

The VIOLET study

	NAME	TITLE	SIGNATURE	DATE
Author	Rosie Harris	Medical statistician		
Authoriser	Chris Rogers	Co-Director of CTEU		
Chief investigator	Eric Lim	Consultant Thoracic Surgeon		

Effective Date:	11/11/2019
-----------------	------------



Table of contents

1.		Introduction to SAP	4
	1.1	Scope	4
	1.2	Editorial changes	4
	1.3	SAP document approval	4
	1.4	Skeleton tables and figures	4
2.		Study background and objectives	5
	2.1	Study background	5
	2.2	Study objectives	5
	2.3	Primary outcome	5
	2.4	Secondary outcomes	5
	2.5	Changes to the study objectives during the course of the study	6
3.		Study population	7
	3.1	Flow of participants	7
	3.2	Randomisation	7
	3.3	Protocol deviations	7
	3.4	Withdrawals	7
	3.5	Analysis population	7
	3.6	Safety population	8
4.		Data collection	9
5.		Derivations	10
	5.1	Primary outcome	.10
	5.2	Secondary outcomes	.10
	5.3	Other variables	.15
6.		Statistical analyses	16
	6.1	Baseline data and operative data	16
	6.2	Primary and secondary outcome data	17
		6.2.1 Adjustment in models	17
		6.2.2 Analysis models	17
		6.2.3 Statistical significance	19
		6.2.4 Model assumptions	19
		6.2.5 Subgroup analyses	19
		6.2.6 Sensitivity analyses	19
		6.2.7 Exploratory analyses	19
		6.2.8 Missing data	19
		6.2.9 Multiple testing	20
	6.3	Safety data	20
7.		Bibliography	
8.		Amendments to the SAP	
APPI	ENDIX	X A: Skeleton tables and figures	23
		X B: IN-HOSPITAL ANALGESIC RATIOS	

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS PLAN

The VIOLET study



List of abbreviations

Acronym	Details
AE	Adverse event
AIC	Akaike information criterion
ALT	Alanine aminotransferase
ARDS	Acute respiratory distress syndrome
AST	Aspartate aminotransferase
BIC	Bayesian information criterion
BMI	Body mass index
CI	Confidence interval
CRF	Case report form
CRP	C-reactive protein
СТ	Computed tomography
CTCAE	Common terminology criteria for adverse events
CTEU	Clinical Trials and Evaluation Unit
CVA	Cerebrovascular accident
DLCO	Diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide
DMSC	Data monitoring and safety committee
DVT	Deep vein thrombosis
ECOG	Eastern cooperative oncology group
EORTC	European organisation for research and treatment of cancer
EQ5D	European Quality of Life-5 Dimensions
FVC	Forced vital capacity
GI	Gastrointestinal
GMR	Geometric mean ratio
HR	Hazard ratio
IQR	Inter quartile range
ITT	Intention to treat
MAR	Missing at random
MedDRA	Medical dictionary for regulatory activities
MD	Mean difference
MDT	Multi-disciplinary team
OR	Odds ratio
PIC	Patient identification centre
QLQ-C30	Quality-of-life Questionnaire Core 30
QLQ-LC13	Quality-of-life Questionnaire Lung Cancer 13
RCT	Randomised controlled trial
REC	Research ethics committee
SAE	Serious adverse event
SAP	Statistical analysis plan
SD	Standard deviation
TIA	Transient ischemic attack
TNM	Classification of malignant tumours (TNM)
VAS	Visual analogue scale
VATS	Video assisted thorascopic surgery



1. INTRODUCTION TO SAP

1.1 Scope

This statistical analysis plan (SAP) details information regarding the statistical analysis of the VIOLET randomised controlled trial (RCT) and covers all analyses of study data outlined in the study protocol, with the exception of the health economic evaluation.

1.2 Editorial changes

Any changes made to this SAP after approval must be clearly justified and documented as an amendment at the end of this document. The SAP should then be re-approved.

1.3 SAP document approval

The co-director of the Clinical Trials and Evaluation Unit (CTEU) should authorise this document.

1.4 Skeleton tables and figures

Throughout this document references are made to any skeleton tables and figures to be used in the reporting of the study (e.g. **Figure F1** or **Table T1**). Such tables and figures can be found in **Appendix A** of this document and are intended as a guide for study reporting. Final versions of the tables/figures may differ: tables may be combined, and/or their layout or numbering may differ. However, the content should be consistent with **Appendix A**.



2. STUDY BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

2.1 Study background

The VIOLET study is a multi-centre double-blind parallel RCT. It aims to test the superiority of video assisted thorascopic surgery (VATS) lobectomy versus open lobectomy in adult patients with early stage lung cancer.

2.2 Study objectives

The VIOLET study aims to compare the effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and acceptability of VATS lobectomy versus open surgery for treatment of lung cancer.

Specific objectives are to estimate:

- (a) The difference between groups in self-reported physical function at five weeks
- (b) The difference between groups with respect to a range of secondary outcomes including assessment of efficacy (hospital stay, pain, proportion and time to uptake of chemotherapy), measures of safety (adverse health events), oncological outcomes (proportion of patients upstaged to pN2 disease and disease-free survival) and overall survival.
- (c) The cost effectiveness of VATS and open surgery.

2.3 Primary outcome

The primary outcome is self-reported physical function, assessed using the QLQ-C30 questionnaire, at 5 weeks post-randomisation.

2.4 Secondary outcomes

Secondary outcomes are listed in the study protocol as:

- (1) Time from surgery to hospital discharge
- (2) Pain scores in the first two days post-surgery
- (3) Adverse health events to one year
- (4) Proportion and time to uptake of adjuvant treatment
- (5) Proportion of patients upstaged to pN2 disease
- (6) Overall and disease-free survival to one year
- (7) Proportion of patients who undergo complete resection (i.e. R(0) resection) during the procedure
- (8) Proportion of patients who experience prolonged incision pain (defined as the need of analgesia > 5 weeks post randomisation that was not being taken preoperatively)
- (9) Generic and disease-specific HRQoL: EORTC QLQ-C30, QLQ-LC13 and EQ5D to one-year (measured at 2 weeks, 5 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months post-randomisation)
- (10) Resource use to one year (measured for the duration of post-operative hospital stay until discharge, and at 5 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months post-randomisation)

Additional secondary outcomes:

(11) Proportion of patients upstaged to pN1 disease



As stated in section 1.1, outcome (10) is not covered in this SAP. Outcome (11) is not specified in the protocol but was added at the request of the chief investigator before any knowledge of the accruing data.

2.5 Changes to the study objectives during the course of the study

There have been several changes to the protocol since Research Ethics Committee (REC) approval was granted and the first centre opened to recruitment. These changes are summarised below.

October 2015	Removal of reference to RECIST criteria for disease assessment Addition of PIC sites
June 2017	Amended inclusion criteria to reflect inclusion of patients undergoing bi-lobectomy and the transition to TNM8
	Clarification that events planned before surgery are not unexpected
	Details of phase 2 sites added
February 2018	Clarified location of 'bleeding' that is classified as an expected adverse event
January 2019	CTCAE grade changed to v5.0

The impact of these changes for the analysis of the study data are as follows:

- Transition to TNM8 all patients staged under TNM7 will be restaged under TNM8
- Changes to eligibility criteria eligibility will be assessed according to the protocol in place at the time of recruitment
- Changes to CTCAE grading adverse events will be graded according to the CTCAE version in place at the time of the event



3. STUDY POPULATION

The study population is all patients aged \geq 16 years of age who have been referred by the multi-disciplinary team (MDT) for lung resection for known or suspected lung cancer. Eligibility criteria are as inclusive as possible to promote the applicability of the evidence obtained during the trial. For specific inclusion/exclusion criteria see **Figure F1**.

Recruitment over time against targets will be presented overall (Figure F2).

The planned sample size for the VIOLET study is 498 patients. This sample size would be sufficient to detect a different of 0.25 standard deviations in physical function between the VATS and open groups with 90% power and 5% significance (2-tailed). The total sample size will allow for a 20% dropout at one year.

3.1 Flow of participants

Participant flow will be described via a flowchart (see **Figure F1**). Follow-up will last 12 months with follow-up visits planned at 5 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months post-randomisation.

3.2 Randomisation

Patients are randomised (1:1 ratio) to either VATS lobectomy or open lobectomy. Randomisation will be stratified by study centre and minimised by surgeon. Randomisation will take place within one week of the planned operation date, once eligibility has been confirmed and consent given. The randomisation will take place using a secure password protected internet-based system.

3.3 **Protocol deviations**

The following types of protocol deviation will be considered:

- Patient did not meet the study eligibility criteria but was treated in the study.
- Patient did not undergo lobectomy
- Patient received the alternative intervention to that they were allocated (only includes patients who underwent a lobectomy

Note it may be possible for patients to be classified as a protocol deviation for more than one reason.

The frequency of each type of deviation will be tabulated by treatment allocation (see **Table T1**).

3.4 Withdrawals

A patient (or a clinician on their behalf) can withdraw from the study at any time. In some cases, patients may be happy for data collection to continue, and therefore such patients will be included in the study analyses on an intention to treat basis (ITT), see **section 3.5**.

Data on all withdrawals is captured on a specific case report form (CRF) and will be tabulated by treatment allocation; see **Table T2.**

3.5 Analysis population

The analysis population consists of all randomised patients excluding:

• Patients who died after randomisation but prior to any data collection.



• Patients withdrawn who were unwilling for data collected to be used.

The main study analyses will be performed on an ITT basis, including randomised participants who are not found to have lung cancer. A modified ITT analysis excluding these participants will also be performed for the primary outcome.

3.6 Safety population

The safety population for the main trial analyses will consist of **all** randomised patients, excluding patients withdrawn who were unhappy for data collected to be used.

Participants will be grouped according to the treatment allocated and events in participants who received the alternative treatment (e.g. VATS received by participant randomised to open or vice versa), did not undergo a lobectomy, or received no treatment will be detailed in footnotes.



4. DATA COLLECTION

A summary of data collection is shown below.

Measurement	Pre- randomisation Baseline	Day of surgery	1-day post- surgery	2 days post- surgery	Discharge	Post-randomisation				
						2 weeks	5 weeks	3 months	6 months	1 year
Eligibility	X									
Imaging review (CT / PET-CT)	X									
Participant characteristics	X									
Audio recorded consultation	X									
Lobectomy via VATS or Open Surgery		x								
Intra-operative details		x								
Histopathology staging		x								
Tumour sample for research		x								
Patient questionnai	res	1	1	1	1			1		
QLQ-C30	X					X	X	X	X	X
QLQ-LC13	X					X	X	X	X	X
EQ5D	X					X	X	X	X	X
Bang Blinding Index				x	X					
Pain score	X		X	X						
Adverse Events			1	x	1		X	X	X	X
Resource use	X			X			X	X	X	X
CT scan of chest & abdomen										x



5. DERIVATIONS

5.1 Primary outcome

The primary outcome is self-reported physical function (QLQ-C30) at 5 weeks post randomisation. Physical function will be measured at baseline, 2 weeks, 5 weeks, 3, 6 and 12 months.

New variable	Rules
Physical functioning (PF2) raw	At each time point:
score	 mean of questions 1 to 5, if at least three of the questions are non-missing MISSING: otherwise
PF2 overall score	At each time point: = [1- (PF2 raw score-1)/3] * 100

5.2 Secondary outcomes

1. Time from surgery to hospital discharge

Both time from surgery until first fit for discharge, and time from surgery until hospital discharge will be described. Time from surgery until hospital discharge will be compared between groups.

New variable	Rules = (Discharge date – operation date)		
Time from surgery to hospital discharge			
Fit for discharge	YES: if satisfactory mobility = YES AND pain under control with oral analgesia = YES AND satisfactory Hb & electrolytes = YES AND satisfactory chest X-ray = YES (or satisfactory on a previous day and not necessary to repeat) AND free from complications requiring treatment = YES		
	NO: if satisfactory mobility = NO OR pain under control with oral analgesia = NO OR satisfactory Hb & electrolytes = NO OR satisfactory chest X-ray = NO (or not done and not previously satisfactory) OR free from complications requiring treatment = NO		
	MISSING: otherwise		
Time from surgery to fit for discharge	= (First day fit for discharge – operation date)		

2. Pain scores in the first two days post-surgery

Pain scores are collected at baseline (prior to surgery), day one post-surgery and day two post-surgery. Pain scores are collected directly on CRFs using the visual analogue scale (VAS) and so no derivation is required.

3. Adverse health events to 1 year

All adverse events occurring at any time during the 12 month follow-up period will be reported.



New variable	Rules	
Maximum intensity of SAE	Maximum of CTCAE variable on initial SAE form and all follow-	
(CTCAE)	up SAE forms	

4. Proportion and time to uptake of adjuvant treatment

The proportion of patients receiving adjuvant treatment at any time during the 12 month follow-up period will be described. The time to uptake of adjuvant treatment will be calculated as follows:

New variable	Rules		
Uptake of adjuvant treatment	YES: if at any study visit, chemotherapy or radiotherapy started = YES		
	NO: if at all attended study visits, chemotherapy and radiotherapy started = NO MISSING: otherwise		
Time to uptake of adjuvant therapy	 = (Earliest chemotherapy/radiotherapy start date - randomisation date) 		

5. Proportion of patients upstaged to pN2 disease after the procedure

New variable	Rules
Upstaged to pN2	YES: if pathological disease stage of primary tumour = N2
	NO: if pathological disease stage of primary tumour = N0 OR N1
	NOT CANCER: no cancer, sample benign
	MISSING: otherwise

The proportion of patients upstaged to pathological N2 disease following surgery will be derived as follows:

6. Overall and disease-free survival to 1 year

Both overall and disease-free survival to 12 months will be compared between groups.

New variable	Rules		
Time to death (days)	(Death date – randomisation date)		
Death censor variable	YES: if patient did not die before the end of follow-up		
	NO: if patient died before the end of follow-up		
	MISSING: otherwise		
Time to disease progression (days)	(Date of earliest disease recurrence – randomisation date)		
Disease progression censor variable	YES: if patient did not have disease progression before the enc of follow-up		
	NO: if patient had disease progression before the end of follow up		
	MISSING: otherwise		



7. Proportion of patients who undergo complete resection during the procedure

New variable	Rules	
Complete resection	YES: if resection completeness = R0 (no residual tumour)	
	NO: if resection completeness = R1 (microscopic residual tumour) OR R1 (other than microscopic residual tumour) OR R2 (macroscopic residual tumour) MISSING: otherwise	

Completeness of the resection will be defined as follows:

8. Proportion of patients who experience prolonged incision pain

Prolonged incision pain is defined as the need for analgesia after 5 weeks postrandomisation.

New variable	Rules	
Prolonged incision pain	YES: if patient continuously prescribed analgesia until after 5 weeks post-randomisation that was not being taken preoperatively	
	NO: if patient not continuously prescribed analgesia until after 5 weeks post-randomisation OR patient continuously prescribed analgesia that was administered pre-operatively until after 5 weeks MISSING: otherwise	

9. General and disease-specific HRQoL: EORTC QLQ-C30, QLQ-LC13 and EQ5D to 1 year

The QLQ-C30, QLQ-LC13 and EQ5D questionnaires are completed at baseline, 2 weeks, 5 weeks, 3, 6 and 12 months. Details for how to derive the overall and subscale scores for these three questionnaires can be found below in the respective tables. The EORTC core questionnaire and associated modules scoring manual will be used to derive the QLQ-C30 and QLQ-LC13 scores ⁽¹⁾.

New variable – QLQ-C30	Rules		
Global health status (QL2) raw	At each time point:		
score	= mean of question 29 and 30, if both non-missing		
	MISSING: otherwise		
QL2 overall score	At each time point:		
	= [(QL2 raw score-1)/6] * 100		
Role functioning (RF2) raw	At each time point:		
score	= mean of question 6 and 7, if both non-missing		
	MISSING: otherwise		
RF2 overall score	At each time point:		
	= [1- (RF2 raw score-1)/3] * 100		
Emotional functioning (EF) raw	At each time point:		
score	= mean of questions 21 to 24, if at least two questions are non-		
	missing		
	MISSING: otherwise		
EF overall score	At each time point:		
	= [1- (EF raw score-1)/3] * 100		



Cognitive functioning (CF) raw	At each time point: = mean of question 20 and 25, if both non-missing			
score	MISSING: otherwise			
CF overall score	At each time point:			
	= [1- (CF raw score-1)/3] * 100			
Social functioning (SE) row	At each time point:			
Social functioning (SF) raw score	= mean of question 26 and 27, if both non-missing			
score	MISSING: otherwise			
SF overall score	At each time point:			
	= [1- (SF raw score-1)/3] * 100			
Fatigue (FA) raw score	At each time point:			
	= mean of questions 10, 12 and 18, if at least two are non			
	missing			
	MISSING: otherwise			
FA overall score	At each time point:			
	= [(FA raw score-1)/3] * 100			
Nausea and vomiting (NV) raw	At each time point:			
score	= mean of questions 14 and 15, if both non-missing			
	MISSING: otherwise			
NV overall score	At each time point:			
	= [(NV raw score-1)/3] * 100			
Pain (PA) raw score	At each time point:			
	= mean of questions 9 and 19, if both non-missing MISSING: otherwise			
	At each time point:			
PA overall score	= [(PA raw score-1)/3] * 100			
	At each time point:			
Dyspnoea (DY) raw score	= question 8			
	MISSING: otherwise			
DY overall score	At each time point:			
	= [(DY raw score-1)/3] * 100			
Insomnia (SL) raw score	At each time point:			
	= question 11			
	MISSING: otherwise			
SL overall score	At each time point:			
	= [(SL raw score-1)/3] * 100			
Appetite loss (AP) raw score	At each time point:			
	= question 13 MISSING: otherwise			
	At each time point:			
AP overall score	= [(AP raw score-1)/3] * 100			
Constipation (CO) raw score	At each time point:			
	= question 16			
	MISSING: otherwise			
CO overall score	At each time point:			
	= [(CO raw score-1)/3] * 100			
Diarrhoea (DI) raw score	At each time point:			
	= question 17			
	MISSING: otherwise			
DI overall score	At each time point:			
	= [(DI raw score-1)/3] * 100			
Financial difficulties (FI) raw	At each time point:			
score	= question 28 MISSING: otherwise			
	At each time point:			
FI overall score	= [(Fl raw score-1)/3] * 100			



New variable – QLQ-LC13	Rules		
Dyspnoea (LCDY) raw score	At each time point:		
	= mean of questions 3 to 5, if all three questions are non-		
	missing		
	MISSING: otherwise		
LCDY overall score	At each time point:		
	= [(LCDY raw score-1)/3] * 100		
Coughing (LCCO) raw score	At each time point:		
0 0 0 0	= question 1		
	MISSING: otherwise		
LCCO overall score	At each time point:		
	= [(LCCO raw score-1)/3] * 100		
Haemoptysis (LCHA) raw score	At each time point:		
	= question 2		
	MISSING: otherwise		
LCHA overall score	At each time point:		
	= [(LCHA raw score-1)/3] * 100		
Sore mouth (LCSM) raw score	At each time point:		
	= question 6		
	MISSING: otherwise		
LCSM overall score	At each time point:		
	= [(LCSM raw score-1)/3] * 100		
Dysphagia (LCDS) raw score	At each time point:		
	= question 7		
	MISSING: otherwise		
LCDS overall score	At each time point:		
	= [(LCDS raw score-1)/3] * 100		
Peripheral neuropathy (LCPN)	At each time point: = question 8		
raw score	•		
	MISSING: otherwise		
LCPN overall score	At each time point:		
	= [(LCPN raw score-1)/3] * 100 At each time point:		
Alopecia (LCHR) raw score	= question 9		
	MISSING: otherwise		
	At each time point:		
LCHR overall score	= [(LCHR raw score-1)/3] * 100		
Dein in chect (LCDC) row coord	At each time point:		
Pain in chest (LCPC) raw score	= question 10		
	MISSING: otherwise		
LCPC overall score	At each time point:		
LCPC overall score	= [(LCPC raw score-1)/3] * 100		
Pain in arm or shouldor (LCPA)	At each time point:		
Pain in arm or shoulder (LCPA) At each time point: raw score = question 11			
	MISSING: otherwise		
LCPA overall score	At each time point:		
	= [(LCPA raw score-1)/3] * 100		
Pain in other parts (LCPO) raw	At each time point:		
score	= question 12		
	MISSING: otherwise		
LCPO overall score	At each time point:		
	= [(LCPO raw score-1)/3] * 100		



Pain medication (LCPM) raw score	At each time point: = question 13 MISSING: otherwise		
LCPM overall score At each time point: = [(LCPM raw score-1)/3] * 100			
New variable – EQ5D	Rules		
New variable – EQ5D EQ5D single summary index score	Rules Five digit 'state' score is derived as: 10000*mobility score + 1000*self-care score + 100*usual activities score + 10*pain/discomfort score + anxiety/depression score.		

10. Proportion of patients upstaged to pN1 disease after the procedure

The proportion of patients upstaged to pathological N1 disease following surgery will be derived as follows:

denoting perfect health.

New variable	Rules		
Upstaged to pN1	YES: if clinical disease stage of primary tumour = N0 AND pathological disease stage of primary tumour = N1		
	NO: if either:		
	 pathological disease stage of primary tumour = N0 OR N2 		
	 clinical disease stage of primary tumour = N1 		
	NOT CANCER: no cancer, sample benign		
	MISSING: otherwise		

5.3 Other variables

Details for any other variables which will be derived for use in any other figures or tables are given below:

New variable	Rules
Exclusion category	INELIGIBLE: any of the inclusion criteria are NO, or any of the exclusion criteria are YES NOT APPROACHED: patient is eligible, patient approached = NO
	DID NOT CONSENT: patient is eligible, patient approached = YES, patient consented = NO
	OTHER: patient is eligible, patient approached = YES, patient consented = YES, randomised = NO
Age at randomisation (years)	= (date of randomisation – date of birth)/365.25
Body mass index (BMI)	= weight (kg) / height (cm) ² * 10,000
Protocol deviation 1 – patient did not meet the study eligibility criteria but was randomised	YES: if patient was not eligible at screening but was randomised NO: if patient was eligible MISSING: otherwise



	Protocol deviation 2 – patient	YES: if lobectomy = NO	
	did not undergo a lobectomy	NO: if lobectomy = YES	
		MISSING: otherwise	
	Protocol deviation 3 – patient	YES: if either:	
	received alternative allocation	 Allocation = VATS AND lobectomy received = open 	
	(only includes those who underwent a lobectomy)	 Allocation = open AND lobectomy received = VATS 	
	underwent a lobectority)	NO: if either:	
		 Allocation = VATS AND lobectomy received = VATS 	
		 Allocation = open AND lobectomy received = open 	
		MISSING: otherwise	
	Protocol deviation 4 – more	YES: if more than four ports/incisions used during procedure	
	than four ports/incisions used	NO: if less than four ports/incisions used during procedure	
		MISSING: otherwise	
	Conversion from VATS to open	YES: if allocated to VATS AND open lobectomy received	
		NO: if allocated to VATS AND VATS lobectomy received	
		MISSING: otherwise	
	Benign disease on frozen	YES: if frozen section = YES AND frozen section diagnostic =	
	section	YES AND malignancy confirmed = NO	
		NO: if either:	
		 Frozen section = NO 	
		 Frozen section = YES AND frozen section diagnostic = NO 	
		 Frozen section = YES AND frozen section diagnostic = YES AND malignancy confirmed = YES 	
		MISSING: otherwise	
	Benign disease on post-	YES: if post-operative pathology = benign	
	operative pathology	NO: if post-operative pathology = cancer	
		MISSING: if benign disease on frozen section = YES	
	Blinding indices	Blinding indices will be calculated for both patients (2 days	
	-	post-surgery and discharge) and nurses (discharge, 5 weeks	
		and 12 months) using the method proposed by Bang el al ⁽²⁾ .	
	Duration of drain	= drain of drain removal – operation date	
	FEV ₁ , FVC expected values	Expected values are calculated using the method proposed by Quanjer et al ⁽³⁾ .	
	TLco expected values	Expected values are calculated using the method proposed by Stanojevic et al ⁽⁴⁾ .	
_	FEV ₁ , FVC, TLco % predicted	= (observed value/expected value)*100	

6. STATISTICAL ANALYSES

6.1 Baseline data and operative data

Baseline data (i.e. patient demography and past history) will be described by treatment group for patients in the analysis population. **Table T4** will be used as a template for this.

Continuous variables will be summarised using the mean and standard deviation (SD) (or median and inter quartile range (IQR) if the distribution is skewed), and categorical data will be summarised as a number (n/N) and percentage.

Any imbalances in the characteristics of the patients at the start of the study will be described but statistical tests for baseline imbalance will not be carried out.



Operative details will be described by treatment group for patients in the analysis population. **Table T5** will be used as a template for this.

6.2 Primary and secondary outcome data

Primary and secondary outcome data will be described by treatment group for patients in the analysis population. The choice of summary statistics will be as for the baseline data. Treatment effects will be reported graphically with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and with numerical details alongside (cf. Forest plot). **Figures F4 to F7** will be used as templates for this.

6.2.1 Adjustment in models

The intention is to adjust all models for centre and the factors included in the cohort minimisation: operating surgeon, both as random effects. If there is a change in surgeon after randomisation, models will adjust for the surgeon who performed the intervention and not the surgeon originally allocated to perform the procedure.

If the frequency of the outcome is insufficient to allow estimation of regression coefficients for all these variables (e.g. rare binary outcomes), the variables with coefficients that cannot be estimated will be omitted.

For continuous outcomes that are measured preoperatively as well as postoperatively, preoperative and postoperative values will be modelled jointly in preference to the preoperative value being modelled as a covariate. Joint modelling will avoid the necessity to either exclude cases with missing baseline measures or to impute missing baseline values.

6.2.2 Analysis models

All outcomes listed in the study protocol will be presented as per the template tables **Table T11** to **T18**. General methods of presentation and assessing treatment effects are outlined below. For all treatment comparisons, the open lobectomy group will be the reference group. Details specific to each outcome are described as appropriate.

Date type	Outcomes
Binary	Proportion of patients receiving adjuvant treatment
	Proportion of patients who undergo complete resection
	during the procedure
	Proportion of patients who experience prolonged incision
	pain
	Any in-hospital adverse event
	Any in-hospital serious adverse event
Categorical	Proportion upstaged to pN1 disease after the procedure
	Proportion upstaged to pN2 disease after the procedure
Time to event	Time from surgery to hospital discharge
	Time to uptake of adjuvant treatment
	Overall survival
	Disease-free survival
Longitudinal	Physical function (primary)
	Pain scores in the first two days post-surgery
	HRQoL (QLQ-C30, QLQ-LC13, EQ5D)

Each outcome will be considered under a certain data type, as outlined in the table below:

Each type of data will be summarised and compared between the groups according to the following:



- **Binary outcomes** will be presented as numbers and percentages of patients in each treatment group. Outcomes will be compared between treatment groups using generalised linear models, with treatment comparison estimates presented as adjusted risk ratios (RR) and risk differences (RD) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Formal statistical comparisons of treatment effects will only be performed if more than ten patients in total experience the outcome (with at least one event in each treatment group).
- **Categorical outcomes** will be presented as numbers and percentages of patients in each treatment group in each category. Outcomes will be compared between treatment groups using multinomial logistic regression, with treatment comparison estimates presented as adjusted RR and 95% CI.
- Time to event outcomes will be summarised by the median and IQR or mean and SD in each treatment group, estimated from survival modelling. Outcomes will be compared using Cox's proportional hazards, parametric models, or interval censoring methods for analysing discrete time data, as appropriate. The choice of model used will depend on the outcome event and the distribution of the data. Treatment comparisons will be presented as hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CI if a proportional hazards model is used, time ratios (TRs) and 95% CI if an accelerated failure time model is used, or OR and 95% CI if a discrete time proportional odds model is used. Times will be censored using censoring variables defined below:

Outcome	Censor variable
Time from surgery to hospital discharge	Date of death, if patient died before hospital discharge
Time to uptake of adjuvant treatment	Last visit date, if patient did not receive any adjuvant treatment
Overall survival	Last visit date, if patient was alive at the end of the study follow- up
Disease free survival	Last visit date, if patient was disease free at the end of the study follow-up

Continuous longitudinal outcomes will be summarised as means and SDs (or • medians and IQRs if distributions are skewed) at each time point. Outcomes will be compared using linear mixed effects methodology with the treatment group and study design variables fitted as per section 5.2.1, and patient terms fitted as random effects. Separate parameter estimates will be incorporated into models for 1) the mean baseline response across both treatment groups and 2) at each post-intervention time point for each treatment (i.e. saturated model with time fitted as a categorical variable). If the time x treatment interaction (postintervention) is not statistically significant at the 10% level an overall treatment effect will be reported. If the interaction is statistically significant the changes in treatment effect with time will be described. Deaths will be accounted for by modelling HRQoL and survival jointly. When modelling EQ5D, patients who have died will be assigned a score of 0 for all future time points. Different variance/covariance structures will be explored, and the structure that provides the best fit in terms of information criteria such as AIC, BIC and likelihood ratio tests will be used. Treatment comparisons will be presented as adjusted differences in means with 95% CI.



6.2.3 Statistical significance

For hypothesis tests two-tailed p-values<0.05 are considered statistically significant. Likelihood ratio tests will be used in preference to Wald tests for hypothesis testing.

6.2.4 Model assumptions

For all methods outlined underlying assumptions will be checked using standard methods, e.g. residual plots, tests for proportional hazards, etc. If assumptions are not valid then alternative methods of analysis will be sought. If outlying observations are found which mean models do not fit the data adequately, such observations will be excluded from the main analyses and comments made in footnotes. Sensitivity analyses may be performed to examine the effect on the study's conclusions of excluding outlying observations.

6.2.5 Subgroup analyses

A pre-specified subgroup analysis, comparing pain scores by type of analgesia (paravertable block versus intercostal block versus both versus neither) will be performed. This will consist of adding analgesia*treatment interaction terms to the pain score model (see Figure F8).

6.2.6 Sensitivity analyses

A pre-specified sensitivity analysis excluding participants with benign disease will be performed for the primary outcome **(see Table T21)**.

Sensitivity analyses of overall survival and disease-free survival will be performed, adjusting for patient's disease stage based on pathological findings (see Figure F11).

Note: these analyses of survival were not pre-specified in the protocol but added upon recommendation from the Data Monitoring and Safety Committee (DMSC).

6.2.7 Exploratory analyses

Two exploratory analyses of pain scores will be undertaken, the first is stated in the protocol, the second was requested by the DMSC.

- 1. Comparing pain scores in the first two days by incisions (VATS single port versus VATS with multiple port sites versus open surgery) (see Tables T22 and T23).
- 2. Comparing pain scores in the first two-days post-surgery and at follow-up by type of thoracotomy performed (anterior thoracotomy versus posterolateral thoracotomy versus VATS; muscle sparing versus no muscle sparing; and ribresection versus no rib-resection) (see Figure F12 and Figure F13).

An exploratory analysis comparing length of stay by incisions (VATS single port versus VATS multiple port versus open surgery) will be performed **(see Table T24)**. This analysis of length of stay was not pre-specified in the protocol but requested by the chief investigator before any comparative analyses were performed.

6.2.8 Missing data

In all tables missing data for continuous variables will be indicated by footnotes. For category variables missing data will be highlighted by use of observation counts. If the amount of missing data differs substantially between treatment groups potential reasons will be explored.

Missing predictors:



There will be no missing data for any of the randomisation factors (by design). All other potential predictors are baseline measurements of continuous longitudinal outcomes, and due to the joint modelling approach described previously the handling of missing values for such data is considered in the context of missing longitudinal data (see below).

Missing outcomes measured at one time point:

- If the proportion of missing data is less than 5% then complete case analysis will be performed (i.e. excluding cases with missing data).
- If the proportion of missing data is above 5% multiple imputation methods will be considered. A general imputation model that uses an iterative procedure to generate imputed values will be used to generate multiple complete data sets (e.g. using Stata's mi impute). The model of interest will be the fitted to each of the complete data sets and effect estimates combined using Rubin's rules. If appropriate, methods such as predictive mean matching will be used in order to ensure that imputed values lie within specific ranges.

Missing longitudinal data:

• For continuous data measured at multiple time points preoperative values will be modelled jointly with those measured postoperatively, as described previously, thereby allowing all cases with at least one observation to be included. If the proportion of cases that do not have at least one observation is above 5% then multiple imputation methods will be considered (see above). If appropriate (the level of missingness is >20%) then any variables that are predictive of missingness will be identified, and if there is reason to suggest that an assumption of missing at random (MAR) given these variables is reasonable (especially likely if the variable was measured pre-operatively) then such variables will be adjusted for in the models of interest. These models can be shown to provide unbiased estimates of the treatment effect and moreover multiple imputation approaches would not be expected to recover any additional information.

6.2.9 Multiple testing

For quality of life outcomes derived from the QLQ-C30 and QLQ-LC13 questionnaires, multiple testing will be accounted for by applying the false discovery rate method proposed by Benjamini Y and Hochberg Y⁽⁵⁾. This method will be applied within each instrument (e.g. for QLQ-C30 functional scale scores, QLQ-C30 symptom scale scores and QLQ-LC13 scores). No formal adjustment will be made for multiple testing for other outcomes. However as previously described formal statistical comparisons will not be made for outcomes with low event rates and only pre-specified subgroup analyses will be performed. Consideration will be taken in interpretation of results to reflect the number of statistical tests performed and the consistency, magnitude and direction of treatment estimates for different outcomes.

6.3 Safety data

Adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events (SAEs) occurring in the study period for all patients in the safety population will be tabulated as per **Table T19 and T20**.

Table T19 summarises AEs and SAEs experienced during the period from randomisation to hospital discharge. Such events are captured via the study CRFs.



Table T20 summarises SAEs experienced during the follow-up period from hospital discharge to 12 months post-randomisation.

All events will be coded using MedDRA and reported according to this classification system. The difference in the proportion of patients with any adverse event and with any serious adverse event (according to the standard GCP definition of serious) will be reported with a 95% CI. The outcome of serious events (alive or died following the event) will be described. The difference in proportion of patients experiencing an event (serious or non-serious) in each MedDRA system organ class group (SOC) will also be reported with 95% CIs for each SOC with events in both groups and at least five events in total across the two groups. These estimates will be displayed in a Forest plot (see Figure F9). P-values will not be included.



7. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- (1) EORTC Quality of Life. (2019). Manuals | EORTC Quality of Life. [online] Available at: https://qol.eortc.org/manuals/ [Accessed 25 Mar. 2019].
- (2) Bang H, Ni L, Davis CE. Assessment of blinding in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 2004;25:143-156.
- (3) Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ, Baur X, Hall GL, Culver BH, Enright PL, Hankinson JL, Ip MSM, Zheng J, Stocks J. Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3–95-yr age range: the global lung function 2012 equations. Eur Respir J 2012; 40: 1324-1343
- (4) Stanojevic S, Graham BL, Cooper BG, Thompson BR, Carter KW, Francis RW, Hall GL. Official ERS technical standards: Global Lung Function Initiative reference values for the carbon monoxide transfer factor for Caucasians. Eur Respir J 2017; 50: 1700010
- (5) Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J. R. Statist. Soc. B 1995; 57: 289-300

Previous version	Previous date	New version	New date	Brief summary of changes
1.0	04/07/2019	2.0	11/11/2019	Addition of the outcome upstaging to pN1 at the request of the chief investigator.
				Updating analysis models section to state risk ratios and risk differences will be presented instead of odds ratios for binary outcomes.
				Addition to safety data section to state all events will be MedDRA coded and the difference in proportion of patients experiencing an event in each MedDRA system organ class group will be reported.
				Update to adjustment in models section to remove adjustment for analgesia in pain score models as it was decided analgesia lay on the causal pathway and may therefore lead to biased estimates. Analgesia will be summarised by group instead.

8. AMENDMENTS TO THE SAP



APPENDIX A: SKELETON TABLES AND FIGURES

The following summarises the planned outputs:

Section	Outputs	
Section 1	Tables, figur	es and listings detailing the study population
Population	Figure F1	Flow of participants
	Figure F2	Predicted and actual recruitment
	Table T1	Protocol deviations
	Table T2	Details of conversions from VATS to open surgery
	Table T3	Withdrawals
Section 2	Summary tal	bles of demographic and operative information
Baseline and intra-	Table T4	Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics
operative data	Table T5	Operative details
Section 3 Additional	-	bles of additional descriptive information
descriptive information	Figure F3	Pathology flow chart
	Table T6	Patient blinding at 2 days post-surgery
	Table T7	Patient blinding at discharge
	Table T8	Nurse blinding at discharge
	Table T9	Nurse blinding at 5 weeks
	Table T10	Nurse blinding at 12 months
Section 4	-	ta and treatment estimates for primary and secondary outcomes
Primary and secondary outcome	Table T11	Primary outcome
data	Figure F4	Primary outcome
	Table T12	In-hospital secondary outcomes
	Table T13	Additional secondary outcomes
	Table T14	Pain scores in the first two days post-surgery
	Figure F5	In-hospital secondary outcomes
	Figure F6	Mean ratios of in-hospital analgesia
	Figure F7	Additional secondary outcomes
	Table T15	QLQ-C30 global health status/functional scale scores
	Figure F8	Global health status over time
	Table T16	QLQ-C30 symptoms scale scores
	Table T17	QLQ-LC13
	Table T18	EQ5D
	Table T19	Adverse events and serious adverse events in-hospital
	Table T20	Serious adverse events during follow-up
	Table T21	Sensitivity analysis of primary outcome excluding patients with benign disease
	Figure F9	Adverse events in-hospital
	Figure F10	Subgroup analysis of pain score
	Figure F11	Sensitivity analysis of survival outcomes adjusting for pathological disease stage
	Figure F12	Exploratory analysis of in-hospital pain score by of thoracotomy performed
	Figure F13	Exploratory analysis of QLQ-C30 pain score by type of thoracotomy performed
	Table T22	Pain scores by number of port sites
	Table T23	Exploratory analysis comparing pain scores by number of port sites
	Table T24	Exploratory analysis comparing length of stay by number of port sites







Notes:

¹ Patients may be ineligible for more than one reason Benign patients are only followed-up to 5 weeks post-randomisation; allocated to open (n=xx), allocated to VATS (n=xx)



Figure F2 Predicted and actual recruitment

	Randomised to open (n=XX)		Randomised to VATS (n=XX)		Overall (n=XX)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Any protocol deviation						
Patient ineligible but treated in the study						
Patient did not undergo lobectomy						
Patient received the opposite intervention to that they were allocated*						

Notes: *only includes patients who underwent a lobectomy



	Randomised to VATS (n=XX)	
	n	%
Converted from VATS to open		
Reason for conversion		
Technical problems		
Equipment malfunction		
Failure to progress		
Poor visualisation		
Anatomical problems		
Absent or thick fissure		
Calcified peri-arterial nodes		
Chest wall invasion		
Diffuse pleural adhesion		
Requirement for sleeve resection		
Oncological problems		
Discovery of N2 tumours		
Invasion of the artery		
Invasion of the parietal pleura		
Margin extension		

Table T2 Details of conversions from VATS to open surgery

	Randomised to open (n=XX)		Randomised to VATS (n=XX)		Overall (n=XX)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Any withdrawal						
Timing of withdrawal						
Post-consent pre-randomisation						
Post-randomisation but before surgery						
After surgery						
Reason for withdrawal						
Clinician's advice						
Surgery no longer appropriate						
Patient no longer eligible						
Other						
Patient's decision						
Referral to another centre						



Patient changed their mind about the study Patient no longer wants surgery Refused to give reason Other Admin/logistical reasons Surgeon changed Other **Further details** Withdrawn from follow-up

Notes:

Other reasons will be provided in footnotes

Table T4 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics

		Randomised to open (n=XX)	Randomised to VATS (n=XX)	Overall (n=XX)
Baseline ch	aracteristics			
Age (years)				
Sex	Male			
	Female			
Smoking sta	itus (ever smoked)			
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian			
	Black / Black British			
	Mixed / multiple ethnic groups			
	Asian / Asian British			
	Other ethnic group			
Clinical TN	M8 stage			
T1a				
T1b				
T1c				
T2a				
T2b				
Т3				
N0				
N1				
M0				
Location of	primary tumour			
Left upper lo	be			



Left lower lobe Right upper lobe Right middle lobe Right lower lobe Other **Baseline clinical measures** BMI (kg/m²) ECOG status 0 1 2 3 Haemoglobin (g/dl) Platelets (x10⁹/l) White cell count (x10⁹/l) Neutrophils (x10⁹/l) Lymphocytes (x10⁹/l) CRP (Mg/L) Creatinine (µmol/l) Urea (µmol/l) -Lung function FEV₁ (% predicted) FVC (% predicted) DLCO (% predicted) **Medical history** Family history of lung cancer Respiratory comorbidity Neurological dysfunction **Diabetes mellitus** Alcoholism Previous lung surgery CVA/TIAs Cardiovascular comorbidity Chronic pain syndrome Deep vein thrombosis Previously treated malignancy Neoadjuvant treatment Pre-operative treatment

Data are presented as median (interquartile range), mean (standard deviation), or n (%).



Table T5Operative details

		Randomised to open (n=XX)		Randomised to VATS (n=XX)		Overall (n=	
		n	%	n	%	n	%
Operative strat	egy						
Frozen section b	piopsy planned						
Biopsy attem	pted						
Biopsy dia	gnostic						
Malignan	cy confirmed						
Resection deta	ils						
Benign disease	on frozen section						
Open and close malignancy)	(inoperable/extensive						
Resection of air parenchyma	way without removal of lung						
Pneumonectom	у						
Lobectomy/bilob	pectomy						
Segmentectomy	1						
Wedge resection	n						
Lobectomy and	segmentectomy						
Lobectomy and	wedge resection						
Lobectomy and removal of lung	resection of airway without parenchyma						
Benign disease lobectomy	on frozen section and						
Benign disease resection	on frozen section and wedge						
Operation deta	ils						
First operator	Consultant surgeon						
classification	Trainee surgeon						
Prophylactic mir	ni-tracheostomy tube used						
Number of N1	0						
lymph node stations	1-2						
sampled	3-4						
	5						
Number of N2	0						
lymph node stations	1-2						
sampled	3-4						
	5-6						

Anterior thoracotomy performed



Postero-lateral t	horacotomy performed			
Muscle sparing	approach used			
Serratus mus	scle 'spared'			
Latissimus m	uscle 'spared'			
Number of	1			
ports/incisions used	2			
	3			
	4			
Duration of drair	ı			
Intra-operative	analgesia			
Single-shot paravertebral block				
Epidural				
Paravertebral ca	atheter			
Intercostal block	X.			
Other analgesia				

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS PLAN

The VIOLET study



Figure F3 Pathology flow chart



Notes: details of the resection in patients undergoing an alternative resection will be provided in footnotes

11 November 2019



Table T6Patient blinding at two days post-surgery

Intervention		Question not			
intervention	VATS	Open	Do not know	Total	asked, n
VATS					
Open					

Total

Blinding index (VATS: open) = X.XX (95% confidence interval [CI], X.XX to X.XX): X.XX (95% CI, X.XX to X.XX) (P=X.XX).

Table T7Patient blinding at discharge

Intervention		Question not			
	VATS	Open	Do not know	Total	asked, n
VATS					
Open					
Total					

Blinding index (VATS: open) = X.XX (95% confidence interval [CI], X.XX to X.XX): X.XX (95% CI, X.XX to X.XX) (P=X.XX).

Table T8 Nurse blinding at discharge

Intervention		Question not			
	VATS	Open	Do not know	Total	asked, n
VATS					
Open					
Total					

X.XX to X.XX) (P=X.XX).

Table T9Nurse blinding at 5 weeks

Intervention		Nurse's answer, n (%)					
	VATS	Open	Do not know	Total	asked, n		
VATS							
Open							
Total							



Table T10Nurse blinding at 12 months

Intervention		Question not			
	VATS	Open	Do not know	Total	asked, n
VATS					
Open					
Total					

Blinding index (VATS: open) = X.XX (95% confidence interval [CI], X.XX to X.XX): X.XX (95% CI, X.XX to X.XX) (P=X.XX).

Table T11Primary outcome

Outcome		Randomised to open (n=XX)		Randomised to VATS (n=XX)		Effect	
Outcome		Mean/ Median	SD/IQR	Mean/ Median	SD/IQR	(95% CI)	p-value
Physical functioning	Baseline						
	2 weeks						
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months						
	6 months						
	12 months						
Test for treatment*time	interaction						
Overall treatment effect	estimate					MD/GMR	

Notes: higher scores indicate higher levels of functioning Analyses are adjusted for operating surgeon and centre





Table T12	In-hospital secondary outcomes
-----------	--------------------------------

Randomised to open (n=XX)	Randomised to VATS (n=XX)	Effect (95% CI)	p-value
		HR	
		HR	
		RR/RD	
-			open (n=XX) VATS (n=XX) (95% Cl) HR HR RR/RD RR/RD RR/RD

Values are presented as median (interquartile range), mean (standard deviation), or n (%). Analyses are adjusted for operating surgeon and centre

CTEU Bristol



Table T13Post-discharge secondary outcomes

Randomised to open (n=XX)	Randomised to VATS (n=XX)	Effect (95% CI)	p-value
		RR/RD	
		HR	
		HR	
		HR	
		RR/RD	
			open (n=XX) VATS (n=XX) (95% Cl) RR/RD HR HR HR

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) or n (%). Analyses are adjusted for operating surgeon and centre

Table T14Pain scores in the first two days post-surgery

Outoomo		Randomised to open (n=XX)		Randomised to VATS (n=XX)		Effect	
Outcome		Mean/ Median	SD/IQR	Mean/ Median	SD/IQR	(95% CI)	p-value
Pain score	Baseline						
	Day 1 post-surgery					MD/GMR	
	Day 2 post-surgery					MD/GMR	
Test for treatment*time interaction							
Overall treatment effect estimate						MD/GMR	

Analyses are adjusted for operating surgeon and centre

Figure F5 In-hospital secondary outcomes





Figure F6 Mean ratios of in-hospital analgesia



Notes: point estimate is ratio of mean daily dose of each analgesia in each group. 95% CI calculated by using bootstrap estimation with 10,000 replications to estimate the standard error of the mean ratio






Outcome		Randomised to open (n=XX) Mean/ Median		Randomised to VATS (n=XX)		Effect	n volue
				Mean/ Median	SD/IQR	(95% CI)	p-value
Global health	Baseline						
status/QoL	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	
	12 months					MD/GMR	
Test for treatmen	t*time interaction						
Overall treatment	effect estimate					MD/GMR	1
Role functioning	Baseline						
	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	
	12 months					MD/GMR	
Test for treatmen	t*time interaction						
Overall treatment	effect estimate					MD/GMR	
	Baseline						
functioning	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	
	12 months					MD/GMR	
Test for treatmen	t*time interaction						
Overall treatment	effect estimate					MD/GMR	
0	Baseline						
functioning	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	
	12 months					MD/GMR	
Test for treatmen	t*time interaction						
Overall treatment	effect estimate					MD/GMR	1
	Baseline						

Table T15 QLQ-C30 global health status/functional scale scores

Version 2.0



Social	2 weeks	MD/GMR
functioning	5 weeks	MD/GMR
	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction	
Overall treatme	nt effect estimate	MD/GMR

Notes: higher scores indicate higher levels of functioning Analyses are adjusted for operating surgeon and centre



Figure F8 Global health status over time



Outcomo		Randon open (Randon VATS (Effect	n voluo
Outcome		Mean/ Median			Mean/ SD/IQR Median		p-value
Fatigue	Baseline						
	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	
	12 months					MD/GMR	
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction						
Overall treatme	nt effect estimate					MD/GMR	
Nausea and	Baseline	_					
vomiting	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	
	12 months					MD/GMR	
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction						
Overall treatme	nt effect estimate					MD/GMR	
Pain	Baseline						
	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	
	12 months					MD/GMR	
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction						
Overall treatme	nt effect estimate					MD/GMR	
Dyspnoea	Baseline						
	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	
	12 months					MD/GMR	
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction						
Overall treatme	nt effect estimate					MD/GMR	
Insomnia	Baseline						

Table T16 QLQ-C30 symptom scale scores

Version 2.0



	2 weeks	MD/GMR
	5 weeks	MD/GMR
	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction	
Overall treatme	nt effect estimate	MD/GMR
Appetite loss	Baseline	
	2 weeks	MD/GMR
	5 weeks	MD/GMR
	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction	
Overall treatme	nt effect estimate	MD/GMR
Constipation	Baseline	
	2 weeks	MD/GMR
	5 weeks	MD/GMR
	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction	
Overall treatme	nt effect estimate	MD/GMR
Diarrhoea	Baseline	
	2 weeks	MD/GMR
	5 weeks	MD/GMR
	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction	
	nt effect estimate	MD/GMR
Financial	Baseline	
difficulties	2 weeks	MD/GMR
	5 weeks	MD/GMR
	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction	



Overall treatment effect estimate

MD/GMR

Notes: higher scores indicate higher levels of symptoms Analyses are adjusted for operating surgeon and centre.

Table T17 QLQ-LC13

Outcome		Randon open (nised to (n=XX)	Randon VATS		Effect	p-value
Outcome		Mean/ Median			Mean/ SD/IQR Median		p-value
Dyspnoea	Baseline						
	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	
	12 months					MD/GMR	
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction						
Overall treatme	nt effect estimate					MD/GMR	
Cough	Baseline						
	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	
	12 months					MD/GMR	
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction						
Overall treatme	nt effect estimate					MD/GMR	
Haemoptysis	Baseline						
	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	
	12 months					MD/GMR	
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction						
Overall treatme	nt effect estimate					MD/GMR	
Sore mouth	Baseline						
	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS PLAN The VIOLET study



	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction	
Overall treatment	nt effect estimate	MD/GMR
Dysphagia	Baseline	
	2 weeks	MD/GMR
	5 weeks	MD/GMR
	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction	
Overall treatment	nt effect estimate	MD/GMR
Peripheral	Baseline	
neuropathy	2 weeks	MD/GMR
	5 weeks	MD/GMR
	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction	
Overall treatment	nt effect estimate	MD/GMR
Alopecia	Baseline	
	2 weeks	MD/GMR
	5 weeks	MD/GMR
	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatme	ent*time interaction	
Overall treatment	nt effect estimate	MD/GMR
Pain in chest	Baseline	
	2 weeks	MD/GMR
	5 weeks	MD/GMR
	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatme	nt*time interaction	
Overall treatment	nt effect estimate	MD/GMR
Pain in arm or	Baseline	
shoulder	2 weeks	MD/GMR
	5 weeks	MD/GMR



	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatmer	nt*time interaction	
Overall treatmen	t effect estimate	MD/GMR
Pain in other	Baseline	
parts	2 weeks	MD/GMR
	5 weeks	MD/GMR
	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatmer	nt*time interaction	
Overall treatmen	t effect estimate	MD/GMR
Pain medication	Baseline	
	2 weeks	MD/GMR
	5 weeks	MD/GMR
	3 months	MD/GMR
	6 months	MD/GMR
	12 months	MD/GMR
Test for treatmer	nt*time interaction	
Overall treatmen	t effect estimate	MD/GMR

Notes: higher scores indicate higher levels of symptoms Analyses are adjusted for operating surgeon and centre

Table T18 EQ5D

Outcomo			Randomised to open (n=XX)		Randomised to VATS (n=XX)		p-value
Outcome		Mean/ SD/IC Median		Mean/ Median	SUND		
EQ5D	Baseline						
	2 weeks					MD/GMR	
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months					MD/GMR	
	6 months					MD/GMR	
	12 months					MD/GMR	
Test for treatm	nent*time interaction						
Overall treatm	ent effect estimate					MD/GMR	

Analyses are adjusted for operating surgeon and centre



Table T19 Adverse events and serious adverse events in-hospital

Figure F9 Adverse events in-hospital



FU

risto



	Randomise (n=	Randomise (n=)			
Event	SA	Es	SAEs		
	Events/ patients	%	Events/ patients	%	
SOC 1					
Event 1					
Event 2					
Event N					
SOC 2					
Event 1					
Event 2					
Event N					
SOC N					
Event 1					
Event 2					
Event N					
Any event					

Table T20 Serious adverse events during follow-up

Table T21Sensitivity analysis of primary outcome excluding patients with benigndisease

Outcome		Randomised to open (n=XX)		Randomised to VATS (n=XX)		Effect	
Outcome		Mean/ SD/IQR Median		Mean/ Median	SD/IQR	(95% CI)	p-value
Physical functioning	Baseline						
	2 weeks						
	5 weeks					MD/GMR	
	3 months						
	6 months						
	12 months						
Test for treatment*time	interaction						

Notes: higher scores indicate higher levels of functioning Analyses are adjusted for operating surgeon and centre







Notes: *p*-value is from the test for interaction, *p*-values for treatment estimates within each subgroup will not be presented.

Figure F11 Sensitivity analyses of survival outcomes adjusting for pathological disease stage









Figure F13 Exploratory analyses of QLQ-C30 pain score by type of thoracotomy performed



Table T22Pain scores by number of port sites

	Rar	Random	nised to			
Time point	Single port (n=XX)		Multi-por	rt (n=XX)	open (n=XX)	
	Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR
Baseline						
Day 1						
Day 2						

Analyses are adjusted for operating surgeon and centre

Table T23 Exploratory analysis comparing pain scores by number of port sites

Outcome	Effect size	95% confidence interval	p-value
Pain score in first two days post-surgery			
Single port VATS vs multi port VATS	MD=X.XX	(X.XX, X.XX)	
Single port VATS vs open	MD=X.XX	(X.XX, X.XX)	X.XX
Multi port VATS vs open	MD=X.XX	(X.XX, X.XX)	

Analyses are adjusted for operating surgeon and centre

Table T24 Exploratory analysis comparing length of stay by number of port sites

Outcome	Median	IQR	Effect size	95% confidence interval	p-value
Length of stay					
Single port VATS vs multi port VATS			MD=X.XX	(X.XX, X.XX)	
Single port VATS vs open			MD=X.XX	(X.XX, X.XX)	X.XX
Multi port VATS vs open			MD=X.XX	(X.XX, X.XX)	

Analyses are adjusted for operating surgeon and centre



APPENDIX B: IN-HOSPITAL ANALGESIC RATIOS

Mean ratios of analgesia received throughout the hospital stay will be summarised (Figure F6). Each analgesic will be summed for the duration of the hospital stay and the final score will be total dose (mg) if received intra-operatively or average daily dose (mg/day) if received post-operatively. Average daily dose will be calculated by dividing the total dose (mg) for the entire hospital stay by the total number of days each analgesia was received. Analgesic agents will be combined into groups where possible. If less than 5% of the entire cohort received an analgesic agent, then this analgesic will be excluded from the summary figure and will be tabulated by group instead.

Analgesics will be grouped as follows:

- 1. Intra-operative local anaesthetic infusions
 - Intercostal blocks
 - Paravertebral blocks
 - Paravertebral catheter
- 2. Post-operative local anaesthetic infusions
 - Intercostal blocks
 - Paravertebral blocks
 - Paravertebral catheter
- 3. Epidurals
 - Intra-operative epidural
 - Post-operative epidural
- 4. PCA (morphine equivalents)
- 5. Opiates (morphine equivalents)
- 6. Ibuprofen
- 7. Diclofenac
- 8. Gabapentin
- 9. Paracoxib
- 10. Paracetamol
- 11. Pregabalin
- 12. Lidocaine patches (patches/day)

Morphine equivalents

All analgesic doses will be converted to mg before converting to their morphine equivalent dose. Morphine equivalent daily dose will be calculated as:

= current dose x conversion factor

The conversion factors used will be as specified by the Royal College of Anaesthetists: Dose Equivalent and Changing Opioids.⁽¹⁾ Morphine equivalents will only be calculated for those analgesic medications for which a morphine equivalent is present and specified in the guidelines.

Appendix bibliography

(1) Rcoa.ac.uk. (2019). *Dose Equivalent and Changing Opioids | The Royal College of Anaesthetists*. [online] Available at: https://www.rcoa.ac.uk/faculty-of-pain-medicine/opioids-aware/structured-approach-to-prescribing/dose-equivalents-and-changing-opioids [Accessed 3 Oct. 2019].