Lamotrigine versus levetiracetam or zonisamide for focal epilepsy and valproate versus levetiracetam for generalised and unclassified epilepsy: two SANAD II non-inferiority RCTs

Anthony G Marson,^{1*} Girvan Burnside,²
Richard Appleton,³ Dave Smith,⁴ John Paul Leach,⁵
Graeme Sills,¹ Catrin Tudur-Smith,² Catrin O Plumpton,⁶
Dyfrig A Hughes,⁶ Paula R Williamson,² Gus Baker,¹
Silviya Balabanova,⁷ Claire Taylor,⁷ Richard Brown,⁸
Dan Hindley,⁹ Stephen Howell,¹⁰ Melissa Maguire,¹¹
Rajiv Mohanraj¹² and Philip EM Smith¹³ on behalf of the SANAD II collaborators

Declared competing interests of authors: Anthony G Marson reports grants from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) programme during the conduct of the study, and grants from UCB (Brussels, Belgium) outside the submitted work. Graeme Sills reports personal fees from UCB, Eisai Co. Ltd (Tokyo, Japan) and Arvelle Therapeutics GmbH (Zug, Switzerland) outside the submitted work. John Leach reports grants from the University of Liverpool/HTA during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Eisai Co. Ltd; grants and personal fees from UCB; and personal fees from Janssen: Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson (Beerse, Belgium), GlaxoSmithKline plc (Brentford, UK) and GW Pharmaceuticals (Cambridge, UK) outside the submitted work. Philip EM Smith reports being co-editor of *Practical Neurology* (2011–present) and a member of the National Institute

¹Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

²Department of Health Data Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

³The Roald Dahl EEG Unit, Alder Hey Children's Health Park, Liverpool, UK

⁴The Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK

⁵School of Medicine, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

⁶Centre for Health Economics and Medicines Evaluation, Bangor University, Bangor, UK

⁷Liverpool Clinical Trials Centre, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

⁸Addenbrooke's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK

⁹Bolton NHS Foundation Trust, Royal Bolton Hospital, Bolton, UK

 $^{^{10}}$ Department of Neurology, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, UK

¹¹School of Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

¹²Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

¹³The Alan Richens Epilepsy Unit, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, UK

^{*}Corresponding author a.g.marson@liverpool.ac.uk

for Health and Care Excellence Guidelines Group for Epilepsy (2019–21). Rajiv Mohanraj reports personal fees from UCB, and grants from UCB and Sanofi SA (Paris, France) outside the submitted work. Catrin Tudur-Smith reports a committee membership (HTA Commissioning Committee) (2015–20). Paula R Williamson was Director of Liverpool Clinical Trials Centre (formerly Medicines for Children Clinical Trials Unit) (April 2005–December 2018), which received funding from NIHR (end date 31 August 2021). She also reports grants from the University of Liverpool and from the NIHR HTA programme during the conduct of the study.

Published December 2021

DOI: 10.3310/hta25750

Plain English summary

Two SANAD II non-inferiority RCTs

Health Technology Assessment 2021; Vol. 25: No. 75

DOI: 10.3310/hta25750

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

Background and methods

The SANAD II trial was a clinical trial designed to identify the most clinically effective and cost-effective treatment for adults and children aged > 5 years with newly diagnosed epilepsy.

There are two main epilepsy types: focal and generalised. In focal epilepsy, seizures start at a single place in the brain (a focus), whereas in generalised epilepsy seizures start in both sides of the brain at the same time.

Anti-seizure medications are the main treatment. For people with newly diagnosed epilepsy, the first anti-seizure medication should control the seizures as quickly as possible while avoiding side effects. The first-choice treatments are lamotrigine (Lamictal®, GlaxoSmithKline plc, Brentford, UK) for focal epilepsy and valproate (Epilim®, Sanofi SA, Paris, France) for generalised epilepsy (however, the latter should be avoided in women who could become pregnant).

A number of newer anti-seizure medications have been approved for NHS use, but it is unclear whether or not they should be used as first-line treatments. The SANAD II trial focused on the new medicines levetiracetam (Keppra®, UCB Pharma Ltd, Slough, UK) and zonisamide (Zonegran®, Eisai Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan).

We recruited 1510 people aged \geq 5 years with newly diagnosed epilepsy: 990 with focal epilepsy and 520 with generalised or unclassified epilepsy.

Findings: focal epilepsy

People starting treatment with levetiracetam or zonisamide were significantly less likely to have a 12-month remission from seizures than people starting treatment with lamotrigine, unless they were changed to another anti-seizure medication. Side effects that were thought to be caused by anti-seizure medications were reported by 33% of participants starting lamotrigine, 44% of those starting levetiracetam and 45% of those starting zonisamide.

The cost-effectiveness analyses showed that neither levetiracetam nor zonisamide is value for money for the NHS when compared with lamotrigine.

The SANAD II findings do not support the use of levetiracetam or zonisamide as first-line treatments in focal epilepsy.

Findings: generalised and unclassifiable epilepsy

People starting treatment with levetiracetam were significantly less likely to have a 12-month remission from seizures than people starting valproate, unless they were changed to another anti-seizure medication. Side effects that were thought to be caused by anti-seizure medications were reported by 37% of participants starting valproate and 42% of participants starting levetiracetam.

The cost-effectiveness analyses showed that levetiracetam is not good value for money for the NHS when compared with valproate.

The SANAD II findings do not support the use of levetiracetam as a first-line treatment for newly diagnosed generalised epilepsy. Importantly, our results will inform treatment decisions for women, who may choose a less effective treatment that is safer in pregnancy.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 4.014

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library and Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 09/144/09. The contractual start date was in June 2012. The draft report began editorial review in October 2020 and was accepted for publication in August 2021. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Copyright © 2021 Marson et al. This work was produced by Marson et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaption in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Professor of Digital Health Care, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HS&DR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Senior Scientific Advisor, Wessex Institute, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Scientific Adviser (Evidence Use), Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Senior Research Fellow, York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk