Oral splints for patients with temporomandibular disorders or bruxism: a systematic review and economic evaluation

Philip Riley,¹ Anne-Marie Glenny,¹ Helen V Worthington,^{1*} Elisabet Jacobsen,² Clare Robertson,³ Justin Durham,⁴ Stephen Davies,⁵ Helen Petersen⁶ and Dwayne Boyers²

 ¹Cochrane Oral Health, Division of Dentistry, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK
²Health Economics Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK
³Health Services Research Unit, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK
⁴Centre for Oral Health Research and School of Dental Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
⁵TMD Unit, University Dental Hospital of Manchester, Manchester, UK
⁶University Dental Hospital of Manchester, Manchester, UK

*Corresponding author helen.worthington@manchester.ac.uk

Declared competing interests of authors: none

Published February 2020 DOI: 10.3310/hta24070

Plain English summary

Oral splints for temporomandibular disorders or bruxism Health Technology Assessment 2020; Vol. 24: No. 7 DOI: 10.3310/hta24070

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Treatment options for people experiencing temporomandibular disorders (pain and/or restricted movement in and around the jaw joint) include splints, which are removable appliances, often similar to a mouthguard. They are provided to patients to help ease pain in the mouth, face or jaws. They are also used to manage the symptoms of temporomandibular disorders, such as frequent headaches/migraines, clicking jaws, restricted mouth-opening or tooth wear from the grinding of teeth (bruxism). There are many types of splints.

This research looked at the evidence addressing the primary question of whether or not splints work (regardless of type of splint) in reducing the pain associated with temporomandibular disorders and/or tooth wear, and if they offered value for money. Patients were involved in the research to ensure that the question and the outcomes that were measured were appropriate.

A systematic review of the literature was undertaken to find all randomised controlled trials including patients with temporomandibular disorders or bruxism. Online databases of research publications were searched, and these searches were checked, to identify relevant trials. All stages of the review process were undertaken to the highest standards by two people, independently and in duplicate, using well-respected and recognised Cochrane methods. We conducted a value-for-money assessment, comparing the trial data with the costs of splints to see if splints are a cost-effective use of NHS funding.

There was no evidence that splints reduced pain when compared with not wearing a splint or when compared with a minimal treatment (like jaw exercises, advice or education) in patients with temporomandibular disorders. The evidence was assessed as being of very low quality; therefore, it remains unclear whether or not splints are good value for money, or if they should be paid for by the NHS.

This research showed that more well-conducted trials on temporomandibular disorder patients are needed.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.819

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and the Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 16/146/06. The contractual start date was in September 2017. The draft report began editorial review in November 2018 and was accepted for publication in May 2019. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health Research (NIHR).

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2020. This work was produced by Riley *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Editor-in-Chief of Health Technology Assessment and NIHR Journals Library

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Senior Clinical Researcher, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Director, NIHR Dissemination Centre, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk