# Rapid antigen detection and molecular tests for group A streptococcal infections for acute sore throat: systematic reviews and economic evaluation

Hannah Fraser, Daniel Gallacher, Felix Achana, Rachel Court, Sian Taylor-Phillips, Chidozie Nduka, Chris Stinton, Rebecca Willans, Paramjit Gill and Hema Mistry\*

Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

\*Corresponding author Hema.Mistry@warwick.ac.uk

**Declared competing interests of authors:** Sian Taylor-Phillips, Chris Stinton and Hannah Fraser are partly supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care West Midlands at University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust. Sian Taylor-Phillips is funded by a NIHR Career Development fellowship (reference CDF-2016-09-018).

Published June 2020 DOI: 10.3310/hta24310

# Plain English summary

Antigen detection and molecular tests for strep A infection Health Technology Assessment 2020; Vol. 24: No. 31 DOI: 10.3310/hta24310

2011 10.0010/11ta2 1010

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

# **Plain English summary**

Sore throat is a common condition caused by an infection of the airway. Most cases are viral; however, a small number may be caused by the group A *Streptococcus* bacterium. Most viral and bacterial sore throat infections resolve spontaneously within a few weeks; however, some may be more serious and require antibiotics. Currently, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidance recommends the use of clinical scoring tools to identify patients for whom antibiotic treatment is appropriate.

Ideally, a throat swab culture should be obtained to identify the organism causing the infection in cases in which diagnosis is uncertain. However, this takes time, causing potential delays in administering the correct treatment. Point-of-care tests can be administered at or near the site of the patient; therefore, they are much faster.

Our review considered evidence for the test accuracy and cost-effectiveness of 21 point-of-care tests for detecting group A *Streptococcus* bacteria. We built an economic model, predicting costs and benefits for adults and children in a primary care or hospital setting. The findings will support the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence to make recommendations about the use of these point-of-care tests for detecting group A *Streptococcus* bacteria in the NHS in England and Wales.

The clinical effectiveness review found 38 relevant studies; of these, 26 reported on the accuracy of point-of-care tests. These studies found wide variation in the accuracy of the tests. The quality of the evidence was weak and there was little information on some of the 21 tests. As the studies were all so different, it was not possible to identify which test is the most accurate.

The economic model found considerable uncertainty about how costs and benefits would change if point-of-care tests were introduced in different care settings. Further research is needed to see whether or not point-of-care testing provides value for money.

## **Health Technology Assessment**

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.819

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library and Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

### Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

### **HTA** programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

### This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number NIHR127666. The contractual start date was in November 2018. The draft report began editorial review in June 2019 and was accepted for publication in November 2019. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2020. This work was produced by Fraser *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

### Editor-in-Chief of **Health Technology Assessment** and NIHR Journals Library

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

### **NIHR Journals Library Editors**

**Professor John Powell** Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Senior Clinical Researcher, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

**Professor Andrée Le May** Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGFAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGFAR, PHR journals

**Professor Matthias Beck** Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Director, NIHR Dissemination Centre, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

**Professor James Raftery** Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

**Professor Helen Snooks** Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

**Professor Jim Thornton** Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk