Continuous glucose monitoring in extremely preterm infants in intensive care: the REACT RCT and pilot study of 'closed-loop' technology

Kathryn Beardsall,^{1,2*} Lynn Thomson,¹ Catherine Guy,¹ Simon Bond,³ Annabel Allison,³ Beatrice Pantaleo,³ Stavros Petrou,^{4,5} Sungwook Kim,^{4,5} David Dunger^{1,6} and Roman Hovorka⁶

Declared competing interests of authors: All authors declare non-financial support from Medtronic plc (Watford, UK; which provided the MinMed® 640G system) and Nova Biomedical (Waltham, MA, USA; which provided point-of-care devices for use during the trial). Kathryn Beardsall reports personal fees from Shire (Lexington, MA, USA), outside the submitted work. Roman Hovorka reports other fees from Eli Lilly and Company (Indianapolis, IN, USA), Novo Nordisk A/S (Bagsværd, Denmark), Dexcom, Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA) and Medtronic plc; and other and personal fees from B Braun Medical Ltd (Sheffield, UK).

Published October 2021 DOI: 10.3310/eme08160

¹Department of Paediatrics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

²Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK

³Cambridge Clinical Trials Unit, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK

⁴Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

⁵Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁶University of Cambridge Metabolic Research Laboratories, Wellcome Trust-MRC Institute of Metabolic Science, Cambridge, UK

^{*}Corresponding author kb274@cam.ac.uk

Plain English summary

The REACT RCT and pilot study of 'closed-loop' technology

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation 2021; Vol. 8: No. 16

DOI: 10.3310/eme08160

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Both high and low blood sugar (glucose) levels are common in preterm babies and have been linked to worse health outcomes. Managing the extremes of glucose levels is difficult as it requires frequent invasive blood sampling. In addition, every baby responds differently to treatments. In children with diabetes, who have similar difficulties, a continuous glucose monitor can be used to better target glucose levels. Continuous glucose monitoring requires a small sensor to be inserted under the skin; this can be left in place for up to a week. The REAI-time Continuous glucose monitoring in neonatal intensive care project aimed to assess whether or not continuous glucose monitoring is helpful for the management of preterm babies in intensive care. There were three parts to the project, each with protocols and patient information sheets developed with support from parents:

- 1. a feasibility study to guide the design of a randomised clinical trial
- 2. a randomised clinical trial
- 3. a pilot study, combining continuous glucose monitoring with computer advice (i.e. the 'closed-loop study').

The randomised clinical trial recruited 182 babies within 24 hours of their birth. A continuous glucose monitor was inserted in all babies, but doctors used the results to support sugar control in only half of the babies. The other half received standard care (continuous glucose monitor data were collected, but were not made available to the clinical team).

The study was positive in that continuous glucose monitoring helped to target sugar levels without increasing the risk of low blood sugar levels. The clinical team and parents felt that the continuous glucose monitor improved the care of babies. In health economic calculations from birth to 36 weeks' corrected gestational age, continuous glucose monitoring was, on average, less costly and more effective than standard care. The closed-loop study showed that the use of a computer, combined with continuous glucose monitoring, can further increase the time within the target sugar levels. Further studies are required to determine the ideal target for sugar levels in these babies and the impact on long-term health. A continuous glucose monitor provides the technology to support such studies.

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation

ISSN 2050-4365 (Print)

ISSN 2050-4373 (Online)

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full EME archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/eme. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation journal

Reports are published in *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation* (EME) if (1) they have resulted from work for the EME programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

EME programme

The Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) programme funds ambitious studies evaluating interventions that have the potential to make a step-change in the promotion of health, treatment of disease and improvement of rehabilitation or long-term care. Within these studies, EME supports research to improve the understanding of the mechanisms of both diseases and treatments.

The programme supports translational research into a wide range of new or repurposed interventions. These may include diagnostic or prognostic tests and decision-making tools, therapeutics or psychological treatments, medical devices, and public health initiatives delivered in the NHS.

The EME programme supports clinical trials and studies with other robust designs, which test the efficacy of interventions, and which may use clinical or well-validated surrogate outcomes. It only supports studies in man and where there is adequate proof of concept. The programme encourages hypothesis-driven mechanistic studies, integrated within the efficacy study, that explore the mechanisms of action of the intervention or the disease, the cause of differing responses, or improve the understanding of adverse effects. It funds similar mechanistic studies linked to studies funded by any NIHR programme.

The EME programme is funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), with contributions from the Chief Scientist Office (CSO) in Scotland and National Institute for Social Care and Health Research (NISCHR) in Wales and the Health and Social Care Research and Development (HSC R&D), Public Health Agency in Northern Ireland.

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the EME programme as project number 11/133/07. The contractual start date was in June 2014. The final report began editorial review in December 2019 and was accepted for publication in December 2020. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The EME editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the final report document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research. The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the MRC, NETSCC, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

© Queen's Printer and Controller of HMSO 2021. This work was produced by Beardsall *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This issue may be freely reproduced for the purposes of private research and study and extracts (or indeed, the full report) may be included in professional journals provided that suitable acknowledgement is made and the reproduction is not associated with any form of advertising. Applications for commercial reproduction should be addressed to: NIHR Journals Library, National Institute for Health Research, Evaluation, Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, Alpha House, University of Southampton Science Park, Southampton SO16 7NS, UK.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Professor of Digital Health Care, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Scientific Adviser (Evidence Use), Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk