

Stratifying risk of infection and response to therapy in patients with myeloma: a prognostic study

Ilaria J Chicca,^{1†} Jennifer LJ Heaney,^{1†}
Gulnaz Iqbal,² Janet A Dunn,² Stella Bowcock,³
Tim Planche,⁴ Guy Pratt,⁵ Kwee Yong,⁶ Eric Low,⁷
Jill Wood,² Kerry Raynes,² Helen Higgins²
and Mark T Drayson^{1*}

¹Clinical Immunology Service, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

²Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

³King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

⁴Department of Medical Microbiology, St George's, University of London, London, UK

⁵Department of Haematology, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK

⁶Department of Haematology, University College London Cancer Institute, London, UK

⁷Patient Advocacy, Myeloma UK, Edinburgh, UK

*Corresponding author M.T.Drayson@bham.ac.uk

†These authors contributed equally

Declared competing interests of authors: Mark T Drayson reports personal fees from Abingdon Health Ltd (York, UK), outside the submitted work.

Published December 2020

DOI: 10.3310/eme07100

Plain English summary

Infection risk and therapy response in myeloma patients

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation 2020; Vol. 7: No. 10

DOI: 10.3310/eme07100

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Myeloma is diagnosed in 5500 new patients in the UK each year. It is a cancer associated with severe damage to the immune system. As a result, one-third of patients will have a serious infection in the first month after diagnosis, and this infection often leads to death. The National Institute for Health Research-funded Tackling Early Morbidity and Mortality in Myeloma (TEAMM) trial found that giving daily antibiotics as a preventative measure reduces infections and deaths in these myeloma patients. The study collected blood and urine samples from 977 patients, from myeloma diagnosis to 1 year.

Currently, there is a lack of tests to measure how strong the immune system is in patients with myeloma. This study measured a range of biomarkers to see if individuals with the weakest immune system could be identified. This study found that most myeloma patients have very low protection against common bacteria and a poor response to flu vaccination. This study recommends vaccinations when patients are in the remission phase of myeloma. During treatment, when patients cannot receive vaccinations, patient education is required to prevent infections and identify possible infection quickly. Using tests that measure the strength of the immune system can identify those patients most in need of preventative antibiotics.

Measuring disease activity in myeloma currently involves several tests. Sometimes there is a time delay between test results going down during therapy, as certain biomarkers take time to respond. This study measured alternative biomarkers to see if they could tell us about how a patient is responding to treatment quicker than the existing tests. This study found two biomarkers that provided the most sensitive measurement of response to therapy. These biomarkers can be used to identify patients who are not responding well to therapy so that their treatment can be changed quickly to give them the best long-term outcomes.

This study looked to see if patients with infections had different levels of inflammatory biomarkers. This was to see if inflammatory biomarkers could be used as simple tests to identify those patients more likely to have an biomarkers infection or poor treatment responses. The inflammatory biomarkers that were investigated in the TEAMM trial did not provide any extra information and should not be considered, at this time, as additional tests for this purpose.

This investigation has helped us understand more about what myeloma and myeloma treatment does to the immune system. This study has identified new tests to help assess how strong patients' immune systems are and identify those patients most vulnerable to infection. New ways have been identified that best monitor disease activity alongside existing tests. This will facilitate switching therapies when required to reduce disease activity and reduce the probability of infection. Overall, the results of the TEAMM trial can help personalise patient management.

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation

ISSN 2050-4365 (Print)

ISSN 2050-4373 (Online)

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full EME archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/eme. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation* journal

Reports are published in *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation* (EME) if (1) they have resulted from work for the EME programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

EME programme

The Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) programme funds ambitious studies evaluating interventions that have the potential to make a step-change in the promotion of health, treatment of disease and improvement of rehabilitation or long-term care. Within these studies, EME supports research to improve the understanding of the mechanisms of both diseases and treatments.

The programme supports translational research into a wide range of new or repurposed interventions. These may include diagnostic or prognostic tests and decision-making tools, therapeutics or psychological treatments, medical devices, and public health initiatives delivered in the NHS.

The EME programme supports clinical trials and studies with other robust designs, which test the efficacy of interventions, and which may use clinical or well-validated surrogate outcomes. It only supports studies in man and where there is adequate proof of concept. The programme encourages hypothesis-driven mechanistic studies, integrated within the efficacy study, that explore the mechanisms of action of the intervention or the disease, the cause of differing responses, or improve the understanding of adverse effects. It funds similar mechanistic studies linked to studies funded by any NIHR programme.

The EME programme is funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), with contributions from the Chief Scientist Office (CSO) in Scotland and National Institute for Social Care and Health Research (NISCHR) in Wales and the Health and Social Care Research and Development (HSC R&D), Public Health Agency in Northern Ireland.

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the EME programme as project number 14/24/04. The contractual start date was in February 2017. The final report began editorial review in March 2019 and was accepted for publication in October 2019. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The EME editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the final report document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research. The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the MRC, NETSCC, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2020. This work was produced by Chicca *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

Editor-in-Chief of *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation* and NIHR Journals Library

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Professor of Digital Health Care, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Scientific Adviser (Evidence Use), Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor John Norrie Chair in Medical Statistics, University of Edinburgh, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Professor Martin Underwood Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk