Multimodal imaging interpreted by graders to detect re-activation of diabetic eye disease in previously treated patients: the EMERALD diagnostic accuracy study

Noemi Lois,^{1*} Jonathan Cook,² Ariel Wang,² Stephen Aldington,³ Hema Mistry,⁴ Mandy Maredza,⁴ Danny McAuley,^{1,5} Tariq Aslam,⁶ Clare Bailey,⁷ Victor Chong,⁸ Faruque Ghanchi,⁹ Peter Scanlon,³ Sobha Sivaprasad,¹⁰ David Steel,^{11,12} Caroline Styles,¹³ Augusto Azuara-Blanco,¹⁴ Lindsay Prior¹⁴ and Norman Waugh⁴ on behalf of the EMERALD Study Group

Declared competing interests of authors: Jonathan Cook was a member of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Efficient Trial Designs Board (between 2014 and 2016), NIHR HTA End of Life Care and Add-on Studies Board member (between 2014 and 2016) and member of a NIHR Research for Patient Benefit Programme regional advisory committee (South Central/South East and Central) between 2015 and 2019. Stephen Aldington reports grants

¹The Wellcome-Wolfson Institute for Experimental Medicine, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK

²Centre for Statistics in Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

³Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Gloucester, UK

⁴Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

⁵The Regional Intensive Care Unit, Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast, UK

⁶The Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester Royal Eye Hospital and Division of Pharmacy and Optometry, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

⁷Bristol Eye Hospital, Bristol, UK

⁸Royal Free Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

⁹Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Bradford Royal Infirmary, Bradford, UK

¹⁰National Institute for Health Research Moorfields Biomedical Research Centre, London, UK

¹¹Sunderland Eye Infirmary, Sunderland, UK

¹²Institute of Genetic Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

¹³Queen Margaret Hospital, Dunfermline, UK

¹⁴Centre for Public Health, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK

^{*}Corresponding author n.lois@qub.ac.uk

from the NIHR HTA programme for other work during the conduct of the study. Danny McAuley is a member of the Medical Research Council (MRC) and NIHR Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) committees and is the Director of the MRC and NIHR EME programmes. Tariq Aslam reports grants from Bayer (Leverkusen, Germany) and Théa Pharmaceuticals Limited (Newcastle-under-Lyme, UK). Tariq Aslam also reports payment for lectures from Bayer, Théa Pharmaceuticals Limited, Novartis International AG (Basel, Switzerland) and Allergan (Dublin, Ireland); and advisory board fees from Novartis International AG, Bayer, Théa Pharmaceuticals, Allergan and Alimera Sciences, Inc. (Alpharette, GA, USA). Clare Bailey sat on advisory boards for Novartis International AG, Bayer and Roche Holding AG (Basel, Switzerland), and reports lecture fees from Bayer, Novartis International AG, Roche Holding AG, Allergan and Alimera Sciences, Inc. Victor Chong is a part-time employee of Boehringer Ingelheim International GmBH (Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany); the study was performed outwith Boehringer Ingelheim, and Boehringer Ingelheim did not endorse the study or its result. Faruque Ganchi reports consulting fees or paid advisory work from Alimera Sciences, Inc., Allergan, Bayer, Novartis International AG and Roche Holding AG; lecture fees or honoraria: Alimera Sciences, Inc., Allergan, Bayer, Novartis International AG and Roche Holding AG; payment for lectures from Alimera Sciences, Inc., Allergan, Bayer and Novartis International AG; and reports expenses from Allergan, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chengdu List Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Novartis International AG, PanOptica (Mount Arlington, NJ, USA) and Roche Holding AG. Peter Scanlon reports grants and support to the institution from the NIHR HTA programme (project reference number 13/142/04). He also reports money to the institution from Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust for employment, grants to the institution outside the submitted work from Bayer, Allergan, Boehringer Ingelheim and Novartis International AG, and grants for being a co-applicant on Innovate UK Grant Application. He also reports payment for various lectures and expenses. Sobha Sivaprasad is a member of HTA committee, received research grants from and attended advisory boards of Novartis International AG, Bayer, Allergan, Gyroscope (London, UK), Roche, Oxurion (Leuven, Belgium), Apellis Pharmaceuticals (Waltham, MA, USA), Boehringer Ingelheim, Heidelberg Engineering Inc. (Franklin, MA, USA) and Optos (Dunfermline, UK). David Steel has been a consultant to Gyroscope, Roche and Alcon (Geneva, Switzerland), and has received research funding from Bayer and Alcon. Augusto Azuara-Blanco is a member of the NIHR HTA Prioritisation Committee.

Published May 2021 DOI: 10.3310/hta25320

Plain English summary

EMERALD diagnostic accuracy study Health Technology Assessment 2021; Vol. 25: No. 32

DOI: 10.3310/hta25320

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

ore and more people are developing diabetes. Diabetic macular oedema and proliferative diabetic retinopathy are complications of diabetes, which could cause blindness. Thus, people with diabetic macular oedema and proliferative diabetic retinopathy need to be treated in a timely manner and reviewed in clinic for life.

The population in the world is ageing. As a result, there are more people with eye diseases. There are also more treatments now for people with eye diseases. The workload in hospitals is increasing, making it difficult for the NHS to cope with the demand. There are not enough ophthalmologists (eye doctors) to look after patients. Delayed appointments and treatment mean that patients may lose sight.

The goal of EMERALD (Effectiveness of Multimodal imaging for the Evaluation of Retinal oedema And new vesseLs in Diabetic retinopathy) was to see if patients with treated and stable diabetic macular oedema or proliferative diabetic retinopathy could be followed by 'ophthalmic graders', who are not doctors but are trained to diagnose diabetic macular oedema and proliferative diabetic retinopathy. In EMERALD, trained ophthalmic graders examined photographs of the back of the eye of people with diabetic macular oedema and proliferative diabetic retinopathy. They checked if diabetic macular oedema and proliferative diabetic retinopathy remain inactive. If so, patients could continue follow-up with the ophthalmic graders. If diabetic macular oedema or proliferative diabetic retinopathy were active, graders would immediately refer patients to ophthalmologists.

EMERALD found that graders were excellent at detecting diabetic macular oedema, and this could give ophthalmologists time to see other patients. Graders were not quite as good at detecting active proliferative diabetic retinopathy. However, considering that patients had already had treatment, this may still be safe. Patients participating in focus group discussions mentioned that they would prefer to see ophthalmologists, so they could ask questions about their eye condition. If this was not possible, they would like to have immediate results from graders and still see the ophthalmologist from time to time.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.370

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library and Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 15/42/08. The contractual start date was in April 2017. The draft report began editorial review in March 2020 and was accepted for publication in June 2020. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2021. This work was produced by Lois *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Professor of Digital Health Care, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Scientific Adviser (Evidence Use), Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk