## A case management occupational health model to facilitate earlier return to work of NHS staff with common mental health disorders: a feasibility study

Vaughan Parsons,<sup>1,2</sup> Dorota Juszczyk,<sup>1,2</sup> Gill Gilworth,<sup>1,2</sup> Georgia Ntani,<sup>3,4</sup> Paul McCrone,<sup>5</sup> Stephani Hatch,<sup>6</sup> Robert Shannon,<sup>7</sup> Max Henderson,<sup>8</sup> David Coggon,<sup>3</sup> Mariam Molokhia,<sup>9</sup> Julia Smedley,<sup>10</sup> Amanda Griffiths,<sup>11</sup> Karen Walker-Bone<sup>3,4</sup> and Ira Madan<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Occupational Health Service, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Life Sciences & Medicine, King's College London, London, UK
<sup>3</sup>MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK
<sup>4</sup>National Centre for Musculoskeletal Health and Work, University of Southampton, UK
<sup>5</sup>King's Health Economics, King's College London, London, UK
<sup>6</sup>Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK
<sup>7</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

<sup>8</sup>Liaison Psychiatry, Leeds and York Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Leeds, UK <sup>9</sup>Population Health Sciences, King's College London, London, UK

<sup>10</sup>University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK

<sup>11</sup>Division of Psychiatry and Applied Psychology, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

\*Corresponding author Ira.Madan@kcl.ac.uk

**Declared competing interests of authors:** Ira Madan is a member of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Prioritisation Committee. Stephani Hatch reports grants from the NIHR, Wellcome Trust, ESRC and Guy's and St. Thomas' Charity outside the submitted work. Stephani Hatch is a member of the NHS England and NHS Improvement Mental Health Equalities Data Quality and Research Subgroup (2020 to present), the NHS England and NHS Improvement Patient and Carers Race Equalities Framework (PCREF) Steering Group (2020 to present), the NHS England and NHS Improvement Advancing Mental Health Equalities Taskforce (2020 to present), the Health Education England Mental Health Workforce Equalities Subgroup (2019 to present) and The Royal Foundation Mental Health Research Group (2020 to present). Max Henderson is a member of HTA Prioritisation Committee (2019 to present). David Coggon reports personal fees from NIHR during the conduct of the study.

Published February 2021 DOI: 10.3310/hta25120

## **Plain English summary**

Ways back to work: feasibility study Health Technology Assessment 2021; Vol. 25: No. 12 DOI: 10.3310/hta25120

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

# **Plain English summary**

A nxiety and depression are common causes of sickness absence in the NHS. This can harm patient care. Previous research has shown that offering early support to NHS staff on sick leave with a common mental health disorder is important to aid recovery and rehabilitation. However, it is not currently known how best to support staff in returning to work.

The purpose of this research was to test the feasibility of a large-scale study to see if specially trained occupational health nurses would be effective in getting NHS staff with these problems back to work quicker. We reviewed the recent scientific literature and did a survey of NHS occupational health departments to find out what was currently offered to such staff.

We developed a new work-focused case management intervention and trained six occupational health nurses to deliver it. We recruited 24 NHS staff who were on sick leave with a common mental health disorder (such as depression or anxiety) and allocated 11 to receive the new intervention and the rest to receive usual support. Participants were invited to complete three questionnaires and we collected information from the participating occupational health sites. We also interviewed participants, managers, human resource staff and occupational health nurses to find out what they thought about being involved in the study.

We found that the new case management intervention had potential benefits. However, it would not be possible to run a trial to evaluate the intervention until a better way can be found to encourage the early referral of sick staff to occupational health.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2021. This work was produced by Parsons *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

### **Health Technology Assessment**

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.370

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library and Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

#### Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

#### **HTA programme**

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

#### **This report**

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 15/107/02. The contractual start date was in September 2016. The draft report began editorial review in October 2019 and was accepted for publication in June 2020. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2021. This work was produced by Parsons *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

### NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

### NIHR Journals Library Editors

**Professor John Powell** Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Professor of Digital Health Care, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

**Professor Andrée Le May** Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

**Professor Matthias Beck** Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Scientific Adviser (Evidence Use), Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

**Professor James Raftery** Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

**Professor Helen Snooks** Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

**Professor Jim Thornton** Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk