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To improve many of the world’s most important health challenges, people need to change behaviours such as unhealthy eating and smoking. Research has helped, but we need to know more about how interventions work in changing behaviour. Our study looked at reports of published interventions to change behaviour and the views of experts in the field to advance our knowledge.

First, we examined links made between behaviour change techniques (the active components that bring about behaviour change, e.g. feedback on behaviour) and their mechanisms of action (the processes through which a behaviour change technique affects behaviour, e.g. behavioural regulation) in 277 published intervention reports. Second, we investigated the consensus among 105 behaviour change experts about the strength of agreement between behaviour change techniques and mechanisms. Study 3 aimed to resolve uncertainties among experts and discrepancies between the published reports. The summarised results identified 92 ‘links’ (i.e. agreement that a link is present between a particular technique and mechanism to change behaviour) and 465 ‘non-links’ (i.e. agreement that a link is not present). The final study investigated links between groups of techniques and theories as a whole (e.g. self-regulation theory) rather than the mechanisms used in individual theories. It was possible to identify techniques frequently used together and for experts to draw out some overarching theories from particular combinations.

The findings could be useful to policy-makers, intervention designers and practitioners for designing, improving and tailoring interventions. They may also be useful to researchers investigating the theoretical basis of interventions. To maximise the usefulness of this research, the findings are available in an open access interactive online tool (https://theoryandtechniquetool.humanbehaviourchange.org/; accessed 1 March 2020) that also enables discussion and collaboration.
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