

Carer administration of as-needed subcutaneous medication for breakthrough symptoms in people dying at home: the CARiAD feasibility RCT

Marlise Poolman,^{1*} Jessica Roberts,¹ Stella Wright,¹ Annie Hendry,¹ Nia Goulden,² Emily AF Holmes,¹ Anthony Byrne,³ Paul Perkins,^{4,5} Zoe Hoare,² Annmarie Nelson,³ Julia Hiscock,¹ Dyfrig Hughes,¹ Julie O'Connor,⁵ Betty Foster,⁶ Liz Reymond,⁷ Sue Healy,⁸ Penney Lewis,⁹ Bee Wee,¹⁰ Rosalynde Johnstone,¹¹ Rossela Roberts,¹² Anne Parkinson,⁵ Sian Roberts¹¹ and Clare Wilkinson¹

¹School of Health Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, UK

²North Wales Organisation for Randomised Trials in Health, Bangor University, Bangor, UK

³Marie Curie Research Centre, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

⁴Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Gloucester, UK

⁵Sue Ryder Leckhampton Court Hospice, Cheltenham, UK

⁶Public Contributor, North Wales Cancer Patient Forum, North Wales Cancer Treatment Centre, Bodelwyddan, UK

⁷Brisbane South Palliative Care Collaborative, School of Medicine, Griffith University, Southport, QLD, Australia

⁸Metro South Palliative Care Service, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

⁹Centre for Medical Law and Ethics, King's College London, London, UK

¹⁰Harris Manchester College, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

¹¹Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board, Bangor, UK

¹²School of Psychology, Bangor University, Bangor, UK

*Corresponding author m.poolman@bangor.ac.uk

Declared competing interests of authors: Anthony Byrne reports grants from Marie Curie (London, UK), Health and Care Research Wales, the End of Life Board for Wales and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) programme outside the submitted work; he is also a member of the End of Life Board for Wales, which is responsible to the Welsh Government for developing and implementing strategy for end-of-life care in Wales. Bee Wee reports that she is National Clinical Director for End of Life Care, Chairperson of the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Quality Standards Advisory Committee and has a NIHR-funded grant outside the submitted work. She has also received royalties for a book published by Oxford University Press

(Oxford, UK). Dyfrig Hughes reports that he was a member of the HTA Programme Pharmaceuticals Panel (2008–12) and member of the HTA Programme Clinical Evaluation and Trials Board (2010–16). Marlise Poolman was a member of the HTA Prioritisation Committee: Integrated Community Health and Social Care (A) from 2013 to 2019. Zoe Hoare reports that she is an associate member of NIHR Health Services and Delivery Research board. Clare Wilkinson reports that she was chairperson of the HTA Commissioning Panel – Primary Care, Community, Preventive Interventions (2013–18) and a member of the HTA Rapid and Add-On Trials Board (2012–13).

Published May 2020

DOI: 10.3310/hta24250

Plain English summary

CARiAD feasibility RCT

Health Technology Assessment 2020; Vol. 24: No. 25

DOI: 10.3310/hta24250

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Most people in the UK would prefer to die at home, but only half of them achieve this. This usually depends on having able and willing lay carers (family or friends) to help look after them. Once swallowing is not possible, medicine is given continually under the skin (syringe driver). If common problems such as pain, vomiting or agitation break through, health-care professionals attend to give extra doses. The wait for a health-care professional to arrive can be distressing.

In the UK, it is legal (but not routine) for lay carers to give needle-free subcutaneous injections themselves. We reworked an Australian carer education package for UK use. The best way to find out if this would work well is to do a randomised controlled trial. This is a test in which, at random, half of the people taking part receive 'usual care' and the other half receive the 'new care' or intervention. A pilot randomised controlled trial (a 'test' trial to see if a larger one is worth doing) was carried out to determine if lay carer injections were possible in the UK.

We approached 90 dyads (a dying person and a key carer) and, of these, 40 were willing to take part and 22 completed the follow-up visit, so we could analyse their data. Of these 22 dyads, 16 were in the intervention group (lay carer injects) and six were in the control group (usual care). All carers were asked to keep a diary. Carers and health-care professionals were interviewed (qualitative study) and carer preferences were assessed.

This new practice was safe, acceptable and welcomed. Carer confidence increased rapidly, symptom control was quicker and the interviews backed up these findings.

Recruitment was low owing to overstretched health-care professionals. Only certain families were picked. Dyads in the usual-care group often wished they were in the intervention group. Carers found it difficult to complete some of the questionnaires that were used to measure the effect of the intervention.

Therefore, uncertainty remains as to whether or not a full trial should proceed. Because the practice is already legal, some areas in the UK are already undertaking it. We plan to study what makes this practice possible or less possible to achieve.

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.819

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library and Clarivate Analytics, Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the *Health Technology Assessment* journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 15/10/37. The contractual start date was in November 2016. The draft report began editorial review in October 2019 and was accepted for publication in March 2020. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the PHR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the PHR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2020. This work was produced by Poolman *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Editor-in-Chief of *Health Technology Assessment* and NIHR Journals Library

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Senior Clinical Researcher, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Director, NIHR Dissemination Centre, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk