Outpatient physiotherapy versus home-based rehabilitation for patients at risk of poor outcomes after knee arthroplasty: CORKA RCT

Karen L Barker,^{1,2*} Jon Room,^{1,2} Ruth Knight,³ Susan J Dutton,³ Fran Toye,² Jose Leal,⁴ Seamus Kent,⁴ Nicola Kenealy,¹ Michael M Schussel,³ Gary Collins,³ David J Beard,¹ Andrew Price,¹ Martin Underwood,⁵ Avril Drummond,⁶ Elaine Cook⁷ and Sarah E Lamb^{1,8} on behalf of CORKA Trial group

Declared competing interests of authors: Gary Collins is a member of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Commissioning Board (2017–20). Martin Underwood reports grants and personal fees from NIHR; being a member of the NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (2016–19); personal fees from National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; non-financial support from Stryker plc (Stryker UK Ltd, Newbury, Berkshire, UK); and grants from SERCO Ltd (Hook, UK). Andrew Price reports personal fees from consultancy with Zimmer Biomet (Warsaw, IN, USA) outside the submitted work. Sarah E Lamb reports grants from the NIHR HTA programme during the conduct of the study and is a member of the following boards: HTA Additional Capacity Funding Board (2010–15), HTA Clinical Trials Board (2010–15), HTA End of Life Care and Add on Studies (2015), HTA Funding Boards Policy Group (formally Commissioning Strategy Group) (2010–15), HTA Maternal, Neonatal and Child Health Methods Group (2013–15), HTA post-board funding teleconference (Prioritisation Group members to attend) (2010–15), HTA Primary Care Themed Call board (2013–14), HTA Prioritisation Group (2014–15), and NIHR Clinical Trials Unit Standing Advisory Committee (2012–16).

Disclaimer: This report contains transcripts of interviews conducted in the course of the research and contains language that may offend some readers.

¹Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

²Physiotherapy Research Unit, Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK

³Centre for Statistics in Medicine, Oxford Clinical Trials Research Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁴Health Economics Research Centre, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁵Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

⁶School of Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

⁷Patient and public involvement member

⁸School of Medicine and Health, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

^{*}Corresponding author karen.barker@ouh.nhs.uk

Published November 2020 DOI: 10.3310/hta24650

Plain English summary

CORKA RCT

Health Technology Assessment 2020; Vol. 24: No. 65

DOI: 10.3310/hta24650

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

nee replacement is a common treatment for knee osteoarthritis. Most people do well after surgery; however, a small group of people do not report a good outcome. One way we could try to improve this is by considering the way that rehabilitation is offered after surgery. We identified people thought to be at risk of a poor outcome after knee replacement. We compared a newly designed rehabilitation programme that was undertaken in people's own homes with physiotherapy as it is currently offered. This new programme was delivered by rehabilitation assistants under the guidance of qualified therapists. We assessed these treatments by measuring three functional tests: walking in a figure of eight, sitting and standing from a chair in 30 seconds and standing on one leg. In addition, we asked people to complete questionnaires about their knee, what activities they could perform, how physically active they were and their quality of life. We also recorded the use of health-care services, time off work and any informal care that people received. The results showed that both groups had improved by a similar amount at 6 months and 12 months after surgery. The new rehabilitation programme that was designed for the study was cheaper to deliver than standard physiotherapy, when all costs were considered. We think that future research should look at developing better tools to predict who might be at risk of not doing well after surgery, and to determine the ideal make-up of the rehabilitation team that delivers treatment after knee replacement.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.370

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library and Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 12/196/08. The contractual start date was in August 2014. The draft report began editorial review in January 2020 and was accepted for publication in June 2020. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. This report has been published following a shortened production process and, therefore, did not undergo the usual number of proof stages and opportunities for correction. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2020. This work was produced by Barker *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Editor-in-Chief of **Health Technology Assessment** and NIHR Journals Library

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Professor of Digital Health Care, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Scientific Adviser (Evidence Use), Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk