An interactive website to aid young women's choice of contraception: feasibility and efficacy RCT

Judith Stephenson,^{1,*} Julia V Bailey,² Ann Blandford,³ Nataliya Brima,⁴ Andrew Copas,⁴ Preethy D'Souza,⁵ Anasztazia Gubijev,¹ Rachael Hunter,⁶ Jill Shawe,⁷ Greta Rait⁸ and Sandy Oliver^{5,9}

¹UCL Elizabeth Garrett Anderson Institute for Women's Health, University College London, London, UK
²Department of Primary Care and Population Health, University College London, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK
³UCL Interaction Centre (UCLIC), University College London, London, UK
⁴Institute for Global Health, University College London, London, UK
⁵Department of Social Science, UCL Institute of Education, University College London, London, UK
⁶Health Economics, University College London, London, UK
⁷Institute of Health and Community, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, UK
⁸PRIMENT Clinical Trials Unit, Department of Primary Care and Population Health, University College London, UK

⁹Africa Centre for Evidence, Faculty of Humanities, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa

*Corresponding author Judith.stephenson@ucl.ac.uk

Declared competing interests of authors: none.

Published November 2020 DOI: 10.3310/hta24560

Plain English summary

A website to aid young women's choice of contraception Health Technology Assessment 2020; Vol. 24: No. 56 DOI: 10.3310/hta24560

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

What was the question?

Choosing between types of contraception can be challenging, so can a website help women make the right choice for them?

What did we do?

We asked women what they think about contraception. We looked at other studies and YouTube (YouTube, LLC, San Bruno, CA, USA) videos. We then designed the Contraception Choices website with young women [URL: www.contraceptionchoices.org (accessed January 2020)].

The website describes each type of contraception and compares them side by side. When users answer questions about what matters to them, the website suggests three types of contraception they might like. A total of 927 women helped us test the website in an online trial. We asked everyone what contraception they were using and how satisfied they were with it 6 months later.

What did we find?

Women really liked the website. Ninety-seven per cent of participants found it helpful or very helpful for 'getting useful information about contraception' and 87% responded that it was helpful or very helpful for 'finding a method of contraception that is right for you'. Comments included:

I feel very well educated thanks to the website – I wish someone had explained about all the different choices years ago.

It's cleared up some of my doubts and things I worried about (probably unconsciously!) about hormonal contraception.

However, seeing the website did not mean that women used a more reliable type of contraception. Women were just as satisfied with their contraception whether or not they had seen the website. We think that this is because many other factors are involved; for example, some women found it difficult to access long-acting contraception methods from health services.

What does this mean?

Young women liked the Contraception Choices website and found it useful. Women can be put off by contraception side effects and the views of partners, friends, family and others. On its own, the Contraception Choices website was not enough to help more women use the most reliable contraception methods.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.370

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library and Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 13/79/09. The contractual start date was in October 2015. The draft report began editorial review in February 2019 and was accepted for publication in November 2019. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2020. This work was produced by Stephenson *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Editor-in-Chief of Health Technology Assessment and NIHR Journals Library

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Professor of Digital Health Care, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Scientific Adviser (Evidence Use), Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk