged
  over 70 years, or has renal impairment. Additionally, if colchicine is not tolerated
  due to side effects such as diarrhoea, its dose will be halved. If half-dose colchicine
  is not tolerated, it will be discontinued. Colchicine will not be prescribed if there are
  contraindications to its use as per the BNF (See Appendix 2).
- Naproxen (250 mg twice a day) along with a generic proton pump inhibitor (e.g. omeprazole 20 mg/day if not already prescribed) will be used second-line if there are contraindications to colchicine prescription or it is not tolerated. Low-dose NSAIDs will not be prescribed in the presence of contra-indications specified in the BNF and NICE clinical knowledge summary for NSAIDs (See Appendix 2).
- Participants unable to tolerate either of the two prophylactic medicines will continue on ULT without prophylaxis, but will be provided with rescue packs of oral prednisolone (30 mg/day for 1 week) to treat gout flares should they occur.

Commence Allopurinol 100 mg/day [People already prescribed allopurinol prior to study entry have their dose increased by 100 mg/day] No side effects to Allopurinol Check serum urate in four weeks, assess for side-effects Serum urate <360 μmol/L, Serum urate ≥360 µmol/L, Allopurinol dose <900 mg/day dose of Allopurinol Increase Allopurinol dose by 100 mg/day [upto max. 900 mg/day] Commence on Febuxostat 80 mg/day Participant unwilling to No side effects to Febuxostat Serum urate ≥360 μmol/L, no side effects Serum urate <360 µmol/L, no side effects Febuxostat dose <120 mg/day Continue to prescribe current dose of Febuxostat New contraindications to Febuxostat to 120 mg/day No side effects to Sulfinpyrazone Participant unwilling to assess for side-effects Serum urate ≥360 μmol/L, no side effects Serum urate <360 μmol/L, no side effects Sulfinpyrazone dose < 800 mg/day dose of Sulfinpyrazone New contraindication to Sulfinpyrazone Discontinue Sulfinpyrazone Increase Sulfinpyrazone dose by 200 Continue on highest tolerated dose of Sulfinpyrazone Participant unwilling to

Figure 1 Treat to target urate lowering treatment protocol

Contraindications to colchicine or intolerant to low-dose colchicine (2 colchicine tablets/day) that makes the participant unwilling to retry colchicine. Yes No Meets dose reduction criteria: age > 70 years or eGFR <50 ml/min or concomitant drug prescription (Appendix 1) or previous mild intolerance to low dose colchicine Yes No Colchicine 0.5 mg/day Colchicine 0.5 mg twice a day Side effects Side effects Prescribe to complete 6 month No Yes Yes No flare prophylaxis Reduce dose to 0.5 Reduce dose to 0.5 mg/day mg/alternate day Side effects Side effects Prescribe to complete 6 month Yes Nο Yes No flare prophylaxis NSAIDs contraindicated? Discontinue colchicine No Yes Naproxen 250mg twice a day plus omeprazole 20 mg/day Offer rescue packs for flare treatment Side effects Discontinue No Yes **NSAIDs** Prescribe to complete 6 month flare prophylaxis

Figure 2 Flare prophylaxis

<sup>1</sup>Contraindications to colchicine as per BNF. <sup>2</sup>Colchicine dose reduction criteria: either of interacting medicines (See appendix 1), or age > 70 years, or eGFR 30-50 ml/min.

Treatment of flares of gout: Participants in both arms of the study will be able to get treatment for flares of gout from their GP as before they entered the study.

#### 7.2 Treatment in control arm:

Study participants will be able to consult their GP as usual and receive treatment for flares of gout and ULT according to the GP's usual practice and their symptoms. Participants in the control arm will attend at the screening, baseline, year 1 and year 2 research assessment visits.

#### 7.3 Concomitant treatments:

All concomitant treatments will be allowed in the control arm. Participants in the intervention arm will be commenced on treat-to-target urate lowering treatment, and flare prophylaxis. They will also be allowed to continue on, or, commence any other concomitant treatment as necessary. They will not have access to a structured allopurinol based study-trained healthcare professional led treat-to-target ULT. Our own clinical experience and published research suggests that such treat-to-target-ULT is very uncommonly prescribed by GPs in the UK, with the vast majority of gout patients not being prescribed any ULT, or being prescribed subtherapeutic fixed doses of ULT e.g. allopurinol 300 mg/day [2].

## 7.4 Internal pilot:

Ninety participants in the East and West Midlands will be recruited into the internal pilot, randomized to active or control arm, receive study interventions to be used in the randomized controlled trial as detailed above, and be followed up until year 1 research assessment. Participants in the internal pilot will continue on ULT while progression to the main trial is confirmed. The criteria for progression to the main trial are described in the statistics section. Data from baseline to year 1 research assessment will be used to assess feasibility outcomes, and gain a preliminary estimate of treatment efficacy.

## 7.5 Study visits

Research assessment visits at baseline, year 1 and year 2 will occur at the GP surgery, or at the participants' own homes if they are unable to attend the GP surgery during opening hours.

Similarly, treatment visits will occur at the GP surgery, with the blood for measuring serum urate being collected at the GP surgery, or in local hospitals depending on local arrangements.

Once the serum urate level is available, the dose of ULT may be increased at a face-to-face visit or over the phone, during a consultation with the practice staff.

**Table 9: Study visits** 

	Screening	Baseline	Treatment	Res. Yr. 1	Res. Yr. 2	Final visit						
Week	0	1	5	9	13	17	21	25	29	52	104	208

Informed Consent	Х											
Inclusion / Exclusion	Х											
Research questionnaires <sup>1</sup>		Х								Х	Х	
BMI, BP, tophus count/size		Х								Х	Х	
Blood collection	X <sup>2,3</sup>		X <sup>2</sup>	X <sup>2,4</sup>	X <sup>2,3</sup>	X <sup>2,3</sup>						
Urine collection		X <sup>5</sup>								X <sup>5</sup>	X <sup>5</sup>	
Randomize		X										
Gout flare and healthcare utilization diary <sup>6</sup> given <sup>7</sup>		X										
Start T2T-ULT/dose change		Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		
Gout flare SMS data collection												
Unused medication return										Х	Х	Х
Flare & healthcare use diary collect			X	Х	Х	X	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	
Data collection from GP records										Х	Х	Х

<sup>1</sup>current ULT, co-morbidities, GIS 2.0, EQ-5D-5L, and at year 1 and year 2 research assessment treatment satisfaction questionnaire, MMAS-8 for people prescribed ULT. <sup>2</sup>Serum urate, <sup>3</sup>Serum creatinine, <sup>4</sup>Serum urate measured if prior serum urate ≥360µmol/L, <sup>5</sup>Urine albumin-creatinine ratio, <sup>6</sup>To capture data on GP, hospital, A&E, or out-of-hours GP surgery attendance for gout, drugs taken to treat gout flares, Gout Impact Scale after each gout flare, <sup>7</sup>Replaced as needed. ■ ongoing data collection, ■ intervention arm

## 7.6 Data collected at research assessments

The research nurse in each region will collect data from study participants at the baseline, year 1 and year 2 research assessment visits.

Table 11: Research assessments

		Research assessment					
	Screening	Baseline	Year 1	Year 2			
Sex	Χ						

Date of birth	Χ			
Serum urate	X		X	X
Serum creatinine	X		X	Χ
Inclusion criteria	Χ			
Exclusion criteria	Χ	X		
Prescription drugs		X	X	X
Comorbidities		X	X	X
Body mass index		X	X	X
Blood Pressure		X	X	X
Tophus count		X	X	X
Tophus size		X	X	X
Urine collection		X	X	X
Side-effects to ULT			X	X
GIS <sup>1</sup>		X	X	X
EQ-5D-5L		X	X	X
TSQ <sup>2</sup>			X	X
MMAS-8 <sup>3</sup>			X	X
Pill count		X	X	X
Gout flare and				
Healthcare use diary		Given	Collected	Collected

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Gout impact scale, <sup>2</sup>Treatment satisfaction questionnaire, <sup>3</sup>Morisky Medication Adherence Scale-8.

If a study participant brings any medication to the year 1 and year 2 research assessments that are in excess of their requirements and need disposing off, the research staff, after completing the pill count, will advise them to return the excess medications to their local NHS pharmacy from which it was dispensed.

## 7.7 Data collected from GP surgery:

Data about serum urate, current ULT and flare prophylaxis treatment (name and dose), any temporary treatment discontinuations and reasons, side-effects, and recommended treatment if applicable (name and dose) will be collected by general practice staff at each dose increase visit in the intervention arm as part of usual medical care.

Data about the following variables will be collected from GP surgery records at year 1 and year 2 research assessment visits, along with their dates. This will be collected by GP surgery staff or the local research staff depending on local preference at the GP surgery.

Serum urate (results, dates)

ULT prescriptions (name, daily dose, duration, dates of prescription)

Flare prophylaxis prescriptions (name, daily dose, duration, dates of prescription)

GP surgery consultations for flares (dates)

GP telephone consultations for flares (number, dates)

Out-of-hours emergency GP surgery attendance for flares (dates)

Flare treatments (name, daily dose, total quantity, dates of prescription)

Referral to hospital outpatient clinic for gout (dates of referral)

Hospitalization for gout flare (number, admission and discharge dates)

A&E attendance for gout flare (number, admission and discharge dates)

Side-effects to ULT (specify event, dates)

Side-effects to flare prophylaxis (specify events, dates)

Side-effects to flare treatment (specify events, dates)

## 7.8 Data collected by study participants:

<u>Electronic acute gout flare diary:</u> A mobile phone text-messaging system will be used to collect data on occurrence and severity of gout flares.

#### Text message system:

- Participants will receive a welcome text message at the baseline visit after randomisation to confirm their telephone number, welcome them to the study and advise them that they will receive SMS messages going forward.
- Study participants will initiate the text-messaging service by texting the word "gout" when they experience a flare. If they forget to text on the first day, they can still text "gout" at a later date.
- The messaging system will send short text messages enquiring about pain severity (0–10 numerical rating scale), presence of warm joint, and swollen joint [47]. This will be used to classify self-reported gout flares as meeting the criteria for a flare of gout [47]. For a flare to be present, the episode should meet the flare definition criteria, i.e. at least 3 of the following four: pain severity >3/10, presence of warm joint, swollen joint, and self-reported flare [47]. A single reminder will be sent for non-response.

- On day 1 of self-reported gout flare the messaging system will ask participants how long they have had the flare for, and send a message asking participants to complete EQ-5D-5L in the paper diary within next 48 hours.
- The messaging system will then send daily text messages enquiring about pain severity on a 0–10 numerical rating scale (NRS) each morning for up to 7 days, with a reminder to answer the question if needed. This suite of messages will be discontinued if the participants responds with a score of 0 or 1 on 2 consecutive days. The pain numerical rating scale is endorsed by Outcome Measures in Rheumatology Clinical Trials for measuring the severity of flares of gout [48].
- After 7 days, or if there are two days with NRS 0 or 1, the participant will receive a
  thank-you text, and, a reminder to complete the questions regarding wellbeing
  during gout flare and gout concern during flare domains of GIS, healthcare
  utilization during gout flare and date the gout flare resolved in a paper diary.
- To mitigate the risk of a single flare being counted as multiple flares, a flare will be
  defined as an incident flare if there is at least a 48-hour time period between the
  end of the previous flare reporting period and the start of next flare. If the interval
  between is smaller than 48 hours, they will be regarded as a single episode. Flares
  with no reported daily pain NRS will be excluded from analysis of secondary
  outcomes e.g. flare severity and duration.
- If the participant forgets to text when the flare is occurring, he or she will be able to contact the research team by phone during weekday office hours to report the date of onset and end of the most recent gout flare. The researcher will elicit information about pain severity (0–10 NRS), presence of warm joint, swollen joint, to classify self-reported gout flares as meeting the criteria for gout flare [47]. Daily pain severity and EQ-5D-5L will not be available for such episodes.

<u>Paper diary:</u> A paper diary will be available for those who do not have a mobile phone or do not wish to use text-messages.

<u>Reminders:</u> As study participants can forget completing the gout flare diary, they will receive a text or a letter (if they do not have a mobile phone), every 2 months, reminding them to complete the gout flare diary, and, if they had a flare for which they did not complete the flare diary, they will be encouraged to phone dedicated research staff in the research team to provide these data.

<u>Health related QoL during gout flares:</u> To account for QoL during gout flares, the participants will complete the EQ-5D-5L once within the first 48 hours of onset of each gout flare. The collection of EQ-5D-5L also allows us to bring health status during gout flare into overall cost-utility analysis.

The wellbeing during gout flare and gout concern during flare sub-scales of the GI S, which captures disease specific QoL during the most-recent gout flare, will be completed within 2 days of complete resolution of the acute gout flares.

<u>Loss of productivity during gout flares:</u> Information about the number of days on which gainful paid employment was lost during each flare will be recorded in the gout flare diary.

<u>Healthcare utilisation for gout during flares</u>: Medicines taken for gout flare, GP visits, A&E visits, out of hours-GP visits, home GP visits, hospitalization, number of days hospitalized.

## 7.9 Compliance

Data on total number and dose of ULT prescriptions issued in the preceding 12 months will be collected at each research visit, i.e. at years 1 and 2, and any unused ULT tablets

counted. These will be used to calculate the medication possession ratio (MPR). The eightitem MMAS-8 will be completed at year 1 and year 2 research assessment visits.

## 7.10 Data collection in long-term extension phase:

Participants will continue to provide data about the occurrence of flares after their two-year research assessment visit for an additional two-year period. This will be in the form of a text message or paper diary depending on participant preference.

The GP surgery staff will search their records for GP consultations or hospitalizations due to gout, ULT prescription, other prescriptions for treating flares of gout, investigations, new diagnosis of cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, diabetes, incidence or progression of CKD, or death two years after each participant's last visit.

## 7.11 Criteria for terminating the trial

The trial will be stopped if:

- [1] on an interim analysis there are overwhelming and undoubtable evidence of efficacy and/or major safety concerns,
- [2] relevant new information become available, or
- [3] there are or issues with trial conduct such as
  - (a) poor recruitment,
  - (b) loss of resources.

The trial may be discontinued in one region if there is:

- [1] poor recruitment, or
- [2] poor adherence to the protocol.

The decision to terminate the trial in a single region or in all regions will be made after review by the TSC/DMC.

If this were to occur, all unused trial supplies e.g. medicines will be returned to the local NHS Pharmacies by the study participants for disposal as per usual NHS practice.

#### 7.12 Laboratory analyses

Routine haematological and biochemical assessments such as full blood count, urea electrolytes and creatinine, liver function tests, and serum urate measurement will be performed in the local NHS hospitals in each region. The clinical pathology laboratories will use standard NHS practices to analyse and dispose of the samples in accordance with the Human Tissue Act, 2004.

## 7.13 Transport and storage of the tissues

Blood and urine samples for NHS pathology analysis will be labelled in accordance with local NHS procedures. Samples will be shipped and stored according to local protocols and no samples will be stored for research purposes following analysis.

## 8. STATISTICS

## 8.1 Statistical analysis plan

A detailed statistical analysis plan (SAP) will be drafted by the study statistician and approved by the TMG, the TSC and the DMC; it will be finalized before unblinding of the data (any subsequent deviations from the SAP will be approved by the TSC and documented in the final report). A summary of the statistical methods to be used is given below. The SAP will be the formal and up-to-date statement of all analyses to be performed on the trial data, and will take precedence over the study protocol in the event of any discrepancy in the analyses described by the two documents.

#### 8.2 Internal Pilot

Analytical plan: Baseline categorical outcomes will be summarized using frequencies and percentages, and continuous outcomes will be summarized using means (standard deviations) and medians (interquartile range). Particular attention will be paid to missing items and patterns of missing data from the electronic capture of gout flare severity and QoL. No formal statistical comparisons between treatment arms will be made, but 95% Cls will be calculated for [1] the between-group difference in the gout flares count, between months 7–12 in the two arms, and [2] the proportion meeting serum urate treatment target, and the between-group difference in these proportions, at month 12. Adherence with ULT will be assessed in the experimental and control arms (for control-arm participants who are on ULT using the MPR, taking the returned pill count into account, with a MPR ≥80% or MMAS-8 >6 defined as being adherent [53]).

Signal of efficacy outcome measure and time-point: It is difficult to determine signal of efficacy from pilot studies. This is especially true for gout, where flares become more frequent in the first few months of initiating ULT, and continue for 6 months to 1 year (or even longer) after starting treatment. This is a direct effect of urate-lowering, which causes crystal dissolution, encouraging shedding of crystals in to the joint space which triggers a flare. In fact, gout treatment guidelines recommend flare prophylaxis (e.g. colchicine) for at least 6 months after starting ULT. In keeping with these, all study participants will be prescribed flare prophylaxis for 6 months in this study. Thus, we cannot use the number of flares in the first 6 months of ULT to gain a preliminary signal of efficacy. We will therefore estimate the number of gout flares in months 7–12 between the two arms. However, this does not form part of the thresholds to progress to the main trial (see below).

## Threshold for progressing to the main trial:

The trial will proceed if the randomization rate from the main method of recruitment is  $\geq$ 10%,  $\geq$ 85% data points in the flare diaries are completed by the participant, adherence with ULT is  $\geq$ 80% and attrition <20%, or pending revisions to the protocol if the randomization rate from the main method of recruitment is  $\geq$ 5%, 70-84% data points in the flare diaries are completed by the participant, adherence with ULT is 60–79%, and attrition is 20–30%. If these thresholds are not met, discussion with the TSC and the HTA will take place to determine if modifications should be made to the procedures in the trial, or the trial should be terminated.

A main trial will be considered feasible if ≥70% participants achieve serum urate target at month 12 in the intervention arm, and following protocol amendments if this proportion is >50%, with other *a priori* feasibility outcomes being met. Serum urate is a surrogate marker of frequent gout flares [9], and a reduction is a preliminary signal of efficacy. Additionally, a

substantial reduction in serum urate in the intervention arm provides confidence that the intervention is being delivered as intended. However, we realize that this does not provide a direct measure of the primary outcome.

We do not propose to include gout flares in months 7–12 as an efficacy threshold of progression to the main trial due to the fact that gout flares may occur at the same rate even 1 year after starting ULT, and it would be erroneous to include it as a feasibility outcome. However, these data will be presented to the HTA Board and the Trial Steering Committee.

#### Rationale behind threshold for progressing to main trial

- [1] A randomization rate of ≥ 10% from the main recruitment method suggests that the recruitment will be completed from up to 50 GP surgeries as planned. If the recruitment rate is between 5% and 10%, we will approach additional GP surgeries via the NIHR Primary Care Clinical Research Networks. Given our experience of recruiting into gout studies from the primary care in the Midlands and Wessex (e.g. CONTACT study, PI Dr Roddy; and Nottingham study, PI Prof Doherty), we are confident of finding >100 willing GP practices for recruitment, and, the planned recruitment can be achieved if the randomization rate from main method of recruitment is as low as 5%.
- [2] If target serum urate is not achieved in <50 participants by year 1, and <60% are adherent to ULT at year 1, it suggests such low concordance with the intervention that a meaningful effect on the rate of flare occurrence is unlikely, and proceeding with this trial would be futile.
- [3] The sample size allows for up to 20% loss to follow-up. A high attrition rate of 21–30% in the pilot study likely indicates poor tolerability of the study processes, and we will explore and address the reasons for this. An attrition rate >30% will affect the validity of the findings, making proceeding with the study futile.
- [4] If information in the electronic flare diary is 70–84% complete on any outcome measure (e.g. pain severity, flare stop date) we will address any problems. If the flare diary is completed in <70% instances for the primary outcome, this will not be a feasible method for collecting outcome data and other methods of collecting flare data, e.g. paper diary or phone calls, will be used.

#### Choice of method of outcome measure collection based on internal pilot:

If the data quality (missingness) is substantially better in the paper diary, we will abandon the SMS system for collecting primary outcome data. Similarly, we will abandon the SMS for collecting primary outcome data if a substantial number of people phone in during/after their gout flare to provide data on primary outcome, and do not use real-time SMS.

#### Completeness of the flare diary in internal pilot

Participants can phone the CTU if they forget to send in text messages when they are experiencing a flare (see above). Apart from this, they will be sent text message reminders at 2 monthly intervals and requested to phone and inform the research team if there were any gout flares they did not report at all. This will be used to assess the completeness of the text message system in collecting data on the primary outcome (number of acute gout flares). Where a flare diary is initiated, we will measure the proportion of completed responses for each outcome of interest, i.e. erythema, pain severity, swelling and tenderness on day 1, EQ-5D-5L on day 2, daily pain score.

## 8.3 Full RCT

Analytical plan: Analysis will be on an intention-to-treat (ITT) basis, according to the prespecified SAP. The primary outcome, gout flare count over 24 month's post-randomization, will be analysed between groups through negative binomial regression, adjusting for flare count in the year prior to trial entry and stratification factor. If appropriate, a conditional, rather than a marginal, negative binomial model will be used to take account of flare count prior to trial entry (truncating these to be non-zero, in accordance with the corresponding inclusion criteria). The fit of a negative binomial model to the data will be assessed, and if poor, an alternative model (e.g. zero-inflated negative binomial or Poisson) will be used. As a secondary analysis on the primary outcome, flares occurring during months 1–12 and between months 13–24 will be analyzed between groups.

Longitudinal analyses based on generalized linear mixed-effects models will be performed for other continuous or binary outcomes, at both 1- and 2-year follow-up: mean pain intensity and mean duration of gout flares; mean serum urate; treatment satisfaction scores; adherence (those taking >80% of the doses or scoring ≥6 on the MMAS-8 will be considered as adherent) These analyses will similarly adjust for baseline values (where appropriate) and the stratification factor.

All analyses will take use all follow-up data where appropriate, using a generalized mixed-effects regression model, with a random intercept to account for the correlation between observations clustered within participants. Maximum likelihood estimation will be used to fit all the models, as this method of estimation yields parameter estimates that are not affected by the exclusion of missing outcome data if a missing-at-random assumption is plausible. Multiple imputation will be used for explanatory variables if missing at random is a plausible assumption.

Assumptions of all analyses will be checked and significance will be set at p  $\leq$  .05, with 95% CIs calculated for between-group estimates. No adjustment will be made to alpha for multiplicity because the analysis of each outcome is of clinical interest in its own right. The primary analysis will be on the basis of ITT, but appropriate sensitivity analyses will be performed, as specified in the SAP.

Side-effects from intervention will be tabulated and compared between the two groups.

#### Definition of CKD

<u>Incident CKD</u> will be defined as eGFR<60ml/min on 2 occasions at least 90 days apart. The results of eGFR at year 1 and year 2 research assessments will form one of the two qualifying results. If the eGFR at these research assessments is <60ml/min, the GP surgery records will be searched to identify a serum creatinine measurement in the preceding six months, and, if no such result is found or the previous eGFR is >60 ml/min, the GP will be advised to repeat the serum creatinine after 90 days as part of usual care of people with suspected incident CKD.

<u>Progression of CKD</u> will be defined as reduction in GFR of at least 25% and progression to next GFR category (KDIGO Definition). The results of serum creatinine, eGFR and urine albumin creatinine ratio at year 1 and 2 research assessments will be used to define the progression of CKD.

The proportion of people meeting the KDIGO classification of progression of CKD based on their eGFR and urine albumin creatinine ratio will be compared between the two groups at years 1 and 2 [51].

#### 8.4 Health economic analysis

Cost-effectiveness (cost per flare avoided) and cost-utility (cost per quality-adjusted life year (QALY) gained) will be determined within the trial using healthcare resource use data (questionnaires and medical records), primary outcome data and EQ-5D-5L. The economic evaluation will assess the cost-effectiveness and cost-utility of treat-to-target with ULT compared with usual GP care in patients with recurrent gout. This will take the form of an incremental cost-utility analysis to estimate cost per QALY and an incremental cost-effectiveness analysis to estimate the cost per gout flare avoided over 24 months follow-up, using patient-level data on costs and outcomes from the trial. The base-case analysis will be from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective, with an additional analysis from a societal perspective taking into account productivity losses.

Data collection: In order to calculate QALYs, the EQ-5D-5L questionnaire will be administered to patients at baseline, 12 and 24 months. In order to account for quality of life during gout flares, participants will also complete the EQ-5D-5L once during each gout flare. The crosswalk value set will be applied to patient responses to obtain utility scores, in line with current NICE recommendations. The more recent English value set will be used in a sensitivity analysis. Information on gout-related resource use will collected via patient paper diary filled in prospectively over the 24-month study period. The cost of the intervention will be determined within the trial, taking into account training, and costs of delivering the interventions. Unit costs from standard UK sources will be sought for all health care resource use items. Data on broader costs will also be collected, related to time off work to calculate productivity losses. Information on occupation, further details of typical work activities and the nature of their employment (full time or part time) will be requested. The average wage for each respondent will be identified using UK Standard Occupational Classification coding and annual earnings data for each job type.

Analysis: The number of gout flares per patient will be obtained from the trial. QALYs will be calculated using responses to the EQ-5D-5L, using the "area under the curve" approach. For patients with gout flares, this will also include EQ-5D-5L data collected for each flare, taking into account the duration of the flare, so that flare-related disutility is captured. Unit costs will be applied to all health care resource use items, and mean resource use (for each category of health care usage) and mean total costs will be calculated for all trial participants. Analysis of productivity losses will use the human capital approach, and the self-reported days of absence will be multiplied by the respondent-specific wage rate. The human capital approach assumes that the value of lost work is equal to the amount of resources an individual would have been paid to do that work, and values productivity losses as a result of morbidity (or mortality) by measuring time lost from work and multiplying this with the gross wage of the person. As cost data are likely to have a skewed distribution, the nature of the distribution of costs will be explored, and if the data are not normally distributed, a non-parametric comparison of means (using bootstrapping) will be undertaken. Multiple imputation will be used to impute all missing values for the EQ-5D-5L and total cost estimates for nonresponders. A cost-consequence analysis will initially be reported, describing all the important results relating to costs and consequences (across the full range of clinical outcomes).

Incremental cost effectiveness analysis and cost-utility analysis will then be undertaken to estimate the incremental cost per gout flare avoided and incremental cost per QALY gained respectively. There will be adjustment for baseline covariates, as specified by the main statistical analysis. The robustness of the results will be explored using sensitivity analysis. This will explore uncertainties in the trial-based data, the methods employed to analyse the data, and the generalizability of the results to other settings. Cost-effectiveness acceptability curves will also be produced to reflect the probability that the intervention will be cost effective at different cost per QALY willingness to pay thresholds.

## 8.5 Long term follow-up

The data analysis in this phase will be conducted on the same lines as described in the RCT. Death, and incidence or progression of CKD, myocardial infarction, ischaemic heart disease, stroke will be analysed using a time-to-event survival model. ULT prescription will be included as a time-varying covariate in this analysis. A multivariable linear model will be used to compare healthcare utilization between the groups.

Interim analyses will inform efficacy and trial management. These results will be available to the main analysis team, and the outcome of these analyses may affect the conduct of the study. Unless other interim analyses are requested by the DMC, only one interim analysis is planned, at the end of the internal pilot.

## 8.6 Sample size and justification

Main RCT: Taking the number of gout flares to have a negative binomial distribution, we utilized data from the GP care arm of a recently completed nurse-led complex package of care vs. usual-GP care for gout study to calculate (a) expected rate of gout flares in the control arm, (b) expected correlation between number of flares at baseline and during the 2-year study period, and (c) the dispersion parameter [11]. Sample size is based on simulations, using a negative binomial model. The nominal sample size was determined using the formula described by Zhu and Lakkis [45], and implemented in PASS 15 software. Using 90% power and 5% two-tailed significance, and assuming a dispersion parameter of 1.50 (estimated from our recent trial [11] and inflated by 25%), in order to detect a rate ratio of 0.650 (between-group reduction in mean number of flares over 24 months from 3.54 to 2.30), data are required from 190 participants per group. Using 10,000 simulations conducted in R, assuming a correlation between baseline and follow-up rates of flares of 0.33 [11], an adjusted sample size for the inclusion of baseline flares as a covariate is 186 per group. Allowing for up to 20% loss to follow-up based on our experience of conducting a two-year RCT of gout [11], target recruitment is therefore 233 per group, 466 in total. We chose a rate ratio of 0.650 as this implies a mean between-group reduction of approximately 1 flare of gout over the 2-year study period, assuming an estimated approximate mean 3 acute gout flares in this period in the control arm. This threshold was decided based on PPI input from the two PPI co-applicants (AP, AC) that even one gout flare is so painful that it merits preventing it, if possible. Similar opinion was expressed by a panel of Arthritis Research UK Pain Centre PPI members, comprising of one person with gout, one person with a family member with gout, and six people with other forms of arthritis (April 2017, Nottingham). Results from the recently completed two-year Nurse led care of gout RCT from Nottingham provides reassurance that there will be more than three gout flares in the control arm in this period [11].

Internal pilot: We will include data from first 90 participants in the internal pilot in order to provide an acceptable level of precision for estimates of proportions in relation to key feasibility outcomes e.g. recruitment rate, drop out-rate. [46]. For a two-sided 95% confidence level, a proportion could be estimated with a margin of error between approximately  $\pm 0.06$  and  $\pm 0.08$  if the proportion ranged between .1 and .2 (or equivalently, .8 and .9), with such a sample size.

# 8.7 Assessment of efficacy

Table 12: Assessment of efficacy

Endpoints	Efficacy parameter	Timing	Timing
		RCT	extension
Primary			
Number of gout flares	Rate ratio	2 year	4 year
Secondary			
Pain severity during flare	Mean difference	2 year	4 year
Duration of flare	Mean difference	2 year	4 year
Generic HR-QoL (EQ-5D-5L) during flare	Mean difference	During flare	NA
Gout specific HR-QoL (GIS) during flare	Mean difference	During flare	
EQ-5D-5L HR-QoL between flares	Mean difference	1, 2 year	NA
Serum urate	Mean difference	2 year	NA
Serum urate level: proportion hitting the	Odds ratio	1, 2 year	
target serum urate level (<360 µmol/L)			
Serum urate level: proportion hitting	Odds ratio	1, 2 year	
serum urate level (<300 µmol/L)			
Serum creatinine and estimated GFR	Mean difference	2 year	NA
Urine albumin creatinine ratio	Mean difference	2 year	NA
Medication possession ratio	Mean difference	2 year	
Treatment acceptability questionnaire	Mean difference	2 year	
Healthcare utilization		2 year	4 year
Primary care consultations for gout	Mean difference	2 year	4 year
Hospitalizations due to gout flares	Mean difference	2 year	4 year
Current ULT		2 year	4 year
Number of ULT prescriptions	Mean difference		
No of prescriptions for treating flares	Mean difference	2 year	4 year
Cost-effectiveness		2 year	NA
Comorbidities			
Death	Hazard ratio	2 year	4 year
Chronic kidney disease progression	Hazard ratio	2 year	4 year
Ischaemic heart disease	Hazard ratio	2 year	4 year
Myocardial infarction	Hazard ratio	2 year	4 year

Stroke Hazard ratio 2 year 4 year
-----------------------------------

median difference or rate ratio will replace mean difference, if appropriate

## 8.8 Assessment of safety

Given the fact that the drugs and interventions used in this study have been used in routine clinical practice for several decades, safety data will be reviewed by the DMC annually, and summarised over the whole trial period.

**Table 13 Assessment of safety** 

Endpoints	Timing RCT
Adverse events	2 year
Serious adverse events	2 year
ULT discontinuation due to adverse events	2 year

## 8.9 Procedures for missing, unused and spurious data

Patterns of missingness will be explored, maximum likelihood estimation based on all the available data is not affected if outcome data are missing at random. Multiple imputation will be used for explanatory variables if missing at random is a plausible assumption.

## 8.10 Definition of populations analysed

Safety set: All randomized participants who received at least one treatment.

Full analysis set: All randomized participants.

Adherers-only set: All participants who received the treatment to which they were randomized as prescribed in the protocol.

As-treated set: All participants who received at least one treatment.

## 9. ADVERSE EVENTS

## 9.1 Definitions

An adverse event is any unfavourable and unintended sign, symptom, syndrome or illness that develops or worsens during the period of observation in the study.

An AE does include:

- 1. exacerbation of a pre-existing illness.
- 2. increase in frequency or intensity of a pre-existing episodic event or condition.
- 3. condition detected or diagnosed after medicinal product administration even though it may have been present prior to the start of the study.
- 4. continuous persistent disease or symptoms present at baseline that worsen following the start of the study.

Adverse events that are known side-effects of ULT, flare prophylaxis or omeprazole will be classed as adverse events and do not require reporting, unless they meet the criteria of related-unexpected serious adverse event (SAE), see below.

An AE does not include:

1. medical or surgical procedure (e.g., surgery, endoscopy, tooth extraction, transfusion); but the condition that lead to the procedure is an AE.

2. a pre-existing disease or condition present or detected at the start of the study that did not worsen.

3. situations where an untoward medical occurrence has not occurred (e.g., hospitalizations for elective surgery, social and/or convenience admissions).

4. disease or disorder being studied or sign or symptom associated with the disease or disorder unless more severe than expected for the participant's condition.

5. overdose of concurrent medication without any signs or symptoms.

A SAE is any adverse event occurring following study mandated procedures, after receipt of the treatment or intervention that results in any of the following outcomes:

- 1. Death
- 2. A life-threatening adverse event
- 3. Inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization
- 4. A disability / incapacity
- 5. A congenital anomaly in the offspring of a participant

Important medical events that may not result in death, be life-threatening, or require hospitalization may be considered a serious adverse event when, based upon appropriate medical judgment, they may jeopardize the patient or participant and may require medical or surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes listed in this definition

All adverse events will be assessed for seriousness, expectedness and causality:

A distinction is drawn between serious and severe AEs. Severity is a measure of intensity whereas seriousness is defined using the criteria above. Hence, a severe AE need not necessarily be serious.

#### 9.2 Causality

**Not related or improbable**: a clinical event including laboratory test abnormality with temporal relationship to trial treatment/intervention administration that makes a causal relationship incompatible or for which other treatments, chemicals or disease provide a plausible explanation. This will be counted as "unrelated" for notification purposes.

**Possible**: a clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, with temporal relationship to trial treatment/intervention administration that makes a causal relationship a reasonable possibility, but which could also be explained by other interventions, chemicals or concurrent disease. This will be counted as "related" for notification purposes.

**Probable**: a clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, with temporal relationship to trial treatment/intervention administration that makes a causal relationship a reasonable possibility, and is unlikely to be due to other interventions, chemicals or concurrent disease. This will be counted as "related" for notification purposes.

**Definite**: a clinical event, including laboratory test abnormality, with temporal relationship to trial treatment/intervention administration that makes a causal relationship a reasonable possibility, and which can definitely not be attributed to other causes. This will be counted as "related" for notification purposes.

With regard to the criteria above, medical and scientific judgment shall be used in deciding whether prompt reporting is appropriate in that situation.

#### 9.3 Reporting of adverse events

Participants will be asked to contact the study site immediately in the event of any SAE. All adverse events will be recorded and closely monitored until resolution, stabilization, or until it has been shown that the study treatment is not the cause. The Chief Investigator shall be informed immediately by email and a follow-up phone call of any SAE and shall determine seriousness and causality in conjunction with any treating medical practitioners.

In the event of a pregnancy occurring in a trial participant or the partner of a trial participant, the participant will be advised to consult their GP as per usual care to discontinue treatment at the discretion of the GP. The outcome will be recorded on the participants CRF.

All treatment-related serious adverse events will be recorded and reported to the Research Ethics Committee (REC) as part of the annual reports. Unexpected intervention related SAE will be reported within the timeframes to the REC as stated below. The Chief Investigator shall be responsible for all adverse event reporting. ER, a clinically active co-investigator with interest in gout research and co-CI on the NIHR grant, will deputise for the Chief Investigator when he is away. If both are away then MS and CMD, both academic GPs, will deputise for the Chief Investigator.

#### 9.4 Trial Treatment / Intervention Related SAEs

A serious adverse event that is unexpected in its severity and seriousness *and* deemed directly related to or suspected to be related to the trial treatment or intervention shall be reported to the ethics committee that gave a favourable opinion as stated below. The event shall be reported immediately of knowledge of its occurrence to the Chief Investigator.

#### The Chief Investigator will:

- Assess the event for seriousness, expectedness and relatedness to the trial treatment or intervention.
- Take appropriate medical action, which may include halting the trial, and inform the Sponsor of such action.
- If the event is deemed related to the trial treatment or intervention, inform the REC using the reporting form found on the HRA web page within 7 days of knowledge of the event.
- Within a further eight days send any follow-up information and reports to the REC.
- Make any amendments as required to the study protocol and inform the REC as required

Expected SAEs such as hospitalization due to gout flare, side-effects of ULT or flare prophylaxis e.g. diarrhoea due to colchicine will not be reported to the ethics committee.

## 9.5 Participant removal from the study due to adverse events

Any participant who experiences an adverse event may be withdrawn from the study at the discretion of the Investigator.

## 10. ETHICAL AND REGULATORY ASPECTS

#### 10.1 ETHICS COMMITTEE AND REGULATORY APPROVALS

The trial will not be initiated before the protocol, informed consent forms and participant and GP information sheets have received approval or favourable opinion from the REC, the respective NHS or other healthcare providers Research & Development (R&D) department, and the Health Research Authority (HRA) if required. Should a protocol amendment be made that requires REC approval, the changes in the protocol will not be instituted until the amendment and revised informed consent forms and participant and GP information sheets (if appropriate) have been reviewed and received approval or favourable opinion from the REC and R&D departments. A protocol amendment intended to eliminate an apparent immediate hazard to participants may be implemented immediately providing that the REC are notified as soon as possible and an approval is requested. Minor protocol amendments only for logistical or administrative changes may be implemented immediately, and the REC will be informed.

The trial will be conducted in accordance with the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki, 1996; the principles of Good Clinical Practice, and the UK Department of Health Policy Framework for Health and Social Care, 2017.

#### 10.2 INFORMED CONSENT AND PARTICIPANT INFORMATION

The process for obtaining participant informed consent will be in accordance with the REC guidance, and Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and any other regulatory requirements that might be introduced. The investigator or their nominee and the participant shall both sign and date the Informed Consent Form before the person can participate in the study.

The decision regarding participation in the study is entirely voluntary. The investigator or their nominee shall emphasize to potential participants that consent regarding study participation may be withdrawn at any time without penalty or affecting the quality or quantity of their future medical care, or loss of benefits to which the participant is otherwise entitled. No trial-specific interventions will be performed before informed consent has been obtained.

The investigator will inform the participant of any relevant information that becomes available during the course of the study, and will discuss with them whether they wish to continue with the study. If applicable they will be asked to sign revised consent forms.

If the Informed Consent Form is amended during the study, the investigator shall follow all applicable regulatory requirements pertaining to its approval by the REC and use of the amended form (including for ongoing participants).

#### 10.3 RECORDS

## 10.3.1 Case Report Forms (CRF)

Each person is allocated a unique study number on mailing of the eligibility questionnaire, so that only anonymised data are used for analysis. The unique study numbers will be generated from the study database and provided to each practice for use when mailing. The number will be made up of site ID followed by a sequence of unique numbers. The study number will be

for use on CRFs other trial documents and the electronic database. The documents will also use their initials (of first and last names separated by a hyphen) and date of birth (dd/mm/yy).

CRFs will be treated as confidential documents and held securely in accordance with regulations. The investigator at site will make a separate confidential record of the participant's name, date of birth, local hospital number or NHS number, and Participant Trial Number (the Trial screening Log), to permit identification of all participants enrolled in the trial, in accordance with regulatory requirements and for follow-up as required which will be securely stored and remain at the investigator site. CRFs shall be restricted to those personnel approved by the Chief or local Principal Investigator and recorded on the 'Trial Delegation Log.'

All paper forms shall be filled in using black ballpoint pen. Errors shall be lined out, but not obliterated by using correction fluid, and the correction inserted, initialled and dated.

The Chief or local Principal Investigator shall sign a declaration ensuring accuracy of data recorded in the CRF.

Personal data will be available to investigators working in the GP surgeries. A copy of each participant paper CRF will be sent securely to Keele CTU but will not be sent with any patient identifiable information. Electronic data for the study will be stored securely within a Microsoft SQL Server database, hosted in a secure infrastructure at Keele CTU. Access to patient identifiable data is highly restricted using pre-defined roles and privileges, and restricted views of the data so that authorised study team members see only the data that is required to carry out their role. Data access is fully auditable within this system.

## 10.3.2 Sample labelling

Samples for NHS pathology analysis will be labelled in accordance with local NHS procedures.

#### 10.3.3 Source documents

Source documents shall be filed at the investigator's site (GP surgery) and a copy will be held at Keele Clinical Trials Unit up until the point at which documents are transferred to the sponsor for archiving. A CRF may also completely serve as its own source data. Only trial staff as listed on the Delegation Log shall have access to trial documentation other than the regulatory requirements listed below.

#### 10.3.4 Direct access to source data/documents

The CRF and all source documents, including progress notes and copies of laboratory and medical test results as applicable shall be made available at all times for review by the Chief Investigator, Sponsor's designee and inspection by relevant regulatory authorities (e.g. DH, Human Tissue Authority).

#### **10.4 DATA PROTECTION**

All trial staff and investigators will endeavour to protect the rights of the trial's participants to privacy and informed consent, and will adhere to the Data Protection Act, 2018. The CRF will only collect the minimum required information for the purposes of the trial. CRFs will be held securely, in a locked room, or locked cupboard or cabinet. Access to the information will be limited to the trial staff and investigators and relevant regulatory authorities (see above). Confidentiality and anonymity will be maintained for all participants. Any identifying information provided (e.g. names and addresses) will be held in the strictest confidence and stored in a confidential, password protected database accessible by only those with permission. All data used for analysis will be kept separate from participant personal data. Electronic data for the study will be stored securely within a Microsoft SQL Server database, hosted in a secure infrastructure at Keele CTU. Access to patient identifiable data is highly restricted using pre-

defined roles and privileges, and restricted views of the data so that authorised study team members see only the data that is required to carry out their role. Data access is fully auditable within this system.

Information about the trial in the participant's medical records and hospital notes will be treated confidentially in the same way as all other confidential medical information.

## 11. QUALITY ASSURANCE & AUDIT

#### 11.1 INSURANCE AND INDEMNITY

Insurance and indemnity for trial participants and trial staff will be provided by professional and practice indemnity insurance held by the GP practices. There are no special compensation arrangements, but trial participants may have recourse through the NHS complaints procedures.

The University of Nottingham as research Sponsor indemnifies its staff, research participants and research protocols with both public liability insurance and clinical trials insurance.

#### 11.2 TRIAL CONDUCT

Trial conduct may be subject to systems audit of the Trial Master File for inclusion of essential documents; permissions to conduct the trial; Trial Delegation Log; curriculum vitae of trial staff and training received; local document control procedures; consent procedures and recruitment logs; adherence to procedures defined in the protocol (e.g. inclusion/exclusion criteria, correct randomization, timeliness of visits); adverse event recording and reporting; accountability of trial materials and equipment calibration logs.

#### 11.3 TRIAL DATA

Monitoring of trial data shall include confirmation of informed consent; source data verification against the GP record data at year one and two and adverse events; data storage and data transfer procedures; local quality control checks and procedures. The Trial Coordinator – or where required, a nominated designee of the Sponsor – shall carry out monitoring of trial data as an ongoing activity.

Entries on CRFs will be verified by inspection against the source data. A sample of CRFs (10% or as per the study risk assessment) will be checked on a regular basis for verification of all entries made. In addition the subsequent capture of the data on the trial database will be checked. Where corrections are required these will carry a full audit trail and justification.

The standard data protection procedures operating in Keele CTU will be employed to protect confidentiality and anonymity. Only on receipt of the eligibility questionnaire will Keele CTU have access to personal details of participants. Each person is allocated a unique study number, so that only anonymised data are used for analysis.

Keele CTU has robust data security systems and procedures in place, which are regularly reviewed, and which achieve the legal obligations set by the Data Protection Act (2018) and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and follow GMC Caldicott Guardian and British Computer Society standards and guidelines. Information of Keele University's Privacy notice will be included in the Patient Information Leaflet. All data will be housed in the CTU Infrastructure, which is a secure virtual network requiring two factor authentication (2FA) in order to access the data stored within. Roles and permissions are applied to users within the network as well as within an application to restrict what data a user can access and operations they can perform.

The CTU Secure Infrastructure has been independently audited and achieved level one of the Government backed Cyber Essentials Scheme. All hard copy information will be stored securely in locked cabinets and all data used for analysis will be kept separate from participant personal data. Only members of the study team will have access to participants' personal data during the study.

## SMS text messaging:

Keele CTU has experience of using 2-way SMS text messages to collect outcome data in previous trials. The software development team within the CTU will support this data collection, and will make use of an in-house bespoke database-driven system to manage the sending and receiving of SMS text messages. The participant will respond to the third party SMS provider. The CTU system will poll the third party SMS provider for returned SMS text messages. On processing of returned SMS text messages, the system will import these into the study database and process the response according to the business logic defined in the project. Interception of the original message by a third party would reveal only what the questions were that were being asked, whilst interception of the response would only yield an alphanumeric string. The third party SMS provider do not store any personal data on the participant other than the participant's mobile number which is used only to send the SMS text messages.

A number will be provided to enable participants to contact Keele CTU if they have queries relating to the SMS text messaging during office hours.

Trial data and evidence of monitoring and systems audits will be made available for inspection by REC as required.

#### 11.4 RECORD RETENTION AND ARCHIVING

In compliance with the ICH/GCP guidelines, regulations and in accordance with the University of Nottingham Research Code of Conduct and Research Ethics, the Chief or local Principal Investigator will maintain all records and documents regarding the conduct of the study. These will be retained for at least 7 years or for longer if required. If the responsible investigator is no longer able to maintain the study records, a second person will be nominated to take over this responsibility.

The Trial Master File and trial documents held by the Chief Investigator on behalf of the Sponsor shall be finally archived at secure archive facilities at the University of Nottingham. This archive shall include all trial databases and associated meta-data encryption codes. The trial database schema and applications and a copy of the final locked data set for the main trial will also be archived at Keele CTU in line with Sponsor archiving timeline requirements'.

## 11.5 DISCONTINUATION OF THE TRIAL BY THE SPONSOR

The Sponsor reserves the right to discontinue this trial at any time for failure to meet expected enrolment goals, for safety or any other administrative reasons. The Sponsor shall take advice from the TSC and DMC as appropriate in making this decision.

#### 11.6 STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

Individual participant medical information obtained as a result of this study are considered confidential and disclosure to third parties is prohibited with the exceptions noted above. Participant confidentiality will be further ensured by utilizing identification code numbers to correspond to treatment data in the computer files.

Such medical information may be given to the participant's medical team and all appropriate medical personnel responsible for the participant's welfare.

If information is disclosed during the study that could pose a risk of harm to the participant or others, the researcher will discuss this with the CI and where appropriate report accordingly.

Data generated as a result of this trial will be available for inspection on request by the participating physicians, the University of Nottingham representatives, the REC, local R&D Departments and the regulatory authorities.

## 12. PUBLICATION AND DISSEMINATION POLICY

The trial will be publicized to research, clinical and patient communities and other stakeholders, such as commissioners and self-help groups. We will publicize through existing networks (email lists, social media and Twitter accounts) to facilitate dissemination of findings and maximize impact. A website hosted by the University of Nottingham will publicize progress, participants' stories and staff blogs to generate interest in the study. Once analyses are complete, but prior to publication, we will discuss results with key stakeholders, to ensure we include their perspectives of results implications. In addition to our final report for the NIHR HTA Programme, we will publish trial results in peer-reviewed journals and present at national and international meetings. We will seek to disseminate findings through publication in other journals, such as Pulse, newsletters to British Society for Rheumatology, British Geriatrics Society, and Royal College of General Practitioners. We will engage with patients; primary care clinicians; rheumatologists; NICE; NHS England; Royal College of General Practitioners; British Society for Rheumatology; medicine management teams/CCGs; and secondary care NHS trusts along with AHSN and CLARHCs. The PPI volunteers will advise on the content of all public facing content for dissemination.

## 13. USER AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

The study processes have been developed with active PPI involvement. There are two PPI coinvestigators in this study, and they have contributed to the PIS. They will participate in the Trial Management Group meetings. The Trial Steering Committee (TSC) also has one PPI volunteer.

## 14. STUDY FINANCES

## 14.1 Funding source

This study is funded by NIHR HTA programme. Grant number 17/82/02.

#### 14.2 Participant stipends and payments

Participants will not be paid to participate in the trial. Travel expenses will be offered for any visits in excess of usual care. Prescription charges will be refunded for participants in the active arm. Participants with pay-as-you-go mobile phones or those with a mobile contract with limited number of texts per month will have the cost of outgoing text messages reimbursed each year.

# 15. SIGNATURE PAGES

Signatories to Protocol:
Chief Investigator: (name)
Signature:
Date:
Trial Statistician: (name)
Signature:
Date:

## REFERENCES

- 1. Juraschek SP, Miller ER, 3rd, Gelber AC. Body mass index, obesity, and prevalent gout in the United States in 1988-1994 and 2007-2010. Arthritis care & research. 2013;65(1):127-32.
- 2. Kuo CF, Grainge MJ, Mallen C, Zhang W, Doherty M. Rising burden of gout in the UK but continuing suboptimal management: a nationwide population study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2015;74(4):661-7.
- 3. Elliot AJ, Cross KW, Fleming DM. Seasonality and trends in the incidence and prevalence of gout in England and Wales 1994-2007. Ann Rheum Dis. 2009;68(11):1728-33.
- 4. Robinson PC, Merriman TR, Herbison P, Highton J. Hospital admissions associated with gout and their comorbidities in New Zealand and England 1999-2009. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). 2013;52(1):118-26.
- 5. Lim SY, Lu N, Oza A, Fisher M, Rai SK, Menendez ME et al. Trends in Gout and Rheumatoid Arthritis Hospitalizations in the United States, 1993-2011. Jama. 2016;315(21):2345-7.
- 6. Shields GE, Beard SM. A Systematic Review of the Economic and Humanistic Burden of Gout. PharmacoEconomics. 2015;33(10):1029-47.
- 7. McCarty DJ, Hollander JL. Identification of urate crystals in gouty synovial fluid. Annals of internal medicine. 1961;54:452-60.
- 8. Liddle J, Roddy E, Mallen CD, Hider SL, Prinjha S, Ziebland S et al. Mapping patients' experiences from initial symptoms to gout diagnosis: a qualitative exploration. BMJ open. 2015;5(9):e008323.
- 9. Abhishek A, Valdes AM, Zhang W, Doherty M. Association of Serum Uric Acid and Disease Duration With Frequent Gout Attacks: A Case-Control Study. Arthritis care & research. 2016;68(10):1573-7.
- 10. Li X, Meng X, Timofeeva M, Tzoulaki I, Tsilidis KK, Ioannidis JP et al. Serum uric acid levels and multiple health outcomes: umbrella review of evidence from observational studies, randomised controlled trials, and Mendelian randomisation studies. Bmj. 2017;357:j2376.
- 11. Doherty M, Jenkins W, Richardson H, Sarmanova A, Abhishek A, Ashton D et al. Lancet. 2018 Oct 20;392(10156):1403-1412.
- 12. Hui M, Carr A, Cameron S, Davenport G, Doherty M, Forrester H et al. The British Society for Rheumatology Guideline for the Management of Gout. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). 2017;56(7):1246.
- 13. Richette P, Doherty M, Pascual E, Barskova V, Becce F, Castañeda-Sanabria J et al. 2016 updated EULAR evidence-based recommendations for the management of gout. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2017;76(1):29-42.
- 14. Khanna D, FitzGerald JD, Khanna PP, Bae S, Singh M, Neogi T et al. 2012 American College of Rheumatology Guidelines for Management of Gout Part I: Systematic Non-pharmacologic and Pharmacologic Therapeutic Approaches to Hyperuricemia. Arthritis care & research. 2012;64(10):1431-46.
- 15. Shekelle PG, Newberry SJ, FitzGerald JD, Motala A, O'Hanlon CE, Tariq A et al. Management of Gout: A Systematic Review in Support of an American College of Physicians Clinical Practice Guideline. Annals of internal medicine. 2017;166(1):37-51.
- 16. Qaseem A, Harris RP, Forciea MA. Management of Acute and Recurrent Gout: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of Physicians. Annals of internal medicine. 2017;166(1):58-68.
- 17. Rees F, Jenkins W, Doherty M. Patients with gout adhere to curative treatment if informed appropriately: proof-of-concept observational study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2013;72(6):826-30.
- 18. Kydd AS, Seth R, Buchbinder R, Falzon L, Edwards CJ, van der Heijde DM et al. Uratelowering therapy for the management of gout: a summary of 2 Cochrane reviews. The Journal of rheumatology Supplement. 2014;92:33-41.
- 19. Bardin T, Keenan RT, Khanna PP, Kopicko J, Fung M, Bhakta N et al. Lesinurad in combination with allopurinol: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in patients

- with gout with inadequate response to standard of care (the multinational CLEAR 2 study). Ann Rheum Dis. 2017;76(5):811-20.
- 20. Dalbeth N, Jones G, Terkeltaub R, Khanna D, Kopicko J, Bhakta N et al. Lesinurad, a Selective Uric Acid Reabsorption Inhibitor, in Combination With Febuxostat in Patients With Tophaceous Gout: Findings of a Phase III Clinical Trial. Arthritis & rheumatology (Hoboken, NJ). 2017;69(9):1903-13.
- 21. Perez-Ruiz F, Sundy JS, Miner JN, Cravets M, Storgard C. Lesinurad in combination with allopurinol: results of a phase 2, randomised, double-blind study in patients with gout with an inadequate response to allopurinol. Ann Rheum Dis. 2016;75(6):1074-80.
- 22. Becker MA, Schumacher HRJ, Wortmann RL, MacDonald PA, Eustace D, Palo WA et al. Febuxostat Compared with Allopurinol in Patients with Hyperuricemia and Gout. New England Journal of Medicine. 2005;353(23):2450-61.
- 23. Neogi T, Mikuls TR. To Treat or Not to Treat (to Target) in Gout. Annals of internal medicine. 2017;166(1):71-2.
- 24.Latif ZP, Nakafero G, Jenkins W, Doherty M, Abhishek A. Implication of nurse intervention on engagement with urate-lowering drugs: A qualitative study of participants in a RCT of nurse led care. Joint Bone Spine. 2018 Oct 27. pii: S1297-319X(18)30167-2. doi: 10.1016/j.jbspin.2018.10.008.
- 25.Atkinson MJ, Kumar R, Cappelleri JC, et al. Hierarchical construct validity of the treatment satisfaction questionnaire for medication (TSQM version II) among outpatient pharmacy consumers. Value Health, 2005; 8(S1):S9-S24.
- 26. Neogi T, Jansen TLTA, Dalbeth N, Fransen J, Schumacher HR, Berendsen D et al. 2015 Gout classification criteria: an American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2015;74(10):1789-98.
- 27. Dalbeth N, House ME, Aati O, Tan P, Franklin C, Horne A et al. Urate crystal deposition in asymptomatic hyperuricaemia and symptomatic gout: a dual energy CT study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2015;74(5):908-11.
- 28. Naredo E, Uson J, Jimenez-Palop M, Martinez A, Vicente E, Brito E et al. Ultrasound-detected musculoskeletal urate crystal deposition: which joints and what findings should be assessed for diagnosing gout? Ann Rheum Dis. 2014;73(8):1522-8.
- 29. Abdellatif AA, Elkhalili N. Management of gouty arthritis in patients with chronic kidney disease. American journal of therapeutics. 2014;21(6):523-34.
- 30. Hirsch JD, Lee SJ, Terkeltaub R, Khanna D, Singh J, Sarkin A et al. Evaluation of an instrument assessing influence of Gout on health-related quality of life. J Rheumatol. 2008;35(12):2406-14.
- 31. Jenkinson C, Stewart-Brown S, Petersen S, Paice C. Assessment of the SF-36 version 2 in the United Kingdom. Journal of epidemiology and community health. 1999:53(1):46-50.
- 32. Herdman M, Gudex C, Lloyd A, Janssen M, Kind P, Parkin D et al. Development and preliminary testing of the new five-level version of EQ-5D (EQ-5D-5L). Quality of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation. 2011;20(10):1727-36.
- 33. Taylor WJ. Gout measures: Gout Assessment Questionnaire (GAQ, GAQ2.0), and physical measurement of tophi. Arthritis care & research. 2011;63 Suppl 11:S59-63.
- 34. Stamp LK, Chapman PT, Barclay ML, Horne A, Frampton C, Tan P et al. A randomised controlled trial of the efficacy and safety of allopurinol dose escalation to achieve target serum urate in people with gout. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2017;76(9):1522-8.
- 35. Kiltz U, Smolen J, Bardin T, Cohen Solal A, Dalbeth N, Doherty M et al. Treat-to-target (T2T) recommendations for gout. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017;76(4):632-8.
- 36. Sundy JS, Baraf HS, Yood RA, Edwards NL, Gutierrez-Urena SR, Treadwell EL et al. Efficacy and tolerability of pegloticase for the treatment of chronic gout in patients refractory to conventional treatment: two randomized controlled trials. Jama. 2011;306(7):711-20.
- 37. Hollander JL, Stoner EK, Brown EM, deMoor P. Joint temperature measurement in the evaluation of anti- arthritic agents. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1951;30(7):701-6.

- 38. Loeb JN. The influence of temperature on the solubility of monosodium urate. Arthritis and rheumatism. 1972;15(2):189-92.
- 39. Campion EW, Glynn RJ, DeLabry LO. Asymptomatic hyperuricemia. Risks and consequences in the Normative Aging Study. The American journal of medicine. 1987;82(3):421-6.
- 40. Bhole V, de Vera M, Rahman MM, Krishnan E, Choi H. Epidemiology of gout in women: Fifty-two-year followup of a prospective cohort. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2010;62(4):1069-76.
- 41. Schumacher HR, Jr., Becker MA, Lloyd E, MacDonald PA, Lademacher C. Febuxostat in the treatment of gout: 5-yr findings of the FOCUS efficacy and safety study. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). 2009;48(2):188- 94.
- 42. Shoji A, Yamanaka H, Kamatani N. A retrospective study of the relationship between serum urate level and recurrent attacks of gouty arthritis: evidence for reduction of recurrent gouty arthritis with antihyperuricemic therapy. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2004;51(3):321-5.
- 43. Li-Yu J, Clayburne G, Sieck M, Beutler A, Rull M, Eisner E et al. Treatment of chronic gout. Can we determine when urate stores are depleted enough to prevent attacks of gout? J Rheumatol. 2001;28(3):577-80.
- 44. Perez-Ruiz F, Calabozo M, Pijoan JI, Herrero-Beites AM, Ruibal A. Effect of urate-lowering therapy on the velocity of size reduction of tophi in chronic gout. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2002;47(4):356-60.
- 45. Zhu H, Lakkis H. Sample size calculation for comparing two negative binomial rates. Statistics in medicine. 2014;33(3):376-87.
- 46. Teare MD, Dimairo M, Shephard N, Hayman A, Whitehead A, Walters SJ. Sample size requirements to estimate key design parameters from external pilot randomised controlled trials: a simulation study. Trials. 2014;15:264.
- 47. Gaffo AL, Schumacher HR, Saag KG, Taylor WJ, Dinnella J, Outman R et al. Developing a provisional definition of flare in patients with established gout. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2012;64(5):1508-17.
- 48. Singh JA, Taylor WJ, Dalbeth N, Simon LS, Sundy J, Grainger R et al. OMERACT endorsement of measures of outcome for studies of acute gout. J Rheumatol. 2014;41(3):569-73.
- 49. Duane S, Tandan M, Murphy AW, Vellinga A. Using Mobile Phones to Collect Patient Data: Lessons Learned From the SIMPle Study. JMIR research protocols. 2017;6(4):e61.
- 50. Christie A, Dagfinrud H, Dale O, Schulz T, Hagen KB. Collection of patient-reported outcomes;--text messages on mobile phones provide valid scores and high response rates. BMC medical research methodology. 2014;14:52.
- 51. Stevens PE, Levin A. Evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease: synopsis of the kidney disease: improving global outcomes 2012 clinical practice guideline. Annals of internal medicine. 2013;158(11):825-30.

# Appendix 1. Drugs that increase the concentration of colchicine

Commonly prescribed
Statins and other lipid lowering medicines
Atorvastatin, Fluvastatin, Pravastatin, Simvastatin, Rosuvastat
Bezafibrate, Ciprofibrate, Fenofibrate, Gemfibro
Cardiac medicines
Verapamil, Diltiazem, Dronedaror
Antibiotics <sup>1</sup>
Azithromycin, Clarithromycin, Erythromyc
Anti-fungal treatments <sup>1</sup>
Fluconazole, Isavuconazole, Itraconazole, Ketoconazole, Posaconazole, Voriconazo
Certain HIV treatment
Darunavir, Ritonavir, Saquinavir, Tipranavir, Fosamprenavir, Velpatasvir, Lopinavir, Atazanav
Cobicist
Drugs used in chemotherapy and immune suppressive medicines
Ciclosporin, Imatinib, Lapatinib, Crizotinib, Idelalisib, Vemurafenib, Ceritinib, Nilotinib, Aprepitan
Netupitant, Rolapita
Miscellaneous medicines
Eliglustat, Mirabegro

# Appendix 2. Contraindications and cautions to flare prophylaxis

Colchicine (per BNF)

Contra-indications: Blood disorders

Cautions: Cardiac disease, elderly, gastro-intestinal disease.

CONTRAINDICATIONS AND CAUTIONS TO NSAIDs as per the BNF and the NICE clinical knowledge summaries

#### Contra-indications:

- active gastro-intestinal bleeding or ulcer,
- history of gastro-intestinal bleeding or perforation related to previous NSAID therapy,
- history of recurrent gastro-intestinal haemorrhage (two or more distinct episodes) or history of recurrent gastro-intestinal ulceration (two or more distinct episodes),
- history of hypersensitivity/severe allergic reaction to an NSAID (including aspirin) for example asthma, rhinitis, angioedema or urticaria
- severe heart failure.
- severe renal impairment (eGFR <30 ml/min), and
- severe hepatic impairment.

#### Cautions:

- elderly,
- heart failure,
- cardiac impairment,
- ischaemic heart disease,
- uncontrolled hypertension,
- risk factors for cardiovascular events.
- impaired renal function,
- cerebrovascular disease,
- peripheral arterial disease
- coagulation defects,
- connective-tissue disorders.
- Crohn's disease, and
- ulcerative colitis.

## Appendix 3. Key points to cover during education for gout

The following points published by Doherty *et al.* [11] should be included in the patient education about gout. Information should be individualised to patient needs. This is part of the intervention, and should be implemented at the first treatment visit and reinforced at subsequent visits if needed.

[1] A clear verbal explanation about gout should be provided, and backed up by written information (i.e. the Arthritis Research UK (recently renamed Versus Arthritis) patient information booklet on gout). The explanation should include the following key messages:

- we know its cause it is due to deposition of urate crystals in and around peripheral joints.
- crystals form when serum urate levels rise above the critical "saturation point" for crystal formation, (≥360)
- in people with persistently raised serum urate, crystals slowly accumulate without causing any symptoms,
- when sufficient crystals have formed in cartilage some occasionally "spill out" into the
  joint cavity, triggering severe inflammation of the joint lining and presenting as a gout
  flare.
- over many years gout flares may increase in frequency and spread to involve other ioints.
- in addition to gout flares, continuing deposition may eventually result in hard, slowly expanding lumps of crystals ("tophi") that can damage joint cartilage and bone and even appear as palpable lumps under the skin,
- in some people the joint damage (i.e. osteoarthritis) caused by tophi can result in regular daily pain when using the joints,
- also there is increasing concern that persistently high urate levels increase the risk of atherosclerosis, heart disease, chronic kidney disease and dying younger,
- reduction and maintenance of serum urate levels below the saturation point
  - o stops production of new crystals, and
  - encourages existing crystals to dissolve so eventually there are no crystals and therefore no gout.

[2] An individualised explanation of relevant risk factors that elevate urate above the saturation point (insufficient elimination or too much production of urate) including:

- hereditary factors that result in inefficient renal excretion of urate
- a high body mass most urate in the body is made by the body's cells by breaking down of chemicals called purines and this production increases with overweight and obesity
- a diet containing plenty of foods that are high in purines
- drugs (such as diuretics) that reduce the kidney's ability to excrete urate
- chronic renal impairment from any cause often greatly reduces urate excretion
- [4] Individualised advice on management of a gout flare (selecting from ice packs, oral Colchicine, oral NSAID plus PPI, oral corticosteroid)
- [5] Individualised advice on ways to reduce urate levels by lifestyle modification, if this is appropriate (e.g. reducing weight if overweight or obese, reduction in excessive intake of beer or high-purine foods). Explain this is adjunctive to ULT.
- [6] Explanation of ULT regimen used in the trial:
  - including a slow upward titration regimen when initiating ULT (with approximately one month between each incremental increase in ULT),

- prophylaxis to reduce risk of provoking flares through crystal shedding, and
- Allopurinol as the first-line drug to consider (Febuxostat and available uricosurics also considered, as appropriate).