Correction to Cost and Outcome of BehaviouRal Activation (COBRA): a randomised controlled trial of behavioural activation versus cognitive behavioural therapy for depression. *Health Technology Assessment* **Volume: 21, Issue: 46, Published in August 2017** <u>https://doi.org/10.3310/hta21460</u>

One of our collaborators has been preparing a paper for publication using data from the COBRA trial, during which we uncovered an error in the coding of one of the mediation variables from our process evaluation: the Snaith–Hamilton Pleasure Scale (SHAPS).

Although this was a minor part of our report, we have, therefore, corrected the raw data and repeated the descriptive analyses for SHAPS and all mediation analyses that included SHAPS.

We are pleased to report that the interpretation of the mediation analyses remains essentially the same when using the corrected SHAPS scores, meaning that there are no substantial changes required when interpreting the results section of our original report. Our findings remain that SHAPS was not a mediator of PHQ-9 in the single mediator models (SHAPS at 6m mediating the effect of intervention on PHQ-9 at 12m and 18m, and SHAPS at 12m mediating PHQ-9 at 18m), nor in the multiple mediator models (mediators at 6m mediating the effect of intervention on PHQ-9 at 12m and 18m). There remain no significant mediators of the intervention effect (the corrected SHAPS was not a significant mediator, and as in the published report, the other mediator variables were also non-significant).

Although our report's conclusions remain unchanged, with the publication of our colleague's paper likely, this document is the correction to the original COBRA report which includes the corrected descriptive data, analyses, and figures:

- 1. Text on P46 third and fourth paragraphs, amendments (deletions and replacements highlighted in yellow)
- 2. Table 18, p43: SHAPS descriptive data at baseline/6m/12m/18m
- 3. Table 20, p48-49: SHAPS at 6m as single mediator for PHQ9 at 12m; multiple mediator model (including SHAPS) with mediators at 6m mediating PHQ at 12m
- Table 21 p50: SHAPS at 6m as single mediator for PHQ9 at 18m; multiple mediator model (including SHAPS) with mediators at 6m mediating PHQ at 12m; SHAPS at 12m mediating PHQ at 18m
- 5. Figure 17 p46: mean plot of SHAPS scores by group
- 6. Figure 22 p92: line plot of SHAPS scores by group
- In addition, in reviewing the report again, we noticed that there was a typesetting error in Table 19. The error is that one of the columns in table 19 (p47) – column 6 – has been copied from column 5 rather than the actual data for column 6 being included. We have, therefore, included our original Table 19 again and highlighted the correct data.

David Richards, COBRA Trial Chief Investigator

P46 third and fourth paragraphs

For the overall treatment fidelity, the indirect effect of treatment group acting via this mediator was statistically significant (-0.18, 95% confidence -0.54 to -0.01 0.01 to 0.54), mediating -117% of the total treatment effect (Table 20). In the multiple mediator model, however, none of the mediators were found to have a significant indirect effect. BADS avoidance, BADS social impairment, total RRS score, SHAPS, basic treatment fidelity, and overall treatment fidelity, were found to mediate at least 10% in magnitude of the total treatment effect.

At 18-month follow-up, the indirect effect of treatment via basic treatment fidelity in the individual mediator model was statistically significant (observed effect 0.61, 95% CI 0.23 to 1.17) and associated with a proportion of total effect mediated of 610%. In the multiple mediators model, with mediator variables measured at 6-month follow-up, this effect was not statistically significant but was associated with a proportion of total effect mediated of 7761%. Similarly, the indirect effect via overall treatment quality was statistically significant (observed effect 0.24, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.65) in the individual mediator model, but this significant effect was not seen in the multiple mediators model.

Baseline			PM1 PM2			6-month follow-up		12-month follow-up		18-month follow-		
											up	
Outcome variable	СВТ	BA	СВТ	BA	СВТ	BA	СВТ	BA	СВТ	BA	СВТ	BA
PHQ-9; mean (SD),	17.39	17.69	DNC	DNC	DNC	DNC	9.41	9.72	8.20	8.34	8.39	8.32
n	(4.85),	(4.80),					(7.04),	(6.88),	(7.32),	(6.97),	(7.12),	(7.13),
	214	219					190	183	184	173	176	174
BADS total; mean	88.30	87.65	76.35	75.69	63.74	67.15	55.88	56.74	DNC	DNC	DNC	DNC
(SD) <i>,</i> n	(19.98),	(21.32),	(24.46),	(27.10),	(27.76),	(27.56),	(29.65),	(30.18),				
	195	206	92	91	78	67	146	144				
BADS activation;	29.57	29.90	26.73	26.35	22.82	22.78	21.34	22.09	DNC	DNC	DNC	DNC
mean (SD), n	(7.21),	(7.67),	(7.86),	(7.97),	(8.28),	(9.48),	(9.24),	(9.90),				
	206	214	104	100	85	78	155	151				
BADS avoidance;	26.77	25.86	23.85	23.35	19.66	20.19	15.96	17.37	DNC	DNC	DNC	DNC
mean (SD), n	(9.47),	(9.31),	(10.54),	(10.03),	(10.14),	(9.39),	(11.25),	(10.72),				
	209	215	105	106	85	79	155	159				
BADS work	15.78	16.09	14.23	14.15	11.56	11.30	10.09	9.98	DNC	DNC	DNC	DNC
impairment; mean	(6.24),	(6.43),	(6.38),	(6.97),	(7.20),	(6.07),	(7.08),	(6.99),				
(SD) <i>,</i> n	212	219	105	105	85	81	157	160				

TABLE 18 Unadjusted scores for PHQ-9 and mediator variables for mediation population

BADS social	15.81	16.13	11.91	13.45	10.25	11.28	8.63	8.75	DNC	DNC	DNC	DNC
impairment; mean	(7.23),	(7.77),	(7.53),	(8.39),	(8.14),	(8.27),	(8.02),	(8.06),				
(SD), n	205	211	101	101	84	74	156	154				
DAS; mean (SD), n	154.23	152.02	158.36	158.72	150.71	152.70	134.66	135.97	DNC	DNC	DNC	DNC
	(38.35) <i>,</i>	(38.67),	(40.04),	(40.65),	(41.98),	(41.38),	(41.95),	(42.15),				
	211	217	109	107	88	84	159	160				
RRS total; mean	60.28	59.61	58.29	58.18	52.22	53.45	48.27	49.95	DNC	DNC	DNC	DNC
(SD), n	(10.05),	(11.18),	(11.59),	(12.42),	(12.28),	(13.53),	(14.48),	(14.24),				
	213	219	109	111	88	85	159	160				
RRS reflection;	12.30	12.05	12.06	11.86	11.03	11.04	10.38	10.58	DNC	DNC	DNC	DNC
mean (SD), n	(3.12),	(3.41),	(2.99),	(3.35) <i>,</i>	(3.00),	(3.59),	(3.44),	(3.37),				
	213	219	109	111	88	85	159	160				
SHAPS; mean (SD),	<mark>31.80</mark>	<mark>32.14</mark>	<mark>DNC</mark>	<mark>DNC</mark>	<mark>DNC</mark>	<mark>DNC</mark>	<mark>25.74</mark>	<mark>26.01</mark>	<mark>24.92</mark>	<mark>25.74</mark>	<mark>25.39</mark>	<mark>25.33</mark>
n	<mark>(5.33),</mark>	<mark>(6.35),</mark>					<mark>(6.01),</mark>	<mark>(7.29),</mark>	<mark>(7.48),</mark>	<mark>(7.39),</mark>	<mark>(7.67),</mark>	<mark>(7.21),</mark>
	<mark>212</mark>	<mark>217</mark>					<mark>161</mark>	<mark>161</mark>	<mark>163</mark>	<mark>147</mark>	<mark>161</mark>	<mark>155</mark>
Proportion (%) of									52 (33),	48 (33),		
sessions attended									214	219		
(of a maximum of												
24) ^a ; mean (SD), n												

Basic treatment					73 (31),	86 (28),	
fidelity (scale 0–					213	218	
100) ^a ; mean (SD), n							
Overall treatment					68 (31),	73 (33),	
fidelity (scale 0–					213	218	
100)ª; mean (SD), n							

^aTreatment fidelity outcomes measured across the full follow-up period, reported under 12-month follow-up for convenience.

DNC: Data not collected; DNR: Data not reported.



FIGURE 17 SHAPS total score at baseline, 6-, 12- and 18-month follow-up by treatment group for the mediation population.

TABLE 19 Results of structural equation modelling analyses for mediation of treatment effect on PHQ-9 at 6-month follow-up

	Sir	ngle mediator models	Model including all mediators			
Mediator	Indirect effect of treatment allocation	Total effect of treatment allocation	Proportion of total effect mediated by	Indirect effect of treatment allocation	Proportion of total effect mediated by	
	acting through mediator	(95% CI)	indirect effect (%)	acting through mediator	indirect effect (%) ^a	
	(95% CI), n			(95% Cl), n		
Mediators measured at	PM 1 (therapy session 4)			<u> </u>		
			Total effect of treatment al	location -1.84 (95% Cl		
				-3.79 to 0.04), n=158		
BADS total	0.18 (-0.34 to 0.91), 163	-1.52 (-3.43 to 0.34)	-12	Not included	Not included	
BADS activation	0.12 (-0.51 to 0.75), 186	-1.52 (-3.45 to 0.32)	-8	0.13 (-0.11 to 0.81)	<mark>-7</mark>	
BADS avoidance	0.11 (-0.17 to 0.71), 195	-1.34 (-3.14 to 0.51)	-8	-0.01 (-0.38 to 0.18)	0	
BADS work impairment	0.10 (-0.45 to 0.69), 198	-1.45 (-3.24 to 0.42)	-7	0.05 (-0.10 to 0.65)	<mark>-3</mark>	
BADS social	-0.06 (-0.50 to 0.32), 184	-1.54 (-3.43 to 0.18)	4			
impairment				0.04 (-0.12 to 0.52)	<mark>-2</mark>	
DAS	0.13 (-0.26 to 0.71), 202	-1.73 (-3.46 to 0.16)	-8	0.18 (-0.12 to 0.84)	<mark>-10</mark>	
RRS total	0.13 (-0.31 to 0.63), 206	-1.64 (-3.40 to 0.18)	-8	0.06 (-0.14 to 0.64)	<mark>-3</mark>	
RRS reflection	0.04 (-0.09 to 0.39), 206	-1.60 (-3.36 to 0.21)	-2	Not included	Not included	
Mediators measured at	PM 2 (therapy session 7)	1	1	1	1	
				Total effect of treatment al	location	
				-2.63 (95% Cl -4.87 to -0.32), n=126	

BADS total	-0.23 (-1.45 to 0.89), 129	-2.52 (-4.94 to -0.35)	9	Not included	Not included
BADS activation	0.21 (-0.57 to 1.15), 153	-1.68 (-3.67 to 0.37)	-13	-0.01 (-0.47 to 0.19)	1
BADS avoidance	-0.05 (-0.84 to 0.72), 154	-2.26 (-4.42 to -0.12)	2	-0.01 (-0.48 to 0.30)	0
BADS work impairment	0.34 (-0.64 to 1.38), 160	-2.01 (-4.05 to -0.01)	-17	0.04 (-0.35 to 0.80)	-1
BADS social		-2.33 (-4.46 to -0.23)	13		
impairment	-0.29 (-1.29 to 0.56), 147			-0.19 (-1.19 to 0.19)	7
DAS	0.23 (-0.54 to 0.93), 166	-1.95 (-3.95 to 0.20)	-12	0.10 (-0.16 to 0.84)	-4
RRS total	-0.02 (-0.77 to 0.68), 167	-2.00 (-4.07 to -0.02)	1	-0.04 (-0.59 to 0.24)	2
RRS reflection	0.11 (-0.42 to 0.71), 167	-2.04 (-4.06 to -0.02)	-5	Not included	Not included

^aProportions of total effect mediated are not required to sum to 100%

TABLE 20 Results of structural equation modelling analyses for mediation of treatment effect on PHQ-9 at 12-month follow-up

	Sir	ngle mediator models	Model including all mediators			
Mediator	Indirect effect of treatment allocation	Total effect of treatment allocation	Proportion of total effect mediated by	Indirect effect of treatment allocation	Proportion of total effect mediated by	
	acting through mediator	(95% CI)	indirect effect (%)	acting through mediator	indirect effect (%) ^a	
	(95% Cl), n			(95% Cl), n		
Mediators measured at	PM 1	I		<u> </u>		
			Total effect of treatment al	location - 0.58 (95%		
				CI -2.61 to 1.59), n=154		
BADS total	0.09 (-0.78 to 0.81), 158	-0.49 (-2.55 to 1.41)	-18	Not included	Not included	
BADS activation	0.01 (-0.69 to 0.61), 181	-0.79 (-2.74 to 1.09)	-1	0.08 (-0.27 to 0.69)	-14	
BADS avoidance	0.02 (-0.47 to -0.49), 189	-0.82 (-2.76 to 1.14)	-3	0.01 (-0.20 to 0.45)	-3	
BADS work impairment	0.03 (-0.69 to 0.61), 192	-0.56 (-2.51 to 1.35)	-5	0.08 (-0.26 to 0.79)	-15	
BADS social		-0.78 (-2.71 to 1.18)	21	0.00 (-0.24 to 0.24)	0	
impairment	-0.16 (-0.80 to 0.23), 179					
DAS	0.08 (-0.15 to 0.61), 198	-0.93 (-2.84 to 0.92)	-9	-0.18 (-0.90 to 0.13)	32	
RRS total	0.09 (-0.41 to 0.66), 201	-0.98 (-2.88 to 0.83)	-9	0.10 (-0.09 to 0.73)	-17	
RRS reflection	0.08 (-0.17 to 0.54), 201	-0.95 (-2.84 to 0.87)	-9	Not included	Not included	
Mediators measured at	PM 2	1	1	1	1	
				Total effect of treatment allocation -0.84 (95% CI		
				-3.23 to 1.58), n=125		

BADS total	-0.29 (-1.40 to 0.64), 128	-0.53 (-2.98 to 1.74)	55	Not included	Not included
		, , ,			
BADS activation	-0.09 (-0.73 to 0.84), 150	-0.27 (-2.29 to 1.69)	-33	-0.04 (-0.72 to 0.14)	5
BADS avoidance	-0.10 (-0.74 to 0.48), 150	-0.37 (-2.36 to 1.66)	26	0.00 (-0.38 to 0.52)	-1
BADS work impairment	0.14 (-0.76 to 1.10), 156	-0.47 (-2.58 to 1.67)	-31	-0.03 (-0.91 to 0.78)	4
BADS social		-0.58 (-2.78 to 1.52)	57	-0.20 (-1.51 to 0.15)	23
impairment	-0.33 (-1.35 to 0.43), 145				
DAS	0.11 (-0.42 to 0.67), 162	-0.57 (-2.58 to 1.49)	-19	-0.04 (-0.73 to 0.21)	5
RRS total	0.01 (-0.67 to 0.71), 163	-0.68 (-2.71 to 1.32)	-2	-0.03 (-0.63 to 0.43)	4
RRS reflection	0.20 (-0.23 to 0.90), 163	-0.72 (-2.73 to 1.28)	-28	Not included	Not included
Mediators measured at	6-month follow-up				
				Total effect of treatment	t allocation 0.37 (-1.24 to
				<mark>2.00) n=250</mark>	
BADS total	0.09 (-0.77 to 1.05), 258	0.27 (-1.33 to 1.93)	33	Not included	Not included
BADS activation	-0.05 (-0.82 to 0.68), 281	-0.22 (-1.73 to 1.31)	25	0.01 (-0.33 to 0.35)	
			25	0.01 (0.00 to 0.00)	<mark>1</mark>
BADS avoidance	-0.40 (-1.13 to 0.37), 285	-0.06 (-1.52 to 1.42)	720	-0.10 (-0.61 to 0.10)	1 -28
	-0.40 (-1.13 to 0.37), 285 0.24 (-0.46 to 1.04), 294				
BADS work impairment		-0.06 (-1.52 to 1.42)	720	-0.10 (-0.61 to 0.10)	-28
BADS avoidance BADS work impairment BADS social impairment		-0.06 (-1.52 to 1.42) -0.26 (-1.82 to 1.17)	720 -94	-0.10 (-0.61 to 0.10) 0.03 (-0.10 to 0.42)	-28
BADS work impairment BADS social	0.24 (-0.46 to 1.04), 294	-0.06 (-1.52 to 1.42) -0.26 (-1.82 to 1.17)	720 -94	-0.10 (-0.61 to 0.10) 0.03 (-0.10 to 0.42)	-28 7
BADS work impairment BADS social impairment	0.24 (-0.46 to 1.04), 294 0.26 (-0.52 to 1.09), 282	-0.06 (-1.52 to 1.42) -0.26 (-1.82 to 1.17) -0.06 (-1.58 to 1.53)	720 -94 -463	-0.10 (-0.61 to 0.10) 0.03 (-0.10 to 0.42) 0.07 (-0.12 to 0.58)	-28 7 19

<mark>SHAPS</mark>	- <mark>0.12 (-0.65 to 0.44), 298</mark>	<mark>-0.12 (-1.64 to 1.38)</mark>	<mark>103</mark>	<mark>0.02 (-0.07 to 0.34)</mark>	<mark>6</mark>				
Treatment fidelity mediators ^b									
Proportion of sessions		-0.14 (-1.49 to 1.30)	29	<mark>0.00 (-0.19 to 0.16)</mark>					
attended	-0.04 (-0.28 to 0.04), 350				<mark>0</mark>				
Basic treatment fidelity	0.33 (-0.03 to 0.83), 349	-0.15 (-1.55 to 1.27)	-216	<mark>0.01 (-0.87 to 0.69)</mark>	2				
Overall treatment	0.18 (0.01 to 0.54), 349	-0.15 (-1.55 to 1.27)	-117	<mark>0.08 (-0.37 to 0.75)</mark>	<mark>21</mark>				
fidelity									

^aProportions of total effect mediated are not required to sum to 100%.

^b Treatment fidelity mediators included in model with mediators measured at 6-month follow-up.

TABLE 21 Results of structural equation modelling analyses for mediation of treatment effect on PHQ-9 at 18-month follow-up

	Sir	ngle mediator models	Model including all mediators			
Mediator	Indirect effect of treatment allocation	Total effect of treatment allocation	Proportion of total effect mediated by	Indirect effect of treatment allocation	Proportion of total effect mediated by	
	acting through mediator	(95% CI)	indirect effect (%)	acting through mediator	indirect effect (%)	
	(95% CI), n			(95% Cl), n		
Mediators measured at	6-month follow-up		1			
			Total effect of treatment al	location 0.55 (-1.05 to		
				<mark>2.08), n=248</mark>		
BADS total	0.06 (-0.76 to 0.96), 255	0.64 (-0.91 to 2.27)	9	Not included	Not included	
BADS activation	-0.10 (-0.81 to 0.60), 278	0.14 (-1.42 to 1.64)	-75	<mark>0.00 (-0.17 to 0.27)</mark>	1	
BADS avoidance	-0.38 (-1.05 to 0.25), 283	0.19 (-1.32 to 1.69)	-196	<mark>0.02 (-0.13 to 0.41)</mark>	3	
BADS work impairment	0.17 (-0.52 to 0.90), 291	0.03 (-1.47 to 1.49)	653	<mark>0.11 (-0.08 to 0.64)</mark>	<mark>20</mark>	
BADS social		0.56 (-0.88 to 2.14)	45	<mark>0.13 (-0.30 to 0.76)</mark>		
impairment	0.25 (-0.47 to 1.11), 278				<mark>24</mark>	
DAS	-0.02 (-0.63 to 0.64), 294	0.03 (-1.44 to 1.52)	-76	<mark>0.00 (-0.22 to 0.22)</mark>	0	
RRS total	-0.31 (-0.93 to 0.32), 294	0.02 (-1.43 to 1.49)	-1600	<mark>-0.06 (-0.42 to 0.07)</mark>	<mark>-11</mark>	
RRS reflection	-0.09 (-0.51 to 0.35), 294	0.03 (-1.44 to 1.50)	-357	Not included	Not included	
SHAPS	-0.03 (-059 to 0.53), 293	-0.03 (-1.52 to 1.49)	111	<mark>0.01 (-0.10 to 0.32)</mark>	2	
Treatment fidelity media	ators ^a	1	1	1	1	

Proportion of sessions		0.12 (-1.29 to 1.53)		<mark>0.03 (-0.09 to 0.44)</mark>	
attended	-0.04 (-0.28 to 0.04), 343		-36		<mark>6</mark>
Basic treatment fidelity	0.61 (0.23 to 1.17), 342	0.10 (-1.28 to 1.54)	610	<mark>0.33 (-0.47 to 1.17)</mark>	<mark>61</mark>
Overall treatment	0.24 (0.03 to 0.65), 342	0.10 (-1.28 to 1.54)	237	-0.02 (-0.61 to 0.54)	<mark>-4</mark>
fidelity					
Mediators measured at 2	12-month follow-up		•		
<mark>SHAPS</mark>	<mark>-0.39 (-1.26 to 0.40), 291</mark>	<mark>0.33 (-1.18 to 1.92)</mark>	<mark>-119</mark>	Not included	Not included

^a Treatment fidelity mediators included in model with mediators measured at 6-month follow-up.



FIGURE 22 Individual participant SHAPS scores at baseline, 6-, 12-, and 18-month follow-up for each treatment group for the mediation

population.