Theory and practical guidance for effective de-implementation of practices across health and care services: a realist synthesis

Christopher R Burton,^{1*} Lynne Williams,² Tracey Bucknall,³ Denise Fisher,² Beth Hall,⁴ Gill Harris,⁵ Peter Jones,² Matthew Makin,⁶ Anne Mcbride,⁷ Rachel Meacock,⁸ John Parkinson,⁹ Jo Rycroft-Malone¹⁰ and Justin Waring¹¹

Declared competing interests of authors: Rachel Meacock is a member of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Services and Delivery Research (HSDR) Research Commissioning Board (2019 to present). Jo Rycroft-Malone is Programme Director and chairperson of the NIHR HSDR programme and chairperson of the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Implementation Strategy Group.

Published February 2021 DOI: 10.3310/hsdr09020

¹School of Allied and Public Health Professions, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, UK

²School of Health Sciences, College of Health and Behavioural Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, UK

³School of Nursing and Midwifery, Deakin University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

⁴Library and Archives Services, Bangor University, Bangor, UK

⁵Betsi Cadwaladr University Health Board, Bangor, UK

⁶North Manchester Care Organisation, Pennine Acute Hospitals NHS Trust, Manchester, UK

⁷Alliance Manchester Business School, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

⁸Division of Population Health, Health Services Research and Primary Care, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

⁹School of Psychology, College of Human Sciences, Bangor University, Bangor, UK

¹⁰Faculty of Health and Medicine, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

¹¹School of Social Policy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

^{*}Corresponding author chris.burton@canterbury.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Effective de-implementation of health and care services practices Health Services and Delivery Research 2021; Vol. 9: No. 2

DOI: 10.3310/hsdr09020

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

There is a growing emphasis on better use of resources in the NHS and, in particular, avoiding unnecessary spending on treatments or procedures that are of limited clinical effectiveness or cost-effectiveness but continue to take place. Stopping or withdrawing existing practices is not always easy, and this review aimed to explore the best ways of successfully changing/stopping or replacing them. We did this by reviewing literature and engaging with stakeholders to develop theories or 'ideas' and resources that would help professionals and managers to change or stop low-value practices.

Health and social care services need to consider the following points if reducing or removing procedures that have limited evidence for their effectiveness.

- Professionals need to be more aware of their day-to-day decision-making habits when ordering
 investigations and treatments through justifying their choices and receiving feedback on their
 performance relative to their colleagues.
- Systems that support professionals' decisions, including electronic health records, need to make it
 harder for low-value treatments to be visible, and those treatments that are seen as more effective
 need to be presented first.
- Professional education about de-implementation of interventions combined with supportive individual feedback needs to be prioritised, starting during initial training and continuing throughout professional life.
- If there is professional uncertainty about the benefits of certain treatments, or the patient requests low-value investigations, the professional and patient should use 'watchful waiting' as an active consultation strategy, which supports patient and professional concerns. Here, the professional will not prescribe active intervention, but will agree with the patient to review symptoms for a time-limited period, after which further discussions about interventions will take place.
- The relationship between professionals and their patients is an important aspect of care, which can result in emotional difficulties if de-implementation is not managed in a clear and supportive way, thereby limiting its effectiveness.
- There is an onus on health-care organisations to give de-implementation equal consideration within short- and long-term policy strategy.

Health Services and Delivery Research

ISSN 2050-4349 (Print)

ISSN 2050-4357 (Online)

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HS&DR archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hsdr. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Services and Delivery Research journal

Reports are published in *Health Services and Delivery Research* (HS&DR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HS&DR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

HS&DR programme

The HS&DR programme funds research to produce evidence to impact on the quality, accessibility and organisation of health and social care services. This includes evaluations of how the NHS and social care might improve delivery of services.

For more information about the HS&DR programme please visit the website at https://www.nihr.ac.uk/explore-nihr/funding-programmes/health-services-and-delivery-research.htm

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HS&DR programme or one of its preceding programmes as project number 16/115/18. The contractual start date was in December 2017. The draft report began editorial review in September 2019 and was accepted for publication in May 2020. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HS&DR editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HS&DR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HS&DR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2021. This work was produced by Burton et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Professor of Digital Health Care, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Scientific Adviser (Evidence Use), Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk